



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 4 (2014), No. 4, 657-664

ISSN: 1927-5307

ZWEIER DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A SEQUENCE OF MODULI

KHALID EBADULLAH

Department of Applied Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

Copyright © 2014 Khalid Ebadullah. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this article, we introduce the sequence spaces $\mathcal{Z}_0(F, \Delta)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\infty(F, \Delta)$ for the sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ and give some inclusion relations.

Keywords: difference sequence spaces; sequence of moduli; matrices; limitation method.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 40C05, 40H05.

1. Introduction

Let N , R and C be the sets of all natural, real and complex numbers respectively. We write

$$\omega = \{x = (x_k) : x_k \in R \text{ or } C\},$$

the space of all real or complex sequences. Let l_∞ , c and c_0 be the linear spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences respectively, normed by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|, \text{ where } k \in N.$$

Received April 3, 2011

Each linear subspace of ω , for example, $\lambda, \mu \subset \omega$ is called a sequence space. A sequence space λ with linear topology is called a K-space provided each of maps $p_i \rightarrow C$ defined by $p_i(x) = x_i$ is continuous for all $i \in N$. A K-space λ is called an FK-space provided λ is a complete linear metric space.

An FK-space whose topology is normable is called a BK-space. Let λ and μ be two sequence spaces and $A = (a_{nk})$ is an infinite matrix of real or complex numbers (a_{nk}) , where $n, k \in N$. Then we say that A defines a matrix mapping from λ to μ , and we denote it by writing $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$. If for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in \lambda$ the sequence $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$, the A transform of x is in μ , where

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k, \quad (n \in N). \quad (1.1)$$

By $(\lambda : \mu)$, we denote the class of matrices A such that $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$. Thus, $A \in (\lambda : \mu)$ if and only if series on the right side of (1.1) converges for each $n \in N$ and every $x \in \lambda$. The approach of constructing new sequence spaces by means of the matrix domain of a particular limitation method have been recently employed by Altay, Başar and Mursaleen [1], Başar and Altay [2], Malkowsky [8], Ng and Lee [10], and Wang [15]. Şengönül [14] defined the sequence $y = (y_i)$ which is frequently used as the Z^p transform of the sequence $x = (x_i)$, *i.e.*,

$$y_i = px_i + (1 - p)x_{i-1},$$

where $x_{-1} = 0, p \neq 1, 1 < p < \infty$ and Z^p denotes the matrix $Z^p = (z_{ik})$ defined by

$$z_{ik} = \begin{cases} p, & (i = k), \\ 1 - p, & (i - 1 = k); (i, k \in N), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Following Başar and Altay [2], Şengönül [14] introduced the Zweier sequence spaces \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}_0 as follows

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Z^p x \in c\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Z^p x \in c_0\}.$$

Here we list below some of the results of [14] which we will use as a reference in order to establish some of the results of this article.

Theorem 1.1. [14, Theorem 2.1.] *The sets \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_0 are the linear spaces with the co-ordinate wise addition and scalar multiplication which are the BK-spaces with the norm*

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{L}} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{L}_0} = \|Z^p x\|_c.$$

Theorem 1.2. [14, Theorem 2.2.] *The sequence spaces \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_0 are linearly isomorphic to the spaces c and c_0 respectively, i.e $\mathcal{L} \cong c$ and $\mathcal{L}_0 \cong c_0$.*

Theorem 1.3. [14, Theorem 2.3.] *The inclusions $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$ strictly hold for $p \neq 1$.*

The idea of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [5]. In 1981, Kizmaz [5] defined the sequence spaces

$$l_{\infty}(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in l_{\infty}\},$$

$$c(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in c\},$$

and

$$c_0(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in c_0\},$$

where $\Delta x = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ and $\Delta^0 x = (x_k)$. These are Banach spaces with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\Delta} = |x_1| + \|\Delta x\|_{\infty}.$$

The idea of modulus was structured in 1953 by Nakano; see [9] and the references therein.

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a modulus if

- (1) $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$,
- (2) $f(t+u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$ for all $t, u \geq 0$,
- (3) f is increasing, and
- (4) f is continuous from the right at zero.

Let X be a sequence space. Ruckle [11-13] defined the sequence space $X(f)$ as

$$X(f) = \{x = (x_k) : (f(|x_k|)) \in X\}$$

for a modulus f . Kolk [6-7] gave an extension of $X(f)$ by considering a sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$, that is

$$X(F) = \{x = (x_k) : (f_k(|x_k|)) \in X\}.$$

After then Gaur and Mursaleen[4] defined the following sequence spaces

$$l_\infty(F, \Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in l_\infty(F)\},$$

$$c_0(F, \Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c_0(F)\}$$

for a sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ and gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for the inclusion relations between $X(\Delta)$ and $Y(F, \Delta)$, where $X, Y = l_\infty$ or c_0 .

Lemma 1.4. [3, Lemma 1.2.] *The condition $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty, t > 0$ holds if and only if there is a point $t_0 > 0$ such that $\sup_k f_k(t_0) < \infty$.*

Lemma 1.5. [3, Lemma 1.3.] *The condition $\inf_k f_k(t) > 0$ holds if and only if there exists a point $t_0 > 0$ such that $\inf_k f_k(t_0) > 0$.*

2. Main results

In this section, we introduce the following classes of sequence spaces.

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \Delta x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(F)\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \Delta x \in \mathcal{L}_0(F)\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *For a sequence $F = (f_k)$ of moduli, the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta)$,

(b) $\mathcal{L}_0(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta)$,

(c) $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty, (t > 0)$.

Proof. (a) implies (b) is obvious.

(b) implies (c). Let $\mathcal{L}_0(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta)$.

Suppose that (c) is not true. Then by Lemma 1.4 $\sup_k f_k(t) = \infty$ for all $t > 0$, and, therefore there is a sequence (k_i) of positive integers such that

$$f_{k_i}\left(\frac{1}{i}\right) > i, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{2.1}$$

Define $x = (x_k)$ as follows

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i}, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$ but by (2.1), $x \notin \mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta)$ which contradicts (b). Hence (c) must hold.

(c) implies (a). Let (c) be satisfied and $x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta)$. If we suppose that $x \notin \mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta)$, then $\sup_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = \infty$ for $\Delta x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. If we take $t = |\Delta x|$, then $\sup_k f_k(t) = \infty$ which contradicts (c). Hence $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta)$.

Theorem 2.2. *If $F = (f_k)$ is a sequence of moduli, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$,

(b) $\mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta) \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta)$,

(c) $\inf_k f_k(t) > 0, (t > 0)$.

Proof. (a) implies (b) is obvious.

(b) implies (c). Let $\mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta) \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta)$.

Suppose that (c) does not hold. Then, by lemma 1.5 ,

$$\inf_k f_k(t) = 0, (t > 0), \tag{2.2}$$

and therefore there is a sequence (k_i) of positive integers such that

$$f_{k_i}(i^2) < \frac{1}{i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} i^2, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (2.2) $x \in \mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta)$ but $x \notin \mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta)$ which contradicts (b). Hence (c) must hold.

(c) implies (a). Let (c) holds and $x \in \mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta)$, that is, $\lim_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = 0$. Suppose that $x \notin \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$. Then for some number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and positive integer k_0 we have $|\Delta x_k| \geq \varepsilon_0$ for $k \geq k_0$. Therefore $f_k(\varepsilon_0) \leq f_k(|\Delta x_k|)$ for $k \geq k_0$ and hence $\lim_k f_k(\varepsilon_0) = 0$ which contradicts (c). Thus $\mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$.

Theorem 2.3. *The inclusion $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$ holds if and only if*

$$\lim_k f_k(t) = \infty \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$ such that $\lim_k f_k(t) = \infty$ for $t > 0$ does not hold. Then there is a number $t_0 > 0$ and a sequence (k_i) of positive integers such that

$$f_{k_i}(t_0) \leq M < \infty \quad (2.4)$$

Define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} t_0, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta)$, by (2.4). But $x \notin \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$, so that (2.3) must hold. If $\mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$. Conversely, let (2.3) hold. If $x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(F, \Delta)$, then $f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq M < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Suppose that $x \notin \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$. Then for some number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and positive integer k_0 we have $|\Delta x_k| \geq \varepsilon_0$ for $k \geq k_0$. Therefore $f_k(\varepsilon_0) \leq f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq M$ for $k \geq k_0$ which contradicts (2.3). Hence $x \in \mathcal{L}_0(\Delta)$.

Theorem 2.4. *The inclusion $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta)$ holds, if and only if*

$$\lim_k f_k(t) = 0 \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta)$ but (2.5) does not hold.

Then

$$\lim_k f_k(t_0) = l \neq 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for some $t_0 > 0$. Define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = t_0 \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (-1) \begin{bmatrix} k-v \\ k-v \end{bmatrix}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then $x \notin \mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta)$, by (2.6). Hence (2.5) holds. Conversely, let $x \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\Delta)$ and suppose that (2.5) holds. Then $|\Delta x_k| \leq M < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Therefore $f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq f_k(M)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\lim_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq \lim_k f_k(M) = 0$, by (2.5). Hence $x \in \mathcal{L}_0(F, \Delta)$.

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Altay, F. Başar and Mursaleen, On the Euler sequence space which include the spaces l_p and l_∞ , Inform. Sci. 176 (2006), 1450-1462,.
- [2] F. Başar and B. Altay, On the spaces of sequences of p-bounded variation and related matrix mappings, Ukrainion Math. J. (2003).
- [3] C.A. Bektas, R. Colak, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, Soochow J. Math. 29 (2003), 215-220.
- [4] A.K. Gaur and Mursaleen, Difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, Demonstratio Math. 31 (1998), 275-278.
- [5] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canadian Math. Bull. 24 (1981), 169-176.
- [6] E. Kolk, On strong boundedness and summability with respect to a sequence of moduli, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu, 960 (1993), 41-50.
- [7] E. Kolk, Inclusion theorems for some sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu, 970 (1994), 65-72.
- [8] E. Malkowsky, Recent results in the theory of matrix transformation in sequence spaces, Math. Vesnik 49 (1997), 187-196.
- [9] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, J. Math Soc. Japan. 5 (1953), 29-49.

- [10] P.N. Ng and P.Y. Lee, Cesaro sequence spaces of non-absolute type, *Comment. Math. Pracc. Math.* 20 (1978), 429-433.
- [11] W.H. Ruckle, On perfect Symmetric BK-spaces, *Math. Ann.* 175 (1968), 121-126.
- [12] W.H. Ruckle, Symmetric coordinate spaces and symmetric bases, *Canad. J. Math.* 19 (1967), 828-838.
- [13] W.H. Ruckle, FK-spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Canad. J. Math.* 25 (1973), 973-975.
- [14] M. Şengönül, On The Zweier Sequence Space, *Demonstratio Math.* 1 (2007), 181-196.
- [15] C.S. Wang, On Nörlund sequence spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.* 9 (1978), 269-274.