



Available online at <http://scik.org>

J. Math. Comput. Sci. 10 (2020), No. 5, 1456-1462

<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/4620>

ISSN: 1927-5307

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MULTIPLICATIVE CONTRACTION MAPPINGS ON MULTIPLICATIVE METRIC SPACE

MONIKA*, NAWNEET HOODA

Department of Mathematics, Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology, Murthal, Sonapat
131039, Haryana, India

Copyright © 2020 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to prove some fixed point theorems in multiplicative metric space using Kannan contraction mapping and other contraction mappings.

Keywords: multiplicative metric space; fixed points; subsequently convergent.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2008, Bashirov et.al [3] introduced a new kind of space, called multiplicative metric space. In this space the usual triangular inequality was replaced by a multiplicative triangular inequality as follows.

Definition 1.1.[3] Let X be a non empty set. A mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be multiplicative metric on X if it satisfies the following conditions –

- (1) $d(x, y) \geq 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z).d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (multiplicative triangle Inequality)

Then the pair (X, d) is called multiplicative metric space.

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: mverma192@gmail.com

Received April 13, 2020

In 1968, Kannan [7] established fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying

$$d(Sx, Sy) \leq \lambda [d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ where } \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$$

After that, in 2008, Azam and Arshad [2] extended the Kannan's theorem for generalised metric spaces introduced by Branciari in 2000 [4].

In 2009, S. Moradi [8] extended Kannan's theorem [7] and then extended the theorem due to Azam and Arshad [2] on complete metric spaces and on generalised metric spaces depended on another function.

In this paper we prove 'Extended Kannan theorem' [7] and some other theorems in multiplicative metric space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Example 2.1.[9] Let \mathbb{R}^n_+ be the collection of all n-tuples of positive real numbers.

Let $d: \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$d(x, y) = \left(\left| \frac{x_1}{y_1} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{x_2}{y_2} \right|, \dots \dots \dots \left| \frac{x_n}{y_n} \right| \right)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $|\cdot|: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{a} & \text{if } a < 1 \end{cases}, \text{ here all the conditions of multiplicative metric are satisfied. Therefore}$$

(\mathbb{R}^n_+, d) is a multiplicative metric space.

One can refer to [5] and [9] for detailed multiplicative metric topology.

Definition 2.2. ([9]) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in multiplicative metric space (X, d) is said to be multiplicatively convergent to $x \in X$ if and only if $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.3. ([9]) Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, d) is called multiplicative Cauchy sequence if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 1$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.4. ([9]) Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space then it is said to be complete if every multiplicative Cauchy sequence is multiplicatively convergent.

Theorem 2.5.([7]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a Kannan contraction mapping that is $d(Tx, Ty) \leq k [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)]$ for all $x, y \in X$ where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.6. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a chatterjea-contraction mapping that is $d(Tx, Ty) \leq k [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$ for all $x, y \in X$ where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.7. Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space. A self mapping f is said to be multiplicative Kannan contraction if

$$d(fx, fy) \leq (d(fx, x) \cdot d(fy, y))^\lambda \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ where } \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Theorem 2.8. Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space. A self mapping f is said to be multiplicative chatterjea contraction if

$$d(fx, fy) \leq (d(fx, y) \cdot d(fy, x))^\lambda \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ where } \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

After that S.Moradi proved 'Extended Kannan's Theorem' on complete metric space as follows:

Theorem 2.9. (Extended Kannan's theorem) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T is continuous one to one and subsequentially convergent.

If $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and

$$d(TSx, TSy) \leq \lambda [d(Tx, TSx) + d(Ty, TSy)], \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

then S has a unique fixed point. Also if T is sequentially convergent then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to this fixed point.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove extended Kannan theorem in multiplicative metric space as follows –

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space and $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T is continuous, one to one and subsequentially convergent. If $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $d(TSx, TSy) \leq [d(Tx, TSx) \cdot d(Ty, TSy)]^\lambda$ for all $x, y \in X$ then S has a unique fixed point. Also if T is sequentially convergent then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to this fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be any arbitrary point in X . We define $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n+1} = Sx_n$

Now we have,

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = d(TSx_{n-1}, TSx_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq [d(Tx_{n-1}, TSx_{n-1}) \cdot d(Tx_n, TSx_n)]^\lambda \\
&\leq d^\lambda(Tx_{n-1}, TSx_{n-1}) \cdot d^\lambda(Tx_n, TSx_n) \\
&= d^\lambda(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \cdot d^\lambda(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

implies

$$d^{1-\lambda}(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \leq d^\lambda(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \leq (d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n))^{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}}$$

by same argument-

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \leq (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^n} \quad (3.1)$$

Now by (3.1), for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Tx_m, Tx_n) &= d(Tx_m, Tx_{m-1}) d(Tx_{m-1}, Tx_{m-2}) \dots d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \\
&\leq (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-1}} \cdot (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-2}} \dots (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^n} \\
&\leq (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-2} + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^n} \\
&\leq (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^n + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{n+1} + \dots} \\
&= (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^n \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1-2\lambda}\right)} \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2), we have $d(Tx_m, Tx_n) \rightarrow 1$.

So $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and since X is a complete multiplicative metric space, there exist $v \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = v \quad (3.3)$$

Since T is subsequentially convergent so $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

So there exist $u \in X$ and $\{x_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)} = u$.

using continuity of T and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n(k)} = Tu$

by (3.3) we conclude that $Tu = v$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{so, } d(Tu, TSu) &\leq d(TSu, Tx_{n(k)}) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)+1}) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu) \\
&\leq d(TSu, TSx_{n(k)-1}) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)+1}) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \{d(Tu, TSu) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)-1}, TSx_{n(k)-1})\}^\lambda \cdot (d(Tx_1, Tx_0))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{n(k)}} \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu).$$

$$\text{Implies, } ((d(Tu, TSu))^{1-\lambda} \leq \{d(Tx_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)})\}^\lambda \cdot (d(Tx_1, Tx_0))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{n(k)}} \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu).$$

$$d(Tu, TSu) \leq \{d(Tx_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)})\}^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)} \cdot (d(Tx_1, Tx_0))^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{n(k)}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu)^{\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right)}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, $d(Tu, TSu) \rightarrow 1$, since T is one to one, we get $Su = u$.

So, S has a fixed point. Since (3.1) holds and T is one to one, S has a unique fixed point.

Now if T is sequentially convergent, then by replacing n with $\{n(k)\}$, we conclude that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$ and this shows that $\{x_n\}$ converges to the fixed point of S .

Remark 3.2. By taking $Tx = x$ in theorem (3.1), we can conclude the Kannan's theorem for multiplicative space.

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space and $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T is continuous, one to one and subsequentially convergent. If $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $d(TSx, TSy) \leq [d(Tx, TSy) \cdot d(Ty, TSx)]^\lambda$ for all $x, y \in X$ then S has a unique fixed point. Also if T is sequentially convergent then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to this fixed point.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space and $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T is continuous one to one and subsequentially convergent and $d(TSx, TSy) \leq d(Tx, TSx)^p \cdot d(Ty, TSy)^q \cdot d(Tx, Ty)^r$,

where $p, q, r \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ with $p + q + r < 1$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n = Sx_{n-1} = S^n x_0$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) &= d(TSx_n, TSx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq d(Tx_n, TSx_n)^p \cdot d(Tx_{n-1}, TSx_{n-1})^q \cdot d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})^r \end{aligned}$$

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})^{1-p} \leq d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)^{q+r}$$

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \leq d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)^{\frac{q+r}{1-p}}, \text{ where } \lambda = \frac{q+r}{1-p} \in [(0,1)]$$

$$\text{Thus } d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1})^\lambda \leq \dots \leq d(Tx_1, Tx_0)^{\lambda^n}$$

Now for every $m, n \in N$ such that $m > n$,

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_m, Tx_n) &= d(Tx_m, Tx_{m-1}) \cdot d(Tx_{m-1}, Tx_{m-2}) \cdots d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq d(Tx_1, Tx_0)^{\lambda^{m-1}} \cdot d(Tx_1, Tx_0)^{\lambda^{m-2}} \cdots d(Tx_1, Tx_0)^{\lambda^n} \\ &\leq (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{(\lambda)^{m-1} + (\lambda)^{m-2} + \cdots + (\lambda)^n} \\ &\leq (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{(\lambda)^n + (\lambda)^{n+1} + \cdots} \\ &= (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\lambda^n [1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \cdots]} \\ &= (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda}} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $d(Tx_m, Tx_n) \rightarrow 1$. So $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and since X is a complete metric space, there exists $v \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = v$$

Since T is subsequentially convergent, so $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. So there exist ,

$$u \in X \text{ and } \{x_{n(k)}\} \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n(k)} = Tu$$

By (3.3) we conclude that $Tu = v$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } d(Tu, TSu) &\leq d(TSu, Tx_{n(k)}) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)+1}) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu). \\ &\leq d(TSu, TSx_{n(k)-1}) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)+1}) \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu). \\ &\leq d(Tu, TSu)^p \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)-1}, TSx_{n(k)-1})^q \cdot d(Tu, TSx_{n(k)-1})^r \cdot (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\lambda^{n(k)}} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$d(Tu, TSu)^{1-p} \leq d(Tx_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)})^q \cdot d(Tu, Tx_{n(k)})^r \cdot (d(Tx_0, Tx_1))^{\lambda^{n(k)}} \cdot d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, $d(Tu, TSu) \rightarrow 1$, since T is one to one we get $Su = u$.

So, S has a fixed point.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, B. Ali, Y.I. Suleiman, Common Fixed Points of Locally Contractive Mappings in Multiplicative Metric Spaces with Application, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2015(2015), Article ID 218683.
- [2] A. Azam, M. Arshad, KANNAN FIXED POINT THEOREM ON GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 1 (2008), 45–48.
- [3] A.E. Bashirov, E.M. Kurpinar, A. Özyapıcı, Multiplicative calculus and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008), 36–48.
- [4] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccippoli type on a class of generalised metric spaces, *Publ. Math.* 57 (2000), 31-37.
- [5] A. Beiranvand, S. Moradi, M. Omid and H. Pazandeh, Two Fixed-Point Theorem for Special Mapping. *arXiv:0903.1504 [math.FA]*, 2009.
- [6] X. He, M. Song, D. Chen, Common fixed points for weak commutative mappings on a multiplicative metric space. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), 48.
- [7] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 60(1968), 71-76.
- [8] S. Moradi, Kannan fixed point theorem on complete metric spaces and on generalised metric spaces depended on another function, *arXiv:0903.1577v1 [math.FA]*, 2009.
- [9] M. Ozavsar, A.C. Cevikel, Fixed point of multiplicative contraction mappings on multiplicative metric spaces, *arXiv:1205.5131v1 [math.GM]*, 2012.