Available online at http://scik.org

Advances in Fixed Point Theory, 3 (2013), No. 1, 141-150

ISSN: 1927-6303

# COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR SUB-SEQUENTIAL CONTINUOUS MAPPING IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE

ARIHANT JAIN[1,*], BIJENDRA SINGH[2] AND PREETI SENGAR[2]

[1]Department of Applied Mathematics, Shri Guru Sandipani Institute of Technology and Science, Ujjain (M.P.) India 456 550

[2]School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P.) India 456 010

**Abstract:** The present paper deals with common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces employing the notion of sub-sequentially continuity. Moreover we have to show that in the context of sequentially continuity, the notion of compatibility and semi-compatibility of maps becomes equivalent. Our result improves recent results of Singh & Jain [13] in the sense that all maps involved in the theorems are discontinuous even at common fixed point.

**2000 AMS Subject Classification:** 54H25; 47H10.

## 1. Introduction

After Zadeh [16] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets in 1965, many authors have extensively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and its applications. Specially to mention, fuzzy metric spaces were introduced by Deng [3], Erceg [4], Kaleva and Seikkala [8], Kramosil and Michalek [10]. In this paper we use the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [10] and modified by George and Veeramani [5] to obtain Hausdorff topology for this kind of fuzzy metric space. Recently Singh et. al. [13] introduced the notion of semi-compatible maps in fuzzy metric space and compared this notion with the notion of compatible map, compatible map of type $(\alpha)$, compatible map of type $(\beta)$ and obtain some fixed point theorems in complete fuzzy metric space in the sense of Grabiec [6].

---

*Corresponding author

Received December 2, 2012

In the present paper we prove fixed point theorems in complete fuzzy metric space by replacing continuity condition with a weaker condition called subsequential continuity. Employing the notion of subsequential continuity of mappings we can widen the scope of many interesting fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces as well as intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

For the sake of completeness, we recall some definitions and known results in Fuzzy metric space.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** [13] A triangular norm $*$ (shortly $t$-norm) is a binary operation on the unit interval [0, 1] such that for all a, b, c, d $\in$ [0, 1] the following conditions are satisfied :

(1) $a * 1 = a$;

(2) $a * b = b * a$;

(3) $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$;

(4) $a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$.

**Definition2.** [13] The 3-tuple (X, M, *) is said to be a Fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a Fuzzy set in $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions :

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$

(FM-1) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$,

(FM-2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$,

(FM-3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,

(FM-4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s)$,

(FM-5) $M(x, y, .) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ is left continuous,

(FM-6) $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$,

In the definition of George and Veeramani [5], M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ and (FM-1), (FM-2), (FM-5) are replaced, respectively, with

(GV-1), (GV-2), (GV-5) below (the axiom (GV-2) is reformulated as in [7, Remark 1]):

(GV-1) $M(x, y, 0) > 0 \ \forall \ t > 0$;

(GV-2) $M(x, x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $x \neq y \Rightarrow M(x, y, t) < 1 \ \forall \ t > 0$;

(GV-5) $M(x, y, .) : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ is continuous for all $x, y \in X$.

**Example 1.** [5] Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define $a * b = ab$ (or $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, $M(x,y,t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x,y)}$. Then (X, M, *) is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric M induced by the metric d, the standard fuzzy metric.

**Definition 3.** [6] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is said to converge to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ for each $t > 0$.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$.

**Definition 4.** [11] Two self maps A and B of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e. $Ax = Bx$ implies $ABx = BAx$.

**Definition 5.** [13] A pair (A, S) of self maps of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) is said to be semi-compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Sx$ whenever there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = x$ for some $x \in X$.

**Definition 6.** [12] A pair (A, S) is said to be sub-sequentially continuous if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z$, $z \in X$ and satisfy $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Az$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} SAx_n = Sz$.

If A and S are both continuous then they are obviously sub-sequentially continuous but the converse need not be true (see example [1]).

**Lemma 1.** [11] If for all $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$ and $0 < k < 1$,

$$M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t), \text{ then } x = y..$$

**Lemma 2**. [6] $M(x, y, .)$ is non-decreasing for all x, y in X.

In the following proposition, we have to show that in the context of sub-sequential continuous mapping, the notion of compatibility and semi-compatibility of maps becomes equivalent.

**Proposition 1.** Let f and g be two self maps on a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *). Assume that (f, g) is sub-sequential continuous then (f , g ) is semi-compatible if and only if (f , g ) is compatible.

**Proof.** Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $fx_n \to z$ and $gx_n \to z$. Since pair of maps (f, g) is sub-sequential continuous, then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} fg(x_n) = z \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} gf(x_n) = z. \quad (1)$$

Suppose that (f, g ) is semi-compatible. Then we have,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gz, t/2) = 1. \quad (2)$$

Now, we have,

$$M(fgx_n, gf x_n, t) \geq M(fgx_n, gz, t/2) * M(gz, gfx_n, t/2).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1 * 1 = 1.$$

Thus, f and g are compatible maps.

Conversely, suppose (f, g) is compatible & sub-sequential continuous, then for $t > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t/2) = 1 \text{ for all } x_n \in X. \quad (3)$$

Now,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gz, t) \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} (M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t/2) * M(gfx_n, gz, t/2))$$

$$= 1 * 1 = 1$$

i.e., $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gz, t) = 1.$

Thus, f and g are semi-compatible. This completes the proof.

In [13] Singh et.al. proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.**[13] ] Let A, B, S and T be self maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X , M , *) satisfying :

(1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$;

(2) one of A or B is continuous;

(3) (A, S) is semi-compatible and (B, T) is weak compatible;

(4) for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, $M(Ax, By, t) \geq \Phi(M(Sx, Ty, t))$,

where $\Phi : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is a continuous function such that $\Phi(t) > t$ for each $0 < t < 1$.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

## 3. Main Result.

In the following theorem we replace the continuity condition by weaker notion sub-sequential continuous to get more general form of result 4.1, 4.2 and 4.9 of [13].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let A, B , S and T be self maps on a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ where $*$ is a continuous t-norm defined by $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ satisfying:

(3.1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$;

(3.2) (B, T) is weak compatible;

(3.3) for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, $M(Ax, By, t) \geq \Phi(M(Sx, Ty, t))$,

where $\Phi : [0,1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous function such that

$$\Phi(1) = 1,\ \Phi(0) = 0 \text{ and } \Phi(a) > a \text{ for each } 0 < a < 1.$$

If (A, S) is semi-compatible pair of sub-sequential continuous maps then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary point. Then for which there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and $Bx_1 = Sx_2$. Thus we can construct a sequences $\{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1},\ y_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots .$$

By contractive condition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) &= M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\geq \Phi(M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t)) \\ &= \Phi(M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)) \\ &> M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t) > M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t).$$

In general,

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) &\geq \Phi(M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)) \\ &> M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers in $[0, 1]$ and tends to limit $l \le 1$.

We claim that $l = 1$.

If $l < 1$ then $M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) \ge M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)$.

On letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) \ge \Phi(\lim_{n\to\infty} M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t))$$

i.e. $l \ge \Phi(l) = l$, a contradiction.

Now for any positive integer p,

$$M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) \ge M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t/p) * M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t/p) * \ldots * M(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, t/p).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) \ge 1 * 1 * 1 * \ldots * 1 = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) = 1.$$

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete metric space $\{y_n\}$ converges to a point z (say) in X. Hence the subsequences $\{Ax_{2n}\}$, $\{Sx_{2n}\}$, $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ also converge to z.

Now since A and S are sub-sequential continuous and semi-compatible then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_{2n} = Az, \ \lim_{n\to\infty} SAx_{2n} = Sz \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} M(ASx_{2n}, Sz, t) = 1.$$

Therefore, we get $Az = Sz$. Now we will show $Az = z$. For this suppose $Az \ne z$. Then by contractive condition, we get

$$M(Az, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \ge \Phi(M(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}, t)).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$M(Az, z, t) \ge \Phi(M(Az, z, t)) > M(Az, z, t),$$

a contradiction, thus $z = Az = Sz$. Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists $u \in X$ such that $z = Az = Tu$.

Putting $x = x_{2n}$, $y = u$ in (3), we get

$$M(Ax_{2n}, Bu, t) \geq \Phi(M(Sx_{2n}, Tu, t)).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$M(z, Bu, t) \geq \Phi(M(z, z, t)) = \Phi(1) = 1,$$

i.e. $z = Bu = Tu$ and the weak-compatibility of (B, T) gives $TBu = BTu$, i.e. $Tz = Bz$. Again by contractive condition and assuming $Az \neq Bz$, we get $Az = Bz = z$. Hence, finally we get

$z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz$, i.e. z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. The uniqueness follows from contractive condition. This completes the proof.

Now we prove an another common fixed point theorem with different contractive condition :

**Theorem 3.2.** Let A, B, S and T be self maps on a complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ satisfying :

(3.4) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$,

(3.5) (B, T) is weak compatible,

(3.6) for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$M(Ax, By, t) \geq \Phi\{\min(M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), M(Ax, Ty, t))\},$$

where $\Phi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is a continuous function such that

$$\Phi(1) = 1, \Phi(0) = 0 \text{ and } \Phi(a) > a \text{ for each } 0 < a < 1.$$

If (A, S) is semi-compatible pair of sub-sequential continuous maps then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary point. Then for which there exists $x_1$, $x_2 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and $Bx_1 = Sx_2$. Thus we can construct sequences $\{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}, \quad y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots.$$

By contractive condition, we get

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) = M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t)$$

$$\geq \Phi\{\min(M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t),$$
$$M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t))\}$$
$$= \Phi\{\min(M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, t),$$
$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t))\}$$
$$= \Phi\{\min(M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t))\}$$
$$= \Phi\{M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t)\}$$
$$> M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t).$$

Similarly, we get

$$M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t) > M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t).$$

In general,

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) \geq \Phi(M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)) > M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t).$$

Therefore, $\{M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers in $[0, 1]$ and tends to limit $l \leq 1$ then by the same technique of above theorem we can easily show that $\{y_n\}$is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete metric space $\{y_n\}$ converges to a point z (say) in X. Hence, the subsequences $\{Ax_{2n}\}$, $\{Sx_{2n}\}$, $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ also converge to z.

Now since A and S are sub-sequential continuous and semi-compatible then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_{2n} = Az,\ \lim_{n\to\infty} SAx_{2n} = Sz, \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} M(ASx_{2n}, Sz, t) = 1.$$

Therefore, we get $Az = Sz$. Now we will show $Az = z$. For this suppose $Az \neq z$. Then by (3.5), we get a contradiction, thus $Az = z$. Hence by similar techniques of above theorem, we can easily show that z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T i.e. $z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz$. Uniqueness of fixed point can be easily verify by contractive condition. This completes the proof.

We now give an example which not only illustrate our Theorem 3.1 but also shows that the notion of sub-sequential continuity of maps is weaker than the continuity of maps.

**Example3.1.** Let $(X, d)$ be usual metric space where $X = [2, 20]$ and M be the usual fuzzy metric on $(X, M, *)$ where $* = t_{min}$ be the induced fuzzy metric space with $M(x,y,t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x,y)}$ for x, y $\in$ X, t > 0. We define mappings A, B, S and T by

$A2 = 2,\ Ax = 3$ if $x > 2$

$S2 = 2,\ Sx = 6$ if $x > 2$

$Bx = 2$ if $x = 2$ or $> 5$, $Bx = 6$ if $2 < x \le 5$

$Tx = 2$, $Tx = 12$ if $2 < x \le 5$, $Tx = \frac{(x+1)}{3}$ if $x > 5$.

Then A, B, S and T satisfy all the conditions of the above theorem with $\Phi(a) = \frac{7a}{(3a+4)} > a$ where $a = 1/1 + d(Sx, Ty)/t$ and have a unique common fixed point x = 2. It may be noted that in this example A(X) = {2, 3} $\subseteq$ T(X) = $[2, 7] \cup \{12\}$ and B(X) = {2, 6} $\subseteq$ S(X) = {2, 6}.

Also A and S are sub-sequential continuous compatible mappings. But neither A nor S is continuous not even at fixed point x = 2. The mapping B and T are non-compatible but weak-compatible since they commute at their coincidence points. To see B and T are non-compatible, let us consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X defined by $\{x_n\} = \left\{5 + \frac{1}{n}\right\}$; $n \ge 1$. Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = 2$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} Bx_n = 2$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} TBx_n = 2$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} BTx_n = 6$. Hence B and T are non-compatible.

**Remark 3.1.** The maps A, B, S and T are discontinuous even at the common fixed point x = 2.

**Remark 3.2.** The known common fixed point theorems involving a collection of maps in fuzzy metric spaces require one of the mapping in compatible pair to be continuous. For example, in the main result of Chug et. al. [2], he assumed one of the mappings A, B, S or T to be continuous. Similarly, Singh et. al. [13, 14] and Khan et. al. [9] assumed one of the mappings in compatible pairs of maps is continuous. The present theorem however does not require any of the mappings to be continuous and hence all the results mentioned above can be further improved in the spirit of our Theorem 3.1.

REFERENCES

[1] Cho, Y. J., Fixed point in fuzzy metric space, J. Fuzzy Math. 5(1997), 940–962.

[2] Chug, R., Common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Bull. Cal. Mat. Soc. 94(2002), 17–22.

[3] Deng, Z. K., Fuzzy pseduo-metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 86(1982), 191–207.

[4] Erceg, M. A., Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 69(1979), 205–230.

[5] George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and System 64(1997), 395–399.

[6] Grabiec, M., Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27(1988), 385–389.

[7] Gregori, V. and Romaguera, S., Characterizing completable fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 114(2004), 411–420.

[8] Kaleva, O. and Seikkala, S., On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12(1984), 215–229.

[9] Khan, M. S., Pathak, H. K. and George, R., Compatible mappings of type (A-1) and type (A-2) and common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Int. Math. Forum 2(2007), 515–524.

[10] Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica 11(1975), 326–334.

[11] Mishra, S. N., Mishra, N. and Singh, S. L., Common fixed point of maps in fuzzy metric space, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 17(1994), 253–258.

[12] Pant, R. P., Common fixed point theorem for contractive maps, J. Math. Ana. Appl. 226(1998), 251–258.

[13] Singh, B. and Jain, S., Semi-compatible and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space, Chungcheong Math. Soc. 18(2005), 1–22.

[14] Singh, B. and Chauhan, M.S., Common fixed point of compatible maps in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 115(2000), 471–475.

[15] Vasuki, R., Common fixed point theorem for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric space, Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math. 30(1999), 419–423.

[16] Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy Sets, Inform. and Control 89(1965), 338–353.