



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 10 (2020), No. 4, 1104-1130

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/4502>

ISSN: 1927-5307

SOME APPLICATIONS VIA SUZUKI TYPE COMMON QUADRUPLE FIXED POINT RESULTS IN G -METRIC SPACES

B. SRINUVASA RAO^{1,*}, D. RAM PRASAD², R. RAVI SANKAR³

¹Department of Mathematics, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University, Srikakulam-532410, Andhra Pradesh, India

²Department of Mathematics, K L University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur-522502, Andhra Pradesh, India

³Department of Mathematics, Govt. Degree College, Tekkali, Srikakulam-532201, Andhra Pradesh, India

Copyright © 2020 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper we give some applications to integral equations as well as homotopy theory via Suzuki type for Jungck type common Quadruple fixed point theorems in complete G -metric space. We also furnish an example which supports our main result.

Keywords: quadruple fixed point; Suzuki type contraction; ω -compatible and G -completeness.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 93B05, 45K05, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theory is a beautiful mixture of Analysis, Topology and Geometry. It has been playing a vital role because of its wide applications in Homotopy theory, integral, integro-differential and impulsive differential equations, obtaining solutions of optimization problems, Approximation theory and Non-linear Analysis.

Recently Suzuki [1] proved generalized versions of both Banach's and Edelstein's basic results and thus initiated a lot of work in this direction (See.[2]-[6]). In 2006, Mustafa and Sims

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: srinivasabagathi@gmail.com

Received February 6, 2020

[7] initiated the concept of G -metric spaces and gave variant related fixed point results. Afterwards, many authors have developed beautiful fixed point results on the setting of G -metric spaces ([8]-[14]).

Very recently, E.Karapinar[15] initiated the concept of quadruple fixed point and proved some quadruple fixed results in partially ordered metric spaces. Further, many investigators ([16]-[20]) established quadruple fixed theorems in various metric spaces.

The aim of this paper is to combine the ideas of quadruple fixed points and Suzuki type fixed point theorems to obtain a unique common quadruple fixed point theorem for Jungck type mappings in a G -metric space. Also, we give example, applications to Integral equations and Homotopy theory.

2. PRELIMINARIES

First, let's review the important concepts of G -metric spaces.

Definition 2.1:([7]) Let \mathcal{P} be a non-empty set and let $G : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following properties :

- (B_0) $G(p, q, r) = 0$ if $p = q = r$;
- (B_1) $0 < G(p, p, q)$ for any $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p \neq q$;
- (B_2) if $G(p, p, q) \leq G(p, q, r)$ for all $p, q, r \in \mathcal{P}$ with $q \neq r$;
- (B_3) $G(p, q, r) = G(P[p, q, r])$, where P is a permutation of p, q, r (symmetry);
- (B_4) $G(p, q, r) \leq G(p, x, x) + G(x, q, r)$ for all $p, q, r, x \in \mathcal{P}$ (rectangle inequality)

then G is said to be a G -metric on \mathcal{P} and pair (\mathcal{P}, G) is said to be a G -metric space.

Definition 2.2:([7]) A G - metric space (\mathcal{P}, G) is said to be symmetric if

$$G(p, q, q) = G(q, p, p) \text{ for all } p, q \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Definition 2.3:([7]) Let \mathcal{P} be a G -metric space. A sequence $\{p_n\}$ in \mathcal{P} is called:

- (a) G -Cauchy sequence if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an integer $n_0 \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that for all $n, m, l \geq n_0$, $G(p_n, p_m, p_l) < \varepsilon$.
- (b) G -convergent to a point $p \in \mathcal{P}$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an integer $n_0 \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that for all $n, m \geq n_0$, $G(p_n, p_m, p) < \varepsilon$.

A G -metric space on \mathcal{P} is said to be G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{P} is G -convergent in \mathcal{P} .

For more properties of a G -metric we refer the reader to ([7]).

Definition 2.4:([15]) Let \mathcal{P} be a nonempty set and let $F : \mathcal{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a mapping.

If $F(p, q, r, s) = p$, $F(q, r, s, p) = q$, $F(r, s, p, q) = r$ and $F(s, p, q, r) = s$ for $p, q, r, s \in \mathcal{P}$ then (p, q, r, s) is called a Quadruple fixed point of F .

Definition 2.5: ([17]) Let $F : \mathcal{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be two mappings. An element (p, q, r, s) is said to be a quadruple coincident point of F and f if

$$F(p, q, r, s) = fp, \quad F(q, r, s, p) = fq, \quad F(r, s, p, q) = fr \text{ and } F(s, p, q, r) = fs.$$

Definition 2.6:([17]) Let $F : \mathcal{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be two mappings. An element (p, q, r, s) is said to be a quadruple common point of F and f if

$$F(p, q, r, s) = fp = p, \quad F(q, r, s, p) = fq = q, \quad F(r, s, p, q) = fr = r \quad \text{and} \quad F(s, p, q, r) = fs = s.$$

Definition 2.7:([17]) Let (\mathcal{P}, G) be a G -metric space. A pair (F, f) is called weakly compatible if $f(F(p, q, r, s)) = F(fp, fq, fr, fs)$ whenever for all $p, q, r, s \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$F(p, q, r, s) = fp, \quad F(q, r, s, p) = fq, \quad F(r, s, p, q) = fr \text{ and } F(s, p, q, r) = fs.$$

Theorem 2.8:([1]) Let $(\mathcal{P}; d)$ be a complete metric space, let $T : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a mapping and

$$\text{define a non increasing function } \theta : [0; 1) \rightarrow (\frac{1}{2}; 1] \text{ by } \theta(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} \\ (1-t)t^{-2}, & \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ (1+t)^{-1}, & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Assume that there exists $t \in [0; 1)$ such that

$$\theta(t)d(p, Tp) \leq d(p; q) \text{ implies } d(Tp; Tq) \leq d(p; q)$$

for all $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$. Then there exists a unique fixed point a of T . Moreover, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n p = a$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let (\mathcal{P}, G) be a G -metric space. Suppose that $T : \mathcal{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a two mappings satisfying the following:

$$\eta(\theta)G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx, fx, fp), G(fy, fy, fq), \\ G(fz, fz, fr), G(fw, fw, fs), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} & G(T(x, y, z, w), T(x, y, z, w), T(p, q, r, s)) \\ & \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx, fx, fp), G(fy, fy, fq), \\ G(fz, fz, fr), G(fw, fw, fs), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)) \\ G(fp, fp, T(p, q, r, s)), G(fq, fq, T(q, r, s, p)), \\ G(fr, fr, T(r, s, p, q)), G(fs, fs, T(s, p, q, r)) \\ G(fp, fp, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fq, fq, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fr, fr, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fs, fs, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(1)

for all $x, y, z, w, p, q, r, s \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\theta \in [0, 1)$ and $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ defined as $\eta(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$ is a strictly decreasing function,

a) $T(\mathcal{P}^4) \subseteq f(\mathcal{P})$ and $f(\mathcal{P})$ is complete,

b) pair (T, f) is ω -compatible.

Then there is a unique common quadruple fixed point of T and f in \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ be arbitrary, and from (a), we construct the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ in \mathcal{P} as

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) &= fx_{n+1}, & T(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n) &= fy_{n+1}, \\ T(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n) &= fz_{n+1}, & T(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) &= fw_{n+1}, \end{aligned} \text{ where } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

case(i): Assume that

$$(2) \quad fx_n \neq fx_{n+1} \text{ or } fy_n \neq fy_{n+1} \text{ or } fz_n \neq fz_{n+1} \text{ or } fw_n \neq fw_{n+1} \forall n.$$

Since

$$\eta(\theta)G(fx_0, fx_0, T(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0)) = \eta(\theta)G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1) \leq G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1) \\ \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1), \\ G(fx_0, fx_0, T(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0)), G(fy_0, fy_0, T(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0)), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, T(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0)), G(fw_0, fw_0, T(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0)) \end{array} \right\}$$

Then from (1), we can get

$$G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2) = G(T(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0), T(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0), T(x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1)) \\ \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1), \\ G(fx_0, fx_0, T(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0)), G(fy_0, fy_0, T(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0)), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, T(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0)), G(fw_0, fw_0, T(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0)) \\ G(fx_1, fx_1, T(x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1)), G(fy_1, fy_1, T(y_1, z_1, w_1, x_1)), \\ G(fz_1, fz_1, T(z_1, w_1, x_1, y_1)), G(fw_1, fw_1, T(w_1, x_1, y_1, z_1)) \\ G(fx_1, fx_1, T(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0)), G(fy_1, fy_1, T(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0)), \\ G(fz_0, fz_1, T(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0)), G(fw_1, fw_1, T(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0)) \end{array} \right\} \\ \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), \\ G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1), G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2), G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2), \\ G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2), G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2) \end{array} \right\}.$$

(3)

Similarly, we can prove that

$$G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), \\ G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1), G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2), G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2), \\ G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2), G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2) \end{array} \right\}.$$

(4)

$$G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), \\ G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1), G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2), G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2), \\ G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2), G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (5)$$

$$G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), \\ G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1), G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2), G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2), \\ G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2), G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Due to (3) – (6), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \{G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2), G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2), G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2), G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2)\} \\ & \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), \\ G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1), G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2), G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2), \\ G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2), G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{If } \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2), G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2), \\ G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2), G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then from (7), we have $fx_1 = fx_2$ or $fy_1 = fy_2$ or $fz_1 = fz_2$ or $fw_1 = fw_2$. It is contradiction

to (2). Hence from (7), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_1, fx_1, fx_2), G(fy_1, fy_1, fy_2), \\ G(fz_1, fz_1, fz_2), G(fw_1, fw_1, fw_2) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Continuing in this way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1}), \\ G(fy_n, fy_n, fy_{n+1}), \\ G(fz_n, fz_n, fz_{n+1}), \\ G(fw_n, fw_n, fw_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, fx_n), G(fy_{n-1}, fy_{n-1}, fy_n), \\ G(fz_{n-1}, fz_{n-1}, fz_n), G(fw_{n-1}, fw_{n-1}, fw_n) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \theta^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1}), G(fy_{n-2}, fy_{n-2}, fy_{n-1}), \\ G(fz_{n-2}, fz_{n-2}, fz_{n-1}), G(fw_{n-2}, fw_{n-2}, fw_{n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq \theta^n \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq \theta^n \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\},$

$$G(fy_n, fy_n, fy_{n+1}) \leq \theta^n \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$G(fz_n, fz_n, fz_{n+1}) \leq \theta^n \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$G(fw_n, fw_n, fw_{n+1}) \leq \theta^n \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\}.$$

For $m > n$, by use of the rectangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G(fx_m, fx_m, fx_n) \\ \leq & G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) + G(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+2}) + \dots + G(fx_{m-1}, fx_m, fx_m), \\ \leq & (\theta^n + \theta^{n+1} + \dots + \theta^{m-1}) \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\} \\ \leq & \frac{\theta^n}{1 - \theta} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_0, fx_0, fx_1), G(fy_0, fy_0, fy_1), \\ G(fz_0, fz_0, fz_1), G(fw_0, fw_0, fw_1) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $f(\mathcal{P})$. Similarly we can show that $\{fy_n\}$, $\{fz_n\}$ and $\{fw_n\}$ are Cauchy sequence in $f(\mathcal{P})$. Since $f(\mathcal{P})$ is complete, there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega$ and a, b, c, d in \mathcal{P} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n &= \alpha = fa & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_n &= \beta = fb \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n &= \gamma = fc & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fw_n &= \omega = fd. \end{aligned}$$

Since $fx_n \rightarrow \alpha$, $fy_n \rightarrow \beta$, $fz_n \rightarrow \gamma$ and $fw_n \rightarrow \omega$, we may assume that $fx_n \neq \alpha$, $fy_n \neq \beta$, $fz_n \neq \gamma$ and $fw_n \neq \omega$ for infinitely many n . We claim that

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, T(x, y, z, w)), \\ G(fb, fb, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(z, w, x, y)), \\ G(fd, fd, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw) \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{P}$ with $fa \neq fx, fb \neq fy, fc \neq fz$ and $fd \neq fw$.

Let $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{P}$ with $fa \neq fx, fb \neq fy, fc \neq fz$ and $fd \neq fw$. Then there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$, we have $G(fa, fa, fx_n) \leq \frac{1}{4}G(fa, fa, fx)$,

$$G(fb, fb, fy_n) \leq \frac{1}{4}G(fb, fb, fy), G(fc, fc, fz_n) \leq \frac{1}{4}G(fc, fc, fz),$$

$G(fd, fd, fw_n) \leq \frac{1}{4}G(fd, fd, fw)$. Now for $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta(\theta)G(fx_n, fx_n, T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n)) &\leq G(fx_n, fx_n, T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n)) \\
 &= G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq G(fx_n, fx_n, fa) + G(fa, fa, fx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq 2G(fx_n, fa, fa) + G(fa, fa, fx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{4}G(fx, fa, fa) + \frac{1}{4}G(fa, fa, fx) \\
 &\leq G(fx, fa, fa) - \frac{1}{4}G(fa, fa, fx) \\
 &\leq G(fx, fa, fa) - G(fa, fa, fx_n) \\
 &\leq G(fx, fx, fx_n) \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx, fx, fx_n), G(fy, fy, fy_n), \\ G(fz, fz, fz_n), G(fw, fw, fw_n), \\ G(fx_n, fx_n, T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n)), \\ G(fy_n, fy_n, T(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n)), \\ G(fz_n, fz_n, T(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n)), \\ G(fw_n, fw_n, T(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n)) \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &G(T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), T(x, y, z, w)) \\
 &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_n, fx_n, fx), G(fy_n, fy_n, fy), \\ G(fz_n, fz_n, fz), G(fw_n, fw_n, fw), \\ G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1}), G(fy_n, fy_n, fy_{n+1}), \\ G(fz_n, fz_n, fz_{n+1}), G(fw_n, fw_n, fw_{n+1}) \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \\ G(fx, fx, fx_{n+1}), G(fy, fy, fy_{n+1}), \\ G(fz, fz, fz_{n+1}), G(fw, fw, fw_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$G(fa, fa, T(x, y, z, w)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \end{array} \right\}.$$

Similarly we can show that

$$G(fb, fb, T(y, z, w, x)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fb, fb, fy), G(fc, fc, fz), \\ G(fd, fd, fw), G(fa, fa, fx), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \end{array} \right\},$$

$$G(fc, fc, T(z, w, x, y)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw), \\ G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$G(fd, fd, T(w, x, y, z)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fd, fd, fw), G(fa, fa, fx), \\ G(fb, fb, fy), G(fc, fc, fz), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \end{array} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, T(x, y, z, w)), \\ G(fb, fb, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(z, w, x, y)), \\ G(fd, fd, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw) \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\}$$

(8)

Hence the claim. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)) \leq G(fx, fx, fa) + G(fa, fa, T(x, y, z, w)) \\
 & \leq G(fx, fx, fa) + \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \end{array} \right\} \\
 & \leq (1 + \theta) \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\eta(\theta)G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence from (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & G(T(a, b, c, d), T(a, b, c, d), T(x, y, z, w)) \\
 & \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw), \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)), \\ G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \\ G(fx, fx, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fy, fy, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fw, fw, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(9)

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 &G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, T(a, b, c, d)) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), T(a, b, c, d)) \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fx_n, fx_n, fa), G(fy_n, fy_n, fb), \\ G(fz_n, fz_n, fc), G(fw_n, fw_n, fd), \\ G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)), \\ G(fx_n, fx_n, T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n)), G(fy_n, fy_n, T(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n)), \\ G(fz_n, fz_n, T(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n)), G(fw_n, fw_n, T(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n)) \\ G(fa, fa, T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n)), G(fb, fb, T(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n)), G(fd, fd, T(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n)) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can have

$$G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\}$$

also

$$G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), \\ G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), \\ G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), \\ G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), \\ G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\}.$$

So that $T(a, b, c, d) = fa, T(b, c, d, a) = fb, T(c, d, a, b) = fc$ and $T(d, a, b, c) = fd$. Thus (a, b, c, d) is a Quadruple coincidence point of T and f . Since the pair (T, f) is ω -compatible, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\alpha &= f^2a = f(T(a, b, c, d)) = T(fa, fb, fc, fd) = T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega) \\ f\beta &= f^2b = f(T(b, c, d, a)) = T(fb, fc, fd, fa) = T(\beta, \gamma, \omega, \alpha) \\ f\gamma &= f^2c = f(T(c, d, a, b)) = T(fc, fd, fa, fb) = T(\gamma, \omega, \alpha, \beta) \\ (10) \quad f\omega &= f^2d = f(T(d, a, b, c)) = T(fd, fa, fb, fc) = T(\omega, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\eta(\theta)G(f\alpha, f\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)) = 0 \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, f\alpha), G(fb, fb, f\beta), \\ G(fc, fc, f\gamma), G(fd, fd, f\omega), \\ G(f\alpha, f\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)), G(f\beta, f\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \omega, \alpha)), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, T(\gamma, \omega, \alpha, \beta)), G(f\omega, f\omega, T(\omega, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence from (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, fa) &= G(T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega), T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega), T(a, b, c, d)) \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, fa), G(f\beta, f\beta, fb), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, fc), G(f\omega, f\omega, fd), \\ G(f\alpha, f\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)), G(f\beta, f\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \omega, \alpha)), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, T(\gamma, \omega, \alpha, \beta)), G(f\omega, f\omega, T(\omega, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)), \\ G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \\ G(fa, fa, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)), G(fb, fb, T(\beta, \gamma, \omega, \alpha)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(\gamma, \omega, \alpha, \beta)), G(fd, fd, T(\omega, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, fa), G(f\beta, f\beta, fb), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, fc), G(f\omega, f\omega, fd) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$G(f\beta, f\beta, fb) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, fa), G(f\beta, f\beta, fb), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, fc), G(f\omega, f\omega, fd) \end{array} \right\}.$$

and

$$G(f\gamma, f\gamma, fc) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, fa), G(f\beta, f\beta, fb), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, fc), G(f\omega, f\omega, fd) \end{array} \right\}.$$

also

$$G(f\omega, f\omega, fd) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, fa), G(f\beta, f\beta, fb), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, fc), G(f\omega, f\omega, fd) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, fa), G(f\beta, f\beta, fb), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, fc), G(f\omega, f\omega, fd), \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, fa), G(f\beta, f\beta, fb), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, fc), G(f\omega, f\omega, fd) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence $\alpha = fa = f\alpha, \beta = fb = f\beta, \gamma = fc = f\gamma$ and $\omega = fd = f\omega$. Hence from (10), we have $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)$ is a common quadruple fixed point of T and f . In the following we will show the uniqueness of common quadruple fixed point in \mathcal{P} . For this purpose, assume that there is another quadruple fixed point $(\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \omega')$ of T, f . Now consider,

$$\eta(\theta)G(f\alpha, f\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)) = 0 \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f\alpha, f\alpha, f\alpha'), G(f\beta, f\beta, f\beta'), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, f\gamma'), G(f\omega, f\omega, f\omega'), \\ G(f\alpha, f\alpha, T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)), G(f\beta, f\beta, T(\beta, \gamma, \omega, \alpha)), \\ G(f\gamma, f\gamma, T(\gamma, \omega, \alpha, \beta)), G(f\omega, f\omega, T(\omega, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \end{array} \right\}$$

by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha') &= G(T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega), T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega), T(\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \omega')) \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha'), G(\beta, \beta, \beta'), \\ G(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma'), G(\omega, \omega, \omega') \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$G(\beta, \beta, \beta') \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha'), G(\beta, \beta, \beta'), \\ G(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma'), G(\omega, \omega, \omega') \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$G(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma') \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha'), G(\beta, \beta, \beta'), \\ G(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma'), G(\omega, \omega, \omega') \end{array} \right\}.$$

also

$$G(\omega, \omega, \omega') \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha'), G(\beta, \beta, \beta'), \\ G(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma'), G(\omega, \omega, \omega') \end{array} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha'), G(\beta, \beta, \beta'), \\ G(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma'), G(\omega, \omega, \omega') \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha'), G(\beta, \beta, \beta'), \\ G(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma'), G(\omega, \omega, \omega') \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence $\alpha = \alpha', \beta = \beta', \gamma = \gamma'$ and $\omega = \omega'$. Thus $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)$ is the unique common quadruple fixed point of T and f .

case(ii): If $fx_n = fx_{n+1}, fy_n = fy_{n+1}, fz_n = fz_{n+1}$ and $fw_n = fw_{n+1}$ for some n then

$$fx_n = T(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), fy_n = T(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n), fz_n = T(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n), fw_n = T(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n)$$

so that (x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) is a quadruple coincidence point of T and f . Now proceeding as in case

(i) with $fx_n = \alpha, fy_n = \beta, fz_n = \gamma$ and $fw_n = \omega$, we can show that $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega)$ is the unique common quadruple fixed point of T and f .

Example 3.2: Let $\mathcal{P} = [0, 1]$ and $G(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |x - z|, |y - z|\}$, (\mathcal{P}, G) is a complete G -metric spaces. Let $T : \mathcal{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be given by $T(x, y, z, w) = \sin\left(\frac{x+y+z+w}{16}\right)$ and

$f(x) = \frac{x}{2}$. Then obviously, $T(\mathcal{P}^4) \subseteq f(\mathcal{P})$ and the pair (T, f) are ω -compatible and clearly

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3}G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)) &\leq G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), \\ G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw), \\ G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & G(T(a, b, c, d), T(a, b, c, d), T(x, y, z, w)) \\
 &= \max \{|T(a, b, c, d) - T(x, y, z, w)|\} \\
 &= \left| \sin\left(\frac{a+b+c+d}{16}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{x+y+z+w}{16}\right) \right| \\
 &= 2 \left| \cos\left(\frac{a+b+c+d+x+y+z+w}{32}\right) \sin\left(\frac{a+b+c+d-x-y-z-w}{32}\right) \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{16} |a+b+c+d-x-y-z-w| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{x}{2} - \sin\left(\frac{a+b+c+d}{16}\right) \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{|fx - T(a, b, c, d)|\} = \frac{1}{2} G(fx, fx, T(a, b, c, d)) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(fa, fa, fx), G(fb, fb, fy), G(fc, fc, fz), G(fd, fd, fw), \\ G(fa, fa, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fb, fb, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fc, fc, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fd, fd, T(d, a, b, c)) \\ G(fx, fx, T(x, y, z, w)), G(fy, fy, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(z, w, x, y)), G(fw, fw, T(w, x, y, z)) \\ G(fx, fx, T(a, b, c, d)), G(fy, fy, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(fz, fz, T(c, d, a, b)), G(fw, fw, T(d, a, b, c)) \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus all the conditions of the Theorem (3.1) are satisfied and $(0,0,0,0)$ is unique common quadruple fixed point of T and f .

Corollary 3.3: Let (\mathcal{P}, G) be a complete G -metric space. Suppose that $T : \mathcal{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$\eta(\theta)G(x, x, T(x, y, z, w)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, p), G(y, y, q), G(z, z, r), G(w, w, s), \\ G(x, x, T(x, y, z, w)), G(y, y, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(z, z, T(z, w, x, y)), G(w, w, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\}$$

implies

$$G(T(x, y, z, w), T(x, y, z, w), T(p, q, r, s)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, p), G(y, y, q), G(z, z, r), G(w, w, s), \\ G(x, x, T(x, y, z, w)), G(y, y, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(z, z, T(z, w, x, y)), G(w, w, T(w, x, y, z)) \\ G(p, p, T(p, q, r, s)), G(q, q, T(q, r, s, p)), \\ G(r, r, T(r, s, p, q)), G(s, s, T(s, p, q, r)) \\ G(p, p, T(x, y, z, w)), G(q, q, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(r, r, T(z, w, x, y)), G(s, s, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\}. \tag{11}$$

for all $x, y, z, w, p, q, r, s \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\theta \in [0, 1)$ and $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ defined as $\eta(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$ is a strictly decreasing function. Then there is a unique quadruple fixed point of T in \mathcal{P} .

3.1. APPLICATION TO INTEGRAL EQUATIONS.

In this section, we study the existence of an unique solution to an initial value problem, as an application to Corollary (3.3).

Theorem 3.1.1: Consider the initial value problem

$$x^1(t) = T(t, (x, y, z, w)(t)), \quad t \in I = [0, 1], \quad (x, y, z, w)(0) = (x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0) \tag{12}$$

where $T : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_0^t T(s, (x, y, z, w)(s)) ds = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^t T(s, x(s)) ds, \int_0^t T(s, y(s)) ds, \\ \int_0^t T(s, z(s)) ds, \int_0^t T(s, w(s)) ds, \end{array} \right\}$

and $x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists unique solution in $C(I, \mathbb{R})$ for the initial value problem (12).

Proof. The integral equation corresponding to initial value problem (12) is

$$x(t) = x_0 + 3 \int_0^t T(s, (x, y, z, w)(s)) ds.$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = C(I, \mathbb{R})$ and $G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$ for $x, y, z \in \mathcal{P}$, define $R : \mathcal{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by

$$R(x, y, z, w)(t) = \frac{x_0}{3} + \int_0^t T(s, (x, y, z, w)(s)) ds.$$

Clearly for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$\frac{3}{4}G(x, x, T(x, y, z, w)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, a), G(y, y, b), G(z, z, c), G(w, w, d), \\ G(x, x, T(x, y, z, w)), G(y, y, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(z, z, T(z, w, x, y)), G(w, w, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & G(R(x, y, z, w)(t), R(x, y, z, w)(t), R(a, b, c, d)(t)) \\ &= 2|R(x, y, z, w)(t) - R(a, b, c, d)(t)| \\ &= 2\left|\frac{x_0}{3} + \int_0^t T(s, (x, y, z, w)(s))ds - \frac{a_0}{3} + \int_0^t T(s, (a, b, c, d)(s))ds\right| \\ &= \frac{2}{3}|x(t) - a(t)| = \frac{1}{3}G(x, x, a) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, a), G(y, y, q), G(z, z, c), G(w, w, d), \\ G(x, x, T(x, y, z, w)), G(y, y, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(z, z, T(z, w, x, y)), G(w, w, T(w, x, y, z)) \\ G(a, a, T(a, b, c, d)), G(b, b, T(b, c, d, a)), \\ G(c, c, T(c, d, a, b)), G(d, d, T(d, a, b, c)) \\ G(a, a, T(x, y, z, w)), G(b, b, T(y, z, w, x)), \\ G(c, c, T(z, w, x, y)), G(d, d, T(w, x, y, z)) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Corollary (3.3), we conclude that R has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{P} .

3.2. APPLICATION TO HOMOTOPY.

In this section, we study the existence of an unique solution to Homotopy theory.

Theorem 3.2.1: Let (\mathcal{P}, G) be complete G -metric space, U and \bar{U} be an open and closed subset of \mathcal{P} such that $U \subseteq \bar{U}$. Suppose $H : \bar{U}^4 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be an operator with following conditions are satisfying,

τ_0) $x \neq H(x, y, z, w, \kappa)$, $y \neq H(y, z, w, x, \kappa)$, $z \neq H(z, w, x, z, \kappa)$ and $w \neq H(w, x, y, z, \kappa)$ for each $x, y, z, w \in \partial U$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ (Here ∂U is boundary of U in \mathcal{P});

$\tau_1)$ for all $x, y, z, w, a, b, c, d \in \bar{U}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\eta(\theta)G(x, x, H(x, y, z, w, \kappa)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, p), G(y, y, q), G(z, z, r), G(w, w, s), \\ G(x, x, H(x, y, z, w, \kappa)), G(y, y, H(y, z, w, x, \kappa)), \\ G(z, z, H(z, w, x, y, \kappa)), G(w, w, H(w, x, y, z, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\} \text{ implies}$$

$$G \left(\begin{array}{l} H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), \\ H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), \\ H(p, q, r, s, \kappa) \end{array} \right) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, p), G(y, y, q), G(z, z, r), G(w, w, s), \\ G(x, x, H(x, y, z, w, \kappa)), G(y, y, H(y, z, w, x, \kappa)), \\ G(z, z, H(z, w, x, y, \kappa)), G(w, w, H(w, x, y, z, \kappa)) \\ G(p, p, H(p, q, r, s, \kappa)), G(q, q, H(q, r, s, p, \kappa)), \\ G(r, r, H(r, s, p, q, \kappa)), G(s, s, H(s, p, q, r, \kappa)) \\ G(p, p, H(x, y, z, w, \kappa)), G(q, q, H(y, z, w, x, \kappa)), \\ G(r, r, H(z, w, x, y, \kappa)), G(s, s, H(w, x, y, z, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\}.$$

(13)

where $\theta \in [0, 1)$ and $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ defined as $\eta(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$ is a strictly decreasing function.

$$\tau_2) \exists M \geq 0 \ni G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(a, b, c, d, \zeta)) \leq M|\kappa - \zeta|$$

for every $x, y, z, w, a, b, c, d \in \bar{U}$ and $\kappa, \zeta \in [0, 1]$.

Then $H(., 0)$ has a quadruple fixed point $\iff H(., 1)$ has a quadruple fixed point.

Proof. Let the set

$$X = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa \in [0, 1] : H(x, y, z, w, \kappa) = x, H(y, z, w, x, \kappa) = y \\ H(z, w, x, y, \kappa) = z, H(w, x, y, z, w, \kappa) = w, \text{ for some } x, y, z, w, \in U \end{array} \right\}.$$

Suppose that $H(., 0)$ has a quadruple fixed point in U^4 , we have that $(0, 0, 0, 0) \in X^4$. So that X is non-empty set. Now we show that X is both closed and open in $[0, 1]$ and hence by the connectedness $X = [0, 1]$. As a result, $H(., 1)$ has a quadruple fixed point in U^4 . First we show that X closed in $[0, 1]$. To see this, Let $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$ with $\kappa_n \rightarrow \kappa \in [0, 1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We must show that $\kappa \in X$. Since $\kappa_n \in X$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, there exists sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ with

$$x_n = H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), y_n = H(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n, \kappa_n), z_n = H(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n, \kappa_n)$$

$$\text{and } w_n = H(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n, \kappa_n)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 = & G(H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \kappa_{n+1})) \\
 \leq & G \left(\begin{array}{c} H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), \\ H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \kappa_n) \end{array} \right) \\
 & + G \left(\begin{array}{c} H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \kappa_n), H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \kappa_n), \\ H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \kappa_{n+1}) \end{array} \right) \\
 \leq & G(H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \kappa_n)) \\
 & + M|\kappa_n - \kappa_{n+1}|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 \leq & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \kappa_n)) + 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\eta(\theta)G(x_n, x_n, H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}), \\ G(z_n, z_n, z_{n+1}), G(w_n, w_n, w_{n+1}), \\ G(x_n, x_n, H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa)), \\ G(y_n, y_n, H(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n, \kappa)), \\ G(z_n, z_n, H(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n, \kappa)), \\ G(w_n, w_n, H(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\}$$

from (13), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 \leq & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa_n), H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \kappa_n))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}), G(z_n, z_n, z_{n+1}), G(w_n, w_n, w_{n+1}), \\ G(x_n, x_n, H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \mathbf{K}_n)), G(y_n, y_n, H(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n, \mathbf{K}_n)), \\ G(z_n, z_n, H(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n, \mathbf{K}_n)), G(w_n, w_n, H(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n, \mathbf{K}_n)), \\ G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, \mathbf{K}_n)), \\ G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, H(y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, \mathbf{K}_n)), \\ G(z_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, H(z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, \mathbf{K}_n)), \\ G(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, H(w_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, \mathbf{K}_n)), \\ G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \mathbf{K}_n)), G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, H(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n, \mathbf{K}_n)), \\ G(z_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, H(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n, \mathbf{K}_n)), G(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, H(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n, \mathbf{K}_n)) \end{array} \right\} \\ \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \max \left\{ G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}), G(z_n, z_n, z_{n+1}), G(w_n, w_n, w_{n+1}) \right\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}), \\ G(z_n, z_n, z_{n+1}), G(w_n, w_n, w_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}), \\ G(z_n, z_n, z_{n+1}), G(w_n, w_n, w_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(z_n, z_n, z_{n+1}) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(w_n, w_n, w_{n+1}) = 0$. Now, we shall show that $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in the G -metric space (\mathcal{P}, G) . Assume the contrary, that is, one of the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and monotone increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ such that $n_k > m_k$,

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{l} G(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon, \quad G(y_{m_k}, y_{m_k}, y_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon \\ G(z_{m_k}, z_{m_k}, z_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon, \quad G(w_{m_k}, w_{m_k}, w_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon. \end{array}$$

and

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{l} G(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}, x_{n_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon, \quad G(y_{m_k}, y_{m_k}, y_{n_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon \\ G(z_{m_k}, z_{m_k}, z_{n_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon, \quad G(w_{m_k}, w_{m_k}, w_{n_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon. \end{array}$$

By use of the rectangle inequality and (14), (15), we have

$$\varepsilon \leq G(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \leq G(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}, x_{m_{k+1}}) + G(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{n_k})$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(H(x_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}}), H(x_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}}), H(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}, z_{n_k}, w_{n_k}, \mathbf{K}_{n_k})) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}), G(y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{n_k}), \\ G(z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{n_k}), G(w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{n_k}), \\ G(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, H(x_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}})), \\ G(y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, H(y_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}})), \\ G(z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, H(z_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}})), \\ G(w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, H(w_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}})), \\ G(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k}, H(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}, z_{n_k}, w_{n_k}, \mathbf{K}_{n_k})), \\ G(y_{n_k}, y_{n_k}, H(y_{n_k}, z_{n_k}, w_{n_k}, x_{n_k}, \mathbf{K}_{n_k})), \\ G(z_{n_k}, z_{n_k}, H(z_{n_k}, w_{n_k}, x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}, \mathbf{K}_{n_k})), \\ G(w_{n_k}, w_{n_k}, H(w_{n_k}, x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}, z_{n_k}, \mathbf{K}_{n_k})), \\ G(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k}, H(x_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}})), \\ G(y_{n_k}, y_{n_k}, H(y_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}})), \\ G(z_{n_k}, z_{n_k}, H(z_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}})), \\ G(w_{n_k}, w_{n_k}, H(w_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, \mathbf{K}_{m_{k+1}})) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \max \left\{ G(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}), G(y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{n_k}), G(z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{n_k}), G(w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{n_k}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}), G(y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{n_k}), \\ G(z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{n_k}), G(w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{n_k}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}), G(y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{n_k}), \\ G(z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{n_k}), G(w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{n_k}) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}) = 0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{n_k}) = 0$,

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{m_{k+1}}, z_{n_k}) = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{m_{k+1}}, w_{n_k}) = 0$. Therefore, $\varepsilon = 0$. which is

a contradiction. Hence $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in the G -metric space

(\mathcal{P}, G) and by the completeness of (\mathcal{P}, G) , there exist $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{P}$ with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = a \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n+1} = b \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n+1} = c \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{n+1} = d.$$

Since

$$\eta(\theta)G(a, a, H(a, b, c, d, \kappa)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(a, a, x_n), G(b, b, y_n), G(c, c, z_n), G(d, d, w_n), \\ G(a, a, H(a, b, c, d, \kappa)), G(b, b, H(b, c, d, a, \kappa)), \\ G(c, c, H(c, d, a, b, \kappa)), G(d, d, H(d, a, b, c, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(a, a, H(a, b, c, d, \kappa)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa), H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa), H(a, b, c, d, \kappa)) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_n, x_n, a), G(y_n, y_n, b), G(z_n, z_n, c), G(w_n, w_n, d), \\ G(a, a, H(a, b, c, d, \kappa)), G(b, b, H(b, c, d, a, \kappa)), \\ G(c, c, H(c, d, a, b, \kappa)), G(d, d, H(d, a, b, c, \kappa)) \\ G(x_n, x_n, H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa)), G(y_n, y_n, H(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n, \kappa)), \\ G(z_n, z_n, H(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n, \kappa)), G(w_n, w_n, H(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n, \kappa)) \\ G(a, a, H(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, \kappa)), G(b, b, H(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n, \kappa)), \\ G(c, c, H(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n, \kappa)), G(d, d, H(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(a, a, H(a, b, c, d, \kappa)), G(b, b, H(b, c, d, a, \kappa)), \\ G(c, c, H(c, d, a, b, \kappa)), G(d, d, H(d, a, b, c, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(a, a, H(a, b, c, d, \kappa)), G(b, b, H(b, c, d, a, \kappa)), \\ G(c, c, H(c, d, a, b, \kappa)), G(d, d, H(d, a, b, c, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(a, a, H(a, b, c, d, \kappa)), \\ G(b, b, H(b, c, d, a, \kappa)), \\ G(c, c, H(c, d, a, b, \kappa)), \\ G(d, d, H(d, a, b, c, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\}.$$

It follows that $H(a, b, c, d, \kappa) = a, H(b, c, d, a, \kappa) = b, H(c, d, a, b, \kappa) = c$ and $H(d, a, b, c, \kappa) = d$. Thus $\kappa \in X$. Hence X is closed in $[0, 1]$. Let $\kappa_0 \in X$, then there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0 \in U$ with $x_0 = H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa_0), y_0 = H(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0, \kappa_0), z_0 = H(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0, \kappa_0)$ and $w_0 = H(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0, \kappa_0)$. Since U is open, then there exist $r > 0$ such that $B_G(x_0, x_0, r) \subseteq U$. Choose $\kappa \in (\kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_0 + \varepsilon)$ such that

$|\kappa - \kappa_0| \leq \frac{1}{M^n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, then for $x \in \overline{B_G(x_0, x_0, r)} = \{x \in X / G(x, x, x_0) \leq r + G(x_0, x_0, x_0)\}$. Also

$$\eta(\theta)G(x, x, H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, x_0), G(y, y, y_0), G(z, z, z_0), G(w, w, w_0), \\ G(x, x, H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa)), G(y, y, H(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0, \kappa)), \\ G(z, z, H(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0, \kappa)), G(w, w, H(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), x_0) \\ &= G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa_0)) \\ &\leq G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0)) \\ &\quad + G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0), H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa_0)) \\ &\leq M|\kappa - \kappa_0| + G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0), H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa_0)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M^{n-1}} + G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0), H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), x_0) \\ &\leq G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa_0), H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa_0)). \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, x_0), G(y, y, y_0), G(z, z, z_0), G(w, w, w_0), \\ G(x, x, H(x, y, z, w, \kappa)), G(y, y, H(y, z, w, x, \kappa)), \\ G(z, z, H(z, w, x, y, \kappa)), G(w, w, H(w, x, y, z, \kappa)) \\ G(x_0, x_0, H(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0, \kappa)), G(y_0, y_0, H(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0, \kappa)), \\ G(z_0, z_0, H(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0, \kappa)), G(w_0, w_0, H(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0, \kappa)) \\ G(x_0, x_0, H(x, y, z, w, \kappa)), G(y_0, y_0, H(y, z, w, x, \kappa)), \\ G(z_0, z_0, H(z, w, x, y, \kappa)), G(w_0, w_0, H(w, x, y, z, \kappa)) \end{array} \right\}. \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ G(x, x, x_0), G(y, y, y_0), G(z, z, z_0), G(w, w, w_0), \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), H(x, y, z, w, \kappa), x_0) \\ G(H(y, z, w, x, \kappa), H(y, z, w, x, \kappa), y_0) \\ G(H(z, w, x, y, \kappa), H(z, w, x, y, \kappa), z_0) \\ G(H(w, x, y, z, \kappa), H(w, x, y, z, \kappa), w_0) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, x, x_0), G(y, y, y_0), \\ G(z, z, z_0), G(w, w, w_0) \end{array} \right\}. \\ & \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r + G(x_0, x_0, x_0), r + G(y_0, y_0, y_0), \\ r + G(z_0, z_0, z_0), r + G(w_0, w_0, w_0) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for each fixed $\kappa \in (\kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_0 + \varepsilon)$, $H(\cdot, \kappa) : \overline{B_G(x_0, x_0, r)} \rightarrow \overline{B_G(x_0, x_0, r)}$,
 $H(\cdot, \kappa) : \overline{B_G(y_0, y_0, r)} \rightarrow \overline{B_G(y_0, y_0, r)}$, $H(\cdot, \kappa) : \overline{B_G(z_0, z_0, r)} \rightarrow \overline{B_G(z_0, z_0, r)}$
and $H(\cdot, \kappa) : \overline{B_G(w_0, w_0, r)} \rightarrow \overline{B_G(w_0, w_0, r)}$. Then all conditions of Theorem (3.2.1) are satisfied. Thus we conclude that $H(\cdot, \kappa)$ has a quadruple fixed point in $\overline{U^4}$. But this must be in U^4 . Since (τ_0) holds. Thus, $\kappa \in X$ for any $\kappa \in (\kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_0 + \varepsilon)$. Hence $(\kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_0 + \varepsilon) \subseteq X$. Clearly X is open in $[0, 1]$. For the reverse implication, we use the same strategy.

4. CONCLUSION

We ensured the existence and uniqueness of a common Quadruple fixed point for two mappings in the class of G -metric spaces via Suzuki-type contractions. Two illustrated applications have been provided.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very thanks to the reviewers and editors for valuable comments, remarks and suggestions for improving the content of the paper.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (5) (2008), 1861-1869.

- [2] I.Altun , Ali Erduran, A Suzuki type fixed point theorem, Int. Math. Math. Sci, 2011 (2011), Article ID 736063.
- [3] M.Aggarwal, Renu Chugh, Raj Kamal, Suzuki type fixed point results in G -metric spaces and Applications, Int. J. Computer Appl. 47 (12) (2012), 14-17.
- [4] N.Hussain, D.Doric, Z.Kadelburg , S.Radenovic, Suzuki type fixed point results in metric type spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012), 126.
- [5] K.P.R.Rao, K.R.K.Rao, V.C.C.Raju, A Suzuki type unique common coupled fixed point theorem in metric spaces, Int. J. Innovat. Res. Sci. Eng. Technol. 2 (10) (2013), 5187-5192.
- [6] T.Suzuki, A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl. 71 (2009), 5313-5317.
- [7] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7 (2) (2006), 289–297.
- [8] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G -metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2009 (2009), 917175.
- [9] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G -metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008 (2008), 189870.
- [10] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi, M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in G -metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2009 (2009), 283028.
- [11] Z. Mustafa, M. Khandaqji, W. Shatanawi, Fixed point results on complete G -metric spaces, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar. 48 (3) (2011), 304–319.
- [12] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, W. Shatanawi and Z. Mustafa, Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G -metric spaces, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. 41 (2) (2012), 291 – 306.
- [13] K. Pandu Ranga Rao, K. Rama Koteswara Rao, Common tripled fixed point theorem for jungck type mappings in G -metric spaces, Gazi Univ. J. Sci. 26 (3) (2013), 363-368.
- [14] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, P. Vetro, Common fixed point results for three maps in G -metric spaces, Filomat. 25 (2011), 1–17.
- [15] E. Karapinar, Quartet fixed point for nonlinear contractions, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5472>, 2011.
- [16] E.Karapinar, N.V.Luong, Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions, Comput. Math. Appl. 64 (6) (2012), 1839-1848.
- [17] Z. Mustafa, H.Aydi, E.Karapinar, Mixed g -monotone property and quadruple fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012), 71.
- [18] E.Karapinar, Quadruple fixed point theorems for weak ϕ -contractions,ISRN Math. Anal. 2011 (2011), 989423.

- [19] B.Khomdram, Y.Rohen, Quadruple common fixed points theorems in G_b -metric spaces, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 109 (2) (2016), 279-293.
- [20] A.A.Aserkar, M.P.Gandhi, Quadruple fixed points theorems for four mappings, Gen. Math. Notes, 25 (2) (2014), 95-109.