

Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 8 (2018), No. 1, 28-45 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/3521 ISSN: 1927-5307

## SOME SEPARATION AXIOMS IN FUZZY SOFT BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

#### A. F. SAYED

# Department of Mathematics, Al-Lith University College, Umm Al-Qura University, P.O. Box 112, Al-Lith 21961, Makkah Al Mukarramah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Copyright © 2018 A. F. Sayed. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. It is known that separation axioms are playing a vital role in study of topological spaces. In this paper, Some Separation axioms have been studied in context of fuzzy soft bitopological spaces. we introduce and study the notions of pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -spaces; (i = 0, 1, 2). This study focuses on question: If a fuzzy soft bitopological space  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -space; (i = 0, 1, 2), what can be said about the following situations:

- (1) both  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  are fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -spaces; (i = 0, 1, 2),
- (2)  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -space; (i = 0, 1, 2).

(3) fuzzy soft subspaces  $(X, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  are fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -spaces for  $\phi \neq Y \subset X$ ; (i = 0, 1, 2). Finally, characterizations theorem is proved for pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff space.

**Keywords:** soft set; fuzzy set; fuzzy soft set; fuzzy soft point; fuzzy soft topological space; fuzzy soft bitopological space;  $\tau_i$  (i = 1, 2)-fuzzy soft open (closed) set.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A40, 54F99.

## 1. Introduction

Received October 15, 2017

E-mail addresses: dr.afsayed@hotmail.com, afssayed@uqu.edu.sa

In the year 1965, Zadeh [25] introduced the concept of fuzzy set theory and its applications can be found in many branches of mathematical and engineering sciences including management science, control engineering, computer science and artificial intelligence (see [3], [4]).

In the year 1999, Russian researcher Molodtsov [15] initiated the concept of soft sets as a new mathematical tool to deal with uncertainties while modeling problems in engineering physics, computer science, economics, social sciences and medical sciences. In 2003, Maji et. al [14] studied the theory of soft sets initiated by Molodtsov. They defined equality of two soft sets, subset and super set of a soft set, complement of a soft set, null soft set and absolute soft set with examples. Soft binary operations like AND, OR and also the operations of union and intersection were also defined. In 2005, D. Chen [2] presented a new definition of soft set parametrization reduction and a comparison of it with attribute reduction in rough set theory.

In 1963, J. C. Kelly [8] first initiated the concept of bitopological spaces and other authors have contributed to development and construction some properties of such spaces (see, [21], [19]).

In 2014, B. M. Ittanagi [5] introduced and studied the concept of soft bitopological spaces and other authors have contributed to development and construction some properties of such spaces (see [6], [7], [18], [9], [11], [10], [17], [20], [24]).

In 2015, Mukherjee and Park [16] were first introduced the notion of fuzzy soft bitopological space and they studied some of its basic properties. Also, my work in [23] was an extension and continuation of studying in this trend by introducing and characterizing a new type of fuzzy soft sets in fuzzy soft bitopological spaces. It is known that separation axioms are playing a vital role in study of topological spaces. In this paper, Some Separation axioms have been studied in context of fuzzy soft bitopological spaces. we introduce and study the notions of pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -spaces; (i = 0, 1, 2). This study focuses on question: If a fuzzy soft bitopological space ( $X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2$ ) is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -space; (i = 0, 1, 2), what can be said about the following situations:

(1) both  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  are fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -spaces; (i = 0, 1, 2),

(2)  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -space; (i = 0, 1, 2).

(3) fuzzy soft subspaces  $(X, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  are fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -spaces for  $\phi \neq Y \subset X$ ; (i = 0, 1, 2). Finally, characterizations theorem is proved for pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff space.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we have presented the basic definitions and results of fuzzy soft set and fuzzy soft bitopological space which will be a central role in our paper.

Throughout our discussion, *X* refers to an initial universe, *E* the set of all parameters for *X* and P(X) denotes the power set of *X*.

**Definition 2.1.** [25] A fuzzy set *A* in a non-empty set *X* is characterized by a membership function  $\mu_A : X \to [0,1] = I$  whose value  $\mu_A(x)$  represents the "degree of membership" of *x* in *A* for  $x \in X$ . Let  $I^X$  denotes the family of all fuzzy sets on *X*.

A member *A* in  $I^X$  is contained in a member *B* of  $I^X$  denoted  $A \le B$  if and only if  $\mu_A(x) \le \mu_B(x)$  for every  $x \in X$  (see [25]).

Let  $A, B \in I^X$ , we have the following fuzzy sets (see [25]).

(1) A = B if and only if  $\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . (Equality),

(2) 
$$C = A \land B \in I^X$$
 by  $\mu_C(x) = min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}$  for all  $x \in X$ . (Intersction),

(3)  $D = A \lor B \in I^X$  by  $\mu_C(x) = max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}$  for all  $x \in X$ .(Union),

(4)  $E = A^c \in I^X$  by  $\mu_E(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . (Complement).

**Definition 2.2.** [25] An empty fuzzy set on *X* denoted by  $0_X$  is a function which maps each  $x \in X$  to 0. That is,  $0_X(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

A universal fuzzy set denoted by  $1_X$  is a function which maps each  $x \in X$  to 1. That is,  $1_X(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [15] Let  $A \subseteq E$ . A pair (F,A) is called a soft set over X if F is a mapping  $F : A \to P(X)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [13] Let  $A \subseteq E$ . A pair (f, A), denoted by  $f_A$ , is called a fuzzy soft set over X, where f is a mapping given by  $f : A \to I^X$  defined by  $f_A(e) = \mu_{f_A}^e$  where

$$\mu_{f_A}^e = \begin{cases} \tilde{0}, & \text{if } e \notin A; \\ otherwise, & \text{if } e \in A. \end{cases}$$

 $\widetilde{(X,E)}$  denotes the family of all fuzzy soft sets over X.



FIGURE 1. A fuzzy soft set  $f_A$ 

**Definition 2.5.** [14] A fuzzy soft set  $F_A \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  is said to be:

- (a) NULL fuzzy soft set, denoted by  $\tilde{0}_E$ , if for all  $e \in A$ ,  $f_A(e) = 0_X$ .
- (b) absolute fuzzy soft set, denoted by  $\tilde{1}_E$ , if for all  $e \in E$ ,  $f_A(e) = 1_X$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [22] The complement of a fuzzy soft set (f,A), denoted by  $(f,A)^c$ , is defined by  $(f,A)^c = (f^c,A), f_A^c : E \to I^X$  is a mapping given by  $\mu_{f_A^c}^e = 1_X - \mu_{f_A^*}^e$ , where  $1_X(x) = 1$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Clearly  $(f_A^c)^c = f_A$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [22] Let  $f_A, g_B \tilde{\in} (X, E)$ .  $f_A$  is fuzzy soft subset of  $g_B$ , denoted by  $f_A \tilde{\subseteq} g_B$ , if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $\mu_{f_A}^e \leq \mu_{g_B}^e$  for all  $e \in A$ , i.e.  $\mu_{f_A}^e(x) \leq \mu_{g_B}^e(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $e \in A$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [22] Let  $f_A, g_B \in (X, E)$ . The union of  $f_A$  and  $g_B$  is also a fuzzy soft set  $h_C$ , where  $C = A \cup B$  and for all  $e \in C$ ,  $h_C(e) = \mu_{h_c}^e = \mu_{f_A}^e \lor \mu_{g_B}^e$ . Here we write  $h_C = f_A \cup g_B$ .

**Definition 2.9.** [22] Let  $f_A, g_B \in (X, E)$ . The intersection of  $f_A$  and  $g_B$  is also a fuzzy soft set  $d_C$ , where  $C = A \cap B$  and for all  $e \in C, d_C(e) = \mu_{d_c}^e = \mu_{f_A}^e \wedge \mu_{g_B}^e$ . Here we write  $d_C = f_A \cap g_B$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [12] The fuzzy soft set  $f_A \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  is called fuzzy soft point if there exist  $x \in X$ and  $e \in E$  such that  $\mu_{f_A}^e(x) = \alpha (0 < \alpha \le 1)$  and  $\mu_{f_A}^e(y) = 0$  for each  $y \in X - \{x\}$ , and this fuzzy soft point is denoted by  $x_{\alpha}^e$  or  $f_e$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [12] The fuzzy soft point  $f_e$  is said to be belonging to the fuzzy soft set (g,A), denoted by  $f_e \tilde{\in} (g,A)$ , if for the element  $e \in A$ ,  $\alpha \leq \mu_{g_A}^e(x), (0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [1] Let  $f_A$  be fuzzy soft set over X. The two fuzzy soft points  $f_{e_1}, f_{e_2} \in f_A$  are said to be equal if  $\mu_{f_{e_1}}(x) = \mu_{f_{e_2}}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus  $f_{e_1} \neq f_{e_2}$  (i.e.  $f_{e_1}, f_{e_2}$  are two distinct fuzzy soft points) if and only  $\mu_{f_{e_1}}(x) \neq \mu_{f_{e_2}}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.13.** [22] A fuzzy soft topology  $\tau$  over (X, E) is a family of fuzzy soft sets over (X, E) satisfying the following properties

- (i)  $\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E \in \tau$
- (ii) if  $f_A, g_B \in \tau$ , then  $f_A \cap g_B \in \tau$ ,
- (iii) if  $f_{A_{\alpha}} \in \tau$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$  an index set, then  $\tilde{\bigcup}_{\alpha \in \Delta} f_{A_{\alpha}} \in \tau$ .

**Definition 2.14.** [16] If  $\tau$  is a fuzzy soft topology on (X, E) the triple  $(X, E, \tau)$  is said to be a fuzzy soft topological space. Also each member of  $\tau$  is called a fuzzy soft open set in  $(X, E, \tau)$ .

The complement of a fuzzy soft open set is a fuzzy soft closed set.

**Definition 2.15.** [12] Let  $(X, E, \tau)$  be a fuzzy soft topological space and let  $Y \subseteq X$ . Let  $h_E^Y$  be a fuzzy soft set over (Y, E) such that  $h_E^Y : E \to I^Y$  such that  $h_E^Y(e) = \mu_{h_E^Y}^e$  for all  $e \in E$ ,

$$\mu_{h_E^Y}^e(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in Y; \\ 0, & x \notin Y. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\tau_Y = \{h_E^Y \cap g_B : g_B \in \tau\}$ , then the fuzzy soft topology  $\tau_Y$  on (Y, E) is called fuzzy soft subspace topology for (Y, E) and  $(Y, E, \tau_Y)$  is called fuzzy soft subspace of  $(X, E, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.16.** [16] Let  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  be the two different fuzzy soft topologies on (X, E). Then  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a fuzzy soft bitopological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated.

The members of  $\tau_i$  (i = 1, 2) are called  $\tau_i$  (i = 1, 2)-fuzzy soft open sets and the complement of  $\tau_i$  (i = 1, 2)-fuzzy soft open sets are called  $\tau_i$  (i = 1, 2)-fuzzy soft closed sets.

**Definition 2.17.** [16] Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space and  $f_E \in (X, E)$ . Then the  $\tau_i (i = 1, 2)$ -fuzzy soft closure of  $f_E$ , denoted by  $\tau_i cl(f_E)$ , is the intersection of all  $\tau_i (i = 1, 2)$ -fuzzy soft closed supersets of  $f_E$ .

Clearly,  $\tau_i cl(f_E)$  is the smallest  $\tau_i (i = 1, 2)$ -fuzzy soft closed set over (X, E) which contains  $f_E$ .

**Definition 2.18.** [16] A fuzzy soft set  $f_E \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  is called  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -fuzzy soft open set if  $f_E = g_E \tilde{\cup} h_E$  such that  $g_E \tilde{\in} \tau_1$  and  $h_E \tilde{\in} \tau_2$ .

The complement of  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -fuzzy soft open set is called  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -fuzzy soft closed set.

The family of all  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -fuzzy soft open (closed) sets in  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is denoted by  $\tau_1 \tau_2 FSO(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)_E$   $(\tau_1 \tau_2 FSC(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)_E)$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.19.** [23] Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a soft bitopological space. Then, the family of all  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -fuzzy soft open sets is a supra fuzzy soft topology on (X, E). This supra fuzzy soft topology, will denoted by  $\tau_{12}$ , i.e.,  $\tau_{12} = \tau_1 \tau_2 FSO(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)_E = \{g_E = g_{1_E} \cup g_{2_E} : g_{i_E} \in \tau_i, i = 1, 2\}$  and the triple  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is the supra fuzzy soft topological space associated to the fuzzy soft bitopological space  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

## **3.** Pairwise Fuzzy soft $T_i$ -Spaces ; (i = 0, 1, 2)

In this section, we introduce and study the notions of Pairwise Fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -Spaces ; (i = 0, 1, 2).

**Definition 3.1.** A fuzzy soft bitopological space  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space if for each pair of distinct fuzzy soft points  $f_e, g_e$  in (X, E) there exists a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy soft open set  $u_E$  such that  $f_e \in u_E$  and  $g_e \notin u_E$  or  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy soft open set  $v_E$  such that  $f_e \notin v_E$  and  $g_e \notin v_E$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let X be an initial universe set and E be the non-empty set of parameters. Consider

 $\tau_1 = \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E\}$  Fuzzy soft indiscrete topology

 $\tau_2 = \{f_E | f_E \text{ is a fuzzy soft set over } (X, E)\}$  Fuzzy soft discrete topology.

Then  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a fuzzy soft bitopological space and is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space. If  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  or  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  is a fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space then  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Proof.** Let  $f_e, g_e \in (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . Suppose that  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  or  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  is a fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space. Then there exist some  $u_E \in \tau_1$  such that  $f_e \in u_E$  and  $g_e \notin u_E$  or some  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $g_e \in v_E$  and  $f_e \notin v_E$ . In either case we obtain the requirement and so  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space. This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.4.** The converse of Proposition 3.3 is not true in general.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and

 $\tau_1 = \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, f_E\}, \text{ and }$ 

 $\tau_2 = \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, g_{1_E}, g_{2_E}, g_{3_E}\},\$ 

where  $f_E, g_{1_E}, g_{2_E}$  and  $g_{3_E}$  are fuzzy soft sets over (X, E) defined as follows:

$$f_E = \{f(e_1) = \{x_1/0.5, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.7, x_4/0.0\}, f(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.3, x_4/0.0\} \text{ and } g_{1_E} = \{g_1(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.3, x_4/0.5\}, g_1(e_2) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.6\}\}, g_{2_E} = \{g_2(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.5\}, g_2(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.1, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}\}, g_{3_E} = \{g_3(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.3, x_4/0.5\}, g_3(e_2) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.1, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.6\}\},$$
Then  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  are two fugue off topologies over  $(X, E)$  Therefore  $(X, E, \sigma, \sigma)$  is a fugue of

Then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are two fuzzy soft topologies over (X, E)Therefore  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a fuzzy soft bitopological space.

Now, for all s = 1, 2;

$$f(e_s), g_k(e_s)(k = 1, 2, 3) \tilde{\in} (\widetilde{X, E}) \text{ and } f_E \in \tau_1 \text{ such that } f(e_s) \tilde{\in} f_E, g_k(e_s) \tilde{\notin} f_E,$$
  

$$g_1(e_s), g_2(e_s) \tilde{\in} (\widetilde{X, E}) \text{ and } g_{1_E} \in \tau_2 \text{ such that } g_1(e_s) \tilde{\in} g_{1_E}, g_2(e_s) \tilde{\notin} g_{1_E},$$
  

$$g_1(e_s), g_3(e_s) \tilde{\in} (\widetilde{X, E}) \text{ and } g_{1_E} \in \tau_2 \text{ such that } g_1(e_s) \tilde{\in} g_{1_E}, g_3(e_s) \tilde{\notin} g_{1_E},$$
  

$$g_2(e_s), g_3(e_s) \tilde{\in} (\widetilde{X, E}) \text{ and } g_{2_E} \in \tau_2 \text{ such that } g_2(e_s) \tilde{\in} g_{2_E}, g_3(e_s) \tilde{\notin} g_{2_E}.$$

Thus  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space. We observe that  $f(e_1), f(e_2) \in \widetilde{(X,E)}$  and there does not exist any  $f_E \in \tau_1$  such that  $f(e_1) \in \widetilde{f_E}$ ,  $f(e_2) \notin \widetilde{f_E}$  or  $f(e_2) \in \widetilde{f_E}$ ,  $f(e_1) \notin \widetilde{f_E}$ . Therefore  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  is not a fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

Similarly  $g_1(e_1), g_1(e_2) \in (\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E})$  and there does not exist any  $g_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $g_1(e_1) \in g_E$ ,  $g_1(e_2) \notin f_E$  or  $g_1(e_2) \in g_E$ ,  $g_1(e_1) \notin f_E$ , so  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  is not a fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space. If  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space, then  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Proof.** Let  $f_e, g_e \in (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . Then there exist some  $u_E \in \tau_1$  such that  $f_e \in u_E$ and  $g_e \notin u_E$  or some  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $g_e \in v_E$  and  $f_e \notin v_E$ . In either case  $u_E, v_E \in \tau_{12}$ . Hence  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Remark 3.7.** The converse of Proposition 3.6 is not true. This is shown by the following example:

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and

$$au_1 = \{ \tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, f_{1_E}, f_{2_E} \}, ext{ and } au_2 = \{ \tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, g_E \},$$

where  $f_{1_E}, f_{2_E}$  and  $g_E$  are fuzzy soft sets over (X, E) defined as follows:

$$f_{1_E} = \{f_1(e_1) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.6\}, f_1(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.7\}\},\$$
  

$$f_{2_E} = \{f_2(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.6\}, f_2(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0 = 0_X\}\},\$$
  
and

$$g_E = \{g(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.6, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.9\}, g(e_2) = \{x_1/0.1, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}$$

Then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are two fuzzy soft topologies over (X, E) Therefore  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a fuzzy soft bitopological space.

Now 
$$\tau_{12} = \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, f_{1_E}, f_{2_E}, \tilde{1}_E, g_E, h_E\}$$
 where  
 $h_E = f_{1_E} \tilde{\cup} g_E = \{h(e_1) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.6, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.9\}, h(e_2) = \{x_1/0.1, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.7\}.$   
So  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft Space.

For  $f_1(e_1), g(e_1) \in \widetilde{(X,E)}$ , we can not fined any fuzzy soft sets  $f_E \in \tau_1$  or  $g_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_1(e_1) \in f_E, g(e_1) \notin f_E$  or  $g(e_1) \in g_E, f_1(e_1) \notin f_E$ .

Thus  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

Now, for all s = 1, 2;

$$f_1(e_s), f_2(e_s) \in (\widetilde{X,E})$$
 and  $f_{1_E} \in \tau_1$  such that  $f_1(e_s) \in f_{1_E}, f_2(e_s) \notin f_{1_E},$   
 $f_1(e_s), g(e_s) \in (\widetilde{X,E})$  and  $g_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $g(e_s) \in g_E, f_1(e_s) \notin g_E,$   
 $f_2(e_s), g(e_s) \in (\widetilde{X,E})$  and  $g_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $g(e_s) \in g_E, f_2(e_s) \notin g_E,$   
 $g(e_s), h(e_s) \in (\widetilde{X,E})$  and  $h_E \in \tau_{12}$  such that  $h(e_s) \in h_E, g(e_s) \notin h_E.$ 

Thus  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space and let  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $(Y, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  is also a fuzzy soft bitopological space where  $\tau_{i_Y} = \{h_E^Y \cap g_B : g_B \in \tau_i\}, i = 1, 2$ . This fuzzy soft bitopological space is called fuzzy soft bitopological subspace of  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . **Proposition 3.10.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space and Y be a non-empty subset of X If  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space, then  $(Y, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  is also a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Proof.** Let  $f_e, g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . Then there exist some fuzzy soft set  $u_E \in \tau_1$  or  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$  and  $g_e \tilde{\notin} u_E$  or  $g_e \tilde{\in} v_E$  and  $f_e \tilde{\notin} v_E$ . Suppose that there exist some some fuzzy soft set  $u_E \in \tau_1$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$  and  $g_e \tilde{\notin} u_E$ .

Now  $f_e \in (Y, E)$  implies that  $f_e \in h_E^Y$ . So  $f_e \in h_E^Y$  and  $f_e \in u_E$ . Hence  $f_e \in h_E^Y \cap u_E$ . Consider  $g_e \notin u_E$ , this means that  $g_e \notin \{u(e)\}$  for some  $e \in E$ . Then  $g_e \notin h_E^Y \cap u_E$  Similarly it can also be proved that  $g_e \in v_E$  and  $f_e \notin v_E$  implies that  $g_e \in h_E^Y \cap v_E$  and  $f_e \notin h_E^Y \cap v_E$ . Thus  $(Y, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Definition 3.11.** A fuzzy soft bitopological space  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space if for every pair of distinct fuzzy soft points  $f_e, g_e$  in  $(\tilde{X}, E)$  there is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy soft open set  $u_E$  such that  $f_e \in u_E$  and  $g_e \notin u_E$  and  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy soft open set  $v_E$  such that  $f_e \notin v_E$  and  $g_e \notin v_E$ .

**Example 3.12.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and

$$\tau_1 = \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, f_{1_E}, f_{2_E}, f_{3_E}, f_{4_E}, f_{5_E}, f_{6_E}, f_{7_E}\}, \text{ and}$$
  
$$\tau_2 = \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, g_{1_E}, g_{2_E}, g_{3_E}, g_{4_E}, g_{5_E}, g_{6_E}\},$$

where  $f_{1_E}, f_{2_E}, f_{3_E}, f_{4_E}, f_{5_E}, f_{6_E}, f_{7_E}, g_{1_E}, g_{2_E}, g_{3_E}, g_{4_E}, g_{5_E}$  and  $g_{6_E}$  are fuzzy soft sets over (X, E) defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} f_{1_E} &= \{f_1(e_1) = \{x_1/0.2, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}, f_1(e_2) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.6, x_4/0.0\}\},\\ f_{2_E} &= \{f_2(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.5, x_4/0.0\}, f_2(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.6, x_4/0.0\}\},\\ f_{3_E} &= \{f_3(e_1) = \{x_1/0.2, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.5, x_4/0.0\}, f_3(e_2) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.6, x_4/0.0\}\},\\ f_{4_E} &= \{f_4(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\} = 0_X, f_4(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.6, x_4/0.0\}, x_4/0.0\}\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f_{5_E} &= \{f_5(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/3.0, x_3/0.5, x_4/0.0\}, f_5(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.7, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}\},\\ f_{6_E} &= \{f_6(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.5, x_4/0.0\}, f_6(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}\\ &= 0_X\}, \end{split}$$

 $f_{7_E} = \{f_7(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.3, x_3/0.5, x_4/0.0\}, f_7(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.7, x_3/0.6, x_4/0.0\}\},$ and

$$\begin{split} g_{1_E} &= \{g_1(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.8, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}, g_1(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}\}, \\ g_{2_E} &= \{g_2(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.1, x_4/0.0\}, g_2(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.5, x_4/0.0\}\}, \\ g_{3_E} &= \{g_3(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.8, x_3/0.1, x_4/0.0\}, g_3(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.5, x_4/0.0\}\}, \\ g_{4_E} &= \{g_4(e_1) = \{x_1/0.2, x_2/0.8, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}, g_4(e_2) = \{x_1/0.9, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}\}, \\ g_{5_E} &= \{g_5(e_1) = \{x_1/0.2, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.1, x_4/0.0\}, g_5(e_2) = \{x_1/0.9, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.5, x_4/0.0\}\}, \\ g_{6_E} &= \{g_6(e_1) = \{x_1/0.2, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}, g_6(e_2) = \{x_1/0.9, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0, x_4/0.0\}\}, \end{split}$$

Then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are two fuzzy soft topologies over (X, E). Therefore  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a fuzzy soft bitopological space. One can easily see that  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

**Proposition 3.13.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space. Then  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space if and only if  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  are fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Spaces.

**Proof.** Let  $f_e, g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . Suppose that  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  are fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Spaces. Then there exist some  $u_E \in \tau_1$  and  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$  and  $g_e \tilde{\notin} u_E$  and  $g_e \tilde{\notin} v_E$  and  $f_e \tilde{\notin} v_E$ . In either case we obtain the requirement and so  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

Conversely we assume that  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space. Then there exist some  $u_{1_E} \in \tau_1$  and  $v_{1_E} \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \in u_{1_E}$  and  $g_e \notin u_{1_E}$  and  $g_e \notin v_{1_E}$  and  $f_e \notin v_{1_E}$ . Also there exist some  $u_{2_E} \in \tau_1$  and  $v_{2_E} \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \in u_{2_E}$  and  $g_e \notin u_{2_E}$  and  $g_e \notin v_{2_E}$  and  $f_e \notin v_{2_E}$ . Thus  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  are fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Spaces.

**Proposition 3.14.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space. If  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space, then  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

**Proof.** Let  $f_e, g_e \in (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . Then there exist some  $u_E \in \tau_1$  such that  $f_e \in u_E$  and  $g_e \notin u_E$  and  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $g_e \in v_E$  and  $f_e \notin v_E$ . So  $u_E, v_E \in \tau_{12}$ . Hence  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

**Remark 3.15.** The converse of Proposition 3.14 is not true. This is shown by the following example:

**Example 3.16.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and

$$au_1 = \{ \tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, f_E \}, \text{ and}$$
  
 $au_2 = \{ \tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, g_E \},$ 

where  $f_E$  and  $g_E$  are fuzzy soft sets over (X, E) defined as follows:

 $f_E = \{f(e_1) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.0\}, f(e_2) = \{x_1/0.1, x_2/0.9\} = 1_X\},$ and

$$g_E = \{g(e_1) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.7\}, g(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.9\}.$$

Then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are two fuzzy soft topologies over (X, E). Therefore  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a fuzzy soft bitopological space. Both of  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $X, E, \tau_2$  are not fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Spaces and so  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space by Proposition 3.3.

Now  $\tau_{12} = \{ \tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, f_E, g_E, h_E \}$  where

$$h_E = f_E \tilde{\cup} g_E = \{h(e_1) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.7\}, h(e_2) = \{x_1/0.1, x_2/0.9\}\}$$

So  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft topological Space.

For all s = 1, 2;  $f_1(e_s), g(e_s) \in \widetilde{(X, E)}$ , we can fined fuzzy soft sets  $f_E \in \tau_1$  and  $g_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_1(e_1) \in f_E, g(e_1) \notin f_E$  and  $g(e_1) \in g_E, f_1(e_1) \notin f_E$ .

Thus  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

**Proposition 3.17.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space and Y be a non-empty subset of X If  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space, then  $(Y, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  is also a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

**Proof.** Let  $f_e, g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . Then there exist fuzzy soft set  $u_E \in \tau_1$  and  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E, g_e \tilde{\notin} u_E$  and  $g_e \tilde{\in} v_E, f_e \tilde{\notin} v_E$ .

Now  $f_e \tilde{\in} (Y, E)$  implies that  $f_e \tilde{\in} h_E^Y$ . So  $f_e \tilde{\in} h_E^Y$  and  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$ . Hence  $f_e \tilde{\in} h_E^Y \tilde{\cap} u_E$  where  $u_E \in \tau_1$ . Consider  $g_e \tilde{\notin} u_E$ , this means that  $g_e \tilde{\notin} \{u(e)\}$  for some  $e \in E$ . Then  $g_e \tilde{\notin} h_E^Y \tilde{\cap} u_E$ 

Similarly it can be proved that  $g_e \in v_E$  and  $f_e \notin v_E$  then  $g_e \in h_E^Y \cap v_E$  and  $f_e \notin h_E^Y \cap v_E$ .

Thus  $(Y, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

**Proposition 3.18.** Every pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space is also a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

Proof. Straightforward.

**Example 3.19.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and

$$au_1 = \{ \tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, f_E \}, ext{ and }$$
  
 $au_2 = \{ \tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, g_E \},$ 

where  $f_E$  and  $g_E$  are fuzzy soft sets over (X, E) defined as follows:

 $f_E = \{f(e_1) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.0\}, f(e_2) = \{x_1/0.1, x_2/0.9\} = 1_X\},$ and

 $g_E = \{g(e_1) = \{x_1/0.3, x_2/0.7\}, g(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.9\}.$ 

It was showed in example 3.16 that  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space, but it is evident that  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_0$ -Space.

**Definition 3.20.** A fuzzy soft bitopological space  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space or pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff Space if for every pair of distinct fuzzy soft points  $f_e, g_e$  in  $(\widetilde{X, E})$ , there is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy soft open set  $u_E$  and  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy soft open set  $v_E$  such that  $f_e \in u_E, g_e \in v_E$  and  $u_E \cap v_E$ .

38

**Remark 3.21.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space then  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  need not be fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Spaces.

**Example 3.22.** Let *X* be an infinite set and *E* be the set of parameters. We define

 $\tau_1 = \{f_E \mid f_E \text{ is a fuzzy soft set over } (X, E)\}$  fuzzy soft discrete topology over (X, E).

 $\tau_2 = \{0_E \tilde{\cup} f_E \mid f_E \text{ is a fuzzy soft set over } (X, E) \text{ and } f^c(e) \text{ is a finite for all } e \in E\}$ 

Obviously  $\tau_1$  is a fuzzy soft topology over (X, E). We verify for  $\tau_2$  as:

(1)  $\tilde{0}_E \in \tau_2$  and  $\tilde{1}_E^c = \tilde{0}_E \Rightarrow \tilde{1}_E \in \tau_2$ .

(2) Let  $\{f_{i_E} \mid i \in I\}$  be a collection of fuzzy soft sets in  $\tau_2$ . For any  $\acute{e} \in E$ ,  $\{f_i^c(\acute{e})\}$  is finite for all  $i \in I$  so that  $\widetilde{\bigcap}_{i \in I} \{f_i^c(\acute{e})\} = \{(\widetilde{\bigcup}_{i \in I} f_i)^c(\acute{e})\}$  is also finite. This means that  $\widetilde{\bigcup}_{i \in I} f_{i_E} \in \tau_2$ .

(3) Let  $f_E, g_E \in \tau_2$ . Since  $\{f^c(\acute{e})\}$  and  $\{g^c(\acute{e})\}$  are finite fuzzy soft sets for all  $\acute{e} \in E$  so as their union  $\{f^c(\acute{e})\}\tilde{\cup}\{g^c(\acute{e})\}$ . Thus  $\{(f\tilde{\cap}g)^c(\acute{e})\}$  is finite for all  $\acute{e} \in E$  which shows that  $f_E\tilde{\cap}g_E \in \tau_2$ .

Then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are fuzzy soft topologies on (X, E).

For any  $f_e, g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  where  $f_e \neq g_e, \{f_e\} \in \tau_1$  and  $\{f_e\}^c \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} \{f_e\}, g_e \tilde{\in} \{f_e\}^c$ and  $\{f_e\} \widetilde{\cap} \{f_e\}^c = \widetilde{0}_E$ .

Thus  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

Now, we suppose that there are fuzzy soft sets  $g_{1_E}, g_{2_E} \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} g_{1_E}, g_e \tilde{\in} g_{2_E}$  and  $g_{1_E} \tilde{\cap} g_{2_E} = \tilde{0}_E$ .

But then, we must have  $g_{1_E} \subseteq g_{2_E}^c \Rightarrow \{g_1(\acute{e})\} \subseteq \{g_2(\acute{e})\}^c$  for all  $\acute{e} \in E$ , which is not possible because  $\{g_1(\acute{e})\}$  is infinite and  $\{g_2(\acute{e})\}^c$  is finite. Therefore  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  is not a fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Remark 3.23.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, E, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Spaces then  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  need not be a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Spaces.

**Example 3.24.** Let X be an infinite set and E be the set of parameters. We define

 $\tau(f_e)_1 = \{u_E \mid f_e \in u_E^c \text{ is a fuzzy soft set over } (X, E)\} \cup \{u_E \mid u_E \text{ is a fuzzy soft set over } (X, E) \text{ and } \{f^c(e)\} \text{ is finite for all } e \in E\}\}.$ 

 $\tau(g_e)_2 = \{v_E \mid g_e \in v_E^c \text{ is a fuzzy soft set over } (X, E)\} \bigcup \{v_E \mid v_E \text{ is a fuzzy soft set over } (X, E) \text{ and } \{f^c(e)\} \text{ is finite for all } e \in E\}\}.$ 

verify for  $\tau(f_e)_1$  as:

(1)  $f_e \neq \tilde{0}_E \Rightarrow \tilde{0}_E \in \tau(f_e)_1$  and  $\tilde{1}_E^c = \tilde{0}_E \Rightarrow \tilde{1}_E \in \tau(f_e)_1$ .

- (2) Let  $\{u_{i_E} \mid i \in I\}$  be a collection of fuzzy soft sets in  $\tau(f_e)_1$ . We have following three cases:
- (i) If  $f_e \in u_{i_E}^c$  for all  $i \in I$  then  $f_e \in \bigcap_{i \in I} u_{i_E}^c$  so, in this case,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} u_{i_E} \in \tau(f_e)_1$

(ii) If  $u_{i_E}$  is such that  $\{u_i^c(\acute{e})\}$  is finite for all  $\acute{e} \in E$  so  $\{u_i^c(\acute{e})\}$  is finite for all  $i \in I$  implies that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \{u_i^c(\acute{e})\} = \{(\bigcup_{i \in I} u_i)^c(\acute{e})\}$  is also finite this means that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} u_{i_E} \in \tau(f_e)_1$ 

(iii) If there exist some  $i, k \in I$  such that  $f_e \in u_{i_E}^c$  and  $\{u_k^c(e)\}$  is finite for all  $e \in E$ . It means that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \{u_i^c(e)\} (\subset \{u_k^c(e)\})$  is also finite for all  $e \in E$  and by definition  $\bigcup_{i \in I} u_E \in \tau(f_e)_1$ .

(3) Let  $u_{1_E}, u_{2_E} \in \tau(f_e)_1$ . Again we have following three cases:

(i) If  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_{1_E}^c$  and  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_{2_E}^c$  then  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_{1_E}^c \tilde{\cup} u_{2_E}^c$  and therefore  $u_{1_E} \tilde{\cap} u_{2_E} \in \tau(f_e)_1$ 

(ii) If  $\{u_1^c(\acute{e})\}\$  and  $\{u_2^c(\acute{e})\}\$  are finite for all  $\acute{e} \in E$  then their union  $\{u_1^c(\acute{e})\}\bigcup\{u_2^c(\acute{e})\}\$  is also finite. Thus  $\{(u_1 \cap u_2)^c(\acute{e})\}\$  is finite for all  $\acute{e} \in E$  which shows that  $u_{1_E} \cap u_{2_E} \in \tau(f_e)_1$ .

(iii) If  $f_e \in u_{1_E}^c$  and  $\{u_2^c(\acute{e})\}$  is finite for all  $\acute{e} \in E$  then  $f_e \in \{u_1^c(\acute{e})\} \cup \{u_2^c(\acute{e})\} = \{(u_1^c \cup u_2^c)(\acute{e})\}$ and so  $f_e \in (u_{1_E} \cap u_{2_E})^c$ . Thus  $u_{1_E} \cap u_{2_E} \in \tau(f_e)_1$ .

Hence  $\tau(f_e)_1$  is a fuzzy soft topology on (X, E).

For any  $f_e, h_e \in (X, E)$  where  $f_e \neq h_e, f_e \in \{h_e^c\} \Rightarrow \{h_e\} \in \tau(f_e)_1$  and  $\{h_e^c\} \in \tau(f_e)_1$  such that  $h_e \in \{h_e\}, f_e \in \{h_e^c\}$  and  $\{h_e\} \cap \{h_e^c\} = \tilde{0}_E$ .

Thus  $(X, E, \tau(f_e)_1)$  is a fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

Similarly  $(X, E, \tau(g_e)_2)$  is a fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

Now,  $(X, E, \tau(f_e)_1, \tau(g_e)_2)$  is a fuzzy soft bitopological space. For  $f_e, g_e \in (X, E)$  where  $f_e \neq g_e$ , we can not find any fuzzy soft sets  $u_E \in \tau(f_e)_1$  and  $v_E \in \tau(g_e)_2$  such that  $f_e \in u_E, g_e \in v_E$  and  $u_E \cap v_E = \tilde{0}_E$  because  $g_e \in v_E$  and  $u_E \cap v_E = \tilde{0}_E$  implies that we must have  $u_E \subseteq v_E$  which means that  $\{v^c(e)\}$  is finite for all  $e \in E$  and  $\{u(e)\} \subseteq \{v^c(e)\}$  for all  $e \in E$ , and this is not possible for  $\{u(e)\}$  is infinite and  $\{v^c(e)\}$  is finite.

Therefore  $(X, E, \tau(f_e)_1, \tau(g_e)_2)$  is not a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Proposition 3.25.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space. If  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space, then  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Proof.** Let  $f_e, g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . Then there exist  $u_E \in \tau_1$  and  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$ and  $g_e \tilde{\in} v_E$  and  $u_E \tilde{\cap} v_E = \tilde{0}_E$ .

In either case  $u_E, v_E \in \tau_{12}$ . Hence  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Remark 3.26.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  be a supra fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space then  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  need not be a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Example 3.27.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and

 $\tau_1 = \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, f_{1_E}, f_{2_E}, f_{3_E}, f_{4_E}\}, \text{ and}$  $\tau_2 = \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E, g_1, g_2, g_3, f_{4_E}\}$ 

$$\tau_2 = \{0_E, 1_E, g_{1_E}, g_{2_E}, g_{3_E}\},\$$

where 
$$f_{1_E}, f_{2_E}, f_{3_E}, f_{4_E}, g_{1_E}, g_{2_E}$$
 and  $g_{3_E}$  are fuzzy soft sets over  $(X, E)$  defined as follows:  
 $f_{1_E} = \{f_1(e_1) = \{x_1/0.2, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0\}, f_1(e_2) = \{x_1/0.7, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0\}\},$   
 $f_{2_E} = \{f_2(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.0\}, f_2(e_2) = \{x_1/0.7, x_2/0.3, x_3/0.0\}\},$   
 $f_{3_E} = \{f_3(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0\} = 0_X, f_3(e_2) = \{x_1/0.7, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.0\}\},$   
 $f_{4_E} = \{f_4(e_1) = \{x_1/0.2, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.0\}, f_4(e_2) = \{x_1/0.7, x_2/0.3, x_3/0.0\}\},$   
and

$$g_{1_E} = \{g_1(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.1\}, g_1(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.0, x_3/0.2\}\},\$$
  

$$g_{2_E} = \{g_2(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.0\}, g_2(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.3, x_3/0.0\}\},\$$
  

$$g_{3_E} = \{g_3(e_1) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.4, x_3/0.1\}, g_3(e_2) = \{x_1/0.0, x_2/0.3, x_3/0.2\}\}.$$

Then  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are two fuzzy soft topologies over (X, E). Therefore  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a fuzzy soft bitopological space. One can easily see that  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space because  $f_4(e_1), g_3(e_1) \in \widetilde{(X, E)}$ , and we can not find any fuzzy soft sets  $u_E \in \tau_1$ ,  $v_E \in \tau_2$ such that  $f_4(e_1) \in u_E, g_3(e_1) \in v_E$  such that  $u_E \cap v_E = \tilde{0}_E$ .

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{12} &= \{\tilde{0}_{E}, \tilde{1}_{E}, f_{1_{E}}, f_{2_{E}}, f_{3_{E}}, f_{4_{E}}, g_{1_{E}}, g_{2_{E}}, g_{3_{E}}, h_{1_{E}}, h_{2_{E}}, h_{3_{E}}, h_{4_{E}}\} \text{ where } \\ h_{1_{E}} &= f_{1_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{1_{E}} = \{h_{1}(e_{1}) = \{x_{1}/0.2, x_{2}/0.0, x_{3}/0.1\}, h_{1}(e_{2}) = \{x_{1}/0.7, x_{2}/0.0, x_{3}/0.2\}\}, \\ h_{2_{E}} &= f_{2_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{1_{E}} = \{h_{2}(e_{1}) = \{x_{1}/0.0, x_{2}/0.4, x_{3}/0.1\}, h_{2}(e_{2}) = \{x_{1}/0.7, x_{2}/0.3, x_{3}/0.2\}\}, \\ h_{3_{E}} &= f_{3_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{1_{E}} = \{h_{3}(e_{1}) = \{x_{1}/0.0, x_{2}/0.0, x_{3}/0.1\}, h_{3}(e_{2}) = \{x_{1}/0.7, x_{2}/0.3, x_{3}/0.2\}\}, \\ h_{4_{E}} &= f_{4_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{1_{E}} = \{h_{4}(e_{1}) = \{x_{1}/0.2, x_{2}/0.4, x_{3}/0.1\}, h_{4}(e_{2}) = \{x_{1}/0.7, x_{2}/0.3, x_{9}/0.2\}\}, \\ f_{1_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{2_{E}} &= f_{4_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{2_{E}} = f_{4_{E}}, f_{2_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{2_{E}} = f_{3_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{2_{E}} = f_{2_{E}} \\ f_{1_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{3_{E}} &= f_{4_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{3_{E}} = h_{4_{E}}, f_{2_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{3_{E}} = f_{3_{E}} \tilde{\cup} g_{3_{E}} = h_{2_{E}} \end{aligned}$$

So  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a supra fuzzy soft topological Space.

It is obvious that for each distinct fuzzy soft points  $f_e, g_e \in (\widetilde{X, E})$ ,  $f_e \neq g_e$  there exist fuzzy soft sets  $u_E, v_E$  of  $\tau_{12}$  such that  $f_e \in u_E, g_e \in v_E$  and  $u_E \cap v_E = \tilde{0}_E$ .

Thus  $(X, E, \tau_{12})$  is a fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Proposition 3.28.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space and Y be a non-empty subset of X If  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space, then  $(Y, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  is also a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Proof.** Let  $f_e, g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . Then there exist fuzzy soft sets  $u_E \in \tau_1$  and  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E, g_e \tilde{\in} v_E$  and  $u_E \tilde{\cap} v_E = \tilde{0}_E$ .

For each  $\acute{e} \in E$ ,  $f_e \in \{u(\acute{e})\}$ ,  $g_e \in \{v(\acute{e})\}$  and  $\{u(\acute{e})\} \cap \{v(\acute{e})\} = \tilde{0}_E$  for all  $\acute{e} \in E$ . This implies that  $f_e \in h_E^Y \cap \{u(\acute{e})\}$ ,  $g_e \in h_E^Y \cap \{v(\acute{e})\}$  and

 $(h_E^Y \widetilde{\cap} \{u(\acute{e})\}) \widetilde{\cap} (h_E^Y \widetilde{\cap} \{v(\acute{e})\}) = h_E^Y \widetilde{\cap} (\{u(\acute{e})\}) \widetilde{\cap} \{v(\acute{e})\}) = h_E^Y \widetilde{\cap} \tilde{0}_E = \tilde{0}_E.$ 

Hence  $f_e \in h_E^Y \cap u_E \in \tau_{1_Y}, g_e \in h_E^Y \cap v_E \in \tau_{2_Y}$ 

and

$$(h_E^Y \tilde{\cap} u_E) \tilde{\cap} (h_E^Y \tilde{\cap} v_E) = h_E^Y \tilde{\cap} (u_E \tilde{\cap} v_E) = h_E^Y \tilde{\cap} \tilde{0}_E = \tilde{0}_E.$$

Thus  $(Y, E, \tau_{1_Y}, \tau_{2_Y})$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Proposition 3.29.** Every pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space is also a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

**Proof.** If  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space and  $f_e, g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$  then there exist fuzzy soft sets  $u_E \in \tau_1$  and  $v_E \in \tau_2$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E, g_e \tilde{\in} v_E$  and  $u_E \tilde{\cap} v_E = \tilde{0}_E$ .

As 
$$u_E \cap v_E = 0_E$$
, so  $g_e \notin u_E$ ,  $f_e \notin v_E$ 

Hence  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is also a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space.

**Remark 3.30.** The converse of Proposition 3.29 is not true i.e. a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -Space need not be a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_2$ -Space.

**Example 3.31.** The fuzzy soft bitopological space  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  in Example 3.12 is a pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_1$ -space which is not a pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff space.

**Theorem 3.32.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy soft bitopological space. Then the following are equivalent:

(1)  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff space.

(2) Let  $f_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$ , for each fuzzy soft point  $g_e$  distinct from  $f_e$ , there is a fuzzy soft set  $u_E \in \tau_1$ such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$  and  $g_e \tilde{\in} \tilde{1}_E - \tau_2 cl(u_E)$ . **Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff space and  $f_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$ . For any  $g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ , pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorffness implies that there is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy soft open set  $u_E$  and  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy soft open set  $v_E$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$ ,  $g_e \tilde{\in} v_E$  and  $u_E \tilde{\cap} v_E$ .

So that  $u_E \subseteq v_E^c$ . Since  $\tau_2 cl(u_E)$  is the smallest fuzzy soft closed set in  $\tau_2$  that contains  $u_E$  and  $v_E^c$  is a fuzzy soft closed set in  $\tau_2$  so  $\tau_2 cl(u_E) \subseteq v_E^c \Rightarrow v_E \subseteq (\tau_2 cl(u_E))^c$ .

Thus  $g_e \in v_E \subseteq (\tau_2 cl(u_E))^c$  or  $g_e \in \tilde{1}_E - \tau_2 cl(u_E)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $f_e, g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$ . By (2) there is a  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy soft open set  $u_E$ such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$  and  $g_e \tilde{\in} \tilde{1}_E - \tau_2 cl(u_E)$ . As  $\tau_2 cl(u_E)$  is a  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy soft closed set so  $v_E \tilde{=} \tilde{1}_E - \tau_2 cl(u_E) \in \tau_2$ . Now  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E, g_e \tilde{\in} v_E$  and  $u_E \tilde{\cap} v_E = u_E \tilde{\cap} (\tilde{1}_E - \tau_2 cl(u_E)) \tilde{\subseteq} u_E \tilde{\cap} (\tilde{1}_E - u_E)$  (since  $u_E \tilde{\subseteq} \tau_2 cl(u_E)) = \tilde{0}_E$ .

Thus  $u_E \cap v_E = \tilde{0}_E$ , and hence  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff space.

**Corollary 3.33.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff space. Then for each  $f_e \tilde{\in} (\widetilde{X, E})$ ,

$$\{f_e\} = \widetilde{\cap} \{\tau_2 cl(u_E) : f_e \widetilde{\in} u_E \in \tau_1\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $f_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$ , the existence of a fuzzy soft open set  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E \in \tau_1$  is guaranteed by pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorffness. If  $g_e \tilde{\in} (X, E)$  such that  $f_e \neq g_e$  then, by Theorem 3.32, there exists a fuzzy soft set  $u_E \in \tau_1$  such that  $f_e \tilde{\in} u_E$  and  $g_e \tilde{\in} \tilde{1}_E - \tau_2 cl(u_E) \Rightarrow g_e \tilde{\notin} \tau_2 cl(u(\hat{e})) \Rightarrow$  $g_e \tilde{\notin} \bigcap_{f_e \tilde{\in} u_E \in \tau_1} (\tau_2 cl(u(\hat{e})))$  for all  $\hat{e} \in E$ . Therefore

$$\widetilde{\cap}\{\tau_2 cl(u_E): f_e \tilde{\in} u_E \in \tau_1\} \tilde{\subseteq} \{f_e\}.$$

Converse inclusion is obvious as  $f_e \in u_E \subseteq \tau_2 cl(u_E)$ .

**Corollary 3.34.** Let  $(X, E, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise fuzzy soft Hausdorff space. Then for each  $f_e \tilde{\in} (\widetilde{X, E}), \{f_e\}^c \in \tau_i$ , for i = 1, 2.

Proof. By Corollary 3.33

$$\{f_e\}^c = \widetilde{\bigcup}\{(\tau_2 c l(u_E))^c : f_e \in u_E \in \tau_1\}.$$

Since  $\tau_2 cl(u_E)$  is a  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy soft closed set so  $(\tau_2 cl(u_E))^c \in \tau_2$  and by the axiom of a fuzzy soft topological space  $\widetilde{\bigcup}\{(\tau_2 cl(u_E))^c : f_e \in u_E \in \tau_1\} \in \tau_2$ . Thus  $\{f_e\}^c \in \tau_2$ .

A similar argument holds to show  $\{f_e\}^c \in \tau_1$ .

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented and studied some classes of fuzzy soft bitopological spaces, namely pairwise fuzzy soft  $T_i$ -Spaces (; i = 0, 1, 2). Characterizations of these spaces are obtained. Moreover, we studied the implications of these types of fuzzy soft separation axioms in fuzzy soft case. Also, we showed that these fuzzy soft separation axioms have hereditary properties. This is a beginning of some new generalized structure and the concept of separation axioms may be studied further for regular and normal fuzzy soft bitopological spaces that is our goal in the future work. Also, we will try to introduce and study some other properties and applications in fuzzy soft bitopological spaces based on these types of separation axioms.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

The author declare that there is no conflict of interests.

## Acknowledgement

The author is very grateful to the editor and the reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Beaulaa and C. Gunaseelib, On fuzzy soft metric spaces, Malaya J. Mat. 2(3)(2014), 197-202.
- [2] D. Chen, The parametrization reduction of soft sets and its applications, Comput. Math. Appl. 49 (2005), 757-763.
- [3] C. C. Chou, J. M. Yih, J. F. Ding, T. C. Han, Y. H. Lim, L. J. Liu and W. K. Hsu, Application of a fuzzy EOQ model to the stock management in the manufacture system, Key Eng. Mat., 499 (2012), 361-365.
- [4] C. Eksin, M. Güzelkaya, E. Yesil and I. Eksin . Fuzzy logic approach to mimic decision making behaviour of humans in stock management game, Proceedings of the 2008 System Dynamics Conference, (2008).
- [5] B. M. Ittanagi, Soft Bitopological Spaces, Int. J. Comput. Appl., 107(7)(2014), 1-4.
- [6] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh, Shawqi A. Hazza, Pairwise open (closed) soft sets in soft bitopological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inf., 11 (4) (2016), 571588. (2016), 1-20.
- [7] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh, Shawqi A. Hazza, Pairwise soft separation axioms in soft bitopological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inf., 4 (4) (2016), 571-588.
- [8] J. C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13(1963), 71-81.
- [9] Y. W. Kim, Pairwise compactness, Publ. Math. 15(1968), 87-90.
- [10] Lal, Pairwise concepts in bitopological spaces, J. Aust. Math. Soc.(Ser.A), 26(1978), 241-250.
- [11] E. P. Lane, Bitopological spaces and quasi-uniform spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 17(1967), 241-256.

- [12] J. Mahanta and P. K. Das, Results on fuzzy soft topological spaces, arXiv:1203.0634v1, 2012.
- [13] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Fuzzy soft sets, J. Fuzzy Math. 9(3)(2001), 589-602.
- [14] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and R. Roy, Soft set theory, Comput. Math. Appl. 45(2003), 555-562.
- [15] D.A. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, Comput. Math. Appl. 37(1999), 19-31.
- [16] Prakash Mukherjee1 and Choonkil Park, On fuzzy soft Bitopological spaces, Math. Comput. Sci. J., 10 (7) (2015), 1-8.
- [17] M. G. Murdeshwar and S. A. Naimpally, Quasi-uniform Topological Spaces, Monograph No- ordhoof Ltd (1966).
- [18] MUNAZZA NAZ, MUHAMMAD SHABIR, AND MUHAMMAD IRFAN ALI, Separation Axioms in Bisoft Topological Spaces, arXiv:1509.00866v1 [math.GN] 29 Aug 2015.
- [19] C. W. Patty, Bitopological spaces, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 387-392.
- [20] W. J. Pervin, Connectedness in bitopological spaces, Indag. Math. 29 (1967), 369-372.
- [21] I. L. Reilly, On bitopological separation properties, Nanta Math. 29(1972), 14-25.
- [22] S. Roy and T. K. Samanta, A note on fuzzy soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. inf. 3(2)(2012), 305-311.
- [23] A. F. Sayed, On Characterizations of Some Types of Fuzzy Soft Sets in Fuzzy Soft Bitopological Spaces, J. Adv. Math. Comput. Sci. 24 (3) (2017), Article no.JAMCS.35969.
- [24] M. K. Singal, Asha Rani Singal, Some more separation axioms in bitopological spaces, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, 84(1970), 207-230.
- [25] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inf. Control, 8(1965), 338-353.