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#### Abstract

In this manuscript we obtain coincidence points and common fixed points in cone Banach spaces. Our result generalizes and extends the result of Thabet Ableljwal, Erdal Karapinar and KenanTas [3].
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## 1. Introduction:

In 2007, Huang and Zhang [5] introduced the concept of cone metric space, replacing the set of real numbers by Banach space ordered by a cone and proved some fixed point theorems for function satisfying contractive conditions in these spaces. In this setting, Bogdan Rzepecki [11] generalized the fixed point theorems of Maia type [9] and Shy-Der Lin [8] considered some results of Khan and Imdad [7] Huang and Zhang [5] also discussed some properties of convergence of sequences and proved the fixed point theorems of contractive mapping for cone metric spaces: Any mapping T of a complete cone metric space X into itself that satisfies, for some $0 \leq k<1$, the inequality

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k d(x, y)
$$
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for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$, has a unique fixed point.

Recently, Thabet Abdeljawad et. al. [3] proved some fixed point theorems for self maps satisfying some contraction principles on a cone Banach space. More precisely they proved that for a closed and convex subset C of a cone Banach space with the norm $\left\|\|_{\mathrm{p}}\right.$, and letting $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{X} \mathrm{xX} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ with $\left.\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\right\| \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y} \|_{\mathrm{p}}$, if there exist $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}$ and T : $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ satisfies the conditions $0 \leq \frac{\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}}{2(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})}<1$ and $\quad \mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty})+\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}$, $T y)+c d(y, T x) \leq s d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in C$, then $T$ has at least one fixed point.

Here we will give some generalization of this theorem

## 2. Preliminaries:

Let $E$ be a real Banach space. A subset $P$ of $E$ is said to be a cone if and only if
i. $\quad \mathrm{P}$ is closed, nonempty and $\mathrm{P} \neq\{0\}$.
ii. $\quad a x+b y \in P$ for all $x, y \in P$ and non-negative real numbers $a, b$.
iii. $\quad \mathrm{P} \cap(-\mathrm{P})=\{0\}$.

For a given cone $\mathrm{P} \subseteq \mathrm{E}$, me can define a partial ordering $\leq$ with respect to P by $\mathrm{x} \leq$ $y$ if and only if $y-x \in P . x<y$ will stand for $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y-$ $x \in \operatorname{int} P$, where int $P$ denotes the interior of $P$.

The cone P is called normal if there is a number $\mathrm{M}>0$ such that for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in$ E,
$0 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{y}$ implies $\|\mathrm{x}\| \leq \mathrm{M}\|\mathrm{y}\|$.
The least positive number satisfying the above is called the normal constant of P .
The cone P is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent. That is, if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, is a sequence such that $x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \ldots \ldots \leq y$ for some $y \in E$, then there is $x \in E$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Equivalently the cone $P$ is regular if and only if every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent.

Lemma $2.1[4,10]$ (i) Every regular cone is normal.
(ii) For each $\mathrm{k}>1$, there is a normal cone with normal constant $K>k$

Definition 2.2 [5] Let X be a nonempty set. Then any map d: $\mathrm{X} x \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is said to be cone metric on $X$ if for all $x, y, z \in X, d$ satisfies.
i. $\quad d(x, y) \geq 0$ and $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$.
ii. $\quad d(x, y)=d(y, x)$
iii. $\quad \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \leq \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})$.

Pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is called as cone metric space (CMS).
We denote set of all reals by $R$
Example 2.3 Let $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{P}=\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \in \mathrm{E}: \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \geq 0\}$ and $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{R}$.
Define $d: X x X \rightarrow E$ by $d(x, y)=(\alpha|x-y|, \beta|x-y|)$,
where $\alpha, \beta$ are positive constants. Then ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is a CMS.
It is quite natural to consider cone normed spaces (CNS).

Defintion2.4 $[1,16]$ Let X be a linear space over R and $\|.\|_{\mathrm{p}}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be a map which satisfies
i. $\quad\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathrm{p}}>0$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$,
ii. $\quad\|x\|_{p}=0$ if and only if $x=0$,
iii. $\quad\|x+y\|_{p} \leq\|x\|_{p}+\|y\|_{p}$ for all $x, y \in X$,
iv. $\quad\|k x\|_{p}=|k|\|x\|_{p}$ for all $k \in R$,

Then $\|.\|_{\mathrm{p}}$ is called cone norm on X , and pair $\left(\mathrm{X},\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ is called cone normed space (CNS).

Note that each CNS is CMS. Indeed, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\|_{\mathrm{p}}$.

Definition 2.5 Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{\mathrm{n} \geq 1}$ be a sequence in CNS $\left(X,\|.\| \|_{p}\right)$. Then
i. It is said to be a convergent sequence if for every $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{E}$ with $\mathrm{c} \geq 0$ there is a natural number N such that for all $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{N},\left\|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}\right\|_{\mathrm{p}} \leq \mathrm{c}$ for some fixed $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$.
ii. It is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{E}$ with $\mathrm{c} \geq 0$ there is a natural number N such that for all $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} \geq \mathrm{N},\left\|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\|_{\mathrm{p}} \leq \mathrm{c}$.
iii. $\operatorname{CNS}\left(\mathrm{X},\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Lemma 2.6 [6] Let ( $\mathrm{X},\|.\| \|_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) be a CNS and P be a normal cone with normal constant $K$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$, then
i. $\quad\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ if and only if $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$
ii. $\quad\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.
iii. $\quad\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ and sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converges to $y$, then $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|_{p} \rightarrow \| x$ $y \|_{p}$.

## Lemma $2.7[14,15,6] \quad$ Let $\left(X,\|.\| \|_{p}\right)$ be a CNS over a cone $P$ in $E$. Then

i. $\quad \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{P})+\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{P}) \subseteq \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{P})$ and $\lambda \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{P}) \subseteq \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{P}), \lambda>0$.
ii. If $\mathrm{c} \gg 0$ then there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\|\mathrm{b}\|<\delta$ implies $\mathrm{b} \ll \mathrm{c}$.
iii. For any given $\mathrm{c} \gg 0$ and $\mathrm{c}_{0} \gg 0$ there exists a natural number $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}$ such that $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} \ll \mathrm{c}$.
iv. If $a_{n}, b_{n}$ are sequences in $E$ such that $a_{n} \rightarrow a, b_{n} \rightarrow b$ and $a_{n} \leq b_{n}$, for all $n$, then a $\leq \mathrm{b}$.

Definition2.8 [4] Cone $P$ is called minihedral cone if $\sup \{x, y\}$ exists for all $x, y \in E$ and strongly minihedral if every subset of E which is bounded from above has a supremum.

Lemma 2.9 [2] Every strongly minihedral normal cone is regular
For $T: X \rightarrow X$, the set of fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $F(T)=\{z \in X: T z=z\}$
Definition 2.10 [13] Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of a cone Banach space with the norm $\quad\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, 0)$ and $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ a map. Then T is called non expansive if

$$
\|\mathrm{Tx}-\mathrm{Tz}\|_{\mathrm{p}} \leq\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{z}\|_{\mathrm{p}} \text { for all } \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{C}
$$

and T is called quasi-nonexpansive if

$$
\|T \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{z}\|_{\mathrm{p}} \leq\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{z}\|_{\mathrm{p}} \text { for all } \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~T})
$$

## 3. Main Results :

## Theorem 3.1

Let C be a closed convex subset of a cone Banach space X with norm $\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathrm{p}}$. Suppose $\mathrm{E}=(\mathrm{E}\|\cdot\|)$ is a real Banach space and let $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be a mapping such that $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\|_{\mathrm{p}}$.

If there exist $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}$ and $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}+\mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}}{2 \mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{c}}<1, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} \neq 0, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}>0 \text { and } \mathrm{e} \geq 0  \tag{3.1}\\
& \mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty})+\mathrm{b}\{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Ty})\}+\mathrm{c}\{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Ty})\} \leq \mathrm{ed}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $x, y \in C$. Then $T$ has at least one fixed point.

## Proof :

Pick $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{o}} \in \mathrm{C}$ and define a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\frac{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{T} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2}, \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}=2\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2}\right)\right)=2\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$
which yields that
$d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|_{p}=2\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{p}=2 d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$
for $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots$ Analogously, for $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3 \ldots$, one can get
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)=2 \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, and
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$,
and by the triangle inequality
$d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)-d\left(x_{n}, \operatorname{Tx}_{n-1}\right) \leq d\left(\operatorname{Tx}_{n-1}, \operatorname{Tx}_{n}\right)$.

We put $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in inequality (3.2),
$\operatorname{ad}\left(\operatorname{Tx}_{n-1}, \operatorname{Tx}_{n}\right)+b\left[d\left(x_{n-1}, \operatorname{Tx}_{n-1}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, \operatorname{Tx}_{n}\right)\right]+c\left[d\left(x_{n}, \operatorname{Tx}_{n-1}\right)+d\left(x_{n-1}, \operatorname{Tx}_{n}\right)\right] \leq e$ $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.
for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}$ that satisfy (3.1). Taking into account (3.5) and (3.6) one can observe.
$\operatorname{ad}\left(\operatorname{Tx}_{n-1}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{b}\left[2 \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+2 \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]+\mathrm{c}\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right] \leq \mathrm{e}$ $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.
which is equivalent to
$\operatorname{ad}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \mathrm{ed}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-2 \mathrm{~b}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]-\mathrm{c}\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$ ].

By using (3.7), the statement (3.10) turns into
$\mathrm{a}\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right] \leq \mathrm{e} \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-2 \mathrm{~b}\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]-$
$\mathrm{c}\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right]$.

Regarding (3.5) and (3.6), in (3.11),
$2 \operatorname{ad}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)-\operatorname{ad}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \mathrm{ed}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-2 \mathrm{bd}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-2 \mathrm{bd}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)-\mathrm{c}$ $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-\mathrm{cd}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$.
$\Rightarrow(2 a+2 b+c) d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq(e+a-2 b-c) d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$

Since $a+b+c \neq 0$, we get $d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{e+a-2 b-c}{2 a+2 b+c} d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$.
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \leq \mathrm{Kd}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{K}=\frac{e+a-2 b-c}{2 a+2 b+c}$
Thus the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence that converges to some element of $C$, say $z$. We claim that $z$ is a fixed point of $T$. When we substitute $x=z$ and $y=x_{n}$ in (3.2).

$$
\mathrm{ad}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{b}\left\{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tz})+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}+\mathrm{c}\left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \leq \mathrm{ed}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

Due to the equation (3.3) and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{z}$, we have $\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{z})+\mathrm{bd}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tz})+\mathrm{cd}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tz}) \leq 0 \text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \\
& \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tz}) \leq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{z} \text { as a }+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.2 Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) be a complete metric space and S , T be self maps on X . A point $z \in X$ is said to be a coincidence point of $S$, $T$ if $S z=T z$ and it is called common fixed point of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ if $\quad \mathrm{Sz}=\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{z}$.

More over a pair (S,T) of self maps is called weakly compatible on X if they commute at their coincidence points i.e. $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Sz}=\mathrm{Tz}$ implies $\mathrm{STz}=\mathrm{TSz}$

Theorem 3.3 Let C be a closed convex subset of a cone Banach space X with norm $\left\|\|_{\mathrm{p}}\right.$ and let $\mathrm{d}: X \times X \rightarrow E$ with $\left.d(x, y)=\right\| x-y \|_{p}$. If $T$ and $S$ are self maps on $C$ that satisfy the conditions.
(3.31) $T(C) \subseteq S(C)$
(3.32) $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{C})$ is a complete subspace
(3.33) a d(Tx, Ty) $+\mathrm{b}\{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Ty})\}+\mathrm{c}\{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Ty})\} \leq$ r d(Sx, Sy).

$$
\text { for } a+b+c \neq 0,0 \leq r<a+2 b, r<b, a \neq r \text {. }
$$

hold for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{C}$, then S and T have a common coincidence point. Moreover if S and T are weakly compatible, then they have a unique common fixed point in C .

Proof :Pick $x_{0} \in C$. By (3.31) we can find a point in C, say $x_{1}$, such that $T\left(x_{0}\right)=S x_{1}$. Since $S, T$ are self maps, there exists $y_{0} \in C$ such that $y_{0}=T x_{0}=S x_{1}$.

Inductively we can define a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ such that
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{Tx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots$
We put $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ in inequality (3.33), it implies that
$a d\left(T x_{n}, T x_{n+1}\right)+b\left\{d\left(S x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)+d\left(S x_{n+1}, T x_{n+1}\right)\right\}+c\left\{d\left(S x_{n+1}, T x_{n}\right)+d\left(S x_{n}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \leq \mathrm{rd}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$
$\Rightarrow a d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right)+b\left\{d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)+d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right)\right\}+c\left\{d\left(y_{n}, y_{n}\right)+d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}\right)\right\} \leq r$ $d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)$

By using triangle inequality and suitable choices of a,b,c, it implies,
$(a+b) d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right)+b d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)+c d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)+c d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right) \leq r d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)$
$\Rightarrow d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{r-b-c}{a+b+c} d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)=k d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)$
where $\mathrm{k}=\frac{r-b-c}{a+b+c}$. Similarly $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \mathrm{kd}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-2}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$
Since $0 \leq \mathrm{r}<\mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{r}<\mathrm{b}$, then $0 \leq \mathrm{k}<1$.
By routine calculations,
(3.35) $d\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right) \leq k^{n} d\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)$.

We claim that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $n>m$,
Then by (3.35) and the triangle inequality.

$$
d\left(y_{n}, y_{m}\right) \leq d\left(y_{n}, y_{n-1}\right)+d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}\right)+\ldots \ldots . .+d\left(y_{m+1}, y_{m}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq k^{n-1} d\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)+k^{n-2} d\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)+\ldots . . .+k^{m} d\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) . \\
& \leq k^{m} d\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\overline{(1-k)}$

Therefore $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $S(C)$ is complete, then $\left\{y_{n}=S x_{n+1}\right.$ $\left.=T x_{n}\right\}$ converges to some point in $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{C})$, say z

Now by replacing x with p and y with $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ in (3.33), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\operatorname{ad}\left(T p, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{b}\{\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Tp})+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\}+\mathrm{c}\left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sx} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{Tp}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{rd}\left(\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) . \\
\Rightarrow & \operatorname{ad}\left(\mathrm{Tp}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{b}\left\{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tp})+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\}+\mathrm{c}\left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tp}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \leq \mathrm{rd}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \text { As } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty, \text { it becomes }
\end{aligned}
$$

$a d(T p, z)+b d(z, T p)+c d(z, T p) \leq 0$.

Since $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{Tp}=\mathrm{z}$. Hence $\mathrm{Tp}=\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{Sp}$.
i.e. p is a coincidence point of S and T .

If S and T are weakly compatible, then they commute at a coincidence point. Therefore, $\mathrm{Tp}=\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{Sp}==>\mathrm{STp}=\mathrm{TSp}$ for some $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{C}$, which implies $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{Sz}$. We claim that z is a common fixed point of S and T .

Substitute $x=p$ and $y=T p=z$ in (3.33), to give
$\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{Tp}, \mathrm{TTp})+\mathrm{b}\{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Tp})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{STp}, \mathrm{TTp})\}+\mathrm{c}\{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{STp}, \mathrm{Tp})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{TTp})\} \leq$ r d(Sp, STp).
which is equivalent to
$\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tz})+\mathrm{b}\{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sz}, \mathrm{Tz})\}+\mathrm{c}\{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sz}, \mathrm{z})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tz})\} \leq \mathrm{rd}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Sz})$.
$\Rightarrow(\mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Tz}) \leq 0$.
Since $a+2 c-r \neq 0$, then $z=T z=S z$.

To prove uniqueness, suppose the contrary, that w is another common fixed point of $S$ and T. Put $x$ by $z$ and $y$ by $w$ in the inequality (3.33), one can get.

$$
\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{Tw})+\mathrm{b}\{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Sz}, \mathrm{Tz})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{Tw})\}+\mathrm{c}\{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{Tz})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sz}, \mathrm{Tw})\} \leq \mathrm{rd}(\mathrm{Sz},
$$ Sw).

$\Rightarrow a \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{w})+2 \mathrm{~cd}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{w}) \leq \mathrm{rd}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{w})$
$\Leftrightarrow(a+2 c-r) d(z, w) \leq 0$.
which is a contradiction since $\mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{r} \neq 0$. Hence the common fixed point of $S$ and $T$ is unique.
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