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Abstract. In this paper we shall consider the moduli of all the zeros of $r(z)$ instead of maximum modulus of zeros of $r(z)$ and present a refinement of some results. We shall also prove a result of similar nature.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ be the class of polynomials $P(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ of degree at most $n$. Let $D_{k-}$ denotes the region inside the circle $T_{k}=\{z ;|z|=k>0\}$ and $D_{k+}$ the region outside $T_{k}$. For $a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $j=1,2, \ldots, n$, we write

$$
W(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-a_{j}\right) \quad ; \quad B(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1-\overline{a_{j}} z_{j}}{z-a_{j}}\right)
$$

and
$\mathscr{R}_{n}=\mathscr{R}_{n}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\left\{\frac{P(z)}{W(z)}: P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\right\}$,
then $\mathscr{R}_{n}$ is the set of all rational functions with poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ at most and with finite limit

[^0]at infinity.We observe that $B(z) \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$. For $f$ defined on $T_{k}$ in the complex plane, we set $\|f\|=\sup _{z \in T_{k}}|f(z)|$, the Chebyshev norm $f$ on $T_{1}$. Throughout this paper, we also assume that all poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are in $D_{1+}$. The following famous result is due to Bernstein [4].

Theorem 1.1. If $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ then $\left\|P^{\prime}\right\| \leq n\|P\|$.

As a refinement of Theorem 1.1, A. Aziz [1] and Malik [6] proved the following:
Theorem 1.2. If $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and $P^{*}(z)=z^{n} \overline{P\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$ then $\left\|\quad\left|\left(P^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|+|P(z)| \quad\right\|=n \| P(z)| |$.

The following result was conjectured by Erdös and later proved by Lax [5]

Theorem 1.3. If $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and all the zeros of $P(z)$ lie in $T_{1} \cup D_{1+}$ then for $z \in T_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{n}{2}\|P(z)\| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (1) holds for $P(z)=\alpha z^{n}+\beta$ with $|\alpha|=|\beta|$.

Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [8] have proved Bernstein-type inequalities similar to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 for rational functions with prescribed poles where they replaced $z^{n}$ by Blaschkes product $B(z)$.Among other things they proved the following generalisation of Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 1.4. Suppose $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{1} \cup D_{1+}$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r\| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (2) holds for $r(z)=\alpha B(z)+\beta$ with $|\alpha|=|\beta|=1$.

Aziz and Zargar [3] have proved the following generalization of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.5. Suppose $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$ where $k \geq 1$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k-1)}{(k+1)} \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r\| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (3) holds for $r(z)=\left(\frac{z+k}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ where $a>1, k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the proof of these Theorems we need the following Lemmas. First Lemma is due to Li , Mohapatra and Rodriguez [8]

Lemma 2.1. If $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and $r^{*}(z)=B(z) \overline{r\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$ then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|+\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r\| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (4) holds in $r(z)=u B(z)$ with $u \in T_{1}$.

Lemma 2.2. If $z \in T_{1}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z W^{\prime}(z)}{W(z)}\right)=\frac{n-|B(z)|}{2}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\left(W^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{W^{*}(z)}\right)=\frac{n+|B(z)|}{2}
$$

where $W(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-a_{j}\right)$ and $W *(z)=z^{n} \overline{W\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$.
This Lemma is due to Aziz and Zargar [3].

## 3. Main Results

Now instead of considering the maximum modulus of zeros of $r(z)$ we shall consider the moduli of all the zeros of $r(z)$ and prove the following refinement of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $r(z)=\frac{P(z)}{W(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$. If $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are the zeros of $r(z)$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$ where $k \geq 1$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right) \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r\| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $r(z)=\frac{P(z)}{W(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$. If $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are the zeros of $P(z)$, then $m \leq n$ and $\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k>1, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)} & =\frac{z P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}-\frac{z W^{\prime}(z)}{W(z)} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}-\frac{z W^{\prime}(z)}{W(z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $z \in T_{1}$, this gives with the help of Lemma 2.2, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)} & =\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}-\operatorname{Re} \frac{z W^{\prime}(z)}{W(z)} \\
& =\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $z \in T_{1}$ we have [[8], p.529],

This implies for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)|r(z)|^{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (6) with Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left\{\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)|r(z)|^{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|| | r| |
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right)|r(z)|^{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\|| | r\|-\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|\right\}^{2}\right. \\
& =\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\|r\|^{2}-2\left|B ^ { \prime } ( z ) \left\|r ^ { \prime } ( z ) \left|\|r\|+\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which after a simplification yields for $z \in T_{1}$ that

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right) \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r\|
$$

this proves Theorem 3.1.
If $r(z)$ has exactly $n$ zeros in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$ where $k \geq 1$, then we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. Suppose $r(z)=\frac{P(z)}{W(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$. If $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are the zeros of $r(z)$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$ where $k \geq 1$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\left|b_{j}\right|-1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}\right) \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r\| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. (7) is refinement of Theorem 1.5. To show this we observe

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\left|b_{j}\right|-1\right)}{\left(\left|b_{j}\right|+1\right)} \geq \frac{(k-1)}{(k+1)} \quad \text { where } \quad\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k \geq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(8) is true if

$$
(k+1)\left(\left|b_{j}\right|-1\right) \geq(k-1)\left(\left|b_{j}\right|+1\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

which yields that

$$
\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k
$$

which is clearly true.

Here we shall present the following result which provides a refinement of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $r(z)=\frac{P(z)}{W(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$, where $r$ has exactly $n$ poles at $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all the zeros of $r(z)$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$ where $k \geq 1$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{(n(k+1)-2 m)}{(k+1)} \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r(z)\|^{2}}\right\}\|r(z)\| . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is number of zeros of $r$.
Equality in (9) holds for $r(z)=\frac{(z+k)^{m}}{(z-a)^{n}}$ where $k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.

## Proof of Theorem 3.2

Let $r(z)=\frac{P(z)}{W(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$. If $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}$ are all the zeros of $P(z)$, then $m \leq n$ and $\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k>1$, $j=1,2, \ldots, m$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}= & \frac{z P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}-\frac{z W^{\prime}(z)}{W(z)} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}-\frac{z W^{\prime}(z)}{W(z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $z \in T_{k_{+}}$, this gives with the help of Lemma 2.2, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)} & =\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}-\operatorname{Re} \frac{z W^{\prime}(z)}{W(z)} \\
& =\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now it can be easily verified that for $z \in T_{1},|b|>k>1$,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z}{z-b}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1+k}
$$

Using this in (10), we get for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)} & \leq \frac{m}{1+k}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{m+n-n}{1+k}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{n}{1+k}-\left(\frac{n-m}{1+k}+\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =-\left(\frac{n(k-1)}{2(k+1)}+\frac{n-m}{1+k}\right)+\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2} \\
& =\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}-\frac{1}{1+k}\left(\frac{n(k+1)-2 m}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $z \in T_{1}$, we have [Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [8] p-529]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{r(z)}\right|^{2}= & \left|\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right|^{2}-2\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right| \operatorname{Re} \frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)} \\
& \geq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right|^{2}-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k+1)-2 m}{1+k}\right) \\
& =\left|\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right|^{2}+\frac{n(k+1)-2 m}{1+k}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\frac{n(k+1)-2 m}{k+1}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right||r(z)|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (11) with Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\left.\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left\{\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\frac{n(k+1)-2 m}{k+1}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right||r(z)|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right||r(z)| \right\rvert\,
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\frac{n(k+1)-2 m}{k+1}\left|B^{\prime}(z) \| r(z)\right|^{2} & \leq\left(\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r(z)\|-\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|\right)^{2} \\
& =\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\|r(z)\|^{2}-2\left|B ^ { \prime } ( z ) \left\|r ^ { \prime } ( z ) \left|\|r(z)\|+\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Which after simplification yields for $z \in T_{1}$ that

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{(n(k+1)-2 m)}{(k+1)} \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r(z)\|^{2}}\right\}\|r(z)\|
$$

The desired result follows.

Remark 3.2. If $r(z)$ has exactly $n$ zeros in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$, then we get Theorem 1.5.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by NBHM, India, under the research project number 02011/36/2017/R\&DII.

## CONFLICT OF Interests

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

## References

[1] A. Aziz, Q. G. Mohammad, Simple proof of a Theorem of Erdös and Lax. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1980), 119-122.
[2] A. Aziz, W. M. Shah, Some refinements of Bernstein type inequalities for rational functions. Glas. Mat. 32(52) (1997), 29-37.
[3] A. Aziz, B. A. Zargar, Some properties of rational functions with prescribed poles, Canad. Math. Bull. 42(4) (1999), 417-426.
[4] S. N. Bernstein, Sur e'ordre de la meilleure approximation des functions continues par des polynomes de degre' donne'. Mem. Acad. R. Belg. 4 (1912), 1-103.
[5] P. D. Lax, Proof of conjecture of P. Erdös on the derivative of a polynomials, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1994), 509-511.
[6] M. A. Malik, An integral mean estimate for the polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91 (1984), 281-284.
[7] Q.I. Rahman, G.Schmeisser, Analytic theory of polynomials, Oxford Science Publications, 2002.
[8] X. Li, R. N. Mohapatra, R.S. Rodriguez, Bernstein-type inequalities for rational functions with prescribed poles, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 20(51) (1995), 523-531.
[9] A. L. Schaffer, Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for polynomials and rational functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1941), 565-579.


[^0]:    *Corresponding author
    E-mail address: rubiaakhter039@gmail.com
    Received November 01, 2020

