

### SOME EXPLICIT CONSTRUCTIONS OF TERNARY NON-FULL-RANK TILINGS OF ABELIAN GROUPS

#### KHALID AMIN

University of Bahrain, College of Science, Department of Mathematics, PO Box 32038, Bahrain

Copyright © 2017 Khalid Amin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. A tiling of a finite abelian group *G* is a pair (A, B) of subsets of *G*, such that both *A* and *B* contain the identity element *e* of *G* and every  $g \in G$  can be uniquely written in the form g = ab, where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . A tiling (A, B) of *G* is called *full-rank* if  $\langle A \rangle = \langle B \rangle = G$ , Otherwise, it is called a *non-full rank* tiling. In this paper, we show some explicit constructions of *non-full rank* tilings of 3–groups of order 3<sup>4</sup>.

Keywords: factorization of Abelian groups; q-ary tilings.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 03E20.

## **1. Introduction**

A tiling of a finite abelian group *G* is a pair (A, B) of subsets of *G* containing the identity *e* of *G* and every  $g \in G$  can be uniquely written in the form g = ab, where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Tilings are a special case of normalized factorizations of a finite abelian group *G*, where by a normalized factorization of *G* is meant a collection of subsets  $A_1, A_2,...,A_n$  of *G*, such that  $e \in A_i$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., n and every  $g \in G$  can be uniquely written in the form  $g = a_1a_2...a_n$ ,

E-mail address: kamin35@hotmail.com

Received February 27, 2017

#### KHALID AMIN

 $a_i \in A_i$ . The notion of factorization of an abelian group into subsets was introduced by G. Hajos [1], when he found the answer to a conjecture by H. Minkowski [4], about lattice tiling of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by unit cubes or clusters of unit cubes. Hajos first translated Minkowski's conjecture into a question about finite abelian groups and then he solved the question.

The group-theoretic version of Minkowski's conjecture reads as follows:

If G is a finite abelian group and  $G = A_1 \dots A_{i\dots} A_k$  is a normalized factorization of G, where each of the subsets  $A_i$  is of the form  $\{e, a, a^2, \dots, a^k\}$ , where k < |a|; (here |a| denotes order of a).then at least one of the subsets  $A_i$  is a subgroup of G.

### **2.** Preliminaries

Hajos made use of the integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}(G)$ . Corresponding to each subset *A* of *G*, we hav element  $\overline{A}$  of *G*, where  $\overline{A} = \sum_{a \in A} a$ . If  $B = \sum n_i g_i$ ,  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $g_i \in G$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}(G)$ , then by  $\langle b \rangle$  is meant the subgroup of *G* generated by the support of *b*; viz. those elements  $g_i$  such that  $n_i \neq 0$ . We will also, use  $\langle A \rangle$  to mean the subgroup generated by a subset *A* of *G* and  $\langle b_1, b_2, ..., b_m \rangle$  will denote the subgroup generated by the support of  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}(G)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

Redei [4] made use of group characters; viz homomorphisms  $\chi$  from *G* to the multiplicative group of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . These extend to ring homomorphisms  $\chi$  from  $\mathbb{Z}(G)$  to the multiplicative group of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , where  $\chi(\sum n_i g_i) = \sum n_i \varkappa(g_i)$ . He also defined the *annihilator* of the subset *A* of *G*,  $Ann(A) = \{\varkappa : \varkappa(\overline{A}) = 0\}$  and observed that A = B if and only if  $\varkappa(\overline{A}) = \varkappa(\overline{B})$ .

In particular  $G = A_1 \dots A_{i\dots} A_k$  is a *factorization of* G if and only if  $|G| = |A_1| \dots |A_i| \dots |A_k|$  and for each non-identity character  $\varkappa$  there exists  $A_i$  such that  $\varkappa(\overline{A_I}) = 0$ .....(\*)

We will use(\*) to show that our constructions constitute factorizations of a given group G.

## 3. Main results

M. Dinitz [1], showed that if  $p \ge 5$ , then groups of order  $p^n$  admit full-rank tiling and left the case p = 3, as an open question. We answer this question by showing some explicit constructions of *non-full rank* tilings of groups of order  $3^4$ .

We recall that a finite abelian group *G* is said to be of type  $(p_1^{\alpha_1}, p_2^{\alpha_2}, ..., p_r^{\alpha_r})$  if it is a product of cyclic groups of orders  $p_1^{\alpha_1}, p_2^{\alpha_2}, ..., p_r^{\alpha_r}$ . If  $p_i = p$ , for each *i*, *G* is called a *p*-group. We construct non-full-rank tilings of 3-groups of order 3<sup>4</sup> of the following types:  $(3^3, 3), (3^2, 3^2), (3^2, 3, 3)$  and (3, 3, 3, 3).

#### **Construction 1**

A non-full rank tiling of 3-groups of type  $(3^3,3)$ . Let  $G = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ , where |x| = 27 and |y| = 3. Let  $A = \langle x^9 y \rangle \cup x \langle x^9 y \rangle \cup x^2 \langle x^9 y \rangle$  and  $B = \langle x^9 \rangle \cup x^3 \langle y \rangle \cup x^6 \langle y \rangle$ .

We will use (\*) to show that *AB* is a tiling of *G*. First note that the possible orders of  $\varkappa(x)$  are 1,3,9 and 27 and the possible orders of  $\varkappa(y)$  are 1 and 3.

Case	Order of $\varkappa(x)$	Order of $\varkappa(y)$	$\varkappa(A)$	$\varkappa(B)$
1	1	1		
2	1	3	0	
3	3	1	0	
4	3	3	0	
5	9	1		0
6	9	3	0	
7	27	1	0	
8	27	3		0

So, altogether we have 8 different cases to consider. The result is summarized below:

We observe that no element of *B* has order greater than 9.

Therefore,  $\langle B \rangle \subseteq \langle x^3, y \rangle$ . Thus,  $\langle B \rangle \neq G$ .

### **Construction 2**

A non-full rank tiling of 3-groups of type  $(3^2, 3^2)$ . Let  $G = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ , where |x| = |y| = 9. Let  $A = (\langle x \rangle - \{x^5, x^8\}) \cup \{x^5y^3, x^8y^6\}$  and  $B = = (\langle y \rangle - \{y^5, y^8\}) \cup \{x^6y^5, x^3y^8\}$ .

Note that the possible orders of  $\varkappa(x)$  are 1,3 and 9 and similarly for orders of  $\varkappa(y)$ . So, altogether we have 9 different cases to consider. The result is summarized below: KHALID AMIN

Case	Order of $\varkappa(x)$	Order of $\varkappa(y)$	$\varkappa(A)$	$\varkappa(B)$
1	1	1	•	•
2	1	3		0
3	1	9		0
4	3	1	0	
5	3	3	0	0
6	3	9		0
7	9	1	0	
8	9	3	0	
9	9	9	$0^*$	0*

(\*) All the other cases, except this one, which we will detail.

Let  $\varkappa(x) = \xi$  and  $\varkappa(y) = \eta$ , where  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are primitive 9-th roots of unity. Then

$$\begin{split} \varkappa(A) &= 1 + \xi + \xi^2 + \xi^3 + \xi^4 + \xi^6 + \xi^7 + \xi^5 \eta^3 + \xi^8 \eta^6 \\ &= (1 + \xi^3 + \xi^6) + \xi(1 + \xi^3 + \xi^6) + \xi^2(1 + + \xi^3 \eta^3 + \xi^6 \eta^6) \\ &= \xi^2(1 + + \xi^3 \eta^3 + \xi^6 \eta^6). \\ \varkappa(B) &= 1 + \eta + \eta^2 + \eta^3 + \eta^4 + \eta^6 + \eta^7 + \xi^6 \eta^5 + \xi^3 \eta^8 \\ &= (1 + \eta^3 + \eta^6) + \eta(1 + \eta^3 + \eta^6) + \eta^2(1 + \xi^3 \eta^6 + \xi^6 \eta^3) \\ &= \eta^2(1 + \xi^3 \eta^5 + \xi^6 \eta^3). \end{split}$$

Now,  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are both primitive 9–th root of unity. Hence:

 $\eta = \xi, \xi^2, \xi^4, \xi^5, \xi^7$  or  $\xi^8$ . Easy calculations will show that when  $\eta = \xi, \xi^4$  or  $\xi^7$ , we obtain  $\varkappa(A) = 0$ . In the remaining cases, we get that  $\varkappa(B) = 0$ . In this case, by construction, we get that,  $\langle B \rangle \neq G$ .

### **Construction 3**

A non-full rank tiling of 3–groups of type  $(3^2, 3, 3)$ . Let  $G = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \times \langle z \rangle$ , where |x| = 9, |y| = 3 and |z| = 3. Let  $A = \langle x^3 \rangle \cup x \langle y \rangle \cup x^2 \langle y \rangle$  and  $B = \langle x^3 y \rangle \cup z \langle x^3 y^2 \rangle \cup z^2 \langle x^3 y \rangle$ .

944

SOME EXPLICIT CONSTRUCTIONS OF TERNARY NON-FULL-RANK TILINGS OF ABELIAN GROUPS 945

Note that the possible orders of  $\varkappa(x)$  are 1,3 and 9, while the possible orders of  $\varkappa(y)$  and  $\varkappa(z)$  are 1 and 3 only. So, altogether we have 12 different cases to consider. The result is summarized below:

Case	Order of $\chi(x)$	Order of $\chi(y)$	Order of $\chi(z)$	$\chi(A)$	$\chi(B)$
1	1	1	1	•	
2	1	1	3		0
3	1	3	1		0
4	1	3	3		0
5	3	1	1	0	
6	3	1	3		0
7	3	3	1	0	
8	3	3	3	0	
9	9	1	1		0
10	9	1	3		0
11	9	3	1	$0^{**}$	
12	9	3	3	0**	

(\*\*) All the other cases, except these, in which case, we get the result by using a similar argument as in the previous case.

In this case, by construction, we get that,  $\langle A \rangle \neq G$ .

# **Construction 4**

A non-full rank tiling of 3-groups of type (3,3,3,3).

Let 
$$G = (\langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \times \langle u \rangle \times \langle v \rangle$$
, where  $|x| = |y| = |u| = |v| = 3$ .  
Let  $A = (\langle x, y \rangle - \{x^2y, x^2y^2\}) \cup \{x^2yv, x^2y^2v^2\}$  and  
 $B = = (\langle u, v \rangle - \{u^2v, u^2v^2\}) \cup \{yu^2v^2, y^2u^2v\}.$ 

Note that the possible orders of  $\varkappa(x)$  are 1,3 only. Similarly with  $\varkappa(y)$ ,  $\varkappa(u)$  and  $\varkappa(v)$ . So, altogether we have 16 different cases to consider.

Let 
$$\chi(x) = \alpha$$
  
 $\chi(y) = \beta$   
 $\chi(u) = \gamma$ 

\_\_\_\_\_

 $\chi(v) = \delta$ 

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , and  $\delta$  are primitive 3rd roots of unity. Then

$$\begin{split} \chi(A) &= \alpha^2 \beta \delta + \alpha^2 \beta^2 \delta^2 - \alpha^2 \beta - \alpha^2 \beta^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \beta (\delta + \beta \delta^2 - 1 - \beta). \\ \chi(B) &= \beta \gamma^2 \delta^2 + \beta^2 \gamma^2 \delta - \gamma^2 \delta - \gamma^2 \delta^2 \\ &= \gamma^2 \delta \left( \beta \delta + \beta^2 - 1 - \delta \right). \end{split}$$

Now, if  $\beta = 1$ , then  $\chi(B) = 0$ . This takes care of 4 cases.

If  $\delta = 1$ , then  $\chi(A) = A0$ . This takes care of 8 cases.

Otherwise,  $\beta \neq 1$  and  $\delta \neq 1$ . Then either  $\beta = \delta$  or  $\beta = \delta^2$ .

If  $\beta = \delta$ , then  $\chi(A) = 0$ . This takes care of 4 more cases.

If  $\beta = \delta^2$ , then  $\chi(B) = 0$ . This takes care of the remaining 4 cases.

The result is summarized below.

Case	Order of $\chi(x)$	Order of $\chi(y)$	Order of $\chi(u)$	Order of $\chi(v)$	$\chi(A)$	$\chi(B)$
1	1	1	1	1		
2	1	1	1	3		0
3	1	1	3	1	0	0
4	1	1	3	3		0
5	1	3	1	1	0	
6	1	3	1	3		0
7	1	3	3	1	0	
8	1	3	3	3		0
9	3	1	1	1		0
10	3	1	1	3	0	0
11	3	1	3	1		0
12	3	1	3	3		0
13	3	3	1	1	0	
14	3	3	1	3	0	
15	3	3	3	1	0	
16	3	3	3	3	0	

In this case, by construction, we get that in fact, neither  $\langle A \rangle \neq G$ 

nor  $\langle B \rangle \neq G$ .

# **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

## References

- [1] M. Dinitz, Full Rank Tilings of Finite Abelian Groups, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 20 (1) (2006), 160-170.
- [2] G. Hajos [Casopsis Pest Path. Rys., 74 (1949), 157–162.
- [3] L. Redei, Die neue Theorie der endlihen abelschen und verallgemeinerung des hauptsatze von Hajos, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci., Hungar.16 (1965), 329-373.
- [4] A. D. Sands, On a Conjecture of G. Hajos, University of Dundee, (1974).