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#### Abstract

Let $K$ be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow C B(K), i=$ $1,2, \ldots, N$, be a finite family of Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, respectively. It is our purpose, in this paper, to introduce a Halpern type algorithm which converges strongly to a common fixed point of a finite family of Lipschitz hemicontractive-type multivalued mappings under certain mild conditions. There is no compactness assumption on either the domain set or on the mappings $T_{i}$ considered.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a nonempty real normed linear space. A subset $K$ of $E$ is called proximinal if for each $x \in E$ there exists $k \in K$ such that

$$
\|x-k\|=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in K\}=d(x, K)
$$

It is known that every closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space is proximinal. In fact, if $K$ is a closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $E$, then for any $x \in E$ there exists a unique point $u_{x} \in K$ such that (see, e.g., [12], [11], [18] and [19])

$$
\left\|x-u_{x}\right\|=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in K\}=d(x, K)
$$

We will denote the family of all nonempty proximinal subsets of $E$ by $P(E)$, the family of all nonempty closed, bounded and convex subsets of $E$ by $C B C(E)$, the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of $E$ by $C B(E)$ and the family of all nonempty subsets of $E$ by $2^{E}$ for a nonempty real normed space $E$.

Let $D$ be the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric $d$ on $E$, that is, for every $A, B \in C B(E)$,

$$
D(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup _{b \in B} d(b, A)\right\} .
$$

Let $T: D(T) \subseteq E \rightarrow 2^{E}$ be a multivalued mapping on $E$. A point $x \in D(T)$ is called a fixed point of $T$ if $x \in T x$. The set $F(T)=\{x \in D(T): x \in T x\}$ is called a fixed point set of T. A multivalued mapping $T: D(T) \subseteq E \rightarrow C B(E)$ is called L-Lipschitzian if there exists $L \geq 0$ such that for all $x, y \in D(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(T x, T y) \leq L\|x-y\| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (1), if $L \in[0,1), \mathrm{T}$ is said to be a contraction, while T is nonexpansive if $L=1$.
A mapping $T: D(T) \subset E \rightarrow C B(E)$ is said to be hemicontractive-type in the terminology of Hicks and Cubicek [21], if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and for all $p \in F(T), x \in D(T)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2}(T x, T p) \leq\|x-p\|^{2}+\|x-u\|^{2}, \forall u \in T x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{2}(T x, T p)=[D(T x, T p)]^{2}$. A mapping $T: D(T) \subset E \rightarrow C B(E)$ is said to be demicontractivetype, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and for all $p \in F(T), x \in D(T)$ there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2}(T x, T p) \leq\|x-p\|^{2}+k\|x-u\|^{2}, \forall u \in T x . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If in (3), we have $k=0$, then $T$ is called quasi-nonexpansive-type mapping.
Note that the class of quasi- nonexpansive type mappings is contained in a class of demicontractivetype mappings while the class of demicontractive-type mappings is contained in a class of hemicontractive-type mappings. As the following examples show, the inclusions are strict. We first give an example of a hemicontractive-type mapping which is not demicontractive-type.

Example 1.1 Let $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C B(\mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$
T x= \begin{cases}{[-\sqrt{2} x, 0]} & x \in[0, \infty] \\ {[0,-\sqrt{2} x],} & x \in[-\infty, 0]\end{cases}
$$

Then, $F(T)=\{0\}$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(T x, T 0)^{2} & =|\sqrt{2} x-0|^{2} \\
& =|x-0|^{2}+|x-0|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

But, $d(x, T x)^{2}=|x-0|^{2}$. Thus,

$$
D(T x, T 0)^{2}=|x-0|^{2}+d(x, T x)^{2} \leq|x-0|^{2}+|x-u|^{2}, \forall u \in T x .
$$

So, $T$ is hemicontractive-type but not demicontractive-type mapping. To see this take $x=1$ and $u=0$.

A demicontractive-type mapping may not be quasi nonexpansive-type.
Example 1.2 Let $T:[0, \infty) \rightarrow C B(\mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$
T x=\left[-\frac{4}{3},-x\right] .
$$

Then, $F(T)=\{0\}$ and $T$ is demicontractive-type, but not quasi nonexpansive-type mapping.

A mapping $T: K \rightarrow C B(E)$ is said to be $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type mapping if there exists $k \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2}(T x, T y) \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+k\|x-y-(u-v)\|^{2}, \forall u \in T x, v \in T y . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (4), if $k=0$, then $T$ reduces to a nonexpansive-type mapping.
A mapping $T: K \rightarrow C B(E)$ is said to be pseudocontractive-type mapping if

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2}(T x, T y) \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+\|x-y-(u-v)\|^{2}, \forall u \in T x, v \in T y . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definitions, we observe that every multivalued nonexpansive-type mapping is $k$ strictly pseudocontractive-type and every $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type mapping is pseudo-contractive-type mapping. However, the converses may not hold, as can be seen from the following examples.
Example 1.3 Let $T:[0,1] \rightarrow C B(\mathbb{R})$ be given by $T x=\left\{0,4-\frac{4}{3} x\right\}$.
Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(T x, T y) & =\max \left\{\sup _{a \in T x} d(a, T y), \sup _{b \in T y} d(b, T x)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\min \left\{\left|4-\frac{4}{3} x\right|, \frac{4}{3}|x-y|\right\}, \min \left\{\left|4-\frac{4}{3} y\right|, \frac{4}{3}|x-y|\right\}\right\} \\
& =\frac{4}{3}|x-y|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
D^{2}(T x, T y)=|x-y|^{2}+\frac{7}{9}|x-y|^{2}
$$

Obviously, $T$ is not nonexpansive-type. To show that it is $k$ - strictly pseudocontractive-type, with out loss of generality assume that $x<y$.

We will take four cases.
Case 1: Let $u=0$ and $v=0$. Then $|x-y-(u-v)|=|x-y|$ and hence

$$
D^{2}(T x, T y) \leq|x-y|^{2}+\frac{7}{9}|x-y-(u-v)|^{2}
$$

Case 2: Let $u=4-\frac{4}{3} x$ and $v=0$. Then $x-y-\left(4-\frac{4}{3} x\right)<x-y \leq 0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x-y-\left(4-\frac{4}{3} x-0\right)\right|^{2}= & \left|x-y-\left(4-\frac{4}{3} x\right)\right|^{2} \geq|x-y|^{2} . \text { This gives us } \\
& D^{2}(T x, T y) \leq|x-y|^{2}+\frac{7}{9}|x-y-(u-v)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3: Let $u=0$ and $v=4-\frac{4}{3} x$. Then $x-y \in[-1,0]$ and $\left(4-\frac{4}{3} y\right) \geq 2(y-x)$. Thus, since $x-y+\left(4-\frac{4}{3} y\right) \geq x-y+2(y-x) \geq y-x \geq 0$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x-y-\left(0-\left(4-\frac{4}{3} y\right)\right)\right|^{2} & =\left|x-y+\left(4-\frac{4}{3} y\right)\right|^{2} \geq|x-y|^{2} \text {. This implies that } \\
& D^{2}(T x, T y) \leq|x-y|^{2}+\frac{7}{9}|x-y-(u-v)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 4: Let $u=4-\frac{4}{3} x$ and $v=4-\frac{4}{3} y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x-y-\left(-\frac{4}{3}(x-y)\right)\right|^{2}= & \left(1+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{2}|x-y|^{2} \geq|x-y|^{2} . \text { Thus }, \\
& D^{2}(T x, T y) \leq|x-y|^{2}+\frac{7}{9}|x-y-(u-v)|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $T$ is $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type mapping.
The following mapping is shown to be pseudocontractive-type but not $k$-strictly pseudocontractivetype mapping (see; [26]).

Example 1.4 Let $T:[0, \infty] \rightarrow C B(\mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$
T x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\{2\}, \quad x=0 \\
\{0, x\}, \quad x \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is well known that nonexpansive-type mappings are quasi-nonexpansive-type, though the converse may not hold.

Example 1.5 Let $T:[0, \infty) \rightarrow C B(\mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$
T x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad x \leq 1 \\
{\left[x-\frac{1}{3}, x-\frac{1}{4}\right], \quad x>1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, $F(T)=\{0\}$ and

$$
D(T x, T 0) \leq|x-0|
$$

and hence $T$ is quasi nonexpansive-type. Taking $x=2$ and $y=1$, it can be seen that $T$ is not nonexpansive-type mapping.

From the definitions it is also clear that the class of $k$ - strictly pseudocontractive-type mappings is properly contained in a class of demicontractive-type mappings, while the class of pseudocontractive-type mappings is properly contained in a class of hemicontractive-type mappings.

Example 1.6 Let $T:[0, \infty) \rightarrow C B(\mathbb{R})$ be given by $T x=\left[-3 x,-\frac{5}{2} x\right]$.
Now, $d(x, T x)^{2}=\left|x-\left(-\frac{5}{2} x\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{49}{4}|x-0|^{2}$ and $F(T)=\{0\}$. In addition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(T x, T 0)^{2} & =|x-0|^{2}+8|x-0|^{2} \\
& =|x-0|^{2}+\frac{32}{49} d(x, T x)^{2} \\
& \leq|x-0|^{2}+\frac{32}{49}|x-u|^{2}, \forall u \in T x
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $T$ is demicontractive-type but not $k$ - strictly pseudocontractive-type mapping. To see this take $x=1, y=2, u=-\frac{5}{2}$ and $v=-6$.

Example 1.7 Let $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C B(\mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$
T x= \begin{cases}{[-\sqrt{2} x, 0]} & x \in[0, \infty] \\ {[0,-\sqrt{2} x],} & x \in[-\infty, 0]\end{cases}
$$

Then, $F(T)=\{0\}$ and for any $x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(T x, T 0)^{2} & =|\sqrt{2} x-0|^{2} \\
& =|x-0|^{2}+|x-0|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But, $d(x, T x)^{2}=|x-0|^{2}$. Thus,

$$
D(T x, T 0)^{2}=|x-0|^{2}+d(x, T x)^{2} \leq|x-0|^{2}+|x-u|^{2}, \forall u \in T x .
$$

So, $T$ is hemicontractive-type but not psuedocontractive-type mapping. To see this take $x=$ $1, y=2, u=-1$ and $v=-\frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 1.1 Example 1.4 shows that the set of fixed points of a hemicontractive-type mapping may not be closed.

Following the introduction of the study of fixed points for multi-valued nonexpansive mappings using the Hausdorff metric by Markin [6] (see also [7]), the theory has developed greatly with applications in control theory, convex optimization, differential inclusion and economics (see, for example, [8] and references therein). Currently, several schemes have been given on the approximation of fixed points of multi-valued nonexpansive mappings (see for example [9], [10], [11], [12] and [13], and the references therein) and their generalizations (see e.g., [14]).

In 2005, Sastry and Babu [12] introduced Mann and Ishikawa schemes for multivalued mappings and proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1 Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $K$ be a nonempty, compact and convex subset of $H$, and $T: K \rightarrow P(K)$ be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping with nonempty fixed point set. For $x_{0} \in K$ let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} z_{n}, z_{n} \in T x_{n},\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|=d\left(p, T x_{n}\right)  \tag{6}\\
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} u_{n}, u_{n} \in T y_{n},\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|=d\left(p, T y_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p \in F(T)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are real sequences which satisfy the following conditions: [i.] $0 \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}<1$, [ii.] $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}=0$ and [iii.] $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}=0$. Then, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$.

In 2007, Panyanak [11] extended the above result of Sastry and Babu [12] to uniformly convex real Banach spaces. He proved the following result. Before we state his theorem, we need the following definition.

Definition 1.1 [25] A mapping $T: K \rightarrow C B(K)$ is said to satisfy condition (I) if there exists a strictly increasing function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $f(0)=0, f(r)>0$ for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ such that $d(x, T(x)) \geq f(d(x, F(T)), \forall x \in D$.

Theorem 1.2 Let $E$ be a uniformly convex real Banach space. Let $K$ be a nonempty, closed, bounded and convex subset of $E$, and $T: K \rightarrow P(K)$ be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping that satisfies condition (I). Assume that $[i] .0 \leq \alpha_{n}<1$, $[i i.] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$. Suppose that $F(T)$ is a
nonempty proximinal subset of $K$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in K  \tag{7}\\
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} y_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\alpha_{n} \in[a, b], 0<a<b<1, n \geq 0$, where $y_{n} \in T x_{n}$ is such that $\left\|y_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=d\left(u_{n}, T x_{n}\right)$, and $u_{n} \in F(T)$ is such that $\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=d\left(x_{n}, F(T)\right)$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$.

The scheme of Sastry and Babu [12] requires knowing points $p \in F(T)$. This seems inappropriate because, if a fixed point is already known there is no need to construct a scheme to search for it. Panyanak's [11] scheme also seems to have a similar difficulty. In 2008, Song and Wang [13] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 Let $K$ be a nonempty, compact and convex subset of a uniformly convex real Banach space E. Let $T: K \rightarrow C B(K)$ be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ satisfying $T(p)=p$ for all $p \in F(T)$. Assume that $[i] .0 \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}<1$, [ii.] $\beta_{n}, \gamma_{n} \rightarrow 0$, [iii.] $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}=\infty$. Then, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} z_{n}  \tag{8}\\
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} u_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z_{n} \in T x_{n}, u_{n} \in T y_{n}$, are such that $\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=D\left(T x_{n}, T y_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n}$ and $\left\|z_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\| \leq$ $D\left(T x_{n+1}, T y_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n}$. Then, the sequence in (8) converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$.

Recently, Shahzad and Zegeye [15] showed their concerns on the work of Song and Wang [13]. In particular, they pointed out that the assumption " $T p=\{p\}$ for any $p \in F(T)$ " in [13] is quite strong. They observed that if $E$ is a normed linear space and $T: D(T) \subset E \rightarrow P(E)$ is any multivalued mapping then the mapping $P_{T}: D(T) \rightarrow P(E)$ defined for each $x$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T}(x)=\{y \in T x: d(x, T x)=\|x-y\|\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the property that $P_{T}(p)=\{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$. Using this idea they removed the strong condition " $T(p)=\{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$ " and extended and improved the results of Song and Wang [13] to multivalued quasi-nonexpansive mappings. The assumption that $K$ is compact is
dispensed with. Also, in an attempt to remove the restriction $T p=\{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$ in Theorem 1.3, they introduced a new iteration scheme as follows: Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $E$. Let $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \in[0,1]$. Choose $x_{0} \in K$ and define $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} z_{n}  \tag{10}\\
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} u_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z_{n} \in P_{T} x_{n}, u_{n} \in P_{T} y_{n}$. Then, they proved the following result.
Theorem 1.4 [15] Let E be a uniformly convex real Banach space, $K$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$, and $T: K \rightarrow P(K)$ be a multivalued mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ such that $P_{T}$ is nonexpansive. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the iterates defined by (10). Assume that $T$ satisfies condition (I) and $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \in[0,1]$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$.

We note that Song and Wang [13] imposed the assumption that $K$ is compact while Shahzad and Zegeye [15] imposed the condition that the mapping $T$ satisfies condition (I).

It is our purpose in this paper to introduce an iterative scheme which converges strongly to a common point of the fixed point set of a finite family of Lipschitz hemicintractive-type mappings under some mild conditions. As consequence, we obtain a convergent sequence to a common point of the fixed point set of a finite family of $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type mappings which extend results in the literature that rely on either compactness of $K$ or $T$ or Condition (I) for strong convergence to common fixed points.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 Let $E$ be a Banach space. Let $T: D(T) \subseteq E \rightarrow 2^{E}$ be a multivalued mapping. $I-T$ is said to be demiclosed at zero, iffor any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq D(T)$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $p$ and $D\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, then $p \in T p$.

Lemma 2.1 [16] Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then, the following equations hold:
(1) $\|t x+(1-t) y\|^{2}=t\|x\|^{2}+(1-t)\|y\|^{2}-t(1-t)\|x-y\|^{2}, \forall t \in[0,1]$,
(2) Given any $x, y$ in $H,\|x-y\|^{2}=\|x-z\|^{2}+\|z-y\|^{2}+2\langle x-z, z-y\rangle$.

Lemma 2.2 [4] Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then, the following equation holds: If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $H$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z \in H$, then

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\|z-y\|^{2}, \forall y \in H
$$

Lemma 2.3 [20] Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $T: K \rightarrow C B C(K)$ be a multivalued mapping and $P_{T}(x)=\{y \in T x:\|x-y\|=d(x, T x)\}$. Then, for any $x \in K, x_{0} \in P_{T}(x)$ if and only if $\left\langle z-x_{0}, x-x_{0}\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in T x$.

Lemma 2.4 [21] Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that there exists a subsequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $a_{n_{i}}<a_{n_{i}+1}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\left\{m_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ and the following properties are satisfied by all (sufficiently large) numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
a_{m_{k}} \leq a_{m_{k}+1}, \text { and } a_{k} \leq a_{m_{k}+1}
$$

In fact, $m_{k}:=\max \left\{j \leq k: a_{j}<a_{j+1}\right\}$.
Lemma 2.5 [22] Let $K$ be a metric space. Let $T: K \rightarrow P(K)$ be a multivalued mapping. Then, the following are equivalent: (i) $x \in T x$, (ii) $P_{T} x=\{x\}$ and (iii) $x \in F\left(P_{T}\right)$. Moreover, $F(T)=$ $F\left(P_{T}\right)$.

Lemma 2.6 Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then,

$$
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, x+y\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H
$$

Lemma 2.7 [3] Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of H. Let $T: K \rightarrow C B(K)$ be $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type multivalued mapping. Then $T$ is L-Lipschitz mapping.

Lemma 2.8 [1] Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following relation:

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) a_{n}+\alpha_{n} \delta_{n}, n \geq n_{0}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\} \subset R$ satisfying the following conditions:
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$, and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n} \leq 0$. Then, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$.

## 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1 Let $K$ be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow C B(K), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be a finite family of Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, respectively. Assume that $I-T_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$ are demiclosed at zero and $\mathscr{F}=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is non-empty, closed and convex with $T_{i}(p)=\{p\}, \forall p \in$ $F(T)$ and for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_{1}=$ $w \in K$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}, u_{n} \in T_{n} x_{n}  \tag{11}\\
z_{n}=\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}, w_{n} \in T_{n} y_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} w+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T_{n}:=T_{n}(\bmod N)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ satisfy the following conditions:
i. $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq c<1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
ii. $0<\alpha \leq \gamma_{n} \leq \beta_{n} \leq \beta<\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 L^{2}+1}+1}, \forall n \geq 1$, for $L:=\max \left\{L_{i}: 1,2, \ldots, N\right\}$.

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point $p$ in $\mathscr{F}$ nearest to $w$.
Proof. Let $p=P_{\mathscr{F}}(w)$. Now, using (1) of Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\alpha_{n}(w-p)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
= & \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|\gamma_{n}\left(w_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n} D\left(T_{n} y_{n}, T_{n} p\right)^{2} \\
& -\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n} \\
& {\left[\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}\right]-\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,
(12) $\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)$

$$
\times \gamma_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|y_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
$$

On the other hand, from (11) and the fact that $\left\|u_{n}-w_{n}\right\| \leq 2 D\left(T_{n} x_{n}, T_{n} y_{n}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-w_{n}\right)+\beta_{n}\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|u_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} 4 D^{2}\left(T_{n} x_{n}, T_{n} y_{n}\right)-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} 4 L^{2}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{3}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}-4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left.\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}-p\right) \|^{2} \\
= & \left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\beta_{n}\left(u_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} D^{2}\left(T_{n} x_{n}, T_{n} p\right)-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& -\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta^{2}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substituting (14), (13) into (12),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
+ & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n} \beta_{n}^{2}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
- & \beta_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}-4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{2}\right)\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

which reduces to
(15) $\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq \alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)$

$$
\times \gamma_{n}\left(1-2 \beta_{n}-4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{2}\right)\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(\gamma_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} .
$$

From the hypothesis (ii) in (11) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
1-2 \beta_{n}-4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{2} & \geq 1-2 \beta-4 L^{2} \beta^{2}  \tag{16}\\
\gamma_{n} & \leq \beta_{n} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (16) and (17) in (15) we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\|w-p\|^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by induction

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{1}-p\right\|^{2},\|w-p\|^{2}\right\}, \forall n \geq 1
$$

This implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ are all bounded. Furthermore, from (11), Lemma 2.6 and (15) we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{n} w-p\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\left(\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}\right)-p\right)+\alpha_{n}(w-p)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n} D\left(T_{n} y_{n}, T_{n} p\right)^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n}\left(\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& -\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n} \beta_{n}^{2}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n} \\
& \times\left[\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}-4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& -\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n} \beta_{n}\left(1-2 \beta_{n}-4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(\gamma_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n} \beta_{n}\left(1-2 \beta_{n}-4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{2}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& \times\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-(1-c) \alpha^{2}\left(1-2 \beta-4 L^{2} \beta^{2}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \times\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Now we consider the following two cases:
Case 1. Suppose that there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ is non-increasing, $\forall n \geq n_{0}$. Then, we get that $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ is convergent. So, from (21) and the fact that $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we have that

$$
(1-c) \alpha^{2}\left(1-2 \beta-4 L^{2} \beta^{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}
$$

which gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}-u_{n} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from (11) and (22) we get

$$
y_{n}-x_{n}=\beta_{n}\left(u_{n}-x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

and hence we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\| & =\gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=\gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}+u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \gamma_{n}\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \gamma_{n} 2 D\left(T_{n} y_{n}, T_{n} x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \gamma_{n} 2 L\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and by (11), (23), the fact that $\left\|w-z_{n}\right\|$ is bounded and $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| & =\left\|x_{n+1}-z_{n}+z_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\alpha_{n}\left\|w-z_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

But then, since, $\left\|x_{n+i}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n+i}-x_{n+i-1}\right\|+\ldots+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+i}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \forall i=1,2, \ldots, N . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $T_{n+i} x_{n}$ and $T_{n+i} x_{n+i}$ are closed and bounded there exist $u_{n}^{*} \in T_{n+i} x_{n}$ and $u_{n+i}^{*} \in$ $T_{n+i} x_{n+i}$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}^{*}\right\|=d\left(x_{n}, T_{n+i} x_{n}\right)$ and $\left\|x_{n+i}-u_{n+i}^{*}\right\|=d\left(x_{n+i}, T_{n+i} x_{n+i}\right)$. Now, by (22) and (25)

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(x_{n}, T_{n+i} x_{n}\right) & =\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+i}-u_{n}^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+i}-u_{n+i}^{*}\right\|+\left\|u_{n+i}^{*}-u_{n}^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+i}-u_{n+i}^{*}\right\|+2 D\left(T_{n+i} x_{n+i}, T_{n+i} x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+i}-u_{n+i}^{*}\right\|+2 L\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\| \rightarrow 0 . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, since $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ converges, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}+1}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle w-p, x_{n_{j}+1}-p\right\rangle
$$

and $x_{n_{j}+1} \rightharpoonup z$, for some $z \in K$. Now, from (24) we get $x_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup z$. Hence, from (26) and since $T_{i}, \forall i=1, \ldots, N$ are demiclosed by assumption, we get that $z \in F\left(T_{i}\right), \forall i=1, \ldots, N$, i.e., $z \in \mathscr{F}$. Therefore, since by assumption $\mathscr{F}$ is closed and convex, Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle & =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle w-p, x_{n_{j}+1}-p\right\rangle \\
& =\langle w-p, z-p\rangle \leq 0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (21) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows from (28), (27) and Lemma 2.8 that $\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $x_{n} \rightarrow p$.
Case 2 Suppose there exists a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$
\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|<\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-p\right\|, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.4, there is a nondecreasing sequence $\left\{m_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_{k} \rightarrow \infty, \| x_{m_{k}}-$ $p\|\leq\| x_{m_{k}+1}-p \|$ and $\left\|x_{k}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\|, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, from (21) and the fact that $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$
we get that $x_{m_{k}}-u_{m_{k}} \rightarrow 0$, when $u_{m_{k}} \in T_{i} x_{m_{k}}, \forall i=1, \ldots, N$. Hence as in Case $1, x_{m_{k}+1}-x_{m_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle w-p, x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{m_{k}}\right)\left\|x_{m_{k}}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{m_{k}}\left\langle w-p, x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\rangle, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $\left\|x_{m_{k}}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\|$, (30) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{m_{k}}\left\|x_{m_{k}}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|x_{m_{k}}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{m_{k}}\left\langle w-p, x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\rangle \\
& \leq 2 \alpha_{m_{k}}\left\langle w-p, x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

So, from (29) we get that $\left\|x_{m_{k}}-p\right\|^{2} \leq 2 \alpha_{m_{k}}\left\langle w-p, x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\rangle \leq 0$. Hence, $x_{m_{k}} \rightarrow p$ which implies from (30) that $\left\|x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. But, $\left\|x_{k}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{m_{k}+1}-p\right\|, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to an element $p$ in $\mathscr{F}$ nearest to $w$.

Remark 3.2 We note that, since every pseudocontractive-type mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ is hemi-contractive-type the above theorem holds for a finite family of pseudocontractive-type mappings.

Lemma 3.3 Again, since every quasi-nonexpansive type is a demicontractive-type and every demicontractive-type mapping is hemicontractive-type the above theorem also holds for a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive type and demicontractive-type mappings.

If, in Theorem 3.1, we consider a single hemicontractive-type mapping we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4 Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $K$ be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H. Let $T: K \rightarrow C B(K)$, be Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mapping with Lipschitz constant L. Assume that $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero and $F(T)$ is non-empty, closed and convex with
$T(p)=\{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_{1}=w \in K$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}, u_{n} \in T x_{n}  \tag{31}\\
z_{n}=\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}, w_{n} \in T y_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} w+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ satisfy the following conditions:
i. $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq c<1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
ii. $0<\alpha \leq \gamma_{n} \leq \beta_{n} \leq \beta<\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 L^{2}+1}+1}$.

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point $p$ in $\mathscr{F}$ nearest to $w$.
Proof. Put $T_{i}:=T, \forall i=1, \ldots, N$ in (11) and the scheme reduces to (31). Now, as in (20) and (21)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \gamma_{n} \beta_{n}\left(1-2 \beta_{n}-4 L^{2} \beta_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& \times\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle, u_{n} \in T x_{n} \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-(1-c) \alpha^{2}\left(1-2 \beta-4 L^{2} \beta^{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle w-p, x_{n+1}-p\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of the proof is as in Theorem 3.1.
If, in Theorem 3.1 we assume that $P_{T_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, N$ are Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mappings, then by Lemma 2.5, the requirement that $T_{i}(p)=\{p\}$ may not be needed. Thus, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $K$ be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow C B(K), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be a finite family of multivalued mappings. Let $P_{T_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_{i}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, N$, respectively. Assume that $I-P_{T_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, N$ are demiclosed and $\mathscr{F}=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is non-empty, closed and convex. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_{1}=w \in K$
by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}, u_{n} \in P_{T_{n}} x_{n}  \tag{32}\\
z_{n}=\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}, w_{n} \in P_{T_{n}} y_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} w+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T_{n}:=T_{n}(\bmod N)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ satisfy the following conditions:
i. $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq c<1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
ii. $0<\alpha \leq \gamma_{n} \leq \beta_{n} \leq \beta<\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 L^{2}+1}+1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L:=\max \left\{L_{i}: 1,2, \ldots, N\right\}$.

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point $p$ in $\mathscr{F}$ nearest to $w$.
If, in Theorem 3.1 we assume that $P_{T_{i}}: K \rightarrow C B C(K), i=1, \ldots, N$ are Lipschitz pseudocontractivetype mappings, then, since $P_{T_{i}}(x)$ is singleton, for every $x \in C$ by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 we have $F\left(T_{i}\right)=F\left(P_{T_{i}}\right)$, which is closed and convex and $I-P_{T_{i}}$ is demiclosed at zero for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ and hence the following corollary follows.

Corollary 3.6 Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $K$ be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow C B C(K), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be a finite family of multivalued mappings. Let $P_{T_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be Lipschitz pseudocontractive-type mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, respectively. Suppose that $\mathscr{F}=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is non-empty. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_{1}=w \in K$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}, u_{n} \in P_{T_{n}} x_{n}  \tag{33}\\
z_{n}=\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}, w_{n} \in P_{T_{n}} y_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} w+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T_{n}:=T_{n}(\bmod N)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ satisfy the following conditions:
i. $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq c<1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
ii. $0<\alpha \leq \gamma_{n} \leq \beta_{n} \leq \beta<\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 L^{2}+1}+1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L:=\max \left\{L_{i}: 1,2, \ldots, N\right\}$.

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point $p$ in $\mathscr{F}$ nearest to $w$.
In the sequel we shall make use of the following lammas.

Lemma 3.7 Let $K$ be a closed, convex, nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T: K \rightarrow$ $C B(K)$ be a demicontractive-type multivalued mapping with constant $k \in[0,1)$. Assume that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. If $T(p)=\{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$, then $F(T)$ is closed and convex.

Proof. Let $x, y \in K$. By (2) of Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$
\|x-y\|^{2}=\|x-z\|^{2}+\|z-y\|^{2}+2\langle x-z, z-y\rangle .
$$

For $p \in F(T), x \in K$, let $u \in T x$ be such that $\|p-u\|=\inf \{\|p-y\|: y \in T x\}$. Then, we get that

$$
\|p-u\|^{2}=\|p-x\|^{2}+\|x-u\|^{2}+2\langle p-x, x-u\rangle
$$

which is the same as,

$$
d(p, T x)^{2}=\|p-x\|^{2}+\|x-u\|^{2}+2\langle p-x, x-u\rangle
$$

which in turn implies that

$$
\|p-x\|^{2}+\|x-u\|^{2}+2\langle p-x, x-u\rangle \leq D(p, T x)^{2} \leq\|p-x\|^{2}+k\|x-u\|^{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-u\|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{2}{1-k}\right)\langle p-x, u-x\rangle \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we show that $F(T)$ is closed. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq F(T)$ be such that $x_{n} \rightarrow z$. Let $u \in T z$ such that $\|u-z\|=\inf \{\|z-y\|: y \in T z\}$. Then, from (34) we have that for each $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z-u\|^{2} & \leq\left(\frac{2}{1-k}\right)\left\langle x_{n}-z, u-z\right\rangle \\
& \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $z=u \in T z$. Therefore, $F(T)$ is closed. Next, let us show that $F(T)$ is convex. Let $p, q \in F(T)$ and $z=\alpha p+(1-\alpha) q$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Then, we want to show that $z \in F(T)$. Let $u \in T z$ be such that $\|u-z\|=\inf \{\|z-y\|: y \in T z\}$. But, from (34),

$$
\|x-u\|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{2}{1-k}\right)\langle p-x, u-x\rangle
$$

and

$$
\|x-u\|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{2}{1-k}\right)\langle q-x, u-x\rangle
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z-u\|^{2} & =\alpha\|z-u\|^{2}+(1-\alpha)\|z-u\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{1-k}\right)\langle\alpha(p-z)+(1-\alpha)(q-z), u-z\rangle \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{1-k}\right)\langle z-z, u-z\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $z=u \in T z$. Therefore, $F(T)$ is convex.
Lemma 3.8 Let $K$ be a closed, convex, nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $T: K \rightarrow$ $C B(K)$ be a $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type multivalued mapping with constant $k \in[0,1)$. Then, $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq K$ be such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup y$ and suppose $D\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. We want to show that $0 \in(I-T) y$, i.e., $y \in T y$.
Let $q \in T y$ be arbitrary. Then, there exists $y_{n} \in T x_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-q\right\| \leq D\left(T x_{n}, T y\right), \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, since $y_{n} \in T x_{n}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leq D\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, define $f: H \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by $f(x):=\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2 we get that

$$
f(x)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}+\|y-x\|^{2}, \forall x \in H,
$$

which implies that

$$
f(x)=f(y)+\|y-x\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in H
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(q)=f(y)+\|y-q\|^{2} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using (35), (36) and the fact that $T$ is $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(q) & =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}+y_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\lim }\left\|y_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} D^{2}\left(T x_{n}, T y\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\lim }\left[\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}+k\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}+q-y\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}+k\|q-y\|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(q) \leq f(y)+k\|q-y\|^{2} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (37) and (38) we get that $\|y-q\|^{2} \leq k\|y-q\|^{2}$ or $(1-k)\|y-q\|^{2} \leq 0$. This implies $y=q \in T y$. Therefore, $I-T$ is demiclosed.

If, in Theorem 3.1, we assume that $T_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, are $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type mappings then by Proposition , $T_{i}$ are Lipschitz with $L_{i}=\frac{1+\sqrt{k_{i}}}{1-\sqrt{k_{i}}}, i=1, \ldots, N$. Also by Lemma 3.7 and 3.8, we have that $F(T)$ is closed and convex and $I-T_{i}$ are demiclosed. Hence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9 Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $K$ be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow C B(K), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be a finite family of $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type mappings. Assume that $\mathscr{F}=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is non-empty with $T_{i}(p)=\{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$ and for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_{1}=w \in K$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}, u_{n} \in T_{n} x_{n}  \tag{39}\\
z_{n}=\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}, w_{n} \in T_{n} y_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} w+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T_{n}:=T_{n}(\bmod N)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ satisfy the following conditions:
i. $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq c<1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
ii. $0<\alpha \leq \gamma_{n} \leq \beta_{n} \leq \beta<\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 L^{2}+1}+1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L:=\max \left\{\frac{1+\sqrt{k_{i}}}{1-\sqrt{k_{i}}}, i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$.

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point $p$ in $\mathscr{F}$ nearest to $w$.
If, in Theorem 3.9, we assume that $P_{T_{i}}$ are $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type mappings, we have that $P_{T_{i}}$ are Lipschitz, and hence the following corollary follows.

Corollary 3.10 Let H be a real Hilbert space and $K$ be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow C B C(K), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be a finite family of multivalued mappings. Let $P_{T_{i}}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, N$, be $k$ - strictly pseudocontractive-type mappings. Suppose also that $\mathscr{F}=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is non-empty. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_{1}=w \in K$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}, u_{n} \in P_{T_{n}} x_{n}  \tag{40}\\
z_{n}=\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}, w_{n} \in P_{T_{n}} y_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} w+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T_{n}:=T_{n}(\bmod N)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ satisfy the following conditions:
i. $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq c<1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
ii. $0<\alpha \leq \gamma_{n} \leq \beta_{n} \leq \beta<\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 L^{2}+1}+1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L:=\max \left\{L_{i}: 1,2, \ldots, N\right\}$.

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point $p$ in $\mathscr{F}$ nearest to $w$.
If, in Theorem 3.9, we assume that $T_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, are nonexpansive-type mappings then $T_{i}$ are Lipschitz with $L=1$ and $k$-strictly pseudocontractive-type with $k=0$. So, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11 Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $K$ be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $T_{i}: K \rightarrow C B(K), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be a finite family of nonexpansive-type mappings. Assume that $F=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is non-empty with $T_{i}(p)=\{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$ and for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_{1}=w \in K$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} u_{n}, u_{n} \in T_{n} x_{n}  \tag{41}\\
z_{n}=\gamma_{n} w_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) x_{n}, w_{n} \in T_{n} y_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} w+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T_{n}:=T_{n}(\bmod N)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i. } 0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq c<1, \forall n \geq 1 \text { such that } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0 \text { and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty \text {, } \\
& \text { ii. } 0<\alpha \leq \gamma_{n} \leq \beta_{n} \leq \beta<\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}+1}, \forall n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point $p$ in $\mathscr{F}$ nearest to $w$.
Remark 3.12 The definitions of hemicontractive-type, demicontractive-type, $k$-strictly pseudo-contractive-type and pseudocontractive-type multivalued mappings used here are those considered by Chidume et al [3]. Isiogugu [4] defined these mappings somewhat differently (See also [23]).

Remark 3.13 Theorem 3.1 improves Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 of Sang and Wang [13] and Theorem 2.7 of Shahzad and Zegeye [15] in the sense that no compactness assumption on either the domain or in the functions $T_{i}$ are assumed. Furthermore, the requirement that $T$ satisfies Condition (I) is dispensed with in our more general setting.

Remark 3.14 Our work extends the work of Daman and Zegeye [24] for the multivalued case.
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