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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove some inequalities for rational functions with prescribed poles and restricted zeros. Our results generalize many well known inequalities available in literature.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ represents the class of all complex polynomials $p(z)$ of degree at most $n$ and $p^{\prime}(z)$ be the derivative of $p(z)$. Let $D_{k-}$ and $D_{k+}$ denote the regions inside and outside the disk $T_{k}=\{z:|z|=k, k>0\}$, respectively. For a function $f$ defined on $T_{1}$ in complex plane, we write $\|f\|:=\sup _{z \in T_{1}}|f(z)|$, the chebyshev norm of $f$ on $T_{1}$,

$$
w(z):=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(z-a_{i}\right) ; \quad B(z):=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1-\overline{a_{i}} z}{z-a_{i}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{R}_{n}:=R_{n}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\left\{\frac{p(z)}{w(z)}: p \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\right\} .
$$

[^0]Then $\mathscr{R}_{n}$ represents the class of all rational functions with a finite limit at infinity and with at most $n$ poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ outside the unit disk.

Note that $B(z) \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and $|B(z)|=1$ for $|z|=1$. Throughout this paper, we shall assume that all poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ lie in $D_{1+}$.
If $p \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$, then we have the well known inequality that relates the norm of a polynomial to that of its derivative due to Bernstein[4].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p^{\prime}\right\| \leq n\|p\| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Aziz[1] and Malik[8] have proved the following refinement of inequality (1). If $p \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and $p^{*}(z)=z^{n} \overline{p(1 / \bar{z})}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\left(p^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|+|p(z)|\right\|=n\|p\| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next result was conjectured by Erdös and later proved by Lax[5].
If $p \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ and $p \neq 0$ for $z \in D_{1-}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{n}{2}\|p\| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, Li , Mohapatra, Rodriguez[7](see also [2], [6]) obtained inequalities similar to inequalities (1) and (3) for rational functions.They replaced polynomial $p(z)$ by a rational function $r(z)$ with prescribed poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and $z^{n}$ by a Blaschke product $B(z)$.In fact, they proved following generalization of inequality (3).

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{1} \cup D_{1+}$, then for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right| \cdot\|r(z)\| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (4) holds for $r(z)=\alpha B(z)+\beta$ with $|\alpha|=|\beta|=1$.
Aziz and Zargar[3] proved the following generalization of Theorem (1.1). In fact they proved:

Theorem 1.2. If $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$, and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k-1)}{(k+1)} \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r(z)\| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (5) holds for $r(z)=\left(\frac{z+k}{z-a}\right)^{n}$, where $k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.
Recently B. A. Zargar , M. H. Gulzar, Rubia Akhter[9] considered the moduli of all zeros of $r(z)$ instead of considering maximum modulus of zeros of $r(z)$ and proved the following result:

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $r(z)=\frac{p(z)}{w(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$, where $k \geq 1$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n}{2}\right) \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r\| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (6) holds for $r(z)=\frac{(z+k)^{m}}{(z-a)^{n}}$, where $k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.
In the same paper, they also proved the following refinement of Theorem (1.2).
Theorem 1.4. Suppose $r(z)=\frac{p(z)}{w(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$, where $r$ has exactly $n$ poles at $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}, k \geq 1$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k+1)-2 m}{(k+1)} \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r(z)\|^{2}}\right\}\|r(z)\| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (7) holds for $r(z)=\frac{(z+k)^{m}}{(z-a)^{n}}$, where $k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the proof of main results, we need following Lemmas. The first Lemma is due to Aziz and Zargar[3].

Lemma 2.1. If $z \in T_{1}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)}\right)=\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2} .
$$

The following Lemma is due to Li, Mohapatra, Rodriguez[7].
Lemma 2.2. If $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and $r^{*}(z)=B(z) \overline{r\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|+\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r\| .
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}, k \geq 1$, with a zero of multiplicity $s$ at origin, then for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right) \leq \frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n-2 s}{2}\right)
$$

where $m$ is the number of zeros of $r$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let $r(z)=\frac{z^{s} h(z)}{w(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$, where $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $m-s$ having all its zeros in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}, k \geq 1$.
This gives

$$
\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}=s+\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}-\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)}
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right)=s+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using the fact that $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $m-s$ having all its zeros in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$, $k \geq 1$. If $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m-s}$ are the zeros of $h(z)$, where $\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k>1, j=1,2, \ldots, m-s,(m \leq n)$ then we can write

$$
h(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-s} c_{j} z^{j}=c_{m-s} \prod_{j=1}^{m-s}(z-b j),\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k, j=1,2, \ldots, m-s
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this in inequality (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right)=s+\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $z \in T_{1}$, this gives with the help of lemma (2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right) & =s+\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}\right)-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& \leq s+\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n-2 s}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

this completely proves lemma (2.3).

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ has exactly $n$ poles $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}$, $k \geq 1$, with a zero of multiplicity $s$ at origin, then for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right) \leq \frac{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}-\frac{1}{1+k}\left(\frac{n(k+1)-2 s k-2 m}{2}\right)
$$

where $m$ indicates the number of zeros of $r$.
Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let $r(z)=\frac{z^{s} h(z)}{w(z)} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$, where $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $m-s$ having all its zeros in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}, k \geq 1$.

This gives

$$
\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}=s+\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}-\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)}
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right)=s+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $m-s$ having all its zeros in $T_{k} \cup D_{k+}, k \geq 1$. If $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m-s}$ are the zeros of $h(z)$, where $\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k>1, j=1,2, \ldots, m-s$, then we can write

$$
h(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-s} c_{j} z^{j}=c_{m-s} \prod_{j=1}^{m-s}(z-b j),(m \leq n),\left|b_{j}\right| \geq k>1, j=1,2, \ldots m-s
$$

This gives

$$
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}=\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}
$$

Which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{z}{z-b_{j}}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it can be easily verified that for $z \in T_{1}$ and $|b| \geq k>1$

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z}{z-b}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1+k}
$$

Using this in inequality (12), we get for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right) \leq \frac{m-s}{1+k} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (11) in conjuction with Lemma (2.1) and inequality (13) yields for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right) & \leq s+\frac{m-s}{1+k}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{s k+m}{1+k}-\left(\frac{n-\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k+1)-2(s k+m)}{1+k}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

which completely proves lemma (2.4).

## 3. Main Results

In this paper, we first present the following result which provides the generalization of Theorem (1.3). In fact we prove:

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k^{+}}, k \geq 1$ with $s$ fold zeros at origin. If $r(z)=\frac{z^{s} h(z)}{w(z)}$, where $h(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-s} c_{j} z^{j},(m \leq n)$, then for $z \in T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n-2 s}{2}\right) \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r\| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ indicates the number of zeros of $r$.
Equality in (14) holds for $r(z)=\frac{z^{s}(z+k)^{m-s}}{(z-a)^{n}}$ where $a>1, k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We have

$$
r^{*}(z)=B(z) r \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

Now

$$
\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}=B^{\prime}(z) r\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)-B(z) r\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{z^{2}}
$$

This implies for $z \in T_{1}$,

$$
\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|=\left|\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right| r(z)-z\left(r^{\prime}(z)\right)\right| .
$$

Hence for $z \in T_{1}$ [ see[7], p.529] , we have by using Lemma (2.3)

This gives for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left|\left(r^{\prime}(z)\right)\right|^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n-2 s}{2}\right)\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right||r(z)|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \mid\left(r^{*}((z))^{\prime} \mid\right. \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using lemma (2.2), we obtain for $z \in T_{1}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left\{\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n-2 s}{2}\right)\left|B^{\prime}(z) \| r(z)\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} & \leq\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|+\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \leq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lvert\,\left(\left.r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n-2 s}{2}\right)\left|B^{\prime}(z) \| r(z)\right|^{2}\right.\right. & \leq\left\{B^{\prime}(z)\left|\|r\|-\left|\left(r^{\prime}(z)\right)\right|\right\}^{2}\right. \\
& =\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\|r\|^{2}+|r(z)|^{2} \\
& -2\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r\||r(z)|
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-s} \frac{1}{1+\left|b_{j}\right|}-\frac{n-2 s}{2}\right) \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r\| .
$$

which is the desired result.

Remark 3.2. By taking $s=0$ in Theorem (3.1), it reduces to Theorem (1.3).
If $r(z)$ has exactly $n$ zeros in $T_{k} \cup D_{k^{+}}$, then we get the following result:

Corollary 3.3. Suppose $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ and $r$ has all its zeros in $T_{k} \cup D_{k^{+}}, k \geq 1$ with $s$ - fold zeros at origin, then for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-s} \frac{\left|b_{j}\right|-1}{\left|b_{j}\right|+1}-s\right) \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r\|^{2}}\right\}\|r\| . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (16) holds for $r(z)=\frac{z^{s}(z+k)^{n-s}}{(z-a)^{n}}$ where $a>1, k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.
Now we prove the following result which provides the generalization of Theorem (1.4).

Theorem 3.4. Suppose $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$, where $r$ has exactly $n$ poles at $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k^{+}}, k \geq 1$ with $s$ - fold zeros at origin. If $r(z)=\frac{z^{s} h(z)}{w(z)}$, where $h(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-s} c_{j} z^{j},(m \leq n)$, then for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k+1)-2(s k+m)}{k+1} \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r(z)\|^{2}}\right\}\|r(z)\| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ indicates the number of zeros of $r$.
Equality in (17) holds for $r(z)=\frac{z^{s}(z+k)^{n-s}}{(z-a)^{n}}$ where $a>1, k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. We have

$$
r^{*}(z)=B(z) r \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

Now

$$
\left.\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}=B^{\prime}(z) r \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right.}\right)-B(z) r \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right.}^{\prime} \cdot \frac{1}{z^{2}}
$$

This implies for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|=\left|\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right| r(z)-z\left(r^{\prime}(z)\right)\right| .
$$

Hence for $z \in T_{1}$ [see[7], p.529], we have by using Lemma (2.4)
that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\frac{n(k+1)-2(s k+m)}{1+k}|r(z)|^{2}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|\left(r^{*}(z)\right)^{\prime}\right| \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives with the help of lemma (2.2)

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left\{\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\frac{n(k+1)-2(s k+m)}{k+1}\left|B^{\prime}(z) \| r(z)\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r(z)\|
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}+\frac{n(k+1)-2(s k+m)}{k+1}\left|B^{\prime}(z) \| r(z)\right|^{2} & \leq\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r(z)\|-\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|\right\}^{2} \\
& =\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\|r(z)\|^{2}-2\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|\|r(z)\|\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& +\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which on simplification yields

$$
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k+1)-2(s k+m)}{k+1} \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r(z)\|^{2}}\right\}\|r(z)\|
$$

This completes the proof of theorem 3.4.

Remark 3.5. By taking $s=0$ in Theorem (3.4), it reduces to Theorem (1.4).
If $r(z)$ has exactly $n$ zeros, then we have the following result:

Corollary 3.6. Suppose $r \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$, where $r$ has exactly $n$ poles at $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and all zeros of $r$ lie in $T_{k} \cup D_{k^{+}}, k \geq 1$ with $s$ fold zeros at origin, then for $z \in T_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|-\frac{n(k-1)-2 s k}{k+1} \frac{|r(z)|^{2}}{\|r(z)\|^{2}}\right\}\|r(z)\| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (19) holds for $r(z)=\frac{z^{s}(z+k)^{n-s}}{(z-a)^{n}}$ where $a>1, k \geq 1$ and $B(z)=\left(\frac{1-a z}{z-a}\right)^{n}$ evaluated at $z=1$.

Remark 3.7. If we take $s=0$ in Corollary (3.6), it reduces to Theorem (1.2).
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