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#### Abstract

The rainbow number $r b(G, H)$ is the minimum number $k$ such that any $k$-edge-coloring of $G$ contains a rainbow copy of $H$. In this paper, we determine the rainbow numbers of small cycles in the complete split graph and maximal outerplanar graph.
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## 1. Introduction

An edge-colored graph is called rainbow if all of its edges have distinct colors. For two graphs $G$ and $H$, the anti-Ramsey number $\operatorname{ar}(G, H)$, introduced by Erdős et al. [3], is the maximum number of colors in an edge-coloring of $G$ with no rainbow copy of $H$. The rainbow number $r b(G, H)$ is the minimum number $k$ such that any $k$-edge-coloring of $G$ contains a rainbow copy of $H$. Clearly, we have $r b(G, H)=\operatorname{ar}(G, H)+1$.
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In this paper, we consider the rainbow number of cycles. Erdős et al. [3] posed a conjecture on the anti-Ramsey number for cycles in complete graphs, which was later proved by Montellano et al. [8]. Axenovich et al. [1] determine the anti-Ramsey number of cycles in complete bipartite graphs. Jin et al. gave the anti-Ramsey numbers for graphs with independent cycles in [6]. Recently, the authors [5,7] present bounds for the rainbow number of cycles in plane triangulations. Note that the complete split graph contains the complete graph as a subclass. Gorgol et al. [4] determined the rainbow number of $C_{3}$ and $C_{3}^{+}$, a triangle with a pendant edge, in complete split graphs. In this paper, we determine the rainbow numbers of small cycles in complete split graphs and planar graphs.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $K_{n}, C_{n}, P_{n}$ be a complete graph, a cycle, a path on $n$ vertices respectively. For a set $S$, we denote by $|S|$ the cardinality of $S$. We define that a $u v$-path is a path with first vertex $u$ and last vertex $v$. For two graphs $G=(V(G), E(G))$ and $H=(V(H), E(H))$, the join of $G$ and $H$ is defined to be the graph by $G \cup H=(V(G) \cup V(H), E(G) \cup E(H))$. The sum of $G$ and $H$, denoted by $G+H$, is defined to be the graph $G \cup H+\{u v: u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}$. A complete split graph $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ is the sum of a complete graph $K_{n}$ and an empty graph $\bar{K}_{s}$. Denote by $\mathscr{M}_{n}$ the class of all the maximal outerplanar graphs of order $n$. For two disjoint subsets $R, T \subseteq V(G)$, denote by $E_{G}[R, T]$ the set of all the edges between $R$ and $T$ in $G$. We use $G[R]$ to denote the subgraph induced by $R$ in $G$ when $R \subseteq V(G)$ or $R \subseteq E(G)$. Let $c$ be an edge-coloring of $G$. We use $c(W)$ to denote the set of colors of $W \subseteq E(G)$. When $W=\{e\}$, we use $c(e)$ for short. A connected graph that has no cut vertices is called a block. A block of a graph is a subgraph that is a block and is maximal with respect to this property.

We need the following results:
Lemma 2.1. [5] Let $C_{k}$ with $k \geq 4$ be a rainbow cycle in an edge-colored graph $G$. If $G\left[V\left(C_{k}\right)\right]$ has a chord e, then there exists a rainbow cycle containing $e$ in $G$ of length smaller than $k$.
Lemma 2.2. [2] If the graph $G$ does not contain any even cycles, then each block of $G$ is either a $K_{1}$ or a $K_{2}$ or an odd cycle.

## 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. If $n \geq 3$, then $\operatorname{rb}\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}, C_{3}\right)=n$.
Proof. First, we construct a maximal outerplanar graph $M_{n} \in \mathscr{M}_{n}$ for all $n \geq 3$ and its edge coloring with $n-1$ colors that does not contain any rainbow $C_{3}$.

Given a 2-edge-colored $K_{3}$, we construct a sequence of quadrangulations $Q_{r}$ on $r \geq 3$ vertices starting with $Q_{3} \cong K_{3}$. From $Q_{r}$ we construct $Q_{r+1}$ by choosing the outerface, inseting a new vertex in it and making it adjacent to two vertices of an arbitrary edge on the boundary of $Q_{r}$, all these two edges are colored with a new color. Then, we get $Q_{r+1}$. So $Q_{r+1}$ has $r+1$ vertices, $2(r+1)-3$ edges, $r$ faces and $r$ colors.

In this way, we obtain a maximal outerplanar graph $M_{n}$ whose edges are colored with $n-$ 1 colors. Finally, we observe that $M_{n}$ does not contain any rainbow $C_{3}$, which proves that $r b\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}, C_{3}\right) \geq n$.

Now, we prove $r b\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}, C_{3}\right) \leq n$.
Let $M_{n} \in \mathscr{M}_{n}$. Color all the edges of $M_{n}$ by $n$ colors. Suppose that $M_{n}$ does not contain any rainbow $C_{3}$. Let $G$ be a rainbow spanning subgraph of $M_{n}$ with $|E(G)|=n$. Since $|E(G)|=n$ and $|V(G)|=n, G$ contains a rainbow cycle $C_{k}$ for some $k \geq 3$. If $k=3$, then we obtain a rainbow $C_{3}$ in $M_{n}$, a contradiction. So we have $k \geq 4$. Since $M_{n}$ is a maximal outerplanar graph, $G$ has a cycle $\widetilde{C} \cong C_{k}$ (for some $k \geq 4$ ) with no inner vertices. Clearly, $M_{n}[\widetilde{C}]$ is a maximal outerplanar graph. Let $v$ be a vertex with degree 2 in $M_{n}[\widetilde{C}]$ and $u, w$ be two neighbors of $v$. Then there is a path $u v w$ on $\widetilde{C}$ with $u w \in E\left(M_{n}\right)$. Since $M_{n}$ does not contain any rainbow $C_{3}$, by Lemma 2.1, the cycle $\widetilde{C}-\{v\}+u w$ is a rainbow cycle of order $k-1$ in $M_{n}$. In any case we obtain a shorter rainbow cycle. Hence there is a rainbow $C_{3}$ in $M_{n}$, a contradiction. This proves that $r b\left(\mathscr{M}_{n}, C_{3}\right) \leq n$.

The proof is completed.
Theorem 3.2. If $n+s \geq 4$ and $n \geq 2$, then

$$
r b\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}, C_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}n+s+\left\lfloor\frac{n+s}{3}\right\rfloor, & \text { if } n \geq 2 s \\ n+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+s, & \text { if } 2 \leq n<2 s\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $N=V\left(K_{n}\right), S=V\left(K_{s}\right)$,

$$
r= \begin{cases}n+s+\left\lfloor\frac{n+s}{3}\right\rfloor, & \text { if } n \geq 2 s \\ \\ n+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+s, & \text { if } 2 \leq n<2 s\end{cases}
$$

First, we present a $(r-1)$-edge-coloring of $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ which does not contain any rainbow $C_{4}$ as follows.

Take a set of maximum number of vertex disjoint triangles, denoted by $D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots, D_{t}$, in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ and denote by $\left\{D_{t+1}, \cdots, D_{n+s-2 t}\right\}$ the set of the remaining vertices. Color all the triangles by distinct colors. Then lexically color the edges between $D_{i}$ and $D_{j}$ by the color $j$ for $i<j$.

Clearly, $t=\left\lfloor\frac{n+s}{3}\right\rfloor$ for $n \geq 2 s$ and $t=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ for $2 \leq n<2 s$. So we can find that the coloring constructed above contains exactly $r-1$ colors, which proves $r b\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}, C_{4}\right) \geq r$.

Now we prove the upper bound $r b\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}, C_{4}\right) \leq r$. Given a $r$-edge-coloring of $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$, we need to show that $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ contains a rainbow $C_{4}$. By the contradiction, assume that $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ does not contain any rainbow $C_{4}$.

Claim 1. For any $C_{k}$ of $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}, k \geq 5, C_{k}$ contains a path of order four, say uvwx, such that $u x \in E\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}\right)$.

Proof. Let $P=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4}$ be a path on the cycle $C_{k}$. If $u_{1} \in N$ or $u_{4} \in N$, then the result holds clearly. So we have $u_{1}, u_{4} \in S$. Let $u_{5}$ be another neighbour of $u_{1}$ on the cycle $C_{k}$. Then $u_{5} \in N$. Hence $u_{5} u_{3} \in E\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}\right)$ and the path $u_{5} u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}$ is a path as desired.

Claim 2. If $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ contains a rainbow even cycle $C_{2 a+4}$ for $a \geq 1$, then it contains a rainbow cycle of order $2 a+2$.

Proof. Let $C_{2 a+4}$ be a rainbow cycle in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$. By Claim 1, there is a path $P=u v w x$ on $C_{4+2 a}$ with $u x \in E\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}\right)$. Since $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ does not contain any rainbow $C_{4}$, by Lemma 2.1, we have that $C_{2 a+4}-\{v, w\}+u x$ is a rainbow cycle of order $2 a+2$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$.

From Claim 2, we have that $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ does not contain any rainbow even cycle.

Claim 3. Let $C$, $C^{\prime}$ be two distinct rainbow triangles in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$. If $V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and all the edges of $C \cup C^{\prime}$ are colored by distinct colors, then $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ contains a rainbow $C_{4}$.

Proof. First, we consider that $\left|V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=2$. Let $C=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}$ and $C^{\prime}=u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{2}$. It is easy to see that $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{1}$ is a rainbow $C_{4}$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$.

Next, we consider that $\left|V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=1$. Let $C=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}$ and $C^{\prime}=u_{3} u_{4} u_{5} u_{3}$. In the graph $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$, every $C_{3}$ has at least two vertices in $N$. Let $u_{1}, u_{4} \in N$. Then $u_{1} u_{4} \in E\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}\right)$. Since the cycle $u_{1} u_{3} u_{5} u_{4} u_{1}$ is a $C_{4}$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ and $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ does not contain any rainbow $C_{4}$, we have $c\left(u_{1} u_{4}\right) \in c\left(\left\{u_{1} u_{3}, u_{3} u_{5}, u_{4} u_{5}\right\}\right)$. Then the cycle $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{1}$ is a rainbow $C_{4}$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$.

Let $G$ be a rainbow spanning subgraph of $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ with $|E(G)|=r$. Then $G$ does not contain any even cycle.

Claim 4. Let $C, C^{\prime}$ be two distinct odd cycles in $G$. Then $V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$.
Proof. Since $G$ does not contain any even cycle, by Lemma 2.2, each block of $G$ is a $K_{1}$ or a $K_{2}$ or an odd cycle. Suppose that $V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$.

First, we consider that $\left|V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2$. Since $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are two cycles, it is easy to see that $C \cup C^{\prime}$ does not contain any cut vertices. Then there is a block $B$ of $G$ containing $C \cup C^{\prime}$. So $B$ is not a $K_{1}$ or a $K_{2}$ or an odd cycle, a contradiction.

Now we consider that $\left|V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=1$. If $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are triangles, then by Claim 3, there is a rainbow $C_{4}$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$, a contradiction. Next, we distinguish the following 2 cases to complete the proof.

Case 1. $C$ is a triangle, $C^{\prime}$ is not a triangle or $C$ is not a triangle, $C^{\prime}$ is a triangle.
Without loss of generality, we only consider that $C$ is a triangle and $C^{\prime}$ is not a triangle. Let $V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\left\{u_{1}\right\}$. Since $G$ does not contain any rainbow even cycle, we have $\left|E\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 5$.

If $u_{1} \in N$, then we let $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}$ be a path on $C^{\prime}$. Clearly, we have that $u_{1} u_{3} \in E\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}\right)$. Since $C^{\prime}$ is an odd cycle and $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}$ has length 2 , the cycle $C^{\prime}-\left\{u_{2}\right\}+u_{1} u_{3}$ is an even cycle and it is not rainbow. Then by Lemma 2.1, the cycle $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}$ is a rainbow triangle in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ and we have $c\left(u_{1} u_{3}\right) \in c\left(E\left(C^{\prime}-u_{2}\right)\right)$. Note that $C$ is also a rainbow triangle in $G$. Then it is easy to see that $c(E(C)) \cap c\left(E\left(u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}\right)\right)=\emptyset$ and $V\left(u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}\right) \cap V(C)=\left\{u_{1}\right\}$. Therefore, by Claim 3, there is a rainbow $C_{4}$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$, a contradiction.

If $u_{1} \in S$, then let $u_{4}, u_{5}$ be 2 neighbors of $u_{1}$ in $C^{\prime}$. So we have that $u_{4}, u_{5} \in N$ and $u_{4} u_{5} \in$ $E\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}\right)$. Since $C^{\prime}$ is an odd cycle and $\left|E\left(u_{4} u_{1} u_{5}\right)\right|=2$, the cycle $C^{\prime}-\left\{u_{1}\right\}+u_{4} u_{5}$ is an even cycle and it is not rainbow. By Lemma 2.1, the cycle $u_{4} u_{1} u_{5} u_{4}$ is a rainbow triangle in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ and we have $c\left(u_{4} u_{5}\right) \in c\left(E\left(C^{\prime}-u_{1}\right)\right)$. Note that $C$ is a rainbow triangle in $G$. Clearly, we have that all the edges of $C \cup u_{4} u_{1} u_{5} u_{4}$ are colored by distinct colors and $V\left(u_{4} u_{1} u_{5} u_{4}\right) \cap V(C)=\left\{u_{1}\right\}$. Thus, by Claim 3, there is a rainbow $C_{4}$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are not triangles.
Let $V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\left\{u_{1}\right\}$. Since $G$ does not contain any rainbow even cycle, we have $\left|E\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 5$ and $|E(C)| \geq 5$.

If $u_{1} \in N$, then let $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}$ be a path on $C$ and $u_{1} u_{4} u_{5}$ be a path on $C^{\prime}$. Clearly, we have $u_{1} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{5} \in E\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}\right)$. Because $C$ is an odd cycle and the length of the path $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}$ equals two, the cycle $C-\left\{u_{2}\right\}+u_{1} u_{3}$ is an even cycle and it is not rainbow. By Lemma 2.1, the cycle $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}$ is a rainbow triangle in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ and we have $c\left(u_{1} u_{3}\right) \in c\left(E\left(C-u_{2}\right)\right)$. We also have $C^{\prime}$ is an odd cycle and $\left|E\left(u_{1} u_{4} u_{5}\right)\right|=2$. Then $C^{\prime}-\left\{u_{4}\right\}+u_{1} u_{5}$ is an even cycle and it is not rainbow. By Lemma 2.1, the cycle $u_{1} u_{4} u_{5} u_{1}$ is also a rainbow triangle in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ and we have $c\left(u_{1} u_{5}\right) \in c\left(E\left(C^{\prime}-u_{4}\right)\right)$. Now $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ contains two rainbow triangles $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}$ and $u_{1} u_{4} u_{5} u_{1}$ with $c\left(E\left(u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}\right)\right) \cap c\left(E\left(u_{1} u_{4} u_{5} u_{1}\right)\right)=\emptyset$. By Claim 3, there is a rainbow $C_{4}$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$, a contradiction.

If $u_{1} \in S$, then let $u_{6}, u_{7}$ be 2 neighbors of $u_{1}$ in $C$ and $u_{8}, u_{9}$ be 2 neighbors of $u_{1}$ in $C^{\prime}$. Then we have $u_{6}, u_{8} \in N$ and $u_{6} u_{7}, u_{8} u_{9} \in E\left(K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}\right)$. Since $C$ is an odd cycle and the path $u_{6} u_{1} u_{7}$ has length 2 , the cycle $C-\left\{u_{1}\right\}+u_{6} u_{7}$ is an even cycle and it is not rainbow. Then by Lemma 2.1, the cycle $u_{1} u_{6} u_{7} u_{1}$ is a rainbow triangle in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ and we have $c\left(u_{6} u_{7}\right) \in c\left(E\left(C-u_{1}\right)\right)$. We also have $C^{\prime}$ is an odd cycle and $\left|E\left(u_{8} u_{1} u_{9}\right)\right|=2$. Then $C^{\prime}-\left\{u_{1}\right\}+u_{8} u_{9}$ is an even cycle and it is not rainbow. By Lemma 2.1, the cycle $u_{1} u_{8} u_{9} u_{1}$ is also a rainbow triangle in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ and we have $c\left(u_{8} u_{9}\right) \in c\left(E\left(C^{\prime}-u_{1}\right)\right)$. So $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$ contains two rainbow triangles $u_{1} u_{6} u_{7} u_{1}$ and $u_{1} u_{8} u_{9} u_{1}$ with $c\left(E\left(u_{1} u_{6} u_{7} u_{1}\right)\right) \cap c\left(E\left(u_{1} u_{8} u_{9} u_{1}\right)\right)=\emptyset$. By Claim 3, there is a rainbow $C_{4}$ in $K_{n}+\bar{K}_{s}$, a contradiction.

This proves the Claim.

Let $m$ be the number of odd cycles in $G$. Denote $T$ by the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting one edge in each odd cycle. Since $G$ does not contain any even cycle, $T$ does not contain any cycle. So $|E(T)| \leq n+s-1$. From Claim 4, we have $|E(G)|=|E(T)|+m \leq n+s+m-1$. It is easy to see that

$$
m \leq \begin{cases}\left\lfloor\frac{n+s}{3}\right\rfloor, & \text { if } n \geq 2 s \\ \left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, & \text { if } 2 \leq n<2 s\end{cases}
$$

So we have that $|E(G)| \leq r-1<r$, a contradiction to the fact that $|E(G)|=r$.
The proof is completed.
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