

Available online at http://scik.org Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2019, 2019:9 https://doi.org/10.28919/aia/4013 ISSN: 2050-7461

SHARP BOUNDS INVOLVING THE SÁNDOR-YANG MEANS IN TERMS OF OTHER BIVARIATE MEANS

SHAO YUN LI¹, FANG JIN^{1,2}, HUI ZUO XU^{1,2,*}

¹Teachers Teaching Development Center, Wenzhou Broadcast and TV University, Wenzhou, 325013, China ²Lifelong Education Guidance Center, Wenzhou Broadcast and TV University, Wenzhou, 325013, China

Copyright © 2019 the authors. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we present the best possible parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4 \in [0, 1]$ such that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+\alpha_1)G(a,b)+(1-\alpha_1)A(a,b)}{(1-\alpha_1)G(a,b)+(1+\alpha_1)A(a,b)} < \frac{X(a,b)}{A(a,b)} < \frac{(1+\beta_1)G(a,b)+(1-\beta_1)A(a,b)}{(1-\beta_1)G(a,b)+(1+\beta_1)A(a,b)}, \\ & \frac{(1+\alpha_2)Q(a,b)+(1-\alpha_2)A(a,b)}{(1-\alpha_2)Q(a,b)+(1+\alpha_2)A(a,b)} < \frac{R_{QA}(a,b)}{A(a,b)} < \frac{(1+\beta_2)Q(a,b)+(1-\beta_2)A(a,b)}{(1-\beta_2)Q(a,b)+(1+\beta_2)A(a,b)}, \\ & \frac{(1+\alpha_3)A(a,b)+(1-\alpha_3)G(a,b)}{(1-\alpha_3)A(a,b)+(1+\alpha_3)G(a,b)} < \frac{I(a,b)}{G(a,b)} < \frac{(1+\beta_3)A(a,b)+(1-\beta_3)G(a,b)}{(1-\beta_3)A(a,b)+(1+\beta_3)G(a,b)}, \\ & \frac{(1+\alpha_4)A(a,b)+(1-\alpha_4)Q(a,b)}{(1-\alpha_4)A(a,b)+(1+\alpha_4)Q(a,b)} < \frac{R_{AQ}(a,b)}{Q(a,b)} < \frac{(1+\beta_4)A(a,b)+(1-\beta_4)Q(a,b)}{(1-\beta_4)A(a,b)+(1+\beta_4)Q(a,b)}. \end{aligned}$$

hold for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$. Here G(a, b), A(a, b) and Q(a, b) denote respectively the classical geometric, arithmetic and quadratic means of a and b, and $R_{GA}(a, b) = X(a, b)$, $R_{AG}(a, b) = I(a, b)$, $R_{QA}(a, b)$ and $R_{AQ}(a, b)$ are Sándor, identric and two Sándor -Yang means derived from the Schwab-Borchardt mean.

Keywords: Sándor-Yang mean; Schwab-Borchardt mean; geometric mean; arithmetic mean; quadratic mean.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 33E05, 26E60.

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: huizuoxu@163.com

Received January 31, 2019

1. Introduction

For all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$, the Schwab-Borchardt mean SB(a, b)[1, 2, 3] is defined by

$$SB(a,b) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b^2 - a^2}}{\arccos(a/b)}, & if \quad a < b \\ \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}{\arccos(a/b)}, & if \quad a > b \end{cases}$$

where $\arccos(x)$ and $\arccos h(x) = \log \left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)$ are the inverse cosine and inverse hyperbolic cosine functions, respectively.

Let $G(a,b) = \sqrt{ab}$, A(a,b) = (a+b)/2 and $Q(a,b) = \sqrt{(a^2+b^2)/2}$ are respectively the classical geometric, arithmetic, quadratic and contra-harmonic means of *a* and *b*. It is well known that the Schwab-Borchardt mean is strictly increasing in both *a* and *b*, non-symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to *a* and *b*. Many symmetric bivariate means are special cases of the Schwab-Borchardt mean. For example,

$$P(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2 \operatorname{arcsin}[(a-b)/(a+b)]} = SB[G(a,b),A(a,b)] \text{ is the first Seiffert mean,}$$

$$T(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2 \operatorname{arcsin}[(a-b)/(a+b)]} = SB[A(a,b),Q(a,b)] \text{ is the second Seiffert mean,}$$

$$M(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2 \operatorname{arcsin}h[(a-b)/(a+b)]} = SB[Q(a,b),A(a,b)] \text{ is the Neuman-Sándor mean,}$$

$$L(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2 \operatorname{arctan}h[(a-b)/(a+b)]} = SB[A(a,b),G(a,b)] \text{ is the logarithmic mean.}$$

Yang[5] found a new mean (call Sándor-Yang mean) derived from the Schwab-Borchardt mean as follows:

$$R(a,b) = b e^{a/SB(a,b)-1}$$

Let $R_{GA}(a,b) = R[G(a,b), A(a,b)], R_{AG}(a,b) = R[A(a,b), G(a,b)], R_{AQ}(a,b) = R[A(a,b), Q(a,b)],$ $R_{QA}(a,b) = R[Q(a,b), A(a,b)].$ Then the following explicit formulas for $R_{GA}(a,b), R_{AG}(a,b), R_{AQ}(a,b)$ and $R_{QA}(a,b)$ are found by Yang[5]:

$$R_{GA}(a,b) = A(a,b) e^{G(a,b)/P(a,b)-1} = X(a,b), R_{AQ}(a,b) = Q(a,b) e^{A(a,b)/T(a,b)-1},$$

$$R_{AG}(a,b) = G(a,b) e^{A(a,b)/L(a,b)-1} = I(a,b), R_{QA}(a,b) = A(a,b) e^{Q(a,b)/M(a,b)-1}$$

where X(a,b)[6] and I(a,b)[7, 8] are respectively Sándor and identric means. Then it is that the inequalities (See [4], Theorem 4.1)

$$G(a,b) < X(a,b) < I(a,b) < A(a,b) < R_{AQ}(a,b) < R_{QA}(a,b) < Q(a,b)$$

In recent years, the Sándor-Yang type means have been the subject on intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for the Sándor-Yang type means can be found in the literature [9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20].

Alzer and Qiu[11] prove that the inequality

$$\alpha A(a,b) + (1-\alpha) G(a,b) < I(a,b) < \beta A(a,b) + (1-\beta) G(a,b)$$

holds for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \le 2/3, \beta \ge 2/e = 0.7357 \cdots$.

In[12], Qian et al. present the best possible parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4 \in (0, 1)$ such that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{1}A(a,b) + (1-\alpha_{1})H(a,b) < X(a,b) < \beta_{1}A(a,b) + (1-\beta_{1})H(a,b), \\ &\alpha_{2}A(a,b) + (1-\alpha_{2})G(a,b) < X(a,b) < \beta_{2}A(a,b) + (1-\beta_{2})G(a,b), \\ &H[\alpha_{3}a + (1-\alpha_{3})b,\alpha_{3}b + (1-\alpha_{3})a] < X(a,b) < H[\beta_{3}a + (1-\beta_{3})b,\beta_{3}b + (1-\beta_{3})a], \\ &G[\alpha_{4}a + (1-\alpha_{4})b,\alpha_{4}b + (1-\alpha_{4})a] < X(a,b) < G[\beta_{4}a + (1-\beta_{4})b,\beta_{4}b + (1-\beta_{4})a] \end{aligned}$$

hold for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$, where H(a, b) = 2ab/(a+b) is harmonic mean of a and b.

In[18, 19], the authors established the following sharp inequalities

$$M_{\alpha}(a,b) < R_{QA}(a,b) < M_{\beta}(a,b),$$
$$M_{\lambda}(a,b) < R_{AQ}(a,b) < M_{\mu}(a,b)$$

hold for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \log 2 / \left[1 + \log 2 - \sqrt{2} \log \left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right)\right] = 1.5517 \cdots , \beta \geq 5/3, \lambda \leq 4 \log 2 / [4 + 2 \log 2 - \pi] = 1.2351 \cdots$ and $\mu \geq 4/3$. Where $M_p(a, b) = [(a^p + b^p)/2]^{1/p}$ and $M_0(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ is the *p*th power mean of *a* and *b*.

The main purpose of this paper is to present the best possible parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4 \in [0, 1]$ such that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1+\alpha_1)\,G(a,b)+(1-\alpha_1)A(a,b)}{(1-\alpha_1)\,G(a,b)+(1+\alpha_1)A(a,b)} < \frac{X(a,b)}{A(a,b)} < \frac{(1+\beta_1)\,G(a,b)+(1-\beta_1)A(a,b)}{(1-\beta_1)\,G(a,b)+(1+\beta_1)A(a,b)}, \\ &\frac{(1+\alpha_2)\,Q(a,b)+(1-\alpha_2)A(a,b)}{(1-\alpha_2)\,Q(a,b)+(1+\alpha_2)A(a,b)} < \frac{R_{QA}(a,b)}{A(a,b)} < \frac{(1+\beta_2)\,Q(a,b)+(1-\beta_2)A(a,b)}{(1-\beta_2)\,Q(a,b)+(1+\beta_2)A(a,b)}, \\ &\frac{(1+\alpha_3)A(a,b)+(1-\alpha_3)\,G(a,b)}{(1-\alpha_3)A(a,b)+(1+\alpha_3)\,G(a,b)} < \frac{I(a,b)}{G(a,b)} < \frac{(1+\beta_3)A(a,b)+(1-\beta_3)\,G(a,b)}{(1-\beta_3)A(a,b)+(1+\beta_3)\,G(a,b)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{(1+\alpha_4)A(a,b) + (1-\alpha_4)Q(a,b)}{(1-\alpha_4)A(a,b) + (1+\alpha_4)Q(a,b)} < \frac{R_{AQ}(a,b)}{Q(a,b)} < \frac{(1+\beta_4)A(a,b) + (1-\beta_4)Q(a,b)}{(1-\beta_4)A(a,b) + (1+\beta_4)Q(a,b)}$$

hold for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$.

2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results we need two lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemmas 2.1. Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\phi(x) = (1 - p^2)^2 x^4 + 2(-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2)x^3 + 2(1 - p)^2 (3p^2 + 2p + 3)x^2 + 2(-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2)x + p^4 - 4p^3 - 2p^2 - 4p + 1.$$
(2.1)

Then the following statements are true:

(1) If p = 2/3, then $\phi(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0,1)$ and $\phi(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (1,\sqrt{2})$; (2) If $p = (e-1)/(e+1) = 0.4621\cdots$, then there exists $\lambda_1 (= 0.5736\cdots) \in (0,1)$ such that $\phi(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0,\lambda_1)$ and $\phi(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\lambda_1,1)$; (3) If $p = (3+2\sqrt{2}) \left[(1+\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}} - e \right] / \left[(1+\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}} + e \right] = 0.7145\cdots$, then there exists $\lambda_2 (= 1.1126\cdots) \in (1,\sqrt{2})$ such that $\phi(x) < 0$ for $x \in (1,\lambda_2)$ and $\phi(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\lambda_2,\sqrt{2})$.

Proof For part (1), if p = 2/3, then (2.1) becomes

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{81}(x-1)\left(25x^3 + 225x^2 + 327x + 287\right)$$
(2.2)

Therefore, part (1) follows easily from (2.2).

For part (2), if $p = (e-1)/(e+1) = 0.4621\cdots$, then simple computations lead to

$$-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2 = 1.5453... > 0, (2.3)$$

$$-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2 = 0.0916... > 0, (2.4)$$

$$\phi(0) = p^4 - 4p^3 - 2p^2 - 4p + 1 = -1.6247 \dots < 0, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\phi(1) = 8(2 - 3p) = 4.9091 \dots > 0.$$
 (2.6)

It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$\phi'(x) = 4(1-p^2)^2 x^3 + 6(-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2)x^2 + 4(1-p)^2(3p^2 + 2p + 3)x + 2(-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2) > 0$$
(2.7)

for $x \in (0, 1)$.

Therefore, part (2) follows easily from (2.5) and (2.6) together with (2.7).

For part (3), if $p = (3+2\sqrt{2})\left[(1+\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}}-e\right]/\left[(1+\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}}+e\right] = 0.7145\cdots$, then numerical computations lead to

$$-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2 = 1.1287 \dots > 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2 = -0.2699 \dots < 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\phi(0) = p^{4} - 4p^{3} - 2p^{2} - 4p + 1 = -4.0785 \dots < 0, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$\phi\left(\sqrt{2}\right) = (-12\sqrt{2} + 17)p^{4} + (14\sqrt{2} - 20)p^{3} - 2p^{2} + (-14\sqrt{2} - 20)p + 17 + 12\sqrt{2} = 4.4433 \dots > 0 \qquad (2.11)$$

and

$$\phi'(x) = 4(1-p^2)^2 x^3 + 6(-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2)x^2 + 4(1-p)^2(3p^2 + 2p + 3)x + 2(-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2).$$
(2.12)

It follows from (2.12)

$$\phi'(x) > 4(1-p^2)^2 + 6(-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2) + 4(1-p)^2(3p^2 + 2p + 3) + 2(-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2) = 32(1-p) > 0$$
(2.13)

Therefore, part (3) follows from (2.10), (2.11) and (2.13).

Lemmas 2.2. Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\varphi(x) = (p^4 - 4p^3 - 2p^2 - 4p + 1)x^4 + 2(-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2)x^3$$

+2(1-p)²(3p²+2p+3)x²+2(-2p⁴+p³-p+2)x+(1-p²)². (2.14)

Then the following statements are true:

(1) If
$$p = 2/3$$
, then $\phi(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (1, \sqrt{2})$;
(2) If $p = 1$, then $\phi(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (1, \sqrt{2})$;
(3) If $p = (3 + 2\sqrt{2}) (1 - e^{\frac{\pi}{4} - 1}) / (1 + e^{\frac{\pi}{4} - 1}) = 0.6230 \cdots$, then there exists
 $\lambda_3 (= 1.1054 \cdots) \in (1, \sqrt{2})$ such that $\phi(x) > 0$ for $x \in (1, \lambda_3)$ and $\phi(x) < 0$ for $x \in (\lambda_3, \sqrt{2})$.

Proof For part (1), if p = 2/3, then (2.14) lead to

$$\varphi(x) = -\frac{1}{81}(x-1)\left(287x^3 + 327x^2 + 225x + 25\right).$$
(2.15)

Therefore, part (1) follows easily from (2.15).

For part (2), if p = 1, then (2.14) lead to

$$\varphi(x) = -8x^4 < 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, part (2) follows easily from (2.16).

For part (3), If $p = \left(3 + 2\sqrt{2}\right) \left(1 - e^{\frac{\pi}{4} - 1}\right) / \left(1 + e^{\frac{\pi}{4} - 1}\right) = 0.6230\cdots$, then numerical computations lead to

$$p^4 - 4p^3 - 2p^2 - 4p + 1 = -3.0848 \dots < 0, \qquad (2.17)$$

$$-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2 = -0.2072 \dots < 0, \tag{2.18}$$

$$-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2 = 1.3175 \dots > 0, \qquad (2.19)$$

$$\varphi(1) = 8(2 - 3p) = 1.0478 \dots > 0, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\varphi\left(\sqrt{2}\right) = -6.3354\dots < 0, \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\varphi'(x) = 4\left(p^4 - 4p^3 - 2p^2 - 4p + 1\right)x^3 + 6\left(-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2\right)x^2 + 4(1-p)^2\left(3p^2 + 2p + 3\right)x + 2\left(-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2\right).$$
(2.22)

$$\varphi'(x) < 4(p^4 - 4p^3 - 2p^2 - 4p + 1)x + 6(-2p^4 + 5p^3 - 5p + 2)x +4(1-p)^2(3p^2 + 2p + 3)x + 2(-2p^4 + p^3 - p + 2)x = 32(1-2p)x < 0$$
(2.23)

for $x \in (1, \sqrt{2})$.

Therefore, part (3) follows from (2.20), (2.21) and (2.23).

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. The double inequality

$$A\frac{(1+\alpha_1)G + (1-\alpha_1)A}{(1-\alpha_1)G + (1+\alpha_1)A} < X(a,b) < A\frac{(1+\beta_1)G + (1-\beta_1)A}{(1-\beta_1)G + (1+\beta_1)A}$$
(3.1)

holds for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_1 \ge 2/3$, $\beta_1 \le (e-1)/(e+1) = 0.4621\cdots$.

Proof Since X(a,b), G(a,b) and A(a,b) are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1, we assume that a > b. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b) \in (0,1)$, $x = \sqrt{1-v^2} \in (0,1)$ and $p \in [0,1]$. Then (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\beta_{1} < \frac{(A+G)\left[A-X\left(a,b\right)\right]}{(A-G)\left[A+X\left(a,b\right)\right]} < \alpha_{1}$$

$$(3.2)$$

$$\frac{(A+G)\left[A-X\left(a,b\right)\right]}{(A-G)\left[A+X\left(a,b\right)\right]} = \frac{\left(1+\sqrt{1-v^{2}}\right)\left(1-e^{\sqrt{1-v^{2}}\arcsin\left(v\right)/v-1}\right)}{\left(1-\sqrt{1-v^{2}}\right)\left(1+e^{\sqrt{1-v^{2}}\arcsin\left(v\right)/v-1}\right)}$$
(3.3)
$$\log X\left(a,b\right) - \log A \frac{(1+p)G+(1-p)A}{(1-p)G+(1+p)A}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{1-v^{2}}\arcsin\left(v\right)}{v} - \log \frac{(1+p)\sqrt{1-v^{2}}+1-p}{(1-p)\sqrt{1-v^{2}}+1+p} - 1$$

$$=\frac{x \arcsin\left(\sqrt{1-x^2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} - \log\frac{(1+p)x+1-p}{(1-p)x+1+p} - 1.$$
(3.4)

Let

$$F(x) = \frac{x \arcsin\left(\sqrt{1-x^2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} - \log\frac{(1+p)x + 1 - p}{(1-p)x + 1 + p} - 1$$
(3.5)

Then simple computations lead to

$$F(0^{+}) = \log\left(\frac{1+p}{1-p}\right) - 1, F(1^{-}) = 0, \qquad (3.6)$$

$$F'(x) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - x^2\right)^{3/2}} f(x), \qquad (3.7)$$

where

$$f(x) = \arcsin\left(\sqrt{1-x^2}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}\left[\left(1-p^2\right)x^3 + 2(1-p)^2x^2 + \left(1-p^2\right)x + 4p\right]}{\left[(1-p)x + 1+p\right]\left[(1+p)x + 1-p\right]}$$
(3.8)

$$f(0^{+}) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4p}{1 - p^{2}}, f(1^{-}) = 0$$
(3.9)

$$f'(x) = -\frac{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\left[(1-p)x+1+p\right]^2\left[(1+p)x+1-p\right]^2}\phi(x)$$
(3.10)

where $\phi(x)$ is defined Lemma 2.1.

We divide the proof into two cases.

• Case 1 If p = 2/3. Then (3.4)-(3.7), (3.9) and (3.10) together with Lemma 2.1(1) lead to the conclusion that

$$X(a,b) > A \frac{5G+A}{G+5A} \tag{3.11}$$

• Case 2 If p = (e-1)/(e+1). Then from (3.6) and (3.9) together with numerical computations we get

$$F(0^+) = 0, f(0^+) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{e^2 - 1}{e} = -0.7796 \dots < 0.$$
 (3.12)

Let $\lambda_1 = 0.5736\cdots$ be the number given in Lemma 2.1(2).

We divide the discussion into two subcases.

- subcase 1 $x \in (0, \lambda_1]$. Then Lemma 2.1(2) and (3.10) lead to the conclusion that f(x) is Strictly increasing on the interval $(0, \lambda_1]$.
- subcase 2 $x \in [\lambda_1, 1)$. Then Lemma 2.1(2) and (3.10) lead to the conclusion that f(x) is Strictly decreasing on the interval $x \in [\lambda_1, 1)$, with (3.9) imply that f(x) > 0.

Then from (3.12) and Subcase1 we know that there exists $x_0 \in (0, \lambda_1)$ such that f(x) < 0 for $x \in (0, x_0]$ and f(x) > 0 for $[x_0, \lambda_1]$.

Thus, f(x) < 0 for $x \in (0, x_0]$ and f(x) > 0 for $x \in [x_0, 1)$.

With (3.7) we know that F(x) is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_0]$ and strictly increasing on $[x_0, 1)$.

Therefore,

$$X(a,b) < A \frac{eG + A}{G + eA} \tag{3.13}$$

follows from (3.4)-(3.6) and (3.12) together with the piecewise monotonicity of F(x).

Note that

$$\lim_{\nu \to 0^+} \frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}\right) \left(1 - e^{\sqrt{1 - \nu^2} \arcsin(\nu)/\nu - 1}\right)}{\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}\right) \left(1 + e^{\sqrt{1 - \nu^2} \arcsin(\nu)/\nu - 1}\right)} = \frac{2}{3},$$
(3.14)

$$\lim_{\nu \to 1^{-}} \frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}\right) \left(1 - e^{\sqrt{1 - \nu^2} \arcsin(\nu)/\nu - 1}\right)}{\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}\right) \left(1 + e^{\sqrt{1 - \nu^2} \arcsin(\nu)/\nu - 1}\right)} = \frac{e - 1}{e + 1} = 0.4621 \cdots .$$
(3.15)

In conclusion, Theorem 2.1 follows form (3.3), (3.11) and (3.13)-(3.15) together with that fact that inequality (3.1) is equivalent to (3.2).

Theorem 3.2. The double inequality

$$A\frac{(1+\alpha_2)Q+(1-\alpha_2)A}{(1-\alpha_2)Q+(1+\alpha_2)A} < R_{QA}(a,b) < A\frac{(1+\beta_2)Q+(1-\beta_2)A}{(1-\beta_2)Q+(1+\beta_2)A}$$
(3.16)

holds for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_2 \le 2/3$, $\beta_2 \ge (3 + 2\sqrt{2}) \left[(1 + \sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}} - e \right] / \left[(1 + \sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}} + e \right] = 0.7145 \cdots$. **Proof** Since $B_{0,4}(a, b) \land (a, b)$ and O(a, b) are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1, we

Proof Since $R_{QA}(a,b)$, A(a,b) and Q(a,b) are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1, we assume that a > b. Let $v = (a-b) / (a+b) \in (0,1)$, $x = \sqrt{1+v^2} \in (1,\sqrt{2})$ and $p \in [0,1]$. Then (3.16) can be rewritten as

$$\alpha_{2} < \frac{(Q+A)(R_{QA}(a,b)-A)}{(Q-A)(R_{QA}(a,b)+A)} < \beta_{2}$$
(3.17)

$$\frac{(Q+A)(R_{QA}(a,b)-A)}{(Q-A)(R_{QA}(a,b)+A)} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{1+v^2}+1\right)\left(e^{\sqrt{1+v^2}\arcsin h(v)/v-1}-1\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1+v^2}-1\right)\left(e^{\sqrt{1+v^2}\arcsin h(v)/v-1}+1\right)}$$
(3.18)

$$\log R_{QA}(a,b) - \log A \frac{(1+p)Q+(1-p)A}{(1-p)Q+(1+p)A}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{1+v^2}\operatorname{arcsinh}(v)}{v} - \log \frac{(1+p)\sqrt{1+v^2}+1-p}{(1-p)\sqrt{1+v^2}+1+p} - 1$$

$$= \frac{x\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\sqrt{x^2-1}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} - \log \frac{(1+p)x+1-p}{(1-p)x+1+p} - 1.$$
(3.19)

Let

$$G(x) = \frac{x \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} - \log \frac{(1 + p)x + 1 - p}{(1 - p)x + 1 + p} - 1.$$
(3.20)

Then simple computations lead to

$$G(1^{+}) = 0, G(\sqrt{2}^{-}) = \sqrt{2}\log\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right) - \log\frac{(1+p)\sqrt{2} + 1 - p}{(1-p)\sqrt{2} + 1 + p} - 1,$$
(3.21)

$$G'(x) = \frac{1}{\left(x^2 - 1\right)^{3/2}} g(x), \qquad (3.22)$$

where

$$g(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 1} \left[\left(1 - p^2\right) x^3 + 2(1 - p)^2 x^2 + \left(1 - p^2\right) x + 4p \right]}{\left[(1 - p)x + 1 + p \right] \left[(1 + p)x + 1 - p \right]} - \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right) \quad (3.23)$$

$$g(1^{+}) = 0, g(\sqrt{2}^{-}) = \frac{\left(4 - 3\sqrt{2}\right)p^2 - 4p + 4 + 3\sqrt{2}}{\left(2\sqrt{2} - 3\right)p^2 + 3 + 2\sqrt{2}} - \log\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right), \quad (3.24)$$

$$g'(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}{\left[(1 - p)x + 1 + p\right]^2 \left[(1 + p)x + 1 - p\right]^2} \phi(x)$$
(3.25)

where $\phi(x)$ is defined Lemma 2.1.

We divide the proof into two cases.

• Case 1 If p = 2/3. Then (3.19)-(3.22), (3.24) and (3.25) together with Lemma 2.1(1) lead to the conclusion that

$$R_{QA}(a,b) > A \frac{5Q+A}{Q+5A} \tag{3.26}$$

• Case 2 If $p = (3+2\sqrt{2})\left[(1+\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}}-e\right] / \left[(1+\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}}+e\right] = 0.7145\cdots$. Then

from (3.21) and (3.24) together with numerical computations we get

$$G\left(\sqrt{2}^{-}\right) = 0, g\left(\sqrt{2}^{-}\right) = 0.0349\cdots$$
 (3.27)

Let $\lambda_2 = 1.1126\cdots$ be the number given in Lemma 2.1(3). We divide the discussion into two subcases.

We divide the discussion into two subcases.

• subcase 1 $x \in (1, \lambda_2]$. Then Lemma 2.1(3) and (3.24) and (3.25) imply that

$$g\left(x\right)<0.$$

10

• subcase 2 $x \in [\lambda_2, \sqrt{2}]$. Then Lemma 2.1(3) and (3.25) lead to the conclusion that g(x) is strictly increasing on the interval $[\lambda_2, \sqrt{2}]$. Then from (3.27) and Subcase 1 we know that there exists $x_1 \in [\lambda_2, \sqrt{2}]$ such that g(x) < 0 for $x \in [\lambda_2, x_1)$ and g(x) > 0 for $(x_1, \sqrt{2})$.

It follows from Subcase 1 and 2 together with (3.22) that G(x) is strictly decreasing on $(1, x_1]$ and strictly increasing on $\left[x_1, \sqrt{2}\right)$. Therefore,

$$R_{QA}(a,b) < A \frac{(1+p)Q + (1-p)A}{(1-p)Q + (1+p)A}$$
(3.28)

follows from (3.19)-(3.21) and (3.27) together with the piecewise monotonicity of G(x).

Note that

$$\lim_{v \to 0^{+}} \frac{\left(\sqrt{1+v^{2}}+1\right) \left(e^{\sqrt{1+v^{2}} \arcsin h(v)/v-1}-1\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1+v^{2}}-1\right) \left(e^{\sqrt{1+v^{2}} \arcsin h(v)/v-1}+1\right)} = \frac{2}{3},$$
(3.29)

$$\lim_{\nu \to 1^{-}} \frac{\left(\sqrt{1+\nu^{2}}+1\right) \left(e^{\sqrt{1+\nu^{2}} \arcsin h(\nu)/\nu-1}-1\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1+\nu^{2}}-1\right) \left(e^{\sqrt{1+\nu^{2}} \arcsin h(\nu)/\nu-1}+1\right)}$$
$$= \frac{\left(3+2\sqrt{2}\right) \left[\left(1+\sqrt{2}\right)^{\sqrt{2}}-e\right]}{\left(1+\sqrt{2}\right)^{\sqrt{2}}+e} = 0.7145\cdots.$$
(3.30)

Therefore, Theorem 2.2 follows form (3.18), (3.26) and (3.28)-(3.30) together with that fact that inequality (3.16) is equivalent to (3.17).

Theorem 3.3. The double inequality

$$G\frac{(1+\alpha_3)A + (1-\alpha_3)G}{(1-\alpha_3)A + (1+\alpha_3)G} < I(a,b) < G\frac{(1+\beta_3)A + (1-\beta_3)G}{(1-\beta_3)A + (1+\beta_3)G}$$
(3.31)

holds for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_3 \leq 2/3$, $\beta_3 \geq 1$.

Proof Since I(a,b), G(a,b) and A(a,b) are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1, we assume that a > b. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b) \in (0,1), x = \sqrt{1-v^2} \in (0,1)$ and $p \in [0,1]$. Then

(3.31) can be rewritten as

$$\alpha_3 < \frac{[A+G] [I(a,b)-G]}{[A-G] [I(a,b)+G]} < \beta_3, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\frac{[A+G][I(a,b)-G]}{[A-G][I(a,b)+G]} = \frac{\left(1+\sqrt{1-v^2}\right)\left(e^{\arctan h(v)/v-1}-1\right)}{\left(1-\sqrt{1-v^2}\right)\left(e^{\arctan h(v)/v-1}+1\right)}.$$
(3.33)

$$\log I(a,b) - \log G(a,b) \frac{(1+p)A(a,b) + (1-p)G(a,b)}{(1-p)A(a,b) + (1+p)G(a,b)}$$

= $\frac{\arctan h(v)}{v} - \log \frac{(1-p)\sqrt{1-v^2} + 1+p}{(1+p)\sqrt{1-v^2} + 1-p} - 1$
= $\frac{\arctan h\left(\sqrt{1-x^2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} - \log \frac{(1-p)x + 1+p}{(1+p)x + 1-p} - 1.$ (3.34)

Let

$$H(x) = \frac{\arctan h\left(\sqrt{1-x^2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} - \log \frac{(1-p)x + 1 + p}{(1+p)x + 1 - p} - 1$$
(3.35)

Then simple computations lead to

$$H(1^{-}) = 0,$$
 (3.36)

$$H'(x) = \frac{x}{\left(1 - x^2\right)^{3/2}} h(x), \qquad (3.37)$$

where

$$h(x) = \arctan h\left(\sqrt{1-x^2}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}\left[4px^3 + (1-p^2)x^2 + 2(1-p)^2x + 1-p^2\right]}{x^2\left[(1-p)x + 1+p\right]\left[(1+p)x + 1-p\right]}$$
(3.38)

$$h(1^{-}) = 0,$$
 (3.39)

$$h'(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x^3[(1-p)x+1+p]^2[(1+p)x+1-p]^2}\varphi(x)$$
(3.40)

where $\varphi(x)$ is defined Lemma 2.2.

We divide the proof into two cases.

• Case 1 If p = 2/3. Then (3.34)-(3.37), (3.39) and (3.40) together with Lemma 2.2(1) lead to the conclusion that

$$I(a,b) > G\frac{5A+G}{A+5G} \tag{3.41}$$

SHARP BOUNDS INVOLVING THE SÁNDOR-YANG MEANS IN TERMS OF OTHER BIVARIATE MEANS 13

• Case 2 If *p* = 1. Then from Lemma 2.2(2) and (3.34)-(3.37) together with (3.39)-(3.40) we know that

$$I(a,b) < A(a,b). \tag{3.42}$$

$$\lim_{\nu \to 0^+} \frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}\right) \left(e^{\arctan h(\nu)/\nu - 1} - 1\right)}{\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}\right) \left(e^{\arctan h(\nu)/\nu - 1} + 1\right)} = \frac{2}{3},$$
(3.43)

$$\lim_{\nu \to 1^{-}} \frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}\right) \left(e^{\arctan h(\nu)/\nu - 1} - 1\right)}{\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}\right) \left(e^{\arctan h(\nu)/\nu - 1} + 1\right)} = 1.$$
(3.44)

Therefore, Theorem 2.3 follows for (3.33), (3.41) and (3.42)-(3.44) together with that fact that inequality (3.31) is equivalent to (3.32).

Theorem 3.4. The double inequality

$$Q\frac{(1+\alpha_4)A + (1-\alpha_4)Q}{(1-\alpha_4)A + (1+\alpha_4)Q} < R_{AQ}(a,b) < Q\frac{(1+\beta_4)A + (1-\beta_4)Q}{(1-\beta_4)A + (1+\beta_4)Q}$$
(3.45)

holds for all a, b > 0 with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_4 \ge 2/3$, $\beta_4 \le (3 + 2\sqrt{2}) (1 - e^{\pi/4 - 1}) / (1 + e^{\pi/4 - 1}) = 0.6230 \cdots$.

Proof Since $R_{AQ}(a,b)$, A(a,b) and Q(a,b) are symmetric and homogenous of degree 1, we assume that a > b. Let $v = (a-b) / (a+b) \in (0,1)$, $x = \sqrt{1+v^2} \in (1,\sqrt{2})$ and $p \in [0,1]$. Then (3.45) can be rewritten as

$$\beta_{4} < \frac{[Q+A] [Q-R_{AQ}(a,b)]}{[Q-A] [Q+R_{AQ}(a,b)]} < \alpha_{4},$$
(3.46)

$$\frac{[Q+A][Q-R_{AQ}(a,b)]}{[Q-A][Q+R_{AQ}(a,b)]} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{1+v^2}+1\right)\left(1-e^{\arctan(v)/v-1}\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1+v^2}-1\right)\left(1+e^{\arctan(v)/v-1}\right)}.$$

$$\log R_{AQ}(a,b) - \log Q \frac{(1+p)A+(1-p)Q}{(1-p)A+(1+p)Q}$$

$$= \frac{\arctan(v)}{v} - \log \frac{(1-p)\sqrt{1+v^2}+1+p}{(1+p)\sqrt{1+v^2}+1-p} - 1$$

$$= \frac{\arctan\left(\sqrt{x^2-1}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2-1}} - \log \frac{(1-p)x+1+p}{(1+p)x+1-p} - 1.$$
(3.48)

Let

$$J(x) = \frac{\arctan\left(\sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} - \log\frac{(1 - p)x + 1 + p}{(1 + p)x + 1 - p} - 1$$
(3.49)

Then simple computations lead to

$$J(1^{+}) = 0, J(\sqrt{2}^{-}) = \frac{\pi}{4} - \log\frac{(1-p)\sqrt{2} + 1 + p}{(1+p)\sqrt{2} + 1 - p} - 1,$$
(3.50)

$$J'(x) = \frac{x}{\left(x^2 - 1\right)^{3/2}} J_1(x), \qquad (3.51)$$

where

$$J_1(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 1} \left[4px^3 + (1 - p^2)x^2 + 2(1 - p)^2x + (1 - p^2) \right]}{x^2 \left[(1 - p)x + 1 + p \right] \left[(1 + p)x + 1 - p \right]} - \arctan\left(\sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right), \quad (3.52)$$

$$J_1(1^+) = 0, J_1(\sqrt{2}^-) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}p}{\left(2\sqrt{2}-3\right)p^2 + 2\sqrt{2}+3} - \frac{\pi-2}{4}, \qquad (3.53)$$

$$J_{1}'(x) = -\frac{2\sqrt{x^{2}-1}}{x^{3}[(1-p)x+1+p]^{2}[(1+p)x+1-p]^{2}}\varphi(x)$$
(3.54)

where $\varphi(x)$ is defined Lemma 2.2.

We divide the proof into two cases.

• Case 1 If p = 2/3. Then (3.48)-(3.51) and (3.53) together with Lemma 2.2(1) lead to the conclusion that

$$R_{AQ}(a,b) > Q \frac{5A+Q}{A+5Q}.$$
 (3.55)

• Case 2 If $p = (3 + 2\sqrt{2}) (1 - e^{\pi/4 - 1}) / (1 + e^{\pi/4 - 1}) = 0.6230 \cdots$. Then from (3.50)

and (3.53) together with numerical computations we get

$$J(\sqrt{2}^{-}) = 0, J_1(\sqrt{2}^{-}) = 0.0204\cdots$$
 (3.56)

Let $\lambda_3 = 1.1054\cdots$ be the number given in Lemma 2.1(3).

We divide the discussion into two subcases.

• subcase 1 $x \in (1, \lambda_3]$. Then Lemma 2.2(2) and (3.53) and (3.54) imply that

$$J_1(x) < 0. (3.57)$$

14

• subcase 2 $x \in [\lambda_3, \sqrt{2}]$. Then Lemma 2.2(2) and (3.54) lead to the conclusion that $J_1(x)$ is strictly increasing on the interval $[\lambda_3, \sqrt{2}]$. Then from (3.56) and Subcase 1 we know that there exists $x_2 \in [\lambda_3, \sqrt{2}]$ such that $J_1(x) < 0$ for $x \in [\lambda_3, x_2)$ and $J_1(x) > 0$ for $(x_2, \sqrt{2})$.

It follows from Subcase 1 and 2 together with (3.51) that J(x) is strictly decreasing on $(1, x_2]$ and strictly increasing on $\left[x_2, \sqrt{2}\right)$. Therefore,

$$R_{AQ}(a,b) < Q \frac{(1+p)A + (1-p)Q}{(1-p)A + (1+p)Q}$$
(3.58)

follows from (3.48)-(3.50) and (3.56) together with the piecewise monotonicity of J(x).

Note that

$$\lim_{\nu \to 0^+} \frac{\left(\sqrt{1+\nu^2}+1\right) \left(1-e^{\arctan(\nu)/\nu-1}\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1+\nu^2}-1\right) \left(1+e^{\arctan(\nu)/\nu-1}\right)} = \frac{2}{3},\tag{3.59}$$

$$\lim_{\nu \to 1^{-}} \frac{\left(\sqrt{1+\nu^{2}}+1\right) \left(1-e^{\arctan(\nu)/\nu-1}\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1+\nu^{2}}-1\right) \left(1+e^{\arctan(\nu)/\nu-1}\right)} = \frac{\left(3+2\sqrt{2}\right) \left(1-e^{\pi/4-1}\right)}{\left(1+e^{\pi/4-1}\right)} = 0.6230\cdots.$$
 (3.60)

Therefore, Theorem 2.2 follows form (3.47), (3.55) and (3.57)-(3.59) together with that fact that inequality (3.45) is equivalent to (3.46).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Broadcast and TV University(Grant Nos.XKT-17Z04,XKT-17G26) and teachers professional development project of Department of Education of Zhejiang Province (Grant No.FX2017084) and the project of Zhejiang Modern Distance Education Society(Grant No.DES-18Z04).

REFERENCES

- J L. Brenner, B C. Carlson, Homogeneous mean values: weights and asymptotes, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 123 (1987), 265-280.
- [2] B C. Carlson, Algorithms involving arithmetic and geometric means, Am. Math. Mon., 78 (1971), 496-505.
- [3] E.Neuman, J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, Math. Pannon, 14(2) (2003), 253-266.
- [4] E. Neuman, On a new family of bivariate means, J. Math. Inequal., 11(3) (2017), 673-681.

- [5] Zh.-H. Yang, Three families of two-parameter means constructed by trigonometric functions, J. Inequal. Appl., 2013 (2013), 541.
- [6] J.Sándor, On two new means of two variables, Notes Numb. Theory Discr. Math., 20 (2014), 1-9.
- [7] J. Sándor, On the identric and logarithmic means, Aequationes Math., 40 (1990), 261-270.
- [8] J. Sándor, On certain identities for means, Studia Univ. Babes, Bolyai, Math., 38(4)(1993), 7-14.
- [9] J. Sándor, Two sharp inequalities for trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, Math. Inequal. Appl., 15(2) (2012), 409-413.
- [10] P.S. Bullen, Handbook of means and their inequalities, Kluwer Acad, Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- [11] H. Alzer and S. L. Qiu, Inequalities for means in two variables, Arch. (Basel) 80(2)(2003), 201-215.
- [12] W.-M. Qian, Y.-M.Chu, and X.-H. Zhang, Sharp Bounds for Sándor Mean in Terms of Arithmetic, Geometricand Harmonic Means, J. Inequal. Appl., 2015(2015), 221.
- [13] A. O. Pittenger. Inequalities between arithmetic and logarithmic means, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn.
 Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz., 678-715(1980), 15-18.
- [14] Zh.-H. Yang, L.-M.Wu, and Y.-M. Chu, Sharp power mean bounds for Sndor mean, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2014(2014), Article ID 172867.
- [15] S.-S. Zhou, W.-M.Qian, Y.-M.Chu and X.-H. Zhang, Sharp power-type Heronian mean bounds for the Sándor and Yang means, J. Inequal. Appl., 2015 (2015), 159.
- [16] H. Alzer, Ungleichungen für mittelwerte, Arch. Math., 47(5), 422-426(1986).
- [17] Y.-Q. Song, W.-F.Xia, X.-H.Shen and Y.-M. Chu, Bounds for the identric mean in terms of one-parameter mean, Appl. Math. Sci., 7(88)(2013), 4375-4386.
- [18] T.-H. Zhao, W.-M. Qian and Y.-Q. Song, Optimal bounds for two Sándor-type means in terms of power means, J. Inequal. Appl., 2016 (2016), 64.
- [19] Zh.-H. Yang and Y.-M Chu, Optimal evaluations for the Sndor-Yang mean by power mean, Math. Inequal. Appl., 19 (2016), 1031-1038.
- [20] Yang Yue-ying and Qian Wei-mao, Two Optimal Inequalities Related to the Sándor- Yang Type Mean and One-parameter Mean, Commun. Math. Res., 32(4)(2016), 352-358, (in Chinese).