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1. Introduction

Let $\Delta = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plan $\mathbb{C}$. Let $A$ be the class of functions $f$ which are analytic in the unit disk and these functions are given by

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

A function $f$ analytic in $\Delta$ is said to be univalent in a domain $D$ if

$$f(z_1) = f(z_2) \implies z_1 = z_2, \quad z_1, z_2 \in D.$$
The class of all univalent functions $f$ in $\Delta$ and have form (1) will be denoted by $S$.

A domain $D$ is called convex if for every pair of points $w_1$ and $w_2$ in the interior of $D$, the line-segment joining $w_1$ to $w_2$ lies wholly in $D$. A function $f$ which maps $\Delta$ onto a convex domain is called a convex function. The necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in S$ to be convex in $\Delta$ is that $\text{Re} \left( z f'(z) \right) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$. The class off all functions convex and univalent in $\Delta$ is denoted by $C$.

A domain $D$ is said to be starlike with respect to $w = 0$ if the linear segment joining $w = 0$ to any other point of $D$ lies wholly in $D$. If a function $f$ map $\Delta$ onto a starlike domain with respect to $w = 0$, then $f$ is said to be starlike. The necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in S$ to be starlike is that $\text{Re} \left( z f'(z) \right) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$.

This class is denoted by $S^*$, and it was studied first by Alexander [23].

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in $\Delta$. We say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ if there exists a function $\phi(z)$ analytic (not necessarily univalent) in $\Delta$ satisfying $\phi(0) = 0$ and $|\phi(z)| < 1$ such that

\[
(2) \quad f(z) = g(\phi(z)) \quad (|z| < 1).
\]

Subordination is denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. For more details on univalent functions by subordination, we refer to [21, 22, 27, 34, 28, 35, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42].

Let $B$ be the class of functions, analytic in $\Delta$ and of the form

\[
(3) \quad w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad n \in N,
\]

which satisfy the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \Delta$. Based on the class $B$ Janowski [26] defined the class $P[A, B]$, as follows:

Let $p$ be analytic function in $\Delta$, given by

\[
(4) \quad p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n.
\]

Then $p(z)$ is said to be in the class $P[A, B]$; $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$; if and only if, for $z \in \Delta$

\[
(5) \quad p(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, w \in B.
\]
Moreover, the author in [3] studied some of their basic properties.

For \(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1\) and
\[
p(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \ldots, \quad n \in N,
\]
we say that \(p \in P_n[A, B]\) if
\[
p(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad z \in \Delta.
\]
The class with the property that \(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in P_n[A, B]\) is denoted by \(ST_n[A, B]\). If \(n = 1\), we drop the subscript. Also, Ravichandran et.al [41] obtained the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.1** (see [41]) If \(p \in P_n[A, B]\), then
\[
\left| p(z) - \frac{1 - ABz^{2n}}{1 - B^2 r^{2n}} \right| \leq \frac{(A - B)r^n}{1 - B^2 r^{2n}}, \quad |z| = r < 1.
\]

For the special case \(p \in P_n(\alpha) = P_n[1 - 2\alpha, -1]\), we get
\[
\left| p(z) - \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z^{2n}}{1 - r^{2n}} \right| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)r^n}{1 - r^{2n}}, \quad |z| = r < 1.
\]

Let \(A^*\) denote the class of functions \(f\) analytic in the unit disk \(\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}\) and normalized by \(f(0) = 0\) and \(f'(0) = 1\), then \(f \in S_n[A_j, B_j]\) if and only if
\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in P_n[A_j, B_j] \quad \text{and} \quad f \in A^*.
\]
The following lemma is useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.2** If \(\psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n\) is regular in \(\Delta\), \(\phi_1(z)\) and \(h(z)\) are convex univalent in \(\Delta\) such that \(\psi(z) \prec \phi_1(z)\), then \(\psi(z) * h(z) \prec \phi_1(z) * h(z), \quad z \in \Delta\), where
\[
\phi_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) * \phi_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n a_n z^n.
\]

Recently in [3, 28], using subordination concept the author defined the following classes of analytic functions in the unit disk \(\Delta\):
\[
P(m, n, \prec) = P_{k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_n}^{m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_n}[m, n, \prec; A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, A_3, B_3, \ldots, A_n, B_n],
\]
\[
P'(m, n, \prec) = P_{k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_n}^{m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_n}[m, m, \prec; A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, A_3, B_3, \ldots, A_n, B_n].
\]
Moreover, the author in [3] studied some of their basic properties.
In this paper, we define the following classes of analytic functions of the single complex variable $z$ in the unit disk $\Delta = \{ z : |z| < 1 \}$:

$$L(m,n,\prec) = L_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_n}^m[m,m;A_1,B_1,A_2,B_2,A_3,B_3,\ldots,A_n,B_n],$$

and

$$W(m,n,\prec) = W_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_n}^m[m,m;A_1,B_1,A_2,B_2,A_3,B_3,\ldots,A_n^*,B_n].$$

Also, we study some of their basic properties.

2. General Analytic Classes

Now, we give the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1** Let $f \in A^*$, then $f \in L(m,n,\prec)$ if and only if, there exist functions $h_j, C_j \in S_n^*[A_j,B_j]$; $j = 1,2,3,\ldots,n$, such that

$$f'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n^*} \left\{ \frac{h_j(z)}{z} \right\}^{\alpha_j (k_j+2) 4} \left\{ \frac{C_j(z)}{z} \right\}^{-\alpha_j (k_j-2) 4}.$$  

**Definition 2.2** Let $f \in A^*$, then $f \in W(m,n,\prec)$ if and only if, there exist functions $h_j, C_j \in S_n^*[A_j,B_j]$; $j = 1,2,3,\ldots,n$, such that

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{h_j(z)}{z} \right\}^{\alpha_j (k_j+2) 4} \left\{ \frac{C_j(z)}{z} \right\}^{-\alpha_j (k_j-2) 4}.$$  

**Remark 2.1** It follows from (7) and (8), that $f \in L(m,n,\prec)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in L(m,n,\prec).$

**Remark 2.2** The classes $L(m,n,\prec)$ and $W(m,n,\prec)$ generalizing some classes in [32].

**Theorem 2.1** Let $f_i(z) \in L(m,n,\prec)$, $i = 1,2,3,\ldots,n$ and and $\lambda_i$ are positive constants. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i(z) \in L(m,n,\prec)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \frac{zf'_i(z)}{f_i(z)} \in P(m,n,\prec).$$

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the corresponding result in [32], so it will be omitted.

Now, we give the following result:
Theorem 2.2 Let \( f_i(z) \in L(m, n, \prec) \), \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots n \) and \( \lambda_i \) are positive constants. Then,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i(z) \left| \frac{(z f_i'(z))'}{f_i'(z)} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_j \sum_{l=1}^{r} \frac{1 + A_j r^n}{1 + B_j r^n}.
\]

Proof. Let \( f_i(z) \in L(m, n, \prec) \), \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots n \) and \( \lambda_i \). Then, as in [26, 32], we deduce

\[
\log f_i(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_j \left\{ \left( \frac{k_j + 2}{4} \right) \log \left( \frac{h_j(z)}{z} \right) - \left( \frac{k_j - 2}{4} \right) \log \left( \frac{C_j(z)}{z} \right) \right\}.
\]

Differentiating both sides with respect to \( z \) it follows that

\[
\frac{f_i''(z)}{f_i'(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_j \left\{ \left( \frac{k_j + 2}{4} \right) \left( \frac{zh_j'(z) - h_j(z)}{z^2} \right) \left( \frac{z}{h_j(z)} \right) - \left( \frac{k_j - 2}{4} \right) \left( \frac{zC_j'(z) - C_j(z)}{z^2} \right) \left( \frac{z}{C_j(z)} \right) \right\}.
\]

Therefore

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left( 1 + \frac{zf_i''(z)}{f_i'(z)} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left( \frac{(zf_i'(z))'}{f_i'(z)} \right)
\]

\[= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_j \left\{ \left( \frac{k_j + 2}{4} \right) \left( \frac{zh_j'(z)}{h_j(z)} \right) - \left( \frac{k_j - 2}{4} \right) \left( \frac{zC_j'(z)}{C_j(z)} \right) \right\} \right). \tag{9}\]

Since,

\[
\left| \frac{zh_j'(z)}{h_j(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 + A_j r^n}{1 + B_j r^n}, \tag{10}
\]

Then, we get that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left| \frac{(zf_i'(z))'}{f_i'(z)} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_j \frac{1 + A_j r^n}{2 \left( 1 + B_j r^n \right)}.
\]

The proof is therefore completely established.

Theorem 2.3 Let \( f_i(z) \in W(m, n, \prec) \), \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots n \) and \( \lambda_i \) are positive constants. Then,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i(z) \left| \frac{(zf_i'(z))'}{f_i'(z)} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^{s} m_j \frac{1 + A_j r^n}{2 \left( 1 + B_j r^n \right)}.
\]

Proof. The proof is very much akin to the proof of Theorem 2.2, so it will be omitted.

3. Main results
The proof follows from Theorem 3.1.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 1.1, 1.2 and Definition 2.1.

Theorem 3.2 Let \( \log(|f'(z)|) \in L(m,n,\prec) \). Then

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1 - B_j r^n]}{[1 + B_j r^n]} \right\}^{\frac{m_j}{B_j + r^n(B_j)}} - \frac{r^n}{2} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{s} m_s \ell_s A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*}) \right] \leq \log(|f'(z)|)
\]

(11)

\[
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{1 + B_j r^n}{[1 - B_j r^n]} \right\}^{\frac{m_j}{B_j + r^n(B_j)}} + \left\{ \frac{r^n}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{s} m_s \ell_s A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*}) \right\},
\]

where \( \gamma(B_s) \) is defined as above. The function \( \log(|f'(z)|) \) given by

\[
\log(|f'(z)|) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{1 + B_j r^n}{[1 - B_j r^n]} \right\}^{\frac{a_j}{B_j + r^n(B_j)}} + \left\{ \frac{r^n}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{s} m_s \ell_s A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*}) \right\},
\]

shows that the above result is sharp.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3 Let \( \log(|f'_i(z)|) \in W(m,n,\prec) \). Then

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1 - B_j r^n]}{[1 + B_j r^n]} \right\}^{\frac{m_j}{B_j + r^n(B_j)}} - \frac{r^n}{2} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{s} m_s \ell_s A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*}) \right] \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left[ \log(|f'_i(z)|) \right]
\]

(12)

\[
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{1 + B_j r^n}{[1 - B_j r^n]} \right\}^{\frac{a_j}{B_j + r^n(B_j)}} + \left\{ \frac{r^n}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{s} m_s \ell_s A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*}) \right\} \right],
\]

where \( \gamma(B_s) \) is defined as above. The function \( \log(|f'_i(z)|) \) given by

\[
\log(|f'_i(z)|) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{1 + B_j r^n}{[1 - B_j r^n]} \right\}^{\frac{a_j}{B_j + r^n(B_j)}} + \left\{ \frac{r^n}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{s} m_s \ell_s A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*}) \right\},
\]

shows that the above result is sharp.
where $\gamma(B_s)$ is defined as above. The function $\log(|f_i'(z)|)$ given by

$$\log(|f_i'(z)|) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1 + B_j \delta_j z^n k_j + 2]}{[1 - B_j \eta_j z^n k_j - 2]} \right\} \frac{a_j^{(A_j - B_j)}}{B_j + \gamma(B_j)} + \left\{ \frac{z^n}{2} \sum_{s'=1}^{s} s m_{s'} k_{s'} A_{s'} \gamma(B_{s'}) \right\},$$

shows that the above result is sharp.

**Proof.** The proof can be obtained by using Lemma 1.2 and Definition 2.2.

**Remark 3.1** The new results in this paper extend and improve a lot of known results (see [23, 27, 32, 33]).

**Remark 3.2** It is still an open problem to study subordination concept in spaces of analytic functions which defined by integral norms. For various definitions of such analytic classes, we refer to [1, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 36, 37] and others.

**Remark 3.3** It is still an open problem to extend the concept of subordination to Clifford analysis. For more details on some classes of quaternion function spaces, we refer to [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 19, 20, 29, 30, 31].
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