Available online at http://scik.org Advances in Fixed Point Theory, 3 (2013), No. 1, 1-8 ISSN: 1927-6303

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACE USING OCCASIONALLY CONVERSE COMMUTING MAPS AND IMPLICIT RELATION

SAURABH MANRO

School of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Thapar University, Patiala

Abstract. In this paper, we use the notion of occasionally converse commuting (occ) and occasionally weakly compatible mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. By using this concept, we prove two common fixed point results for a quadruple of self-mappings which satisfy an implicit relation. Our result generalizes the results of Pathak et al. [16] in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Keywords: Intuitionistic Fuzzy metric space; occasionally converse commuting (occ); occasionally weakly compatible.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10; 54H25;

1. Introduction

Atanassov [2] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets [18] and later there has been much progress in the study of intuitionistic fuzzy sets [8]. In 2004, Park [12] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norms and continuous t-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to George and Veeramani [4]. Fixed point theory has important applications in diverse disciplines of mathematics, statistics, engineering, and economics in dealing with problems arising in: Approximation theory, potential theory, game theory, mathematical economics, etc. Lu [10] presented the concept of converse commuting mappings and proved some common fixed point results. Liu and Hu [9] used this concept for multi-valued mappings. Popa [17]

Received January 18, 2012

SAURABH MANRO

extended his result for the mappings satisfying an implicit relation. Recently, Pathak et al. [16] introduce the notion of occasionally converse commuting (occ) mappings and prove some fixed point theorems on four self maps by using this concept.

In this paper, we use the notion of occasionally converse commuting (occ) and occasionally weakly compatible mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. By using this concept, we prove two common fixed point results for a quadruple of self-mappings which satisfy an implicit relation. Our result generalizes the results of Pathak et al. [16] in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

2. Preliminaries

The concepts of triangular norms (t-norms) and triangular conorms (t-conorms) are known as the axiomatic skelton that we use are characterization fuzzy intersections and union respectively. These concepts were originally introduced by Menger [11] in study of statistical metric spaces.

Definition 2.1[13]. A binary operation $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous *t*-norm if * satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) * is commutative and associative;
- (ii) * is continuous;
- (iii) a * 1 = a for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2[13]. A binary operation $\diamond : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous *t*-conorm if \diamond satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) \diamond is commutative and associative;
- (ii) \diamond is continuous;
- (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Alaca et al. [1] using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michalek [7] as :

Definition 2.3[1]. A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm, \diamond is a continuous t-conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \le 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0;
- (ii) M(x, y, 0) = 0 for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) M(x, y, t) = 1 for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0 if and only if x = y;
- (iv) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0;
- (v) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t+s)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and s, t > 0;
- (vi) for all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, .) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ is left continuous;
- (vii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0;
- (viii) N(x, y, 0) = 1 for all $x, y \in X$;
- (ix) N(x, y, t) = 0 for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0 if and only if x = y;
- (x) N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t) for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0;
- (xi) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) = N(x, z, t + s)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and s, t > 0;
- (xii) for all $x, y \in X$, N(x, y, .) : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous;
- (xiii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space on X. The functions M(x, y, t) and N(x, y, t) denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y w.r.t. t respectively.

Remark 2.1.[1] Every fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form $(X, M, 1 - M, *, \diamond)$ such that t-norm * and t-conorm \diamond are associated as $x \diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Remark 2.2.[1] In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, M(x, y, *) is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, \diamond)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Alaca, Turkoglu and Yildiz [1] introduced the following notions:

Definition 2.4[1]. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then

(a) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy sequence if, for all t > 0 and p > 0,

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_{n+p}, x_n, \mathbf{t}) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{N}(x_{n+p}, x_n, \mathbf{t}) = 0,$

(b) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if, for all t > 0,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$.

Definition 2.5[1]. An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

SAURABH MANRO

Lu [10] presented the concept of converse commuting mappings

Definition 2.6[10]. A pair of self mappings (A, S) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be conversely commuting if for all $x \in X$, the ASx = SAx implies Ax = Sx.

In the literature, many results have been proved using conversely commuting maps in various abstract spaces. [14-16].

Pathak et al. [16] introduce the notion of occasionally converse commuting (occ) mappings

Definition 2.7[16]. A pair of self mappings (A, S) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be occasionally conversely commuting (occ), if for some $x \in X$, the ASx = SAx implies Ax = Sx.

Every conversely commuting mappings is occ but the reverse need not be true.(see[16]).

In 1996, Jungck [5] introduced the notion of weakly compatible maps as follows:

Definition 2.8[5]. A pair of self mappings (A, S) of a metric space is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at the coincidence points i.e. Ax = Sx for some $x \in X$, then ASx = SAx.

The concept of weakly compatible mapping was generalised to occasionally weakly compatible.

Definition 2.9[6]. A pair of self mappings (A, S) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) if they commute at coincidence points i.e. ASx = SAx whenever Ax = Sx for some $x \in X$.

Every weakly compatible mapping is owe but not conversely (see [6]).

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ satisfying the following: (3.1) for any $x, y \in X$, and for all t > 0

 $\triangle(M(Ax, By, t), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Ty, By, t), M(Sx, By, t), M(Ax, Ty, t)) \ge 0$

 $\bigtriangledown (N(Ax, By, t), N(Sx, Ty, t), N(Sx, Ax, t), N(Ty, By, t), N(Sx, By, t), N(Ax, Ty, t)) \leq 0$

where $\triangle, \bigtriangledown : [0,1]^6 \rightarrow [0,1]$ is in the class of all continuous mappings satisfying

 $\triangle(t,t,1,1,t,t) < 0$

and

 $\nabla(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$

If one of the following conditions holds:

- (3.2) the pair (A, S) is occ and the pair (B, T) is owc, or
- (3.3) the pair (B,T) is occ and the pair (A,S) is owc.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Suppose condition (3.2) holds, i.e. the pair (A, S) is occ and the pair (B, T) is owc. Then, as (B,T) is owc, there exist some point $p \in X$ such that BTp = TBp whenever Bp = Tp = z (say) in X. So that for a given $p \in X$, Bz = Tz whenever Bp = Tp = z. Next, since (A, S) is occasionally converse commuting (occ). Then, by definition, there exist some such that ASu = SAu implies Au = Su = w (say). So that for a given u, Aw = Sw implies that Au = Su = w (say) in X. We claim that AAu = Bz. If not, then putting x = Au and y = z in (3.1), and using ASu = SAu = AAu and Tz = Bz, we obtain

$$\Delta(M(AAu, Bz, t), M(SAu, Tz, t), M(SAu, AAu, t), M(Tz, Bz, t), M(SAu, Bz, t), M(AAu, Tz, t)) \geq 0$$

$$\Delta(M(AAu, Bz, t), M(AAu, Bz, t), 1, 1, M(AAu, Bz, t), M(AAu, Bz, t)) \geq 0$$

and

$$\nabla (N(AAu, Bz, t), N(SAu, Tz, t), N(SAu, AAu, t), N(Tz, Bz, t), N(SAu, Bz, t), N(AAu, Tz, t)) \leq 0$$

$$\nabla (N(AAu, Bz, t), N(AAu, Bz, t), 0, 0, N(AAu, Bz, t), N(AAu, Bz, t)) \leq 0$$

a contradiction to definition of \triangle and \bigtriangledown . Thus AAu = Bz. Therefore Aw = Bz = Sw = Tz. We claim Au = Bz. If not, then putting x = u and y = z in (3.1), we get

$$\triangle(M(Au, Bz, t), M(Su, Tz, t), M(Su, Au, t), M(Tz, Bz, t), M(Su, Bz, t), M(Au, Tz, t)) \ge 0$$

 $\triangle(M(Au, Bz, t), M(Au, Bz, t), 1, 1, M(Au, Bz, t), M(Au, Bz, t)) \ge 0$

and

$$\nabla (N(Au, Bz, t), N(Su, Tz, t), N(Su, Au, t), N(Tz, Bz, t), N(Su, Bz, t), N(Au, Tz, t)) \le 0$$

$$\nabla (N(Au, Bz, t), N(Au, Bz, t), 0, 0, N(Au, Bz, t), N(Au, Bz, t)) \le 0$$

a contradiction to definition of \triangle and \bigtriangledown . Thus Au = Bz. Therefore, Au = Bz = Tz = Su = AAu = SAu. It follows that Au is a common fixed point of A and S. Next, we claim that Bz = z. If not, take x = u and y = p in (3.1), we obtain

$$\Delta(M(Au, Bp, t), M(Su, Tp, t), M(Su, Au, t), M(Tp, Bp, t), M(Su, Bp, t), M(Au, Tp, t)) \ge 0$$

$$\Delta(M(Bz, z, t), M(Bz, z, t), 1, 1, M(Bz, z, t), M(Bz, z, t)) \ge 0$$

and

$$\nabla (N(Au, Bp, t), N(Su, Tp, t), N(Su, Au, t), N(Tp, Bp, t), N(Su, Bp, t), N(Au, Tp, t)) \le 0$$

$$\nabla (N(Bz, z, t), N(Bz, z, t), 0, 0, N(Bz, z, t), N(Bz, z, t)) \le 0$$

a contradiction to definition of \triangle and \bigtriangledown . Thus Bz = z. Therefore, Bz = z = Tz = Au = Su = AAu = SAu. Hence z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. For uniqueness, let w be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T. We show that w = z, suppose not, then by (3.1) take x = z, y = w, we obtain

$$\Delta(M(Az, Bw, t), M(Sz, Tw, t), M(Sz, Az, t), M(Tw, Bw, t), M(Sz, Bw, t), M(Az, Tw, t)) \ge 0$$

$$\Delta(M(z, w, t), M(z, w, t), 1, 1, M(z, w, t), M(z, w, t)) \ge 0$$

and

$$\nabla (N(Az, Bw, t), N(Sz, Tw, t), N(Sz, Az, t), N(Tw, Bw, t), N(Sz, Bw, t), N(Az, Tw, t)) \leq 0$$

$$\nabla (N(z, w, t), N(z, w, t), 0, 0, N(z, w, t), N(z, w, t)) \leq 0$$

a contradiction to definition of \triangle and \bigtriangledown . Thus A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point. The proof is same if condition (3.3) holds.

Next, we prove the following result for both pairs occasionally converse commuting:

Theorem 3.2. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ satisfying the condition (3.1). If both the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are occasionally converse commuting (occ), then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. As the pair (A, S) is occasionally converse commuting, by definition, there exist some such that ASu = SAu implies Au = Su. It follows that AAu = ASu = SAu. Also, the occasionally converse commuting for the pair (B, T) implies that there exist such that BTv = TBv implies Bv = Tv. Hence BBv = BTv = TBv. First, we show that Au = Bv. If not, then putting x = u and y = v in (3.1), we obtain

$$\Delta(M(Au, Bv, t), M(Su, Tv, t), M(Su, Au, t), M(Tv, Bv, t), M(Su, Bv, t), M(Au, Tv, t)) \ge 0$$

$$\Delta(M(Au, Bv, t), M(Au, Bv, t), 1, 1, M(Au, Bv, t), M(Au, Bv, t)) \ge 0$$

and

$$\nabla (N(Au, Bv, t), N(Su, Tv, t), N(Su, Au, t), N(Tv, Bv, t), N(Su, Bv, t), N(Au, Tv, t)) \le 0$$
$$\nabla (N(Au, Bv, t), N(Au, Bv, t), 0, 0, N(Au, Bv, t), N(Au, Bv, t)) \le 0$$

a contradiction to definition of \triangle and \bigtriangledown . Thus, Au = Bv. Next, we show that AAu = Au. Suppose not, then, by putting x = Au and y = v in (3.1), we have

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM

 $\Delta(M(AAu, Bv, t), M(SAu, Tv, t), M(SAu, AAu, t), M(Tv, Bv, t), M(SAu, Bv, t), M(AAu, Tv, t)) \geq 0$ $\Delta(M(AAu, Au, t), M(AAu, Au, t), 1, 1, M(AAu, Au, t), M(AAu, Au, t)) \geq 0$

and

$$\nabla (N(AAu, Bv, t), N(SAu, Tv, t), N(SAu, AAu, t), N(Tv, Bv, t), N(SAu, Bv, t), N(AAu, Tv, t)) \leq 0$$

$$\nabla (N(AAu, Au, t), N(AAu, Au, t), 0, 0, N(AAu, Au, t), N(AAu, Au, t)) \leq 0$$

a contradiction to definition of \triangle and \bigtriangledown . Thus Au = AAu. Similarly, Bv = BBv. Since Au = Bv, we have Au = Bv = AAu = ASu = SAu = BBv = BTv = TBv. Therefore Au = z (say), is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

For uniqueness, let w be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T. We show that w = z, suppose not, then by (3.1) take x = z, y = w, we obtain

$$\Delta(M(Az, Bw, t), M(Sz, Tw, t), M(Sz, Az, t), M(Tw, Bw, t), M(Sz, Bw, t), M(Az, Tw, t)) \ge 0$$

$$\Delta(M(z, w, t), M(z, w, t), 1, 1, M(z, w, t), M(z, w, t)) \ge 0$$

and

$$\nabla (N(Az, Bw, t), N(Sz, Tw, t), N(Sz, Az, t), N(Tw, Bw, t), N(Sz, Bw, t), N(Az, Tw, t)) \leq 0$$

$$\nabla (N(z, w, t), N(z, w, t), 0, 0, N(z, w, t), N(z, w, t)) \leq 0$$

a contradiction to definition of \triangle and \bigtriangledown . Therefore z = w = Au. Hence, Au is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T. This completes the proof.

References

- C. Alaca, D. Turkoglu, and C. Yildiz, Fixed points in Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 29 (2006), 1073-1078.
- [2] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986), 87-96.
- [3] D. Coker, An introduction to Intuitionistic Fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and System, 88 (1997), 81-89.
- [4] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [5] G. Jungck, Common fixed points for non-continuous non-self mappings on a non- numeric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4(2)(1996), 199-215.
- [6] G.Jungck and B.E.Rhoades, Fixed point Theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, Fixed point theory, 7(2)(2006), 286-296.

SAURABH MANRO

- [7] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and Statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica 11 (1975), 336-344.
- [8] S. Kumar, R.K. Vats, V. Singh and S.K. Garg, Some common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 4 (26) (2010), 1255 -1270.
- [9] Q.K.Liu and X. Hu, Some new common fixed point theorems for converse commuting multi-valued mappings in symmetric spaces with applications, Nonlinear Analysis Forum 10(1)(2005), 97-104.
- [10] Z. Lu, On common fixed points for converse commuting self-maps on a metric spaces, Acta. Anal. Funct. Appl. 4(3)(2002), 226-228.
- [11] K. Menger, Statistical metrics, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA), 28 (1942), 535-537.
- [12] J. H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 22 (2004), 1039-1046.
- [13] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North Holland Amsterdam, 1983.
- H. K. Pathak and R. K. Verma, Integral type contractive condition for converse commuting mappings, Internat. J. Math. Anal. 3(24)(2009), 1183-1190.
- [15] H. K. Pathak and R. K. Verma, An Integral type implicit relation for converse commuting mappings, Internat. J. Math. Anal. 3(24)(2009), 1191-1198.
- [16] H. K. Pathak and R. K. Verma, Common fixed point theorems for occasionally converse commuting mappings in symmetric spaces, Kathmandu university journal of science, 7(1) (2011), 56-62.
- [17] V. Popa, A general fixed point theorem for converse commuting multi-valued map- pings in symmetric spaces, Filomat 21(2) (2007), 267-271.
- [18] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Infor. and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.