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#### Abstract

In this paper, an implicit iterative process with mixed errors is considered. Weak and strong convergence theorems of common fixed points of a finite family of pseudocontractions are established in a real Banach space.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $E$ is a real Banach space and $K$ is a nonempty subset of $E$. Let $J$ denote the normalized duality mapping from $E$ into $2^{E^{*}}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\left\{f \in E^{*}:\langle x, f\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\|f\|^{2}, x \in E\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{*}$ denotes the dual space of $E$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. In the sequel, we denote a single-valued normalized duality mapping by $j$, we denote the

[^0]fixed point of the mapping $T$ by $F(T), \rightharpoonup$ and $\rightarrow$ denote weak and strong convergence, respectively.

Recall that $T$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is said to be strictly pseudocontractive if there exists a constant $\kappa>0$ and $j(x-y) \in$ $J(x-y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\kappa\|x-y-(T x-T y)\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in K \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is said to be pseudocontraction if there exists $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in K \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that [1] (1.4) is equivalent to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \leq\|x-y+s[(I-T) x-(I-T) y]\|, \forall s>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is said to be uniformly $L$-lipschitz if there exists a positive constant $L$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\| \leq L\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K, n \geq 1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 2001, Xu and Ori [2], in the framework of Hilbert spaces, introduced the following implicit iteration process for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$ with $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ a real sequence in $(0,1)$ and an initial point $x_{0} \in C$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\alpha_{1} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) T_{1} x_{1}, \\
& x_{2}=\alpha_{2} x_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) T_{2} x_{2} \\
& \ldots \\
& x_{N}=\alpha_{N} x_{N-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{N}\right) T_{N} x_{N} \\
& x_{N+1}=\alpha_{N+1} x_{N}+\left(1-\alpha_{N+1}\right) T_{1} x_{N+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which can written in the following compact form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}=T_{n(\bmod N)}$ (here the $\bmod N$ takes values in $\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$ ).
They obtained the following weak convergence theorem.
Theorem XO. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $C$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$, and $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings such that $F=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be defined by (1.7). If $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is chosen so that $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a common fixed point of the family of $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$.

Subsequently, fixed point problems based on implicit iterative processes have been considered by many authors, see, for example, [3-9]. In 2004, Osilike [6] reconsidered the implicit iterative process (1.7) for a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings. To be more precise, he proved the following theorem.

Theorem O. Let H be a real Hilbert space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of H. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be $N$ strictly pseudocontractive self-maps of $C$ such that $F=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq$ $\emptyset$. Let $x_{0} \in C$ and let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.7) converges weakly to a common fixed point of the mappings $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$.

In 2008, Hao [5]considered the following implicit iterative process with mixed errors for a finite family of pseudocontractive mappings:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in K, x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\beta_{n} T_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}=T_{n(\bmod N)}$ (here the $\bmod N$ takes values in $\left.\{1,2, \cdots, N\}\right) .\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ are three sequences in $[0,1]$ such that $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $K$. Weak and strong convergence theorem of the implicit iterative process with mixed errors (1.8) for a finite family of pseudocontractions mappings in Banach spaces was established; see [5] for more details.

Very recently, Qin, Su and Shang [7] considered the following implicit iterative process for a family of asymptotically strict pseudocontractions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\alpha_{1} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) T_{1} x_{1}, \\
& x_{2}=\alpha_{2} x_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) T_{2} x_{2}, \\
& \vdots \\
& x_{N}=\alpha_{N} x_{N-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{N}\right) T_{N} x_{N}, \\
& x_{N+1}=\alpha_{N+1} x_{N}+\left(1-\alpha_{N+1}\right) T_{1}^{2} x_{N+1}, \\
& \vdots \\
& x_{2 N}=\alpha_{2 N} x_{2 N-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{2 N}\right) T_{N}^{2} x_{2 N}, \\
& x_{2 N+1}=\alpha_{2 N+1} x_{2 N}+\left(1-\alpha_{2 N+1}\right) T_{1}^{3} x_{2 N+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for each $n \geq 1$, it can be written as $n=(h-1) N+i$, where $i=i(n) \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, $h=h(n) \geq 1$ is a positive integer and $h(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the above table can be rewritten in the following compact form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A weak convergence theorem of the implicit iterative process (1.9) for a finite family of asymptotically strict pseudocontractions was established; see [7] for more details.

In this paper, motivated by the above results, we consider an implicit iterative process with mixed errors for a finite family of pseudocontractions mappings in Banach spaces. To be more precise, we consider the following implicit iterative process:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in K, x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\beta_{n} T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}=T_{n(\bmod N)}$ (here the $\bmod N$ takes values in $\left.\{1,2, \cdots, N\}\right) .\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ are three sequences in $[0,1]$ such that $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $K$.

In order to prove our main results, we need the following conceptions and lemmas.

Recall that a space $E$ is said to satisfy Opial's condition [10] if, for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $E$, the convergence $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ weakly implies that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|, \quad \forall y \in E(y \neq x)
$$

Recall that a mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is semicompact if any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $K$ satisfying $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$ has a convergent subsequence.

Recall that a mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is demiclosed at the origin if for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $K$, the convergence $x_{n} \rightarrow x_{0}$ weakly and $T x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly imply that $T x_{0}=0$.

Lemma 1.1 [12] Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ be three nonnegative sequences satisfying the following condition:

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1+b_{n}\right) a_{n}+c_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}
$$

where $n_{0}$ is some nonnegative integer, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}<\infty$. Then the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists.

Lemma 1.2 [8] Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, $K$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$ and $T: K \rightarrow K$ a continuous pseudocontractive mapping. Then the mapping $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero.

Lemma 1.3 [13] Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space and $0<p \leq t_{n} \leq q<1$, for all $n \in N$.Suppose further that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are sequences of $E$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq r, \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq r, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\|=r
$$

hold for some $r \geq 0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial's condition and $K$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E, T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an uniformly $L_{i}$-Lipschitz pseudocontractive mapping with $F=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset,\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $K$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence generated in (1.10). Assume that the control sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ in $[0,1]$ satisfy the following restrictions
(a) $\beta_{n} L<1$, where $L=\max \left\{L_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}, \forall n \geq 1$;
(b) $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1, \forall n \geq 1$;
(c) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}<\infty$;
(d) $0<a \leq \alpha_{n} \leq b<1, \forall n \geq 1$,

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to some point in $F$.
Proof. First, we show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated in the implicit iterative process (1.10) is well defined. Define mappings $R_{n}: K \rightarrow K$ by

$$
R_{n}(x)=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\beta_{n} T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x+\gamma_{n} u_{n}, \quad \forall x \in K, n \geq 1
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|R_{n}(x)-R_{n}(y)\right\| & =\left\|\left(\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\beta_{n} T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x+\gamma_{n} u_{n}\right)-\left(\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\beta_{n} T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} y+\gamma_{n} u_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \beta_{n} L\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K
\end{aligned}
$$

From the restriction (a), we see that $R_{n}$ is a contraction for each $n \geq 1$. By Banach contraction principle, we see that there exists a unique fixed point $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\beta_{n} T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

This shows that the implicit iterative process (1.10) is well defined for uniformly Lipschitz pseudocontractions.

Second, we show $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists, for any given $p \in F$, from the restriction (b), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\langle\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\beta_{n} T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} u_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \alpha_{n}\left\langle x_{n-1}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle+\beta_{n}\left\langle T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle  \tag{2.1}\\
& +\gamma_{n}\left\langle u_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\frac{\gamma_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=0$, then the result is apparent, letting $\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| & \leq \frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\frac{\gamma_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|  \tag{2.3}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\gamma_{n} M
\end{align*}
$$

where M is an appropriate constant such that $M \geq \sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\| / a$. Noticing the condition (c)and lemma1.1 to (2.3), we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists. we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=d \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (1.5) and(1.10), we see

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p+\frac{1-\alpha_{n}}{2 \alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
= & \| x_{n}-p+\frac{1-\alpha_{n}}{2 \alpha_{n}}\left[\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n-1}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right) \|\right. \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p+\frac{1-\alpha_{n}}{2}\left(x_{n-1}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)+\frac{\gamma_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)}{2 \alpha_{n}}\left(u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
= & \| \frac{x_{n-1}}{2}+x_{n}-p+\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& +\frac{\gamma_{n}}{2}\left(u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)+\frac{\gamma_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)}{2 \alpha_{n}}\left(u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right) \| \\
= & \left\|\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\frac{\gamma_{n}}{2 \alpha_{n}}\left(u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\|+\frac{\gamma_{n}}{2 \alpha_{n}}\left\|\left(u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing that the condition (c) and (d)and (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\| \geq d \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right) \leq d \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing (2.6)with (2.7), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\|=d \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using lemma1.3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+i}-x_{n}\right\|=0, \forall i \in 1,2, \cdots, N \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n-1}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\| & =\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{2.11}\\
& \left.\leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|+\frac{\gamma_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}} \| u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right) \| .
\end{align*}
$$

From the condition (c) and (d), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n-1}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\| \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the condition (c) and (2.12), we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for any positive integer $n>N$, it can be written as $n=(h(n)-1) N+i(n)$, where $i(n) \in\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}-T_{i(n)} x_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|+L\left\|T_{i(n)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|  \tag{2.15}\\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|+L\left(\left\|T_{i(n)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n}-T_{i(n-N)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n-N}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|T_{i(n-N)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n-N}-x_{(n-N)-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{(n-N)-1}-x_{n}\right\|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since for each $n>N, n=(n-N)(\bmod N)$, on the other hand, we obtain from $n=$ $(h(n)-1) N+i(n)$ that $n-N=((h(n)-1)-1) N+i(n)=(h(n-N)-1) N+i(n-N)$. That is,

$$
h(n-N)=h(n)-1 \quad \text { and } \quad i(n-N)=i(n) .
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|T_{i(n)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n}-T_{i(n-N)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n-N}\right\| & =\left\|T_{i(n)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n-N}\right\|  \tag{2.16}\\
& \leq L\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-N}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{i(n-N)}^{h(n)-1} x_{n-N}-x_{(n-N)-1}\right\|=\left\|T_{i(n-N)}^{h(n-N)} x_{n-N}-x_{(n-N)-1}\right\| . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.16) and (2.17) into (2.15), we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|x_{n}-T_{i(n)}^{h(n)} x_{n}\right\|+L\left(L\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-N}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|T_{i(n-N)}^{h(n-N)} x_{n-N}-x_{(n-N)-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{(n-N)-1}-x_{n}\right\|\right) . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (2.10), (2.12) and (2.14), we obtain from (2.18) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+j} x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+j}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+j}-T_{n+j} x_{n+j}\right\|+\left\|T_{n+j} x_{n+j}-T_{n+j} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq(1+L)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+j}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+j}-T_{n+j} x_{n+j}\right\|, \quad \forall j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.10) and (2.18) that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+j} x_{n}\right\|=0, \quad \forall j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} .
$$

Note that any subsequence of a convergent number sequence converges to the same limit. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{l} x_{n}\right\|=0, \quad \forall l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, we see that there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ converges weakly to a point $x^{*} \in K$. In view of (2.20), we see from Lemma 1.2 that

$$
x^{*}=T_{l} x^{*}, \quad \forall l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} .
$$

That is, $x^{*} \in F$. Next we show $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x^{*}$. Supposing the contrary, we see that there exists some subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x^{* *} \in K$, where $x^{*} \neq x^{* *}$. Similarly, we can show $x^{* *} \in F$. Notice that we have proved
that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists for each $p \in F$. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=d$ where $d$ is a nonnegative number. By virtue of the Opial property of $H$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & =\liminf _{n_{i} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-x^{*}\right\|<\liminf _{n_{i} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-x^{* *}\right\| \\
& =\liminf _{n_{j} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-x^{* *}\right\|<\liminf _{n_{j} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-x^{*}\right\|=d .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $x^{* *}=x^{*}$. This completes the proof.
Next, we give strong convergence theorems with the help of semicompactness.
Theorem 2.2.Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial's condition and $K$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E, T_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be an uniformly $L_{i}$-Lipschitz pseudocontractive mapping with $F=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset,\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $K$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence generated in (1.10). Assume that the control sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ in $[0,1]$ satisfy the following restrictions
(a) $\beta_{n} L<1$, where $L=\max \left\{L_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}, \forall n \geq 1$;
(b) $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1, \forall n \geq 1$;
(c) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}<\infty$;
(d) $0<a \leq \alpha_{n} \leq b<1, \forall n \geq 1$,

If one of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}$ is semicompact, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point in $F$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T_{1}$ is semicompact. It follows from (2.20) that there exits a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging strongly to $x \in K$. Next, we show that $x \in F$. Notice that

$$
\left\|x-T_{l} x\right\| \leq\left\|x-x_{n_{i}}\right\|+\left\|x_{n_{i}}-T_{l} x_{n_{i}}\right\|+\left\|T_{l} x_{n_{i}}-T_{l} x\right\|, \quad \forall l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}
$$

Since $T_{l}$ is uniformly $L_{i}$-Lipschitz continuous, we obtain from (2.20) that $x \in F$. Finally, we claim that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exits for each $p \in F$, we can obtain the desired conclusion easily. This completes the proof.
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