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#### Abstract

This article presents the recursive construction of $s$-PD sets, a special subset of the permutation automorphism group of a code, which enables the correction of $s$ errors of binary linear Hadamard codes over the field $F_{4}$. We discuss the rank and kernel of these Hadamard codes. We develop new MAGMA functions to generate Hadamard codes over the field $F_{4}$. We also give MAGMA functions to find the minimum distance and generator matrix of a Hadamard code over $F_{4}$.
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## 1. InTRODUCTION

A Hadamard matrix is an $n$ - square matrix with entries from $\{1,-1\}$, for which the inner product of any pair of distinct rows is 0 . Among the various types of matrices having distinct properties, Hadamard matrices with orthogonal properties is widely studied because of its practical use in the fields of Coding Theory, Signal Processing and Cryptography. The first example of Hadamard matrix was published by James Joseph Sylvester in Thoughts on inverse orthogonal matrices, simultaneous sign successions and tesselated pavements in two or more

[^0]colours, with applications to Newton's rule, ornamental tile work and the theory of numbers, Philosophical Magazine 34 (1867) (pp. 461-475). Jacques Hadamard further studied this matrix in Resolution d'une question relative aux determinants, Bulletin Sciences Mathematique 17 (1893) (pp. 240-246). Hadamard gave the famous conjecture on existence of Hadamard matrix, which still remains an open problem and has excited interest among researchers. The conjecture states $-A$ Hadamard matrix of order $n($ for $n>2)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Various methods of constructing Hadamard matrices were developed and various results equivalent to the existence of Hadamard matrix were proposed. Till date the smallest order unknown Hadamard matrix is for $n=668$.

Hadamard code is an error-correcting code named after Jacques Hadamard, which is used for error detection and correction when transmitting messages over noisy or unreliable channels. Hadamard codes are usually based on Sylvester's construction of Hadamard matrices. However Hadamard codes using arbitary Hadamard matrix not necessarily of Sylvester type are also constructed. Such codes were first constructed by R. C. Bose and S. S. Shrikhande in 1959 in $A$ Note on a Result in the Theory of Code Construction, Information and Control, Volume 2 (pp. 183-194).

Finding an efficient decoding algorithm is one of the fundamental problems in coding theory. Permutation decoding, a technique which uses a subset of the automorphism group of the code called $s$-PD set was developed by MacWilliams in [12] and Prange in [14]. The efficiency of the permutation decoding technique depends on the size of the $s$-PD set, thus making it an interesting problem of research. A new permutation decoding method for $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-linear codes was introduced in [3]. This technique is strongly based on existence of special subsets of permutation automorphism group $\operatorname{PAut}(C)$ of a code $C$. The $s$-PD sets of minimum size $s+1$ for partial permutation decoding was developed for families of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-linear codes in [2].

MAGMA is a software package designed to solve computationally hard problems in algebra, number theory, geometry and combinatorics. MAGMA currently supports the basic computations in coding theory for linear codes over integer residue rings and Galois rings. Available functions in MAGMA can be referred in [9, 15]. Functions to construct families of codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ is discussed in [1]. The basic introduction to MAGMA can be referred from [7, 5]. MAGMA
functions for codes can be referred from [4].
In this paper, given an $s$-PD set of length $l$ with $l \geq s+1$ for $H_{\alpha}$ we construct an $s$-PD set of same length for $H_{\alpha+k}$. We discuss the rank and kernel of the Hadamard codes. We also develop MAGMA functions to generate Hadamard codes over the fields $F_{4}$. All the computational work is done through MAGMA calculator available at http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/ calc/.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [10] An n-square matrix $H$ with entries +1 and -1 such that the set of its row vectors (or column vectors) forms an orthogonal set is called a Hadamard Matrix.

For example,

$$
H_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right), H_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Definition 2.2. Any subset $C$ of $F_{q}^{n}$ is called a linear code of length $n$ over the field $F_{q}$, if it is an additive subgroup of $F_{q}^{n}$.

Definition 2.3. An element of $C$ is called the codeword in $C$. The number of codewords in $C$ is called the size of $C$.

Definition 2.4. The Hamming weight of any codeword $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ in $F_{q}^{n}$ denoted as $\omega_{H}(x)$ is the number of non zero coordinates in $x$.

Definition 2.5. The Hamming distance between any two codewords $x$ and $y$ in $F_{q}^{n}$ is the number of places at which $x$ and $y$ differ.

Definition 2.6. The Lee weight of any codeword $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ in $F_{q}^{n}$ is defined as

$$
\omega_{L}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{L}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

where $\omega_{L}^{*}$ is the Lee weight of each $x_{i}$.

Definition 2.7. Let $C \subseteq F_{q}^{n}$ be any code. The minimum Lee weight (also known as minimum distance) of $C$ is defined as

$$
d_{L}(C)=\min \left\{\omega_{L}(x-y): x, y \in C, x \neq y\right\}
$$

Note: Any code of length $n$ with $k$ codewords and minimum distance $d$ is denoted as $(n, k, d)$ code.

Definition 2.8. A binary linear code with parameters ( $n, 2 n, \frac{n}{2}$ ) is called a Hadamard code.

Definition 2.9. The permutation automorphism group of a code $C$ is the set of permutations that maps $C$ to itself and is denoted by $\operatorname{PAut}(C)$.

Note: If $C$ is a code of length $n$, then $\operatorname{PAut}(C)$ is a subgroup of the symmetric group $S_{n}$.
2.1. Field $F_{4}$. The field $F_{4}=F_{2}[w] /<w^{2}+w+1>=\{0,1, w, w+1\}$ is a field with the condition $w^{2}=w+1$ and characteristic 2 . Binary operations on the ring $F_{4}$ are defined as follows

| + | 0 | 1 | $w$ | $w+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $w$ | $w+1$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $1+w$ | $w$ |
| $w$ | $w$ | $1+w$ | 0 | 1 |
| $w+1$ | $w+1$ | $w$ | 1 | 0 |
| $\cdot$ | 0 | 1 | $w$ | $w+1$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $w$ | $w+1$ |
| $w$ | 0 | $w$ | $w+1$ | 1 |
| $w+1$ | 0 | $w+1$ | 1 | $w$ |

For any $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ in $F_{4}^{n}$, let $n_{o}(x)$ be the number of zeros in $x$ and $n_{2}(x)$ be the number of ones in $x$. Let $n_{1}(x)=n-n_{0}(x)-n_{2}(x)$. Lee weight $\omega_{L}(x)$ is given in [8] by the formula $n_{1}(x)+2 n_{2}(x)$.

When $n=1$, we get the Lee weights of elements of $F_{4}$ as follows:

$$
\omega_{L}(0)=0, \quad \omega_{L}(1)=2, \quad \omega_{L}(w)=1, \quad \omega_{L}(w+1)=1
$$

## 3. Recursive Construction of s-PD Sets

Let $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code constructed over the field $F_{4}$ of length $\delta=$ $2^{m-1}$ where $m=2 \alpha+1$ and $H_{\alpha}=\phi\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ be the corresponding $F_{4}$-linear code of length $2 \delta=$ $2^{m}$ as discussed in [6]. A generator matrix $N_{\alpha}$ for the code $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}$ can be constructed by the recursive construction

$$
N_{\alpha+1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
N_{\alpha} & N_{\alpha} & N_{\alpha} & N_{\alpha}  \tag{1}\\
0 & 1 & w & w+1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $N_{0}=[1]$.
A set $\mathscr{I}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, \delta\}$ is said to be a quaternary information set of a quaternary linear code $C$ if $\left|C_{\mathscr{I}}\right|=\delta$. The set $\phi(\mathscr{I})=\left\{2 i_{1}-1,2 i_{1}, \ldots, 2 i_{\alpha}-1,2 i_{\alpha}\right\}$ forms an information set for the code $\phi(C)$. The construction of $s$-PD sets for Hadamard codes over the field $F_{4}$ is discussed in [6].

In this section, given an $s$-PD set of length $l$ with $l \geq s+1$ for $H_{\alpha}$ we construct an $s$-PD set of same length for $H_{\alpha+k}$, a Hadamard code of length $2^{m+2 k}$.

Proposition 3.1 (Proposition 1, [6]). Let $\mathscr{I}$ be a quaternary information set for the quaternary linear Hadamard code $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}$ of length $\delta=2^{m-1}$. Then $\mathscr{I} \cup\{\delta+1\}$ is a quaternary information set for the code $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+1}$ which are obtained from $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}$ by applying (1).

Let $C$ be a quaternary linear code of length $\delta$ and $\phi(C)$ be the corresponding $F_{4}$-linear code of length $2 \delta$. Let $S_{\delta}$ and $S_{2 \delta}$ be the symmetric groups of order $\delta$ and $2 \delta$ respectively. Define $\phi: S_{\delta} \rightarrow S_{2 \delta}$ as

$$
\phi(\sigma)(i)= \begin{cases}2 \sigma(i / 2), & \text { if } i \text { is even } \\ 2 \sigma((i+1) / 2)-1, & \text { if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

for all $\sigma \in S_{\delta}$ and $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 2 \delta\}$. For any $S \subseteq S_{\delta}$, define $\phi(S)=\{\phi(\sigma): \sigma \in S\} \subseteq S_{2 \delta}$. Then if $S \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}(C) \subseteq S_{\delta}$, then $\phi(S) \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}(\phi(C)) \subseteq S_{2 \delta}$. From [13], it is known that the permutation automorphism group PAut $\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ of $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}$ is isomorphic to the general affine group $\operatorname{AGL}(\alpha, 2)$. Let $\operatorname{GL}(\alpha, 2)$ be the general linear group over $F_{2}$. Then $\operatorname{AGL}(\alpha, 2)$ consists of all mappings $\eta: F_{2}^{\alpha} \rightarrow F_{2}^{\alpha}$ such that $\eta(x)=A x+b$ where $A \in \operatorname{GL}(\alpha, 2)$ and $b \in F_{2}^{\alpha}$.

The map $\varphi:$ AGL $(\alpha, 2) \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(\alpha+1,2)$ defined as

$$
\varphi(b, A)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
\mathbf{0} & A
\end{array}\right]
$$

gives an isomorphism between $\operatorname{AGL}(\alpha, 2)$ and the subgroup of GL $(\alpha+1,2)$ consisting of all non-singular matrices with first column as $e_{1}$. Thus we can consider PAut $\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ as this subgroup of GL $(\alpha+1,2)$. Any matrix $P \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ can be seen as a permutation of coordinate positions $\sigma \in S_{\delta}$ such that $\sigma(i)=j$ whenever $y_{j}=y_{i} P$ where $y_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ column vector of the generator matrix $N_{\alpha}$ and $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, \alpha\}$. Define $\phi(P)=\phi(\sigma) \in S_{2 \delta}$ and $\phi(\mathscr{P})=\{\phi(P): P \in \mathscr{P}\} \subseteq S_{2 \delta}$ for any $\mathscr{P} \subseteq$ PAut $\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$. Define $P^{*}$ as the matrix where the first row is $x_{1}$ and $i^{t h}$ row is $x_{1}+x_{i}$ where $x_{i}$ is the $i^{t h}$ row of $P$ for $i \in\{2,3, \ldots, \alpha\}$.

Let

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
\mathbf{0} & A
\end{array}\right] \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)
$$

where $A \in \operatorname{GL}(\alpha, 2)$ and $b \in F_{2}^{\alpha}$. For an integer $k \geq 1$, we define

$$
M[k]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & b & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & A & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathscr{P}_{s}=\left\{M_{0}, M_{1}, \cdots, M_{s}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $\phi\left(\mathscr{P}_{s}\right)$ is an $s-P D$ set of size $s+1$ for $H_{\alpha}$ with information set $\phi\left(\mathscr{I}_{\alpha}\right)$. Then $\mathscr{W}_{s}=$ $\left\{\left(M_{0}^{-1}[k]\right)^{-1},\left(M_{1}^{-1}[k]\right)^{-1}, \cdots,\left(M_{s}^{-1}[k]\right)^{-1}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+k}\right)$ and $\phi\left(\mathscr{W}_{s}\right)$ is an $s-P D$ set of size $s+1$ for $H_{\alpha+k}$ with information set $\phi\left(\mathscr{I}_{\alpha+k}\right)$ for any $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Clearly from the definition of $M[k], M \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ implies $M[k] \in \operatorname{GL}(\alpha+k+1,2)$. Hence $M^{-1}[k] \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+k}\right)$ and its inverse that is $\left(M^{-1}[k]\right)^{-1}$ also is in $\operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+k}\right)$. Thus $\mathscr{W}_{s} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+k}\right)$. From [Theorem 4, [6]], $\phi\left(\mathscr{P}_{s}\right)$ is an $s$-PD for $H_{\alpha}$ implies no two matrices $\left(M_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(M_{j}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ for $i \neq j$ have rows in common. Hence no two matrices $\left(M_{i}^{-1}[k]\right)^{*}$ and $\left(M_{j}^{-1}[k]\right)^{*}$ for $i \neq j$ have rows in common and [Theorem 4, [6]] implies $\phi\left(\mathscr{W}_{s}\right)$ is an $s$-PD set of size $s+1$ for $H_{\alpha+k}$ with information set $\phi\left(\mathscr{I}_{\alpha+k}\right)$ for any $k \geq 1$.

Example 3.1. Let $\mathscr{P}_{4}=\left\{\mathscr{Q}_{0}^{-1}, \mathscr{Q}_{1}^{-1}, \mathscr{Q}_{2}^{-1}, \mathscr{Q}_{3}^{-1}, \mathscr{Q}_{4}^{-1}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}\right)$ be the set given in [Example 2, [6]] such that $\phi\left(\mathscr{P}_{4}\right)$ is a 4-PD set of size 5 for $H_{2}$. Then from Proposition3.2, for $k=1$, $\mathscr{W}_{4}=\left\{\left(\mathscr{Q}_{i}[1]\right)^{-1}: 0 \leq i \leq 4\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{3}\right)$ and $\phi\left(\mathscr{W}_{4}\right)$ is a 4-PD set of size 5 for $H_{3}$. Here $\mathscr{Q}_{0}[1]=I d_{4}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{Q}_{1}[1]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & w & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & w & 0 \\
0 & w+1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \mathscr{Q}_{2}[1]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & w & 0 & 0 \\
0 & w & w & 0 \\
0 & 1 & w & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathscr{Q}_{3}[1]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & w+1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & w+1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \mathscr{Q}_{4}[1]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & w+1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\left(\mathscr{Q}_{0}[1]\right)^{*}=I d_{4}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathscr{Q}_{1}[1]\right)^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & w & 1 & 0 \\
1 & w & w+1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & w & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\mathscr{Q}_{2}[1]\right)^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & w & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & w & 0 \\
1 & w+1 & w & 0 \\
1 & w & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
& \left(\mathscr{Q}_{3}[1]\right)^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & w+1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & w & w & 0 \\
1 & w+1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & w+1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\mathscr{Q}_{4}[1]\right)^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{llcc}
1 & 0 & w+1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & w+1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & w & 0 \\
1 & 0 & w+1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $\left(\mathscr{Q}_{i}[1]\right)^{*}$ and $\left(\mathscr{Q}_{j}[1]\right)^{*}$ has no rows in common for $i \neq j$.
Now, we show a second recursive construction for which we consider the elements of $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)$ as permutation of coordinate positions, that is as elements of $S_{2^{m}}$.

Given permutations $\sigma_{1} \in S_{n_{1}}, \sigma_{2} \in S_{n_{1}+n_{2}}, \sigma_{3} \in S_{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}}$ and $\sigma_{4} \in S_{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}}$ we define $\left(\sigma_{1}\left|\sigma_{2}\right| \sigma_{3} \mid \sigma_{4}\right) \in S_{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}}$ such that $\sigma_{1}$ acts on the first $n_{1}$ coordinates, $\sigma_{2}$ acts on the coordinates $\left\{n_{1}+1, \cdots, n_{1}+n_{2}\right\}, \sigma_{3}$ acts on the coordinates $\left\{n_{1}+n_{2}+1, \cdots, n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}\right\}$ and $\sigma_{4}$ acts on the coordinates $\left\{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+1, \cdots, n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}\right\}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\mathscr{S} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $\phi(\mathscr{S})$ is an s-PD set of size $l$ for $H_{\alpha}$ of length $n=2^{m}=2\left(4^{\alpha}\right)$ where $m=2 \alpha+1$ with information set $I$. Then $\phi((\mathscr{S}|\mathscr{S}| \mathscr{S} \mid \mathscr{S}))=$ $\{\phi((\sigma|\sigma| \sigma \mid \sigma)): \sigma \in \mathscr{S}\}$ is an s-PD set of size l for $H_{\alpha+1}=\phi\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+1}\right)$ of length $4 n=2^{m+2}=$ $2\left(4^{\alpha+1}\right)$, where $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+1}$ is constructed from (1) and $I^{\prime}=I \cup\{i+n, j+n: i, j \in I\}$ is an information set $H_{\alpha+1}$.

Proof. Since $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+1}$ is constructed from (1), $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+1}=\{(x, x, x, x),(x, x+1, x+w, x+w+$ 1), $\left.(x, x+w, x+w+1, x+1),(x, x+w+1, x+1, x+w): x \in \mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right\}$. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$, then $\sigma \in S_{2^{m-1}}$ which implies $(\sigma|\sigma| \sigma \mid \sigma) \in S_{2^{m+1}}$. That is $(\sigma|\sigma| \sigma \mid \sigma) \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha+1}\right)$.

Let $\tau=\phi(\sigma)$. We show that for every $e \in F_{2}^{4 n}$ with $\omega_{H}(e) \leq s$, there exists $(\tau|\tau| \tau \mid \tau) \in$ $\phi((\mathscr{S}|\mathscr{S}| \mathscr{S} \mid \mathscr{S}))$ such that $(\tau|\tau| \tau \mid \tau)(e)_{I^{\prime}}=0$ where $I^{\prime} \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, 4 n\}$ is an information set with $2(\alpha+1)$ coordinate points for $H_{\alpha+1}$. Let $e=(a, b, c, d) \in F_{2}^{4 n}$ where $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$, $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right), c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}\right)$ and $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}\right)$. We take a binary vector $p=$ $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{n}\right)$ such that $p_{i}=1$ if and only if $a_{i}=1$ or $b_{i}=1$ or $c_{i}=1$ or $d_{i}=1$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. Since $\omega_{H}(e) \leq s$, we have $\omega_{H}(c) \leq s$. As $\phi(\mathscr{S})$ is an $s$-PD set for $H_{\alpha}$ with information set $I$, there exists $\tau \in \phi(\mathscr{S})$ such that $\tau(p)_{I}=\mathbf{0}$. Therefore we have $(\tau|\tau| \tau \mid \tau) \in$ $\phi((\mathscr{S}|\mathscr{S}| \mathscr{S} \mid \mathscr{S}))$ such that $(\tau|\tau| \tau \mid \tau)(a, b, c, d)_{I \cup J}=\mathbf{0}$, where $J=\{i+n, j+n: i, j \in I\}$. Hence $\phi((\mathscr{S}|\mathscr{S}| \mathscr{S} \mid \mathscr{S}))$ is an $s$-PD set for $H_{\alpha+1}$. From Proposition3.1 it follows that $I^{\prime}=I \cup\{i+$ $n, j+n: i, j \in I\}$ is an information set $H_{\alpha+1}$.

Corollary 3.1. Let $\mathscr{S} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $\phi(\mathscr{S})$ is an s-PD set of size l for $H_{\alpha}$ of length $2^{m}$ with information set I. Then $\phi\left(4^{k} \mathscr{S}\right)$ is an s-PD set of size l for $H_{\alpha+1}$ of length $2^{m+2 k}$ with information set obtained by recursively applying Proposition3.1, for all $i, j \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof comes trivially by applying Proposition3.1 and Proposition3.3.

## 4. Rank and Kernel of Hadamard Codes over $F_{4}$

Definition 4.1. Two codes are said to be equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates.

Definition 4.2. [11] Let $E_{n}$ be the set of all binary words of length $n$. Two binary codes $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ of length $n$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a word $x$ in $E_{n}$ and a permutation $\pi$ in $S_{n}$ such that $C=\pi\left(C^{\prime}+x\right)$.

Definition 4.3. [11] Let $E_{n}$ be the set of all binary words of length $n$ and $H_{n}$ be a binary code of length $n$. Kernel of $H_{n}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{n}\right):=\left\{x \in E_{n}: x+H_{n}=H_{n}\right\} .
$$

Definition 4.4. [11] Let $C$ be a binary code. Rank of $C$ is defined as the number of linearly independent vectors of $C$.

Proposition 4.1. If two binary codes $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ of length $n$ are equivalent then $|\operatorname{ker}(H)|=$ $\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right|$.

Proof. Let $x \in E_{n}$ be such that $H=\pi\left(H^{\prime}+x\right)$. Let $k \in \operatorname{ker}(H)$, then $k+H=H$. That is for $h_{1}$ in $H$ we have $h_{2}$ in $H$ such $k+h_{1}=h_{2}$. Also $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ are equivalent implies there exists $h_{1}^{\prime}$ and $h_{2}^{\prime}$ in $H^{\prime}$ such that $h_{1}=\pi\left(h_{1}^{\prime}+x\right)$ and $h_{2}=\pi\left(h_{2}^{\prime}+x\right)$. Thus

$$
k+\pi\left(h_{1}^{\prime}+x\right)=\pi\left(h_{2}^{\prime}+x\right)
$$

Premultiplying with $\pi^{-1}$, we get

$$
\pi^{-1}(k)+h_{1}^{\prime}=h_{2}^{\prime}
$$

Thus for every $k$ in $\operatorname{ker}(H)$, we have $k^{\prime}=\pi^{-1}(k)$ in $\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly we can show that for every $p^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, we have some $p$ in $\operatorname{ker}(H)$. Hence $|\operatorname{ker}(H)|=\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right|$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $H_{\alpha}=\phi\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ be a Hadamard code over $F_{4}$ of length $2^{2 \alpha+1}$. Then $\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)\right|=2^{2 \alpha+2}$.

Proof. Since $H_{\alpha}$ is a binary linear code, for any two codewords $x$ and $y$ in $H_{\alpha}, x+y$ is in $H_{\alpha}$. Thus every codeword in $H_{\alpha}$ lies in $\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)$. Now, let $z$ be any word in $\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)$, then $z+H_{\alpha}=$ $H_{\alpha}$ and linearity of $H_{\alpha}$ implies $z \in H_{\alpha}$. Thus $\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)=H_{\alpha}$ and $\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)\right|=\left|H_{\alpha}\right|=2^{2 \alpha+2}$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two equivalent binary codes of length $n$. Then $\operatorname{rank}(C)=\operatorname{rank}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. As $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equivalent, we have $C=\pi\left(C^{\prime}+x\right)$ for some $x$ in $E_{n}$. Hence $\operatorname{rank}(C)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\pi\left(C^{\prime}+x\right)\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(C^{\prime}+x\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $H_{\alpha}=\phi\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ be a Hadamard code over $F_{4}$ of length $2^{2 \alpha+1}$. Then $\operatorname{rank}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)=2\left(\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)\right)$.

From the generator matrix of $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}$, it can be observed that $\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ is the number of rows of the matrix that is $\alpha+1$. Hence $\operatorname{rank}\left(H_{\alpha}\right)$ is $2(\alpha+1)$.

## 5. Computational Results using MAGMA

In this section we present the construction of new MAGMA functions to generate Hadamard codes over the field $F_{4}$. Functions to find the generator matrix, rank and minimum distance of a Hadamard code is also discussed in this section.
5.1. Construction of $N_{\alpha}$ for Hadamard Code. We give the construction of the generator matrix $N_{\alpha}$ as discussed in (1).

```
> G<w> := GF(2, 2);//Finite Field of order 4
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function A(alpha)// Vector with all entries zero
> if(alpha le 0) then return "Not Defined"; end if;
> if(alpha eq 1) then return Matrix(G,1,[0]); end if;
> return ZeroMatrix(G, 1,4^(alpha-1));
> end function;
> A(0);
> A(1);
> A(3);
OUTPUT:
Not Defined
[0]
[0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
> G<w> := GF (2, 2);
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function B(alpha)//Vector with all entries one
> if(alpha le 0) then return "Not Defined"; end if;
> if(alpha eq 1) then return Matrix(G, 1,[1]); end if;
> return Matrix(G, 1,4^(alpha-1),[i^0: i in [1..4^(alpha-1)]]);
> end function;
> B(0);
> B(1);
> B(3);
OUTPUT:
```

Not Defined
[1]
$\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$

```
> G<w> := GF (2, 2);
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function C(alpha)//Vector with all entries w
> if(alpha le 0) then return "Not Defined"; end if;
> if(alpha eq 1) then return Matrix(G, 1,[G.1]); end if;
> return Matrix(G, 1,4^(alpha-1),[i^0*G.1: i in
```

    [1..4^(alpha-1)]]);
    > end function;
> C(0);
> $\mathrm{C}(1)$;
> C(3);
OUTPUT:
Not Defined

```
[w]
[w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w w]
>G<W> :=GF (2, 2);
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function D(alpha)//Vector with all entries w+1
> if(alpha le 0) then return "Not Defined"; end if;
> if(alpha eq 1) then return Matrix(G, 1,[G.1^2]); end if;
> return Matrix(G, 1,4^(alpha-1),[i^0*G.1^2: i in
    [1..4^(alpha-1)]]);
> end function;
> D(0);
> D(1);
> D(2);
OUTPUT:
Not Defined
[w+1]
[w + 1 w + 1 w + 1 w + 1]
```

```
> G<w> := GF (2, 2);
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function N(alpha)
> if (alpha eq 0) then return Matrix(G,1,[1]); end if;
> if (alpha eq 1) then
    return Matrix(G, 2, 4, [1,1,1,1, 0,1,G.1,G.1^2]); end if;
> Row1 := HorizontalJoin(N(alpha-1), N(alpha-1));
> Row2 := HorizontalJoin(N(alpha-1), N(alpha-1));
> Row := HorizontalJoin(Row1, Row2);
> Col1 := HorizontalJoin(A(alpha), B(alpha));
```

```
> Col2 := HorizontalJoin(C(alpha), D(alpha));
> Col := HorizontalJoin(Col1, Col2);
> return VerticalJoin(Row, Col);
> end function;
> N(0);
> N(1);
> N(2);
```

OUTPUT:
[1]
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]} \\ {[ } & 0 & 1 & \mathrm{w} & \mathrm{w}\end{array}\right] \quad 1\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
$[01 \mathrm{w} w+101 \mathrm{w}$ w + 101 w w + $101 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{w}+1]$
$\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ w & w & w & \text { w } 1 \mathrm{w}+1 \mathrm{w}+1 \mathrm{w}+1]\end{array}\right.$
5.2. Construction of Hadamard codes over $F_{4}$. We give the function to construct Hadamard codes over $F_{4}$ and its generator matrix. We also discuss the functions to find the minimum distance of the code.

```
> G<w> := GF (2, 2);
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function HCF4(alpha,m)//List of Hadamard codes
> if (m ne 2*alpha+1) then
    return "m-1 should be a multiple of 2"; end if;
> return [c*N(alpha) : c in VectorSpace(G,alpha+1)];
> end function;
> HCF4(1,3);
OUTPUT:
```

[
( 00000$)$,
(1 1 1 1),
(w w w w),
$(w+1 w+1 w+1 w+1)$,
( $0 \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{w}$ w + 1) ,
( $10 \mathrm{w}+1 \mathrm{w})$,
( w w + 1 0 1),
(w +1 w 10 ),
( $0 \quad \mathrm{w}$ w + 1 1),
( 1 w + 1 w 0),
( $\mathrm{w} \quad 0 \quad 1 \mathrm{w}+1$ ),
(w + 1 $1 \begin{array}{llll}\text { ( } & 0 & \text { w), }\end{array}$
( $0 \mathrm{w}+1 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{w}$ ),
( 1 w 0 w + 1),
( w $1 \mathrm{w}+10$ ),
(w $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & \text { w } & 1\end{array}$
]
$>G<w\rangle:=G F(2,2)$;
> AssertAttribute (G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function W(alpha, m)// Gives basis of HCF4(alpha,m)
> return sub<VectorSpace(G,2^(m-1)) | HCF4(alpha,m)>;
> end function;
> function LengthHCF4 (alpha,m)//Length of the code
> return 4^(alpha);
> end function;
> function GeneratorMatrixHCF4(alpha,m)//Gives the generator matrix of a code

```
> if (m ne 2*alpha+1) then
    return "m-1 should be a multiple of 2";
    end if;
> return N(alpha);
> end function;
> Dimension(W(4,9));//Gives rank of HCF4(4,9)
> LengthHCF4(1, 3);
> GeneratorMatrixHCF4(1,3);
OUTPUT:
5
4
[[lllll
[ 0 1 1 W W W + 1]
```

```
> G<W> := GF (2, 2);
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function NO(x,m)//Number of zeros in a code
> while x in VectorSpace(G,2^(m-1)) do;
> return 2^(m-1)-Weight (x);
> end while;
> end function;
> function v(m)
> return Vector(2^(m-1),[i^0: i in [1..2^(m-1)]]);
> end function;
> function N2(x,m)//Number of ones in a code
> while x in VectorSpace(G, 2^(m-1)) do;
> return 2^(m-1)-Weight (x+v(m));
> end while;
> end function;
```

```
> function N1(x,m)
> while x in VectorSpace(G,2^(m-1)) do;
> return 2^ (m-1)-NO(x,m)-N2 (x,m);
> end while;
> end function;
> x:=VectorSpace(G,4)![0,1,w,w+1];
> NO(x,3);
> v(3);
> N2(x,3);
> N1 (x,3);
OUTPUT:
1
(1 1 1 1 1)
1
2
> G<w> := GF (2, 2);
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function LeeWeight(x,m, alpha)//Calculates Lee weight of a
        codeword
> while x in HCF4(alpha,m) do;
> return N1 (x,m) +2*N2(x,m);
> end while;
> end function;
> function LeeDistance(x,y,m, alpha)//Calculates Lee distance
    between two codewords
> return LeeWeight(x-y,m,alpha);
> end function;
```

```
> function MinimumDistance(alpha, m)//Gives minimum weight of
    a code
> return Minimum({Integers()|LeeDistance(x,y,m, alpha):
    x in HCF4(alpha,m), y in HCF4(alpha,m)}diff {0}) ;
> end function;
> y:=VectorSpace(G,4)![0,1,w,w+1];
> x:=VectorSpace(G,4)![1,1,1,1];
> LeeWeight(x,3, 1);
> LeeDistance(x,y,3, 1);
> MinimumDistance(1,3);
OUTPUT:
```

8
4
4
$>G<W>:=G F(2,2) ;$
> AssertAttribute(G, "PowerPrinting", false);
> function HadamardCodeF4(alpha, m)
$>$ if (m ne $2 * a l p h a+1$ ) then return $" m-1$ should be a multiple of
2";
end if;
> print "For $m=2 * a l p h a+1$, the function HadamardCodeF4(alpha,
m) gives the generator matrix for Hadamard Code with
parameters (Length of a Code, Cardinality, Minimum
Distance $)=\left(2^{\wedge}(m-1), 2^{\wedge}(m+1), 2^{\wedge}(m-1)\right)$ over the field F4. ";
$>$ return Vector(3, [LengthHCF4(alpha,m), \#HCF4(alpha, m),
MinimumDistance(alpha, m)]), GeneratorMatrixHCF4(alpha,m);
$>$ end function;
> HadamardCodeF4 $(2,5)$;

## OUTPUT:

```
For m = 2*alpha+1, the function HadamardCodeF4(alpha, m) gives
    the generator matrix for Hadamard Code with parameters
    (Length of a Code,Cardinality,Minimum Distance) =
    (2^(m-1),2^(m+1),2^(m-1)) over the field F4.
```

$(166416)$
$\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
[0 1 w w + 1 0 1 w w + 101 w w + $101 \mathrm{w} w+1]$
$[00001111$ w w w w w + 1 w + 1 w + 1 w + 1]
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