

DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION FOR CERTAIN CLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN THE UNIT DISC

A. EL-SAYED AHMED^{1,2}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Taif University, 888 El-Hawiyah, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt

Copyright © 2016 A. El-Sayed Ahmed. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we define some general classes of analytic functions by subordination. Moreover, by making use of the differential subordination of analytic functions, we investigate inclusion relationships among certain classes of analytic functions.

Keywords: Convex functions; close-to-convex functions; Starlike functions; Univalent functions.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 30C45

1. Introduction

Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plan \mathbb{C} . Let *A* be the class of functions *f* which are analytic in the unit disk and these functions are given by

(1)
$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A function f analytic in Δ is said to be univalent in a domain D if

$$f(z_1) = f(z_2) \Longrightarrow z_1 = z_2 \ z_1, z_2 \in D.$$

Received September 30, 2013

E-mail address: ahsayed80@hotmail.com

The class of all univalent functions f in Δ and have form (1) will be denoted by S.

A domain *D* is called convex if for every pair of points w_1 and w_2 in the interior of *D*, the linesegment joining w_1 to w_2 lies wholly in *D*. A function *f* which maps Δ onto a convex domain is called a convex function. The necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in S$ to be convex in Δ is that Re $\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} > 0$, $z \in \Delta$. The class off all functions convex and univalent in Δ is denoted by *C*.

A domain *D* is said to be starlike with respect to w = 0 if the linear segment joining w = 0 to any other point of *D* lies wholly in *D*. If a function $f \text{ map } \Delta$ onto a starlike domain with respect to w = 0, then f is said to be starlike. The necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in S$ to be starlike is that

$$\operatorname{Re}rac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0, \ z \in \Delta.$$

This class is denoted by S^* , and it was studied first by Alexander [23].

Let f(z) and g(z) be analytic in Δ . We say that f(z) is subordinate to g(z) if there exists a function $\phi(z)$ analytic (not necessarily univalent) in Δ satisfying $\phi(0) = 0$ and $|\phi(z)| < 1$ such that

(2)
$$f(z) = g(\phi(z)) \ (|z| < 1).$$

Subordination is denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. For more details on univalent functions by subordination, we refer to [21, 22, 27, 34, 28, 35, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42].

Let *B* be the class of functions, analytic in Δ and of the form

(3)
$$w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \ n \in N.$$

which satisfy the conditions w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 for all $z \in \Delta$. Based on the class *B* Janowski [26] defined the class *P*[*A*,*B*], as follows:

Let *p* be analytic function in Δ , given by

(4)
$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n.$$

Then p(z) is said to be in the class P[A,B]; $-1 \le B < A \le 1$; if and only if, for $z \in \Delta$

(5)
$$p(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} ; w \in B.$$

In [41], Ravichandran et.al defined the class $P_n[A, B]$ as follows:

For $-1 \le B < A \le 1$ and

$$p(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots, n \in N,$$

we say that $p \in P_n[A,B]$ if

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \ z \in \Delta.$$

The class with the property that $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in P_n[A,B]$ is denoted by $ST_n[A,B]$. If n = 1, we drop the subscript. Also, Ravichandran et.al [41] obtained the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1 (see [41]) If $p \in P_n[A, B]$, then

(6)
$$\left| p(z) - \frac{1 - ABr^{2n}}{1 - B^2 r^{2n}} \right| \le \frac{(A - B)r^n}{1 - B^2 r^{2n}}, \ |z| = r < 1.$$

For the special case $p \in P_n(\alpha) = P_n[1-2\alpha,-1]$, we get

$$\left| p(z) - \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)r^{2n}}{1 - r^{2n}} \right| \le \frac{2(1 - \alpha)r^n}{1 - r^{2n}}, \ |z| = r < 1.$$

Let A^* denote the class of functions f analytic in the unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 1, then $f \in S_n^*[A_j, B_j]$ if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in P_n[A_j, B_j]$$
 and $f \in A^*$.

The following lemma is useful in the sequel.

Lemma 1.2 If $\psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is regular in Δ , $\phi_1(z)$ and h(z) are convex univalent in Δ such that $\psi(z) \prec \phi_1(z)$, then $\psi(z) \ast h(z) \prec \phi_1(z) \ast h(z)$, $z \in \Delta$, where

$$\phi_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 and $\psi(z) * \phi_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n a_n z^n$.

Recently in [3, 28], using subordination concept the author defined the following classes of analytic functions in the unit disk Δ ;

$$P(m,n,\prec) = P_{k_1,k_2,k_3,...,k_n}^{m_1,m_2,m_3,...,m_n}[m,n,\prec;A_1,B_1,A_2,B_2,A_3,B_3,...,A_n,B_n],$$

$$P'(m,n,\prec) = P_{k_1,k_2,k_3,...,k_n}^{\prime m_1,m_2,m_3,...,m_n}[n,m,\prec;A_1,B_1,A_2,B_2,A_3,B_3,...,A_n,B_n].$$

Moreover, the author in [3] studied some of their basic properties.

79

In this paper, we define the following classes analytic functions of the single complex variable z in the unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$:

$$L(m,n,\prec) = L_{k_1,k_2,k_3,\ldots,k_n}^{m_1,m_2,m_3,\ldots,m_n}[n,m,\prec;A_1,B_1,A_2,B_2,A_3,B_3,\ldots,A_n,B_n],$$

and

$$W(m,n,\prec) = W_{k_1,k_2,k_3,...,k_n^*}^{m_1,m_2,m_3,...,\alpha_n}[m,n,\prec;A_1,B_1,A_2,B_2,A_3,B_3,...,A_{n^*},B_n].$$

Also, we study some of their basic properties.

2. General Analytic Classes

Now, we give the following definitions.

Definition 2.1

Let $f \in A^*$, then $f \in L(m, n, \prec)$, if and only if, there exist functions $h_j, C_j \in S_n^*[A_j, B_j]$; j = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, such that

(7)
$$f'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n^*} \left\{ \frac{h_j(z)}{z} \right\}^{\alpha_j \frac{(k_j+2)}{4}} \left\{ \frac{C_j(z)}{z} \right\}^{-\alpha_j \frac{(k_j-2)}{4}}$$

Definition 2.2 Let $f \in A^*$, then $f \in W(m, n, \prec)$ if and only if, there exist functions $h_j, C_j \in S_n^*[A_j, B_j]$; j = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, such that

(8)
$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ h_j(z) \right\}^{\alpha_j \frac{(k_j+2)}{4}} \left\{ C_j(z) \right\}^{-\alpha_j \frac{(k_j-2)}{4}}.$$

Remark 2.1 It follows from (7) and (8), that $f \in L(m, n, \prec)$, if and only if $zf'(z) \in L(m, n, \prec)$.

Remark 2.2 The classes $L(m,n,\prec)$ and $W(m,n,\prec)$ generalizing some classes in [32].

Theorem 2.1 Let $f_i(z) \in L(m, n, \prec)$, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n and and λ_i are positive constants. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(z) \in L(m, n, \prec)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{zf'_i(z)}{f_i(z)} \in P(m, n, \prec).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the corresponding result in [32], so it will be omitted. Now, we give the following result: **Theorem 2.2** Let $f_i(z) \in L(m, n, \prec)$, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n and λ_i are positive constants. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i(z) \left| \frac{(zf_i'(z))'}{f_i'(z)} \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left| \frac{m_j k_j}{2} \right| \left| \frac{1 + A_j r^n}{1 + B_j r^n} \right|.$$

Proof. Let $f_i(z) \in L(m, n, \prec)$, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n and λ_i . Then, as in [26, 32], we deduce

$$\log f_i'(z) = \sum_{j=1}^s m_j \left\{ (\frac{k_j + 2}{4}) \log(\frac{h_j(z)}{z}) - (\frac{k_j - 2}{4}) \log\frac{C_j(z)}{z} \right\}.$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to z it follows that

$$\frac{f_i''(z)}{f_i'(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^s m_j \left\{ \left(\frac{k_j+2}{4}\right) \left(\frac{zh_j'(z)-h_j(z)}{z^2}\right) \left(\frac{z}{h_j(z)} - \left(\frac{k_j-2}{4}\right) \left(\frac{zC_j'(z)-C_j(z)}{z^2}\right) \left(\frac{z}{C_j(z)}\right) \right\}.$$

Therefore

(9)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left(1 + \frac{zf_{i}''(z)}{f_{i}'(z)} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left(\frac{(zf_{i}'(z))'}{f_{i}'(z)} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} m_{j} \left\{ (\frac{k_{j}+2}{4}) (\frac{zh_{j}'(z)}{h_{j}(z)}) - (\frac{k_{j}-2}{4}) (\frac{zC_{j}'(z)}{C_{j}(z)}) \right\} \right).$$

Since,

(10)
$$\left|\frac{zh'_j(z)}{h_j(z)}\right| \le \frac{1+A_jr^n}{1+B_jr^n},$$

Then, we get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left| \frac{(z f'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \frac{\alpha_j k_j}{2} \right| \left| \frac{1 + A_j r^n}{1 + B_j r^n} \right|,$$

The proof is therefore completely established.

Theorem 2.3 Let $f_i(z) \in W(m, n, \prec)$, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n and λ_i are positive constants. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i(z) \left| \frac{(zf'_i(z))'}{f'_i(z)} \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left| \frac{m_j k_j}{2} \right| \left| \frac{1 + A_j r^n}{1 + B_j r^n} \right|.$$

Proof. The proof is very much akin to the proof of Theorem 2.2, so it will be omitted.

3. Main results

80

Theorem 3.1 Let $\log(|f'(z)|) \in L(m, n, \prec)$. Then

(11)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1-B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}+2}}{[1+B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}-2}} \right\}^{\frac{m_{j}}{4} \frac{(A_{j}-B_{j})}{B_{j}+\gamma(B_{j})}} - \frac{r^{n}}{2} \left[\sum_{s^{*}=1}^{s} m_{s^{*}}\ell_{s^{*}}A_{s^{*}}\gamma(B_{s^{*}}) \right] \right\} \leq \log(|f'(z)|)$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1+B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}+2}}{[1-B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}-2}} \right\}^{\frac{m_{j}}{4} \frac{(A_{j}-B_{j})}{B_{j}+\gamma(B_{j})}} + \left\{ \frac{r^{n}}{2} \left[\sum_{s^{*}=1}^{s} m_{s^{*}}\ell_{s^{*}}A_{s^{*}}\gamma(B_{s^{*}}) \right] \right\},$$

where $\gamma(B_s)$ is defined as above. The function $\log(|f'(z)|)$ given by

$$\log(|f'(z)|) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1+B_j \delta_j z^n]^{k_j+2}}{[1-B_j \eta_j z^n]^{k_j-2}} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha_j}{4} \frac{(A_j-B_j)}{B_j+\gamma(B_j)}} + \left\{ \frac{z^n}{2} [\sum_{s^*=1}^* sm_{s^*} k_{s^*} A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*})] \right\},$$

shows that the above result is sharp.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 1.1, 1.2 and Definition 2.1.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\log(|f'_i(z)|) \in L(m, n, \prec)$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1-B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}+2}}{[1+B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}-2}} \right\}^{\frac{m_{j}}{4} \frac{(A_{j}-B_{j})}{B_{j}+\gamma(B_{j})}} - \frac{r^{n}}{2} \left[\sum_{s^{*}=1}^{s} m_{s^{*}}\ell_{s^{*}}A_{s^{*}}\gamma(B_{s^{*}}) \right] \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left[\log(|f_{i}'(z)|) \right]$$

$$(12) \qquad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1+B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}+2}}{[1-B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}-2}} \right\}^{\frac{m_{j}}{4} \frac{(A_{j}-B_{j})}{B_{j}+\gamma(B_{j})}} + \left\{ \frac{r^{n}}{2} \left[\sum_{s^{*}=1}^{s} m_{s^{*}}\ell_{s^{*}}A_{s^{*}}\gamma(B_{s^{*}}) \right] \right],$$

where $\gamma(B_s)$ is defined as above. The function $\log(|f'_i(z)|)$ given by

$$\log(|f_i'(z)|) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1+B_j \delta_j z^n]^{k_j+2}}{[1-B_j \eta_j z^n]^{k_j-2}} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha_j}{4} \frac{(A_j-B_j)}{B_j+\gamma(B_j)}} + \left\{ \frac{z^n}{2} [\sum_{s^*=1}^* sm_{s^*} k_{s^*} A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*})] \right\},$$

shows that the above result is sharp.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\log(|f'_i(z)|) \in W(m, n, \prec)$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1-B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}+2}}{[1+B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}-2}} \right\}^{\frac{m_{j}}{4} \frac{(A_{j}-B_{j})}{B_{j}+\gamma(B_{j})}} - \frac{r^{n}}{2} \left[\sum_{s^{*}=1}^{s} m_{s^{*}}\ell_{s^{*}}A_{s^{*}}\gamma(B_{s^{*}}) \right] \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left[\log(|f_{i}'(z)|) \right]$$

$$(13) \qquad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1+B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}+2}}{[1-B_{j}r^{n}]^{k_{j}-2}} \right\}^{\frac{m_{j}}{4} \frac{(A_{j}-B_{j})}{B_{j}+\gamma(B_{j})}} + \left\{ \frac{r^{n}}{2} \left[\sum_{s^{*}=1}^{s} m_{s^{*}}\ell_{s^{*}}A_{s^{*}}\gamma(B_{s^{*}}) \right] \right],$$

where $\gamma(B_s)$ is defined as above. The function $\log(|f'_i(z)|)$ given by

$$\log(|f_i'(z)|) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\{ \frac{[1+B_j \delta_j z^n]^{k_j+2}}{[1-B_j \eta_j z^n]^{k_j-2}} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha_j}{4} \frac{(A_j-B_j)}{B_j+\gamma(B_j)}} + \left\{ \frac{z^n}{2} [\sum_{s^*=1}^* sm_{s^*} k_{s^*} A_{s^*} \gamma(B_{s^*})] \right\},$$

shows that the above result is sharp.

Proof. The proof can be obtained by using Lemma 1.2 and Definition 2.2.

Remark 3.1 The new results in this paper extend and improve a lot of known results (see [23, 27, 32, 33]).

Remark 3.2 It is still an open problem to study subordination concept in spaces of analytic functions which defined by integral norms. For various definitions of such analytic classes, we refer to [1, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 36, 37] and others.

Remark 3.3 It is still an open problem to extend the concept of subordination to Clifford analysis. For more details on some classes of quaternion function spaces, we refer to [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 19, 20, 29, 30, 31].

REFERENCES

- A. El-Sayed Ahmed, On some classes and spaces of holomorphic and hyperholomorphic functions, Dissertationes, Bauhaus Uinversity at Weimar-Germany (2003) 1-127.
- [2] A. El-Sayed Ahmed, Hyperholomorphic Q classes, Math. Comput. Modelling 55 (2012) 1428-1435.
- [3] A. El-Sayed Ahmed, On some classes of analytic functions defined by subordination, Global J. Sci. Frontier Res. 12 (2012), 43-52.
- [4] A. El-Sayed Ahmed, On weighted α -Besov spaces and α -Bloch spaces of quaternion-valued functions, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 29 (2008) 1064-1081.
- [5] A. El-Sayed Ahmed, Lacunary series in quaternion B^{p,q} spaces, Complex variables and elliptic equations, 54 (2009) 705-723.
- [6] A.El-Sayed Ahmed, Lacunary series in weighted hyperholomorphic $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(G)$ spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32 (2011), 41-58.
- [7] A. El-Sayed Ahmed, A general class of weighted Banach function spaces, J. Ana. Num. Theor. 2 (2014), 25-30.
- [8] A.El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Ahmadi, On weighted Bloch spaces of quaternion-valued functions, International Conference on Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics: 19-25 September 2011 Location: Halkidiki, (Greece): AIP Conference Proceedings 1389(2011), 272-275.

- [9] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and M.A. Bakhit, Characterizations involving Schwarzian derivative in some analytic function spaces, Math. Sci. DOI: 10.1186/10.1186/2251-7456-7-43.
- [10] A. El-Sayed Ahmed, K. Gürlebeck, L. F. Reséndis and L.M. Tovar, Characterizations for the Bloch space by B^{p,q} spaces in Clifford analysis, Complex variables and elliptic equations, 51 (2006) 119-136.
- [11] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, On weighted classes of analytic function spaces, J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), 1721-1733.
- [12] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, $Q_{K,\omega,\log}(p,q)$ -type spaces of analytic and meromorphic functions, Mathematica Tome, 54 (2012) 26-37.
- [13] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, Logarthmic order and type on some weighted function spaces, J. Appl. Funct. Anal. 7 (2012), 108-117.
- [14] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, Generalized composition operators on $Q_{K,\omega}(p,q)$ spaces, Mathematical Sciences Springer. (2012) DOI:10.1186/2251-7456-6-14.
- [15] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, Generalized composition operators on $Q_K(p,q)$ spaces, J. Frac. Calcus Appl. Vol. 3(S). July, 11, 2012 (Proc. of the 4th. Symb. of Fractional Calculus and Applications) No. 18, pp. 1-9.
- [16] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, Riemann-Stieltjes operators on some weighted function spaces, Int. Math. Virtual Institute 3 (2013), 81-96.
- [17] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, Carleson measure characterization on analytic $Q_K(p,q)$ spaces, Int. Math. Virtual Institute 3 (2013), 1-21.
- [18] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and S. Omran, Some analytic classes of Banach function spaces, Global J. Sci. Frontier Res. 10 (2010), 33-39.
- [19] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and S. Omran, Weighted classes of quaternion-valued functions, Banach J. Math. Anal. 6 (2012) 180-191.
- [20] A. El-Sayed Ahmed and S. Omran, On Bergman spaces in Clifford analysis, Appl. Math. Sci. 7 (2013), 4203
 4211.
- [21] F. M. Al-Oboudi and K. A. Al-Amoudi, Subordination results for classes of analytic functions related to conic domains defined by a fractional operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354 (2009), 412-420.
- [22] R. Aghalary, J.M. Jahangiri and S.R. Karni, Starlikeness and convexity conditions for classes of functions defined by subordination, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. (2004).
- [23] J. Alexander, Functions with map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions, Ann. Math. 17 (1915-1916), 12-22.
- [24] W. Elke, Differences of weighted composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions and weighted Bloch type spaces, Cubo A Mathematical Journal, 12(2)(2010), 19-27.

- [25] W. Elke, Integral composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces and weighted Bloch type spaces, Cubo A Math. J. 14 (2012), 9-19.
- [26] A. Janowski, Some extermal problems for certain families of analytic functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 28(1973),297-326.
- [27] D.J. Hallenbeck, and K.T. Hallenbeck, Extreme points and support points of subordination families. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 251 (2000), 157-166.
- [28] R. Goel and B. Mehoke, Some invariance properties of a subclass of close-to-convex functions, Indian J. Pur. Appl.Math. 12 (1981), 1210-1249.
- [29] K. Gürlebeck and A. El-Sayed Ahmed, Integral norms for hyperholomorphic Bloch functions in the unit ball of ℝ³, Proceedings of the 3rd International ISAAC Congress held in Freie Universtaet Berlin-Germany, August 20-25 (2001), Editors H.Begehr, R. Gilbert and M.W. Wong, Kluwer Academic Publishers, World Scientific New Jersey, London, Singapore, Hong Kong, Vol I(2003), 253-262.
- [30] K. Gürlebeck and A. El-Sayed Ahmed, On series expansions of hyperholomorphic B^q functions, Trends in Mathematics: Advances in Analysis and Geometry, Birkäuser verlarg Switzerland (2004), 113-129.
- [31] K. Gürlebeck and A. El-Sayed Ahmed, On B^q spaces of hyperholomorphic functions and the Bloch space in \mathbb{R}^3 , Le Hung Son ed. Et al. In the book Finite and infinite dimensional complex Analysis and Applications, Advanced complex Analysis and Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2004), 269-286.
- [32] Z.M.G. Kishka, On some Classes of analytic functions, Bulletin de la Societe' Royale des Sciences de Lie'ge, 62 (1993), 313-360.
- [33] J. Kaczmarski, External properties of close-to-convex functions, Mathematiyka Fizyka. Z. 3(1985), 19-39.
- [34] O.S. Kwon and S. Owa, The subordination theorem for λ -spirallike functions of order α , Int. J. Appl. Math. 11 No.2(2002), 113-119.
- [35] A. O. Mostafa, Applications of differential subordination to certain subclasses of *p*-valent meromorphic functions involving a certain operator, Math. Comput. Modelling 54 (2011), 1486-1498.
- [36] R. A. Rashwan, A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, Integral characterizations of weighted Bloch spaces and $Q_{K,\omega}(p,q)$ spaces, Mathematica 51 (2009) 63-76.
- [37] R. A. Rashwan, A. El-Sayed Ahmed and A. Kamal, Some characterizations of weighted Bloch space, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. 2 (2009) 250-267.
- [38] S. Owa and H.M. Srivastava, Some subordination theorems involving a certain family of integral operators, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 15 (2004), 445-454.
- [39] R. Parvatham and T. Shanmugan, On analytic functions with reference to an integral operators, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 28 (1983),207-21.
- [40] N. N. Pascu and S. Owa, Subordination chains and univalence criteria, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40 (2003), 671-675.

DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION

- [41] V. Ravichandran, F. Ronning and T.N. Shanmugam, Radius of convexity and radius of starlikeness for some classes of analytic functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 33 (1997), 265-280.
- [42] R. Singh and S. Singh, Subordination by convex functions, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 24 (2000), 563-568.