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Abstract. In this paper, we introduce $*-g-$ frames and study the operators associated with a give $*-g-$ frames. We also show many useful properties with corresponding notions, $g$-frames and $*-$ frames in Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Frames for Hilbert spaces were introduced in 1952 by Duffin and Schaefer [8]. They abstracted the fundamental notion of Gabor [13] to study signal processing. Many generalizations of frames were introduced, e.g., frames of subspaces [2], Pseudo-frames [18], oblique frames [6], G-frames [15], * frames [1] in Hilbert spaces.

In 2000, Frank-Larson [11] introduced the notion of frames in Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules as a generalization of frames in Hilbert spaces. Recentely, A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi [15] introduced the $g$-frames theory in Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules, and Alijani, and Dehghan [1] introduced

[^0]Received October 24, 2013
the $*$-frames theories in Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules. In this note, we introduce the $*-g$-frames which are generalizations of $g$-frames in Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules.

The content of the present note is as follow: we continue this introductory section with review of the definitions and basic properties of $C^{*}$-algebras, Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules, $*-$ frame and $g$-frames. In Section 2, we introduce $*-g-$ frame and present examples of such $*-g-$ frame. Similar to the ordinary frames, $g$-frames and $*-$ frames, we introduce the pre $-*-g-$ frame transform and the $*-g$-frames operator. For information about Hilbert $C^{*}$-module, we refer authors to $[10,14,17]$ and about $*$-frame, $g$-frame we refer authors to $[1,15]$. Our reference for $C^{*}$-algebras as [7].

Let $A$ be a unitary $C^{*}$-algebra and $a \in A$. The nonzero element $a$ is called strictly nonzero if zero does not belong $\sigma(a)$, and $a$ is said to be strictly positive if it is strictly nonzero and positive. If $a$ is positive, there is a positive element $b \in A$ such that $b^{2}=a$. The relation ${ }^{\prime} \leq^{\prime}$ given by
$a \leq \mathrm{b}$ if and only if $b-a$ is positive defines a partial ordering in $A$. Let be $a, b, c \in A$, we have
(i) if $a \leq b$, then $c a c^{*} \leq c b c^{*}$. And if $c$ commutes with $a$ and $b$, then $c a \leq c b$ for $0 \leq c$.
(ii) $0 \leq a \leq b$ implies $\|a\| \leq\|b\|, a b \geq 0, a+b \geq 0$, and $a^{t} \leq b^{t}$ for $t \in(0,1)$
(iii) if $0 \leq a \leq b$ and $a, b$ invertible elements then $0 \leq b^{-1} \leq a^{-1}$.

Now, we are going to introduce some of elementary definitions and the basic properties of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules.

Definition 1.1. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$ - algebra, a pre-Hilbert $A$-module is a left $A$-module $X$ equipped with a sesquilinear map $\langle.,\rangle:. X \times X \rightarrow A$ satisfying
(1) $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0 ;\langle x, x\rangle=0$ if and only if $x=0$ for all $x$ in $X$,
(2) $\langle\alpha x+\beta y, z\rangle=\alpha\langle x, z\rangle+\beta\langle y, z\rangle$ for all $x, y, z$ in $X, \alpha, \beta$ in $\mathbb{C}$,
(3) $\langle x, y\rangle=\langle y, x\rangle^{*}$ for all $x, y$ in $X$,
(4) $\langle a x, y\rangle=a\langle x, y\rangle$ for all $x, y$ in $X, a$ in $A$.

The map $\langle.,$.$\rangle is called an A$-valued inner product of $X$, and for $x \in X$, we define $\|x\|=\|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a norm on $X$, where the latter norm denotes that in the $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. This norm makes $X$ into a left normed module over $A$. A pre-Hilbert module $X$ is called a Hilbert $A$-module if it is complete with respect to its norm. Examples of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules are as follows:
(I) Every Hilbert space is a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module.
(II) Every $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is a Hilbert $A$-module via $\langle a, b\rangle=a b^{*}(a, b \in A)$.
(III) Let $\left\{Y_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ be a sequence of $A-$ modules and $\oplus Y_{i}=\left\{x=\left(x_{i}\right): i \in Y_{i}, \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right.$ is norm convergent in $\left.A\right\}$. Then $\oplus Y_{i}$ is a Hilbert $A$-modules with $A$ - valued inner product $\left\langle\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in I}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle$, point wise operations and the norm defined by $\|x\|=\|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Notice that the inner product structure of a $C^{*}$-algebra is essentially more complicated than complex numbers. One may define an $A$-valued norm $|$.$| by |x|=\langle x, x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Clearly, $\|x\|=\||x|\|$ for each $x \in X$.

It is known that $|$.$| does not satisfy the triangle inequality in general. Throughout this paper I$ and $J$ be finite or countable index, sets, $X$ and $Y$ are countably or finitely generated Hilbert $A$ modules and $\left\{\left(Y_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ is a sequence of closed sub-modules of $Y$. For each $i \in I, E n d^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right)$ is the collection of all adjointable $A$-linear maps from $X$ to $Y_{i}$ and $\operatorname{End}^{*}(X, X)$ is denoted by $E n d^{*}(X)$.

Definition 1.2 [15] A sequence $\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in E n d^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ is called $g$-frame in $X$ with respect to $\left\{Y_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ if there exist constant reel $C, D>0$ such that for every $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\langle x, x\rangle \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i}(x), \Lambda_{i}(x)\right\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle, \forall x \in X \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements $C$ and $D$ are called the lower and upper $g$ - frame bounds respectively of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ with respect to $\left\{Y_{i}: i \in I\right\}$.

Definition 1.3. [1] A sequence $\left\{x_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ of $X$ is called a $*-$ frame for $X$ if there exist two strictly nonzero elements $C, D$ in $A$ such that for every $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\langle x, x\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle x, x_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{i}, x\right\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle D^{*} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements $C$ and $D$ are called the lower and upper $*-$ frame bounds respectively. Throughout the paper we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4. [17] Let $X$ and $Y$ two Hilbert $A$-modules and $T \in \operatorname{End}^{*}(X, Y)$. Then
(i) if $T$ is injective and $T$ has closed range, then the adjointable map $T^{*} T$ is invertible and $\left\|\left(T^{*} T\right)^{-1}\right\|^{-1} \leq T^{*} T \leq\|T\|^{2}$.
(ii) if $T$ is surjective, then the adjointable map $T T^{*}$ is invertible and $\left\|\left(T T^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{-1} \leq T T^{*} \leq$ $\|T\|^{2}$.

## 2. Main results

Alijani and Dehghan in [1] introduced $*$-frames and A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi in [15] introduced $g$-frames for Hilbert $C *$-modules. Our next definition and example are generalizations of (3.1) and (3.2) in [15].

Definition 2.1. A sequence $\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{End} d^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ is called $*-g$-frame with respect to $\left\{Y_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ if there exist $C, D$ strictly nonzero of $A$ such that for every $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\langle x, x\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i}(x), \Lambda_{i}(x)\right\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle D^{*} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements $C$ and $D$ are called the lower and upper $*-g-$ frame bounds respectively in $X$ with respect to $\left\{Y_{i}, i \in I\right\}$. Since $A$ is not a partial ordered set, lower and upper $*-g-$ frame bounds may not have order and the optimal bounds may not exist.

If $\lambda=C=D$, then the $*-g-$ frame is said to be a $\lambda$-tight $*-g-$ frame and if $C=D=1_{A}$, it is called a Parseval $*-g-$ frame or a normalized $*-g-$ frame. The $*-g-$ frame is standard if for every $x \in X$, the sum in (2.1) converges in norm.

Example 2.2. Let $\left\{x_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ be a $*-$ frame of $X$ with lower and upper, $C$ and $D$, respectively. For each $i \in I$, we define $T_{x_{i}}: X \rightarrow A$, by $T_{x_{i}}(x)=\left\langle x, x_{i}\right\rangle$ for all $x \in X$. As example in [15], $T_{x_{i}}$ is adjointable and $T_{x_{i}}^{*}(a)=a x_{i}$ for each $a \in A$. And we have,

$$
C\langle x, x\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle x, x_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{i}, x\right\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle D^{*}, \forall x \in X
$$

Then

$$
C\langle x, x\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle T_{x_{i}}(x), T_{x_{i}}(x)\right\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle D^{*},
$$

for all $x \in X$. So, $\left\{T_{x_{i}}, i \in I\right\}$ is a $*-g$-frame with bounds $C$ and $D$, respectively, in $X$ with respect to $A$.

Now we studies the corresponding operators of a $*-g-$ frame.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ be $*-g$-frame with lower and upper, $C$ and $D$, respectively. The $*-g-f r a m e ~ t r a n s f o r m ~ o r ~ p r e ~-*-g-f r a m e ~ o p e r a t o r ~ T: X ~ \longrightarrow \oplus Y_{i}$ defined by $T(x)=\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I}$ is injective, closed range adjointable A-module map and $\|T\| \leq\|D\|$. The adjointable $T^{*}$ is surjective and it given by: $T^{*}(y)=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)$ where $y=\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \oplus Y_{i}$.

Proof. Let $x$ be a vector of $X$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(x)\|^{2}=\left\|\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I},\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle\right\| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by definition of the norm in $\oplus Y_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\left\|\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I},\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle\right\| & =\left\|\sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i}(x), \Lambda_{i}(x)\right\rangle\right\| \\
& \leq & \left\|D\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle D^{*}\right\| \\
& = & \|D\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}
\end{array}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(x)\|^{2} \leq\|D\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in X \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $T$ is well defined and $\|T\| \leq\|D\|$. Thus $\Lambda_{i} \in E n d^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right), T$ is a linear $A$-module map. We now show that $R_{T}$ is closed. Let $\left\{T x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in the range of $T$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}=y .
$$

The definition of $*-g$-frame concludes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left\langle x_{n}-x_{m}, x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i}\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right), \Lambda_{i}\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)\right\rangle \leq D\left\langle x_{n}-x_{m}, x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle D^{*} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
C\left\langle x_{n}-x_{m}, x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle C^{*} \leq\left\langle T\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right), T\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)\right\rangle \leq D\left\langle x_{n}-x_{m}, x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle D^{*} .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\left\|C\left\langle x_{n}-x_{m}, x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle C^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|T\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)\right\|^{2} .
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)=0 ; \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} C\left\langle x_{n}-x_{m}, x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle C^{*}=0
$$

we have

$$
\left\|\left\langle x_{n}-x_{m}, x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle\right\| \leq\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{2}\left\|C\left\langle x_{n}-x_{m}, x_{n}-x_{m}\right\rangle C^{*}\right\| .
$$

Hence, there exists $x \in X$, such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{i}\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right)_{i \in I},\left(\Lambda_{i}\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum\left\langle\Lambda_{i}\left(x_{n}-x\right), \Lambda_{i}\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right\rangle\right\| \\
& \leq\|D\|^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}=y$, so range of $T$ is closed.
We show that $T$ is injective: Suppose that $x \in X$ and $T x=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\langle x, x\rangle\| & =\left\|C^{-1} C\langle x, x\rangle C^{*}\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{2}\left\|C\langle x, x\rangle C^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{2}\left\|\sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i}(x), \Lambda_{i}(x)\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{2}\left\|\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I},\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{2}\|T x\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $x=0$, and $T$ is injective.
We determine $T^{*}$ : Let be $x \in X$ and $\left(y_{i}\right) \in \oplus Y_{i}$. We have $\left\langle T x,\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle$. And by definition of the norm in $\oplus Y_{i}$, we have

$$
\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, y_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

Then

$$
\left\langle T x,\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in I}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

So $T^{*}\left(\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i}$. By injectivity of $T$, the operator $T^{*}$ has closed range and $X=R_{T^{*}}$. This completes the proof.

Now we define $*-g-$ frame operator and studies some of its properties.
Definition 2.4. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(X, V_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ be a $*-g$-frame with lower and upper $*-$ $g-$ frame, $C$ and $D$. Then its $*-g-$ frame operator $S$ is defined by: $S(x)=T^{*} T(x)=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i}(x)$, $(\forall x \in X)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ be $a *-g-f r a m e ~ w i t h ~ l o w e r ~ a n d ~ u p p e r ~ *-~$ $g-$ frame; $C$ and $D$, respectively, and with The $*-g-$ frame operator $S$. Then $S$, positive , invertible, adjointable and $\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{-2} \leq\|S\| \leq\|D\|^{2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.4, and Theorem 2.3, S is invertible, positive and self-adjointable map.
The definition of $*-g-$ frame and of the operator $S$, concludes that

$$
\left.\left.\langle S x, x\rangle=\sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i}(x)\right), \Lambda_{i}(x)\right)\right\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle D^{*}
$$

and

$$
\left.\left.\langle x, x\rangle \leq C^{-1} \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i}(x)\right), \Lambda_{i}(x)\right)\right\rangle\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}, \forall x \in X
$$

Then

$$
\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{-2}\| \|\|\langle x, x\rangle\| \leq\|\langle S x, x\rangle\| \leq\|D\|^{2}\|\langle x, x\rangle\|
$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$
\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{-2} \leq\|S\| \leq\|D\|^{2} .
$$

This completes the proof.
Now we gave a generalization for Theorem 3.3 in [15].
Theorem 2.6. Let for every $i \in I, \Lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right)$ and $\left\{y_{i, j}, j \in I_{i}\right\}$ be a $*$-frame for $Y_{i}$ with frame bounds $C_{i}, D_{i}$, such there exist $C, D$ strictly nonzero of $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C a C^{*} \leq C_{i} a C_{i}^{*} \text { and } D_{i} a D_{i}^{*} \leq D a D^{*} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive a of $A$.
Then the following conditions are equivalent
(i) $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(y_{i, j}\right), j \in I_{i}\right\}$ is a $*$-frame for $X$.
(ii) $\left\{\Lambda_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ is $a *-g$-frame for $X$.

Proof. Let $i \in I$, since $\left\{y_{i, j}, j \in I_{i}\right\}$ is a $*$-frame for $Y_{i}$ with bounds $C_{i}, D_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle C_{i}^{*} \leq \sum_{j \in I_{i}}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, y_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{i, j}, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle \leq D_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle D_{i}^{*} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle C_{i}^{*} \leq \sum_{j \in I_{i}}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle\Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}, x\right\rangle \leq D_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle D_{i}^{*}, \forall x \in X \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

And by using the conditions (2.5), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{j \in I_{i}}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle\Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}, x\right\rangle \leq D\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle D^{*}, \forall x \in X \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
C \sum_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle C^{*} & \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j \in I_{i}}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle\Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}, x\right\rangle  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leq D \sum_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle D^{*}, \forall x \in X
\end{align*}
$$

And, if we suppose the condition $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(y_{i, j}\right), j \in I_{i}\right\}$ is a $*$-frame for $X$ with frame bounds $C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j \in I_{i}}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle\Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}, x\right\rangle \leq D^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle D^{\prime *}, \forall x \in X . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by combining (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$
C \sum_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle C^{*} \leq D^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle D^{*}
$$

and

$$
C^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle C^{\prime *} \leq D \sum_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle D^{*}, \forall x \in X
$$

Then

$$
D^{-1} C^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle C^{\prime *}\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1} \leq \sum_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle \leq C^{-1} D^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle\left(D^{\prime *}\right)\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}, \forall x \in X
$$

So $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$. Next, we show the Converse. Similarly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \sum_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j \in I_{i}}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle\Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}, x\right\rangle \leq \leq D \sum\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle D^{*}, \forall x \in X \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we suppose $\left\{\Lambda_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ is a $*-g-$ frame, with frame bounds $C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$, so

$$
D \sum_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle D^{*} \leq D D^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle D^{*} D^{*}
$$

and

$$
C C^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle C^{\prime *} C^{*} \leq C \sum_{i}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle C^{*}, \forall x \in X
$$

Then

$$
C C^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle C^{\prime *} C^{*} \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j \in I_{i}}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle\Lambda_{i}^{*} y_{i, j}, x\right\rangle \leq D D^{\prime}\langle x, x\rangle D^{*} D^{*}, \forall x \in X
$$

This completes the proof.
The next result is analog to Corollary 3.4 in [15].

Corollary 2.7. Letfor every $i \in I, \Lambda_{i} \in E n d^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right)$ and $\left\{x_{i, j}, j \in I_{i}\right\}$ and be a Parseval $*$-frames for $Y_{i}$. Then we have
(i) $\left\{\Lambda_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ is $a *-g-$ frame (resp. $*-g-$ Bessel sequence, tight $*-g-$ frame) for $X$ if only if $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}, i \in I, j \in I_{i}\right\}$ is $a *$-frames (resp. Bessel sequence, tight frame ) for $X$
(ii) The $*-g$-frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ is the $*-$ frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}, i \in I, j \in I_{i}\right\}$

Proof. (i) Follow from the theorem 2.6.
(ii) Letting $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\left\langle\Lambda_{i}^{*} y, x\right\rangle & = & \left\langle y, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle y, x_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{i, j}, \Lambda_{i} x\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle y, x_{i, j}\right\rangle\left\langle\Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}, x\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle\left\langle y, x_{i, j}\right\rangle \Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}, x\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Then $\Lambda_{i}^{*} y=\sum_{j}\left\langle y, x_{i, j}\right\rangle \Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} x & =\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} x, x_{i, j}\right\rangle \Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j} . \\
& =\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}\right\rangle \Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, the $*$ frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}, i \in I, j \in I_{i}\right\}$, is defined by: $S^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left\langle x, \Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}\right\rangle \Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}$, see [1]. Then the $*-g$-frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ is the $*-$ frame operator of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*} x_{i, j}, i \in I, j \in I_{i}\right\}$. This completes the proof.

Now we gave a generalization of Theorem 3.5 in [15].
Theorem 2.8. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ be $a *-g$ - frame with lower and upper $*-$ $g-$ frame; $C$ and $D$, respectively and with The $*-g$ - frame operator $S$, and $M$ be a Hilbert A-module and let $T \in \operatorname{End}^{*}(M, X)$ be invertible. Then $\left\{\Lambda_{i} T \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(M, Y_{i}, i \in I\right\}\right)$ is $a *-$ $g-$ frame with $*-g-$ frame operator $T^{*} S T$ with bounds $\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{-1} C,\|T\| D$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\langle T x, T x\rangle C^{*} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} T x, \Lambda_{i} T x\right\rangle \leq D\langle T x, T x\rangle D^{*} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M$. Using Lemma 1.4, we have $\left\|\left(T T^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\| \|^{-1}\langle x, x\rangle \leq\langle T x, T x\rangle$. for all $x \in M$. Or $\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{-2} \leq\left\|\left(T T^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{-1}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{-2}\langle x, x\rangle C^{*} \leq C\langle T x, T x\rangle C^{*} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M$. And we know that $\langle T x, T x\rangle=\left\langle T^{*} T x, x\right\rangle \leq\left\|T^{*} T\right\|\langle x, x\rangle$. for all $x \in M$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\langle T x, T x\rangle D^{*} \leq D\|T\|\langle x, x\rangle(D\|T\|)^{*} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M$. Using (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{-1}\right\| C\langle x, x\rangle\left(\left\|T^{-1}\right\| C\right)^{*} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} T x, \Lambda_{i} T x\right\rangle \leq\|T\| D\langle x, x\rangle(\|T\| D)^{*} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M$. So $\left\{\Lambda_{i} T \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(M, Y_{i}, i \in I\right\}\right)$ is a $*-g$-frame with bounds $\left\|T^{-1}\right\| C, D\|T\|$. Moreover for every $x \in M$, we have

$$
T^{*} S T(x)=T^{*} \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} T(x)=\sum_{i \in I} T * \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} T(x)=\sum_{i \in I}\left(\Lambda_{j} T\right)^{*} \Lambda_{j} T(x) .
$$

for all $x \in M$. This completes the proof.
The next result is a generalization of Corollary 3.6 in [1].
Corollary 2.9. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ be $a *-g$ - frame with lower and upper $*-$ $g-f r a m e ; ~ C$ and $D$, respectively and with The $*-g$-frame operator $S$. Then $\left\{\Lambda_{i} S^{-1} \in E n d^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right)\right.$ : $i \in I\}$ is $a *-g$ - frame with lower and upper $*-g$-frame; $\|D\|^{-2}$ and $\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{2}$, respectively, *-g-frame operator $S^{-1}$ and for every $x \in X, x=\sum \Lambda_{i} S^{-1} \Lambda_{i}^{*}=\sum\left(\Lambda_{i} S^{-1}\right)^{*} \Lambda_{i}$

Proof. By taking $M=X$ and $T=S^{-1}$ in Theorem (2.8), it follow that $\left\{\Lambda_{i} S^{-1} \in E n d^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right)\right.$ : $i \in I\}$ is a $*-g$-frame where the $*-g$ - frame operator is $S^{-1}$ and, we have $\|S\|^{-1}\langle x, x\rangle \leq\left\langle S^{-1} x, x\right\rangle=\sum\left\langle\Lambda_{i} S^{-1} x, \Lambda_{i} S^{-1} x\right\rangle \leq\left\|S^{-1}\right\|\langle x, x\rangle, \forall x \in X$.
Or, we have $\left\|S^{-1}\right\| \leq\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{2}$ and $\|S\| \leq\|D\|^{2}$, so $\left\{\Lambda_{i} S^{-1} \in \operatorname{End}^{*}\left(X, Y_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ is a $*-g$ frame with lower and upper $*-g-$ frame; $\|D\|^{-2}$ and $\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{2}, \forall x \in X$. Moreover since for every $i \in I,\left(\Lambda_{i} S^{-1}\right)^{*}=S^{-1} \Lambda_{i}^{*}$ and for every $x \in X, x=S^{-1} S x=S S^{-1} x$, then $x=\sum \Lambda_{i} S^{-1} \Lambda_{i}^{*} x=$ $\sum\left(\Lambda_{i} S^{-1}\right)^{*} \Lambda_{i} x$. This complete the proof.
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