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Abstract. In this article, we consider fixed point theorems with applications to \(n\)-th order differential equations. Some examples are also considered. Our results extend and generalize several existing results in the literature.
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1. Introduction

Problems concerning the existence of fixed points of Lipschitz map have been of considerable interest in the theory of nonlinear operator. The study of nonlinear operators had its beginning about the start of the twentieth century with investigations into the existence properties to certain initial value problems arising in ordinary differential equations. The earliest ways of dealing with such problems, which were largely planned by [14], involved the iteration of an integral operator to devise solutions to the problems. In 1922, these methods of Picard were given exact abstract formulation by Banach [4] and Cacciopoli [5] which is now generally referred to as Contraction Mapping Techniques. Since then, a number of authors have defined contractive
type mappings on a complete metric space \((X,d)\). [4] defined a mapping which is a contraction for a positive number \(c < 1\). Also, [10] considered a nonexpansive contractive type mappings. In [1], the weak contraction was introduced and showed that most of the results are still true for Banach space. Choudhury and Metiya [7] extend fixed point of weak contractions to cone metric spaces. Some works related to the concept of existence and uniqueness of solution, contraction mapping and ordinary differential equations could be sourced from ([15], [3], [13], [9], [17]).

2. Preliminaries

Let us consider the general first order equation

\[ y' = f(t, y) \]  

(1)

where \(f\) is defined for \((t, y)\) on some set and continuous. Suppose \(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n\) are continuous-valued functions defined for \((t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)\) space. A wide class of (1) is the system

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1' &= f_1(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n), \\
y_2' &= f_2(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n), \\
&\vdots \\
y_n' &= f_n(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n).
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

This is a system of \(n\) ordinary differential equations of the first order, the derivatives \(y_1', y_2', \ldots, y_n'\) appear explicitly and they are analogue of (1).

\textbf{\(n-\text{th Order Equation:}\)} An equation of \(n-\text{th}\) order

\[ y^{(n)} = f(t, y, y', \ldots, y^{(n-1)}) \]  

(3)

may be treated as a system of the form (2).

Let \(y = y_1, y' = y_2, \ldots, y^{n-1} = y_n\). Then (3) can be written as:
\[ y'_1 = y_2, \]
\[ y'_2 = y_3, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ y'_{n-1} = y_n, \]
\[ y'_n = f(t, y, y_1, \ldots, y_n), \]

which may be viewed as the type (2). The clear difference between (1) and (2) is that a complex number \( y \) is now to deal with \( n \) such complex numbers \( y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \).

Let \( y \) be a vector of the \( n \) complex numbers, we write \( y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \). Therefore, the complex number \( y_k \) is the \( k \)-th component of \( y \). The set of all such vectors is called the complex \( n \)-dimensional space \( C^n \).

**Systems as Vector Equations:** Consider the first order system of equations

\[ y'_1 = f_1(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n), \]
\[ y'_2 = f_2(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n), \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ y'_n = f_n(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n). \]

It is assumed that \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \) are complex-valued functions defined for \((t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)\) on some set, where \( t \) is real and \( y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \) are complex. Clearly, \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \) are functions of \( t \) and the vector \( y \), where \( y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \) is in \( C^n \).

Therefore, we may write

\[ f_1(t, y) = f_1(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n), \]
\[ f_2(t, y) = f_2(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n), \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ f_n(t, y) = f_n(t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n). \]

In (5), we have \( n \) functions \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \) which may be regarded as a vector-valued function \( f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) \),

which may be given by

\[ f(t, y) = f_1(t, y), f_2(t, y), \ldots, f_n(t, y). \]
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Suppose

\[ y' = (y'_1, y'_2, \ldots, y'_n), \]

then the system (5) may now be written as

\[ y' = f(t, y). \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

**Remark:** The vector differential equation (6) now has the form (1).

**Definition 2.1.** [8] A vector-valued function \( f \) is said to satisfy a Lipschitz condition on \( \Omega \) if there is a number \( K > 0 \) such that

\[ |f(t, y) - f(t, z)| \leq K|y - z| \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

for all \( y, z \in C^n \) and \((t, y), (t, z) \in \Omega\). The constant \( K \) is called the Lipschitz constant.

**Proposition 2.2.** [8] Let \( f \) be a vector-valued function defined for \((t, y)\) on a set \( \Omega \) given by

\[ \Omega := \{(t, y) : |t - t_0| \leq a, |y - y_0| \leq b, a, b > 0\}. \]

If \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_k} \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) is continuous on \( \Omega \) and there is a constant \( K > 0 \) such that

\[ \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_k} \right| \leq K \]

for \((t, y) \in \Omega\), then \( f \) satisfies a Lipschitz condition on \( \Omega \).

**Proposition 2.3.** [8] Consider the vector differential equation

\[ y' = f(t, y), \]

where the components \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \) of \( f \) are of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_1(t, y) &= a_{11}(t)y_1 + a_{12}(t)y_2 + \ldots + a_{1n}(t)y_n + b_1(t), \\
 f_2(t, y) &= a_{21}(t)y_1 + a_{22}(t)y_2 + \ldots + a_{2n}(t)y_n + b_2(t), \\
 &\vdots \\
 f_n(t, y) &= a_{n1}(t)y_1 + a_{n2}(t)y_2 + \ldots + a_{nn}(t)y_n + b_n(t),
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( a_{11}(t), \ldots, a_{nn}(t), b_1(t), \ldots, b_n(t) \) are complex-valued functions defined for real \( t \) in some interval \( I \). If all the \( a_{ij} \) are continuous on an interval \( I : |t - t_0| \leq a, \) where \( a > 0, \)
then the corresponding vector-valued function $f$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition on the strip

$$\Omega : |t-t_0| \leq a, \; |y-y_0| \leq b \text{ or } |y| < \infty, \; a, b > 0.$$ 

**Proposition 2.4.** The vector differential equation (6) defined on $\Omega$ is equivalent to the integral equation

$$y = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau,$$

where $y_0 = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, $f(\tau, y(\tau)) = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$ and

$$f_k(\tau, y(\tau)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{jk}(\tau)y_j(\tau) + b_k(\tau), \; k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

We complete this section with a proposition which is sequel to our work.

**Proposition 2.5.** [6] Let $X$ be a metric space. Then $X$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in $X$ has a limit $x$ which is in $X$. A subset $Y$ of a metric space $X$ is complete if it is closed.

### 3. Problem Formulation

In this section, we discuss the Banach fixed point theorem which states sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point and also gives a constructive procedure for obtaining sharp results to the fixed point. We start with the following definitions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $T$ be a mapping of $X$ into itself. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of the mapping $T$ if

$$Tx = x$$

i.e. the image $Tx$ coincides with $x$.

**Definition 3.2.** Let $X = (X, d)$ be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called a Lipschitz map if there is a real number $c > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq cd(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $T$ is called a contraction on $X$ if there is a positive real number $c < 1$ such that for all $x, y \in X$. 
Definition 3.3. Let $X$ be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \to X$ is said to be weakly contractive on $X$ if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing function such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$. Clearly, if $\varphi(t) = \kappa t$ where $0 < \kappa < 1$, then (12) reduces to (11).

Proposition 3.4. Every contraction mapping on a metric space $(X, d)$ is a continuous mapping.

Theorem 3.5. (Banach Fixed Point Theorem) Let $X$ be a non-empty metric space. Suppose that $X$ is complete and $T : X \to X$ is a contraction on $X$. Then, $T$ has precisely one fixed point $x \in X$.

Remark. Generally in application, the mapping $T$ is a contraction not on the entire space $X$ but merely on a subset of $X$. Since a closed subset of a complete space $X$ is complete, $T$ has a fixed point on the closed subset provided there is a restriction on the choice of $x_0$ so that the $x_n$ lie in the closed subset.

This is justified by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. Let $X = (X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T : X \to X$ be a contraction on a closed ball $\bar{B} = \{x : d(x, x_0) \leq r\} \forall x_0, x \in \bar{B} \subset X$.

Moreover, assume that

$$d(x_0, Tx_0) < (1 - c)r.$$

Then, $T$ has precisely one fixed point $x \in X$.

We shall devote the rest of this paper to show how the arguments of Baire Category theorem can be adapted to show existence and uniqueness of solutions of vector differential equation (6); see [16] for more details.

4. Main Results

We begin with the following propositions which can be easily proved.
Proposition 4.1. Let $\Phi$ be a vector-valued differentiable function satisfying $y_0 = \Phi(t_0)$ for all $(t, \Phi(t))$ in $\Omega$. Suppose $\Phi$ is a solution of (6), then
\[
\Phi(t) = \Phi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau
\]
and the vector form is $\Phi(t) = (\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), \ldots, \phi_n(t))$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\Phi_0$ be fixed and defined by $\Phi_0(t) = y_0$ then, by the iterative process in (13), we have
\[
\Phi_1(t) = T\Phi_0(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi_0(\tau)) d\tau,
\]
\[
\Phi_2(t) = T\Phi_1(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi_1(\tau)) d\tau,
\]
\[\vdots\]
In general, we have
\[
\Phi_m(t) = T^m\Phi_0(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi_{m-1}(\tau)) d\tau, \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots).
\]
As $m \to \infty$, the limit is given by (18) i.e. $\Phi_m(t) \to \Phi(t)$

By (16), $T\Phi_m(t) \to T\Phi(t)$ so that
\[
T\Phi(t) = \Phi(t).
\]

Interpretation: In a picturesque, the mapping is like a machine (say $S$) which transforms the limit function $\Phi$ into a new function $S\Phi$ defined by
\[
S\Phi(t) = \Phi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau.
\]
This means that a solution of the system (6) is the function which moves through the machine untouched, starting with $\Phi_0(t) = y_0$, $S$ converts $\Phi_0$ into $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_1$ into $\Phi_2$ and, in general, we have $S\Phi_m = \Phi_{m+1}$. Consequently, we arrive at $\Phi$ such that $S\Phi = \Phi$. Next is to show that the sequence $\Phi_m$ merit the nomenclature. Before that we give the following suitable remark.
Remark Suppose $\Phi_m$ as well as $\Phi$ exist on the interval $I$ containing $t_o$, then Baire’s theorem asserts that the limit $\Phi$ may not be attained on the neighborhood of $\Phi_o$ unless on the successive neighborhoods of $\Phi_o$.

**Proposition 4.3** Let $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be sequence of vector-valued function defined on the interval $I : |t - t_o| \leq a$, and let $\beta$ be smaller than $\frac{b}{M}$, where $M > 0$. Then, $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ exist on the interval

$$I : |t - t_o| \leq \beta < \min \left\{ a, \frac{b}{M} \right\}$$

for $(t, \Phi_m)$ in $\Omega$.

**Proof.** From (14)

$$\Phi_m(t) = y_o + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi_{m-1}(\tau))d\tau, \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$$

$$\implies |\Phi_m(t) - y_o| = \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi_{m-1}(\tau))d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} |f(\tau, \Phi_{m-1}(\tau))|d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq M \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq M |t - t_o|.$$

Since $I : |t - t_o| \leq \frac{b}{M}$

$$\implies |\Phi_m(t) - y_o| \leq b. \quad (16)$$

This shows that $(t, \Phi_m)$ are in $\Omega$ for $t \in I$. Clearly $\Phi_o$ exists on $I$ for $m = 0$ and satisfies the inequality (16). Now, for $m = 1$ in (14)

$$\Phi_1(t) = y_o + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi_o(\tau))d\tau,$$

$$|\Phi_1(t) - y_o| = \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi_o(\tau))d\tau \right| \leq \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} |f(\tau, \Phi_o(\tau))|d\tau \right| \leq M \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} d\tau \right| \leq M |t - t_o|,$$

which implies that $\Phi_1$ satisfies (16) and since $f$ is continuous on $\Omega$, then $f(\tau, \Phi_o(\tau))$ is continuous on $I$ and so $\Phi_1$ exists on $I$. By induction, $\Phi_m$ satisfy (16) for all $m$ and $f(\tau, \Phi_o(\tau))$ as well as $\Phi_m$ are continuous and exist on $I$.

We now show that $\Phi_m$ converge on $I$ to a solution of the system (6). This is given in our next theorem. See [8].
Theorem 4.4. Let $f$ be a continuous vector-valued function defined on

$$\Omega := \{(t, y) : |t - t_0| \leq a, |y - y_0| \leq b, (a, b > 0)\}$$

and bounded on $\Omega$, say $|f(t, y)| \leq M$. Suppose $f$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition on $\Omega$ with respect to its second argument. Then, the iterative function sequence $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ obtained in (14) converge on the interval $[t_0 - \beta, t_0 + \beta]$, where

$$\beta < \min \left\{a, \frac{b}{M}, \frac{1}{K} \right\}$$

(17)
to a solution $\Phi$ of the system (6).

Proof. Let $C(I)$ be the metric space of all complex-valued continuous function on the interval $I = [t_0 - a, t_0 + a]$. For $t \in [t_0 - a, t_0 + a]$ and $\Phi(t), \Psi(t) \in C(I)$, the metric on $C(I)$ is defined by

$$d(\Phi(t), \Psi(t)) = \sup_{t \in [t_0 + a, t_0 + a]} |\Phi(t) - \Psi(t)|$$

$C(I)$ is complete [6].

Let $J = [t_0 - \beta, t_0 + \beta] \subset I$, then $C(J)$ is a closed subspace of $C(I)$ which is also complete by proposition 2.5. Define the mapping $T : C(J) \rightarrow C(J)$ and $T \Phi(t) = \Phi(t)$ for $\Phi \in C(J)$. Consider a ball $B$ in $C(J)$ with radius $b$ centered at $y_o$ given by

$$B = \{\Phi \in C(J) : |\Phi(t) - y_o| \leq b\}.$$ 

We show that $B \supset T(B)$, for suppose $T \phi_m(t) \rightarrow T \phi(t)$ and

$$T \Phi(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi(\tau))d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow d(T \Phi(t), y_0) = \sup |T \Phi(t) - y_0|$$

$$= \sup \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi(\tau))d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq \sup \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} |f(\tau, \Phi(\tau))|d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq M \sup |t - t_0|$$

$$\leq M\beta < b,$$

which implies for $\Phi \in T(B) \Rightarrow \Phi \in B$, and thus, $T$ maps $C(J)$ into itself.
Next is to show that $T$ is a contraction on $C(J)$. By the Lipschitzian assumptions (7) and for $\Phi(t), \Psi(t) \in C(J)$. We have

$$d(T\Phi, T\Psi) = \sup |T\Phi(t) - T\Psi(t)|$$

$$= \sup \left| \int_t^t f(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau - \left( \int_t^t f(\tau, \Psi(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \sup \left| \int_t^t |f(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) - f(\tau, \Psi(\tau))| d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq \sup K |\Phi(\tau) - \Psi(\tau)| \left| \int_t^t d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq K \sup |\Phi(\tau) - \Psi(\tau)| \sup |t - t_0|$$

$$\leq K \beta d(\Phi, \Psi).$$

From (17), choose $c = K\beta < 1$, so that $T$ is a contraction on $C(J)$. The conclusion of the theorem follows from Theorem 3.8. Observe that the existence result proved above is local. Moreso, $I$ depends on $M, K$ and the initial condition.

**Remark** Let $f$ be a continuous vector-valued function and global on the strip

$$\Omega' := \{(t, y) : |t - t_0| \leq a, |y| < \infty\}$$

Then the iterative sequence $\{\Phi_m(t)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ exists on $|t - t_0| \leq a$ and converges to a solution of the system (6).

We now discuss the existence and uniqueness of solution of an $n$-th order differential equation given by (3). We consider the following theorems.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $f$ be a complex valued continuous function in (4) defined on

$$\Omega : |t - t_0| \leq a, |y - y_0| \leq b (a, b > 0)$$

such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(t, y)| \leq N$$

for all $(t, y)$ in $\Omega$. Suppose there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(t, y) - \mathcal{F}(t, z)| \leq L |y - z|$$
for all \((t, y)\) and \((t, z)\) in \(\Omega\). Then, there is only and only one solution of \(\phi\) of (3) on the interval

\[
I : |t - t_0| \leq \beta < \min \left\{ a, b \frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{K} \right\},
\]

which satisfies

\[
\phi(t_0) = \alpha_1, \phi'(t_0) = \alpha_2, \ldots, \phi^{n-1}(t_0) = \alpha_n, \quad \left( y = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \right).
\]

**Proof.** Consider the system \(y' = f(t, y)\) with component of \(f_k\) given by (4). Then

\[
|f(t, y)| = |y_2| + |y_3| + \ldots + |y_n| + |F(t, y)|
\leq |y| + |F(t, y)|
\leq |y_0| + b + N = M,
\]

where \(M = \max \{|y_0| + b + N, b > 0\}\). Also,

\[
|f(t, y) - f(t, z)| = |y_2 - z_2| + \ldots + |y_n - z_n| + |F(t, y)| - |F(t, z)|
\leq |y - z| + L|y - z|
= (1 + L)|y - z|.
\]

Thus satisfies the Lipschitz conditions with Lipschitz constant \(K = 1 + L\). The conclusion of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.4.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b\) be continuous complex-valued function on the interval \(I\) containing a point \(t_0\). If \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\) are any \(n\) constants, there exists one and only one solution \(\phi\) of the equation

\[
y^{(n)} + a_1(t)y^{(n-1)} + \ldots + a_n(t)y = b(t)
\]

on \(I\) satisfying

\[
\phi(t_0) = \alpha_1, \phi'(t_0) = \alpha_2, \ldots, \phi^{n-1}(t_0) = \alpha_n.
\]

**Proof.** From Theorem 4.5, we draw the desired conclusion immediately.

**Verification 1.** Let us consider the bending of an elastic plate’s equation

\[
y'''' - 2\lambda^2 y'' + 4\lambda^2 y = 0, \quad \lambda \neq 0
\]

(18)
with the initial conditions

\[ y(0) = 0, \ y'(0) = 0, \ y''(0) = 0, \ y'''(0) = 2. \]

**Solution.** Let

\[ y = y_1, y' = y_1' = y_2, y'' = y_2' = y_3, y''' = y_3' = y_4. \]

Then \( y''' = y_4' = 2\lambda^2 y_3 - 4\lambda^4 y_1 \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
  y_1' &= y_2 \equiv f_1(t, y, y_1, \ldots, y_n), \\
  y_2' &= y_3 \equiv f_2(t, y, y_1, \ldots, y_n), \\
  y_3' &= y_4 \equiv f_3(t, y, y_1, \ldots, y_n), \\
  y_4' &= 2\lambda^2 y_3 - 4\lambda^4 y_1 \equiv f_4(t, y, y_1, \ldots, y_n).
\end{align*}
\]

Hence,

\[
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_2} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_3} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_4} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_2} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_3} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_4} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_2} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_3} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_4} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} = -4\lambda^4, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_2} = 2\lambda^2, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_3} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_4} = 0.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} \right| = 4\lambda^4, \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2} \right| = 1, \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_3} \right| = 1 + 2\lambda^2, \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_4} \right| = 1.
\]

Thus, \( f \) satisfies the Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant \( L = 4\lambda^4 > 0 \), for \( \lambda \neq 0 \). Let \( T \) be a mapping defined by

\[
Ty = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, \Phi(\tau))d\tau
\]

\[
= d(Ty, Tz) = |Ty(t) - Tz(t)|
\]

\[
= \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, y(\tau))d\tau - \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau, z(\tau))d\tau \right|
\]

\[
= \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} (f(\tau, y(\tau)) - f(\tau, z(\tau)))d\tau \right|
\]

\[
\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} (y_2, y_3, y_4 - 2\lambda^2 y_3 - 4\lambda^4 y_1 - z_2, z_3, z_4, 2\lambda^2 z_3 - 4\lambda^4 z_1) d\tau \right|
\]

\[
\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} (y_2 - z_2, y_3 - z_3, y_4 - z_4, 2\lambda^2 y_3 - 2\lambda^2 z_3 - 4\lambda^4 y_1 + 4\lambda^4 z_1) d\tau \right|
\]
\[ |y_2 - z_2| + |y_3 - z_3| + |y_4 - z_4| + |2\lambda^2 y_3 - 2\lambda^2 z_3| + |4\lambda^4 y_1 - 4\lambda^4 z_1| \]

\[ \leq |t| \left( |y_2 - z_2| + 2\lambda^2 |y_3 - z_3| + 4\lambda^4 |y_1 - z_1| \right) \]

\[ \leq |t| \left( |y - z| + 2\lambda^2 |y_3 - z_3| + 4\lambda^4 |y_1 - z_1| \right) \]

\[ \leq |t| \left( |y - z| + 4\lambda^4 (|y_3 - z_3| + |y_1 - z_1|) \right) \]

\[ \leq |t| \left( |y - z| + 4\lambda^4 |y - z| \right) \]

\[ \leq |t| (1 + 4\lambda^4) |y - z| \]

\[ \leq |t| K |y - z|, \]

where \( K = 1 + 4\lambda^4 \equiv 1 + L \) and \( c = |t| K < 1 \). Hence, \( T \) is a contraction. Next, we show that \( y_m \rightarrow y, \ m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Let \( y_m \equiv y^m \) and \( y^0 = (0, 0, 2) \) be fixed, then,

\[ y^1 = (0, 0, 2) + \int_0^t f(\tau, y_1^0, y_2^0, y_3^0, y_4^0) d\tau \]

\[ = (0, 0, 2) + (0, 0, 2t, 0) = (0, 0, 2t, 2) \]

\[ y^2 = (0, 0, 2) + \int_0^t (y_1^1, y_2^1, y_3^1, 2\lambda^2 y_3^1) d\tau \]

\[ = (0, 0, 2) + (0, t^2, 2t, 2\lambda^4 t^2) = (0, t^2, 2t, 2 + 2\lambda^4 t^2) \]

\[ y^3 = (0, 0, 2) + \int_0^t (y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2, 2\lambda^2 y_3^2) d\tau \]

\[ = (0, 0, 2) + \left( \frac{t^3}{3}, t^2, 2t + \frac{2}{3}\lambda^2 t^3, 2\lambda^2 t^2 \right) = \left( \frac{t^3}{3}, t^2, 2t + \frac{2}{3}\lambda^2 t^3, 2 + 2\lambda^2 t^2 \right) \]

\[ y^4 = (0, 0, 2) + \int_0^t (y_1^3, y_2^3, y_3^3, 2\lambda^2 y_3^3) d\tau \]

\[ = \left( \frac{t^3}{3}, t^2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda^2 t^4, 2t + \frac{2}{3}\lambda^2 t^3, 2 + 2\lambda^2 t^2 \right) \]

\[ y^5 = (0, 0, 2) + \int_0^t (y_1^4, y_2^4, y_3^4, 2\lambda^2 y_3^4) d\tau \]

\[ = (0, 0, 2) + \left( \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{1}{30}\lambda^2 t^5, t^2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda^2 t^4, 2t + \frac{2}{3}\lambda^2 t^3, 2 + 2\lambda^2 t^2 \right) \]

\[ = \left( \frac{t^3}{3}, t^2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda^2 t^4, 2t + \frac{2}{3}\lambda^2 t^3, 2 + 2\lambda^2 t^2 \right). \]
Since $y_4$ and $y_5$ are sufficiently close to each other, then there is a cluster value (say $y$), and therefore, $y_m \rightarrow y$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

**Verification 2.** Given a second order equation

$$y'' - 2\sqrt{|y|} = 0$$

with the conditions $y(0) = 0$, $y'(0) = 1$.

**Solution.** Let $y = y_1$ and $y' = y_2$. So,

$$y'_1 = y_2 \equiv f_1$$

$$y'_2 = 2\sqrt{|y_1|} \equiv f_2$$

$$f(t, y) = (y_2, 2\sqrt{|y_1|})$$

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_2} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y_1} = \frac{1}{|y_1|^2}, \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y_2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2} \right| = 1, \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} \right| = \frac{1}{|y_1|^2}$$

$f$ fails to satisfy the Lipschitz conditions at $y = (0, 0)$, and hence, the uniqueness fails.

Observe $f$ is continuous but not Lipschitzian, however, it is possible to prove that the problem has a solution around the neighborhood of $t_0$ [11], though it is solution is not unique.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, if we suppose $f$ is a continuous vector-valued function defined on

$$\hat{\Omega} := \{(t, y) : |t| < \infty, |y| < \infty\}$$

and satisfies Lipschitz conditions on each strip

$$(t, y) : |t| \leq a, |y| < \infty$$

where $a$ is any positive number. Then, the vector differential equation (6) has a solution which exists for all real $t$. i.e. The iterative sequence $\{\Phi_m(t)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ converge to a solution which exist for all real $t$. 
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