Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 1 (2011), No. 1, 19-31 ISSN: 1927-5307

APPLICATION OF GENERALIZED INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY MATRIX IN MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING PROBLEM

AMAL KUMAR ADAK¹, MONORANJAN BHOWMIK^{2,*} AND MADHUMANGAL PAL^3

 ^{1,3} Department of Applied Mathematics with Oceanology and Computer Programming, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore – 721102, India.
 ²Department of Mathematics, V. T. T College, Midnapore, Paschim Medinipur – 721101, India.

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to investigate the multiple attribute decision making problems to a selected projects with generalized intuitionistic fuzzy information in which the information about weights is completely known and the attributes values are taken from the generalized intuitionistic fuzzydata. Also, extend the technique for order performance by similarity to ideal solution (TOPSIS) for the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy data. In addition, obtained the concept of possibility degree of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and used to solve ranking alternative in multi-attribute decision making problems.

Keywords: intuitionistic fuzzy set, generalized intuitionistic fuzzy set, generalized intuitionistic fuzzy matrix, generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference relation, generalized intuitionistic fuzzy TOPSIS method, possibility degree of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy data.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 90B50, 91B06, 91B08, 91B10, 91B16.

1. Introduction

*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: amaladak17@gmail.com (A.K. Adak), mbvttc@gmail.com (M. Bhowmik), mm-palvu@gmail.com (M. Pal)

Received November 25, 2011

20 AMAL KUMAR ADAK¹, MONORANJAN BHOWMIK^{2,*} AND MADHUMANGAL PAL³

A lot of multiple criteria decision making (MCDM) approaches have been developed and applied to diverse fields, like engineering, management, economics etc. As one of the known classical MCDM approaches, TOPSIS (technique for the order preference by similarity to ideal solution) was first developed by Hwang and Yoon [7]. The primary concept of TOPSIS approach is that the most preferred alternative should not only have the shortest distance from the positive ideal solution but also have the farthest distance from the negative ideal solution. The advantages for the TOPSIS include (a) simple, rationally, comprehensive concept, (b) good computational efficient (c) ability to measure the relative performance for each alternative in a simple mathematical form.

In 1965 Zadeh [16] introduced first the theory of fuzzy sets. Later on, many researchers have been working on the process of dealing with fuzzy decision making problems by applying fuzzy set theory. Atanassov[3, 4] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFSs). IFSs are proposed using two characteristic functions expressing the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of elements of the universal set to the IFS.

The structure of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, introduce the preliminaries and some definition related to intuitionistic fuzzy sets and generalized intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In Section 3, introduce the TOPSIS method MADM problems to a selected projects with generalized intuitionistic fuzzy information. In Section 4, illustrate proposed method with an example. Finally, at the end of this paper a conclusion is given.

2. Preliminaries

Here we recall some preliminaries, definitions of IFSs and GIFSs. Also define Hamming distance of two GIFSs.

0.1. Fuzzy set and Instuitionistic fuzzy set.

Definition 1. (Fuzzy set (FS)) A fuzzy set A in a universal set X is defined as $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x) \rangle | x \in X\}$ where $\mu_A : X \to [0, 1]$ is a mapping called the membership function of the fuzzy set A. **Definition 2.** (Instuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS)) An instuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A over X is an object having the form $A = \langle x, \mu(x), \nu(x) | x \in X \rangle$; where $\mu(x) : X \rightarrow$ [0,1] and $\nu(x) : X \rightarrow [0,1]$. Where $\mu(x)$ and $\nu(x)$ are called the membership and nonmembership value of x in A satisfying the condition $0 \le \mu(x) + \nu(x) \le 1$.

An element x of X is called significant with respect to a fuzzy subset A of X if the of membership $\mu_A(x) > 0.5$, otherwise insignificant and non-membership $\nu_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x)$ can not be significant. Further, for an IFS $A = \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) | x \in X \rangle$ it is observe that $0 \leq \mu(x) + \nu(x) \leq 1$, for all $x \in X$ and hence it is observe that $\mu_A(x) \wedge \nu_A(x) \leq 0.5$, for all $x \in X$.

Definition 3. [8] A generalized intuitionistic fuzzy set A of X is an object having the form $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle | x \in X\}$ where the function $\mu_A : X \to [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A : X \to [0, 1]$ define respectively the degree of membership and degree of nonmembership of the element $x \in X$ to the set A, which is a subset of E and for every $x \in X$ satisfy the condition

$$\mu_A(x) \wedge \nu_A(x) \leq 0.5$$
, for all $x \in X$.

This condition is called generalized intuitionistic fuzzy condition (GIFC).

The maximum value of $\mu_A(x)$ and $\nu_A(x)$ is 1.0, therefore GIFC imply that

$$0 \le \mu_A(x) \land \nu_A(x) \le 0.5$$
, for all $x \in X$.

In GIFSs A there is another parameter is:

$$\pi_A(x) = 1.5 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)$$

which is known as generalized intuitionistic fuzzy index or hesitation degree of whether x belongs to A or not.

It is obviously seen that for every $x \in X$, $0 \le \pi_A(x) \le 1$.

If the value of $\pi_A(x)$ is very small, then knowledge about x is certain; if $\pi_A(x)$ is great, then the knowledge is more uncertain. Obviously, when $\mu_A(x) = 1 - \nu_A(x)$, for all elements of the universe, the traditional fuzzy set concept is recovered.

It may be noted that all GIFs are IFSs but the converse is not true.

Definition 4. Let A and B be two GIFSs on X, where

$$A = \{x, \langle \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$$
 and

$$B = \{x, \langle \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle : x \in X\}.$$
 Then,

Hamming distance between GIFSs A and B is given as follows:

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{2} [|\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)| + |\nu_A(x) - \nu_B(x)| + |\pi_A(x) - \pi_B(x)|]$$

Definition 5. (Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy matrix (GIFM)) Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy matrix (GIFM) of order $m \times n$ is defined as $A = \langle a_{ij\mu}, a_{ij\nu} \rangle$ where $a_{ij\mu}$ and $a_{ij\nu}$ are the membership value and non-membership value of the *ij*-th element in A satisfying the condition $0 \le \mu_A(x) \land \nu_A(x) \le 0.5$, and the maximum value of $\mu_A(x)$ and $\nu_A(x)$ is 1.0, for all i, j.

An integrated generalized intuitionistic fuzzy multi criteria 3. decision making method

In this section the TOPSIS method is extended to generalized intuitionistic fuzzy environment, which is a very suitable for solving decision making problem.

Let $A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ be a set of alternatives $C = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\}$ be a set of criteria. Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy TOPSIS method consists of the following steps which are given as follows:

(1) Construct an generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference relation matrix:

Let $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be an generalized intuitionistic preference matrix of criteria as follows:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{b}_{11} & \widetilde{b}_{12} & \widetilde{b}_{13} & \cdots & \widetilde{b}_{1n} \\ \widetilde{b}_{21} & \widetilde{b}_{22} & \widetilde{b}_{23} & \cdots & \widetilde{b}_{2n} \\ \widetilde{b}_{31} & \widetilde{b}_{32} & \widetilde{b}_{33} & \cdots & \widetilde{b}_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \widetilde{b}_{m1} & \widetilde{b}_{m2} & \widetilde{b}_{m3} & \cdots & \widetilde{b}_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\tilde{b}_{ij}(i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and satisfies the following condition:

$$(\mu_{ij})^* = \max\left\{\mu_{ij}, \max_p\left\{\frac{\mu_{ip}\mu_{pj}}{\mu_{ip}\mu_{pj} + (1.5 - \mu_{ip})(1.5 - \mu_{pj})}\right\}\right\}$$
(1)

$$(\nu_{ij})^* = \max\left\{\nu_{ij}, \max_p\left\{\frac{\nu_{ip}\nu_{pj}}{\nu_{ip}\nu_{pj} + (1.5 - \nu_{ip})(1.5 - \nu_{pj})}\right\}\right\}$$
(2)

where $(\mu_{ij})^*$ and $(\nu_{ij})^*$, the element of $(B)^*$ matrix, are the membership and non-membership degree of the alternative x_i over x_j respectively and $0 \leq (\mu_{ij})^* + (\nu_{ij})^* \leq 1.5$ for all $i, j, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then we call $(B)^*$ a multiplicative consistent generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference relation. If $(B)^*$ does not satisfy the condition of $0 \leq (\mu_{ij})^* + (\nu_{ij})^* \leq 1.5$ for all $i, j, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then we call $(B)^*$ an inconsistent multiplicative generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference relation.

(2) Obtain the priority vector of criteria.

After obtained aggregated generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference matrix, the priority vector of criteria $w = (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n)^T$ can be estimated with the following equation

$$w_{j} = [w_{j}^{L}, w_{j}^{U}] = \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(1.5 - \tilde{\mu}_{ij}^{*})}{\mu_{ij}^{*}}\right)}, \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\nu_{ij}^{*}}{(1.5 - \tilde{\nu}_{ij}^{*})}\right)}\right)$$
(3)

(3) Construct a generalized intuitionistic fuzzy decision matrix:

 $\widetilde{R} = (\widetilde{r}_{ij})_{m \times n}$ is an generalized intuitionistic fuzzy decision matrix such that Let $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be an generalized intuitionistic preference matrix of criteria as follows:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r}_{11} & \tilde{r}_{12} & \tilde{r}_{13} & \cdots & \tilde{r}_{1n} \\ \tilde{r}_{21} & \tilde{r}_{22} & \tilde{r}_{23} & \cdots & \tilde{r}_{2n} \\ \tilde{r}_{31} & \tilde{r}_{32} & \tilde{r}_{33} & \cdots & \tilde{r}_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{r}_{m1} & \tilde{r}_{m2} & \tilde{r}_{m3} & \cdots & \tilde{r}_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $r_{ij} = (\mu_{ij}, \nu_{ij}, \pi_{ij})$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, which contained in an generalized intuitionistic fuzzy decision matrix.

(4) Determine the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solution and the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy negative ideal solution:

AMAL KUMAR ADAK¹, MONORANJAN BHOWMIK^{2,*} AND MADHUMANGAL PAL³

Let J_1 be the set of benefit criteria, J_2 be the set of cost criteria, A^* be the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solution and A^- be the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy negative ideal solution, then A^* and A^- can be determined respectively as:

$$A^* = (r_1^*, r_2^*, \cdots, r_n^*), r_j^* = (\mu_j^*, \nu_j^*, \pi_j^*), j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$
(4)

$$A^{-} = (r_{1}^{-}, r_{2}^{-}, \cdots, r_{n}^{-}), r_{j}^{-} = (\mu_{j}^{*}, \nu_{j}^{-}, \pi_{j}^{-}), j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$
(5)

$$\mu_{ij}^* = \left\{ \left(\max_{i} \{ \mu_{ij} \} | j \in J_1 \right), \left(\min_{i} \{ \mu_{ij} \} | j \in J_2 \right) \right\}$$
(6)

$$\nu_{ij}^{*} = \left\{ \left(\min_{i} \{\nu_{ij}\} | j \in J_1 \right), \left(\max_{i} \{\nu_{ij}\} | j \in J_2 \right) \right\}$$
(7)

$$\mu_{ij}^{-} = \left\{ \left(\min_{i} \{\mu_{ij}\} | j \in J_1 \right), \left(\max_{i} \{\mu_{ij}\} | j \in J_2 \right) \right\}$$
(8)

$$\nu_{ij}^{-} = \left\{ \left(\max_{i} \{ \nu_{ij} \} | j \in J_1 \right), \left(\min_{i} \{ \nu_{ij} \} | j \in J_2 \right) \right\}$$
(9)

$$\pi_{ij}^{*} = \left\{ \left(1.5 - \max_{i} \{\mu_{ij}\} - \min_{i} \{\nu_{ij}\} | j \in J_{1} \right), \\ \left(1.5 - \min_{i} \{\mu_{ij}\} - \max_{i} \{\nu_{ij}\} | j \in J_{2} \right) \right\}$$
(10)
$$\pi_{ii}^{-} = \left\{ \left(1.5 - \min\{\mu_{ij}\} - \max\{\nu_{ij}\} | j \in J_{1} \right), \right\}$$

$$\frac{-}{ij} = \left\{ \left(1.5 - \min_{i} \{ \mu_{ij} \} - \max_{i} \{ \nu_{ij} \} | j \in J_{1} \right), \\ \left(1.5 - \max_{i} \{ \mu_{ij} \} - \min_{i} \{ \nu_{ij} \} | j \in J_{2} \right) \right\}$$
(11)

(5) Calculate the weighted separation measure:

The weighted Hammining distance is used to obtain separation measures. The weighted lower and upper separation measures $(S_i^*)^L$, $(S_i^*)^U$ and $(S_i^-)^L$, $(S_i^-)^U$ of each alternative from the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solution and the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy negative ideal solution are respectively

24

calculated as follows:

$$(S_i^*)^L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^L[|\mu_{ij} - \mu_j^*| + |\nu_{ij} - \nu_j^*| + |\pi_{ij} - \pi_j^*|]$$
(12)

$$(S_i^*)^U = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^U [|\mu_{ij} - \mu_j^*| + |\nu_{ij} - \nu_j^*| + |\pi_{ij} - \pi_j^*|]$$
(13)

$$(S_i^-)^L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^L[|\mu_{ij} - \mu_j^-| + |\nu_{ij} - \nu_j^-| + |\pi_{ij} - \pi_j^-|]$$
(14)

$$(S_i^-)^U = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^U[|\mu_{ij} - \mu_j^-| + |\nu_{ij} - \nu_j^-| + |\pi_{ij} - \pi_j^-|]$$
(15)

(6) Calculate the relative closeness coefficient of each alternative to the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive and negative ideal solutions:

The relative closeness coefficient of an alternative A_i with respect to the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solution A^* and the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy negative ideal solution A^- is defined as follows:

$$((C_i^*)^L, (C_i^*)^U) = \left\{ \left(\frac{(S_i^-)^L}{(S_i^*)^U + (S_i^-)^U} \right), \left(\frac{(S_i^-)^U}{(S_i^*)^L + (S_i^-)^L} \right) \right\}$$
(16)

(7) Rank the alternative according to the descending order of the relative closeness coefficients $C_i^* = ((C_i^*)^L, (C_i^*)^U).$

In order to rank alternatives the possibility degree formula is used.

Definition 6. Let $a = [a^L, a^U]$ and $b = [b^L, b^U]$ be two interval numbers where is $0 \le a^L \le a^U \le 1$ and $0 \le b^L \le b^U \le 1$ then the possibility degree of $a \ge b$ is defined as:

$$p(a \ge b) = \max\left\{1 - \max\left(\frac{b^U - a^L}{b^U - b^L + a^U - a^L}, 0\right), 0\right\}$$
(17)

that is a superior to b to degree of, denoted by $a \succ^{p(a \ge b)} b$.

Similarly, the degree of possibility $b \ge a$ is defined as:

$$p(b \ge a) = \max\left\{1 - \max\left(\frac{a^U - b^L}{b^U - b^L + a^U - a^L}, 0\right), 0\right\}$$
(18)

that is b superior to a to degree of, denoted by $b_{\succ}^{p(b\geq a)}a$.

Let $P = p_{ij} = p(a_i \ge a_j)$ be the complementary generalized intuitionistic fuzzy matrix and given as follows:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} & \cdots & p_{1n} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & p_{23} & \cdots & p_{2n} \\ p_{31} & p_{32} & p_{33} & \cdots & p_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & p_{n12} & p_{n3} & \cdots & p_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $p_{ij} \ge 0$, $p_{ij} + p_{ji} = 1$, $p_{ii} = .5$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Summing all elements in each line of matrix P, then:

$$p_i = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} \ i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Alternatives are ranked according to descending order of p_i .

4. Illustrate Example

A manufacturing company is select to location for building new plant. There are four candidates place A_1 , A_2 , A_3 and A_4 are chosen for further evaluation. In order to evaluate candidate locations, expansion possibility (C_1) , availability of acquirement material (C_2) , distance to market (C_3) and labour cost (C_4) are considered as evaluation factor.

(1) Construct an generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference matrix.

Let $B = (b_{ij})_{4 \times 4}$ generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference relation matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 0.80, 0.40 \rangle & \langle 0.70, 0.50 \rangle & \langle 0.80, 0.20 \rangle & \langle 0.60, 0.50 \rangle \\ \langle 0.70, 0.40 \rangle & \langle 0.80, 0.50 \rangle & \langle 0.70, 0.30 \rangle & \langle 0.60, 0.50 \rangle \\ \langle 0.60, 0.40 \rangle & \langle 0.90, 0.30 \rangle & \langle 0.60, 0.30 \rangle & \langle 0.50, 0.50 \rangle \\ \langle 0.40, 0.60 \rangle & \langle 0.50, 0.40 \rangle & \langle 0.70, 0.30 \rangle & \langle 0.80, 0.30 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $B = (b_{ij})_{4\times 4}$ has been consistent generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference relation matrix due to satisfying the condition 1-2 and the matrix existing as

26

follows:

$$B^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 0.80, 0.40 \rangle & \langle 0.70, 0.50 \rangle & \langle 0.80, 0.20 \rangle & \langle 0.60, 0.50 \rangle \\ \langle 0.70, 0.40 \rangle & \langle 0.80, 0.50 \rangle & \langle 0.70, 0.30 \rangle & \langle 0.60, 0.50 \rangle \\ \langle 0.60, 0.40 \rangle & \langle 0.90, 0.30 \rangle & \langle 0.60, 0.30 \rangle & \langle 0.50, 0.50 \rangle \\ \langle 0.40, 0.60 \rangle & \langle 0.50, 0.40 \rangle & \langle 0.70, 0.30 \rangle & \langle 0.80, 0.30 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

(2) Obtain the priority vector of criteria.

The priority vector of criteria has been estimated by utilizing Eq.3 as follows: $w_1 = \langle 0.228, 0.659 \rangle, w_2 = \langle 0.215, 0.620 \rangle, w_3 = \langle 0.208, 0.733 \rangle$ and $w_4 = \langle 0.148, 0.653 \rangle$

(3) Construct the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy decision matrix.

The generalized intuitionistic fuzzy decision matrix has been constructed as follows:

Criteria								
Candidates								
	C_1	C_2	C_3	C_4				
A_1	$\langle 0.74, 0.44, 0.32 \rangle$	$\langle 0.72, 0.38, 0.40\rangle$	$\langle 0.64, 0.44, 0.62\rangle$	$\langle 0.69, 0.45, 0.36 \rangle$				
A_2	$\langle 0.85, 0.42, 0.23 \rangle$	$\langle 0.78, 0.45, 0.27 \rangle$	$\langle 0.71, 0.36, 0.43 \rangle$	$\langle 0.82, 042, 0.26 \rangle$				
A_3	$\langle 0.76, 0.36, 0.38 \rangle$	$\langle 0.66, 0.38, 0.46 \rangle$	$\langle 0.48, 0.65, 0.37 \rangle$	$\langle 0.70, 0.40, 0.40\rangle$				
A_4	$\langle 0.78, 0.46, 0.26\rangle$	$\langle 0.75, 0.42, 0.30\rangle$	$\langle 0.68, 0.48, 0.34 \rangle$	$\langle 0.75, 0.35, 0.40\rangle$				

(4) Determine the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solution and the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy negative ideal solution.

Considering that expansion possibility, availability of acquirement material are the benefit criteria $J_1 = \{C_1, C_2\}$ and distance to the market and labour cost are the cost criterion $J_2 = \{C_3, C_4\}$. Then the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solutions and generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solutions have been obtained by employing Eq.4- Eq.11 as follows:

$$A^* = \begin{cases} \langle 0.85, 0.23, 0.42 \rangle, & \langle 0.78, 0.38, 0.34 \rangle; \\ \langle 0.48, 0.65, 0.37 \rangle, & \langle 0.69, 0.45, 0.36 \rangle. \end{cases}$$

and
$$A^{-} = \begin{cases} \langle 0.74, 0.46, 0.30 \rangle, & \langle 0.66, 0.45, 0.39 \rangle; \\ \langle 0.71, 0.36, 0.43 \rangle, & \langle 0.82, 0.35, 0.33 \rangle. \end{cases}$$

(5) Calculate the weighted separation measures.

Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy negative and positive separation measures based on the weighted lower and upper Hamming distance for each candidate have been calculated by utilizing Eq.12-Eq.15 and given in

Candidates	$(S^*)^L$	$(S^*)^U$	$(S^{-})^{L}$	$(S^-)^U$
A_1	0.176	0.598	0.089	0.315
A_2	0.155	0.514	0.090	0.317
A_3	0.191	0.641	0.154	0.449
A_4	0.254	0.812	0.146	0.452

(6) Calculate the relative closeness coefficient of each candidate to the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solution and the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy negative ideal solutions. The relative closeness coefficients of each candidate to the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solutions and generalized intuitionistic fuzzy positive ideal solutions have been calculated by using Eq.16 as follows:

$$\begin{split} \left((C_1^*)^L, (C_1^*)^U \right) &= \left\{ (\frac{0.089}{0.598 + 0.315}), (\frac{0.315}{0.176 + 0.089}) \right\} = (0.097, 1.189) \\ \left((C_2^*)^L, (C_2^*)^U \right) &= \left\{ (\frac{0.090}{0.514 + 0.317}), (\frac{0.317}{0.155 + 0.090}) \right\} = (0.108, 1.294) \\ \left((C_3^*)^L, (C_3^*)^U \right) &= \left\{ (\frac{0.154}{0.641 + 0.449}), (\frac{0.449}{0.191 + 0.154}) \right\} = (0.141, 1.301) \\ \left((C_4^*)^L, (C_4^*)^U \right) &= \left\{ (\frac{0.146}{0.812 + 0.452}), (\frac{0.452}{0.146 + 0.254}) \right\} = (0.088, 1.130) \end{split}$$

(7) Rank the candidates according to the descending order of the relative closeness coefficients. Four candidate locations have been ranked according to the descending order of relative closeness coefficients. The candidates have been ranked by using the possibility degree formula and the following matrix has been constructed as

28

follows :

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.50 & 0.47 & 0.47 & 0.52 \\ 0.53 & 0.50 & 0.49 & 0.54 \\ 0.53 & 0.51 & 0.50 & 0.55 \\ 0.48 & 0.47 & 0.45 & 0.50 \end{array}\right)$$

Summing all elements in each line of matrix P, then:

$$p_1 = 1.96$$
, $p_2 = 2.06$, $p_3 = 2.09$ and $p_4 = 1.90$.

The candidates have been ranked as A_3 , A_2 , A_1 and A_4 according to the descending order p_i , i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Thus A_3 has been selected s the most desirable facility location among the candidates.

5. Conclusion

The success of companies depends on their capability on making right strategic decisions. Facility location selection is one of these strategic decisions, which it is a costly and difficult to reverse activity for companies. Therefore, this has presented the integration of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference relation and generalized fuzzy intuitionistic TOPSIS method for selecting the most desirable facility location. the generalized intuitionistic fuzzy preference relation has been applied to derive the weights of criteria and generalized intuitionistic fuzzy TOPSIS method has been used to rank alternative. The intregrated generalized intuitionistic fuzzy multi-criteria decision making method has enormous chances of success for multi-criteria decision making problems due to great superiority on dealing with uncertainty information. In future, the proposed method can be used for dealing with uncertainty in a variety of multi-criteria decision making problems.

6. Acknowledgement

30 AMAL KUMAR ADAK¹, MONORANJAN BHOWMIK^{2,*} AND MADHUMANGAL PAL³

The authors are very grateful and would like to express their sincere thanks to anonymous referee and Editor for their valuable comments. The financial support received from UGC, India, Under grant No. F.PSW-106/10-11(ERC) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- A.K. Adak, M. Bhowmik, Interval cut-set of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, African Journal of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, 4 (4) (2011), 192-200.
- [2] A.K. Adak, M. Bhowmik, M.Pal, Semiring of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, Global Journal of Computer Application and Technology, 1 (2011), no. (3), 340-347.
- [3] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986), 87-96.
- [4] K. Atanassov, New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 61 (1994), 137-142.
- [5] M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, Far-East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 29 (2008), no. (3), 533-554.
- [6] F.E. Boran, An integrated intuitionistic fuzzy multi criteria decision making method for facility location selection, *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 16 (2011), no. (2), 487-496.
- [7] C.L. Hwang, K. Yoon, Multiple attribute decision methods and applications: A state of the art survey, Springer Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [8] T. K. Mondal, S. K. Samanta, Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy sets, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 10 (2002), no. (4), 839-862.
- M. Pal, S.K. Khan, A.K. Shyamal, Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, 8 (2002), no. (2), 51-62.
- [10] A.K. Shyamal, M. Pal, Distance between fuzzy matrices and its applications-I, J. Natural and Physical Sciences, 19 (2005), no. (1), 39-58.
- [11] A.K. Shyamal, M. Pal, Distances between intuitionistics fuzzy matrices, V.U.J.Physical Sciences, 8 (2002), 81-91.
- [12] A.K. Shyamal, M. Pal, Two new operators on fuzzy matrices, J. Applied Mathematics and Computing, 15 (2004), 91-107.
- [13] A.K. Shyamal, M. Pal, Interval-valued fuzzy matrices, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 14 (2006), no. (3), 583-604.
- [14] Z.S. Xu, Some similarity measure of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and their applications to multi attribute decision making, *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making*, 6 (2007), 109-121.
- [15] C.H. Yeh, A problem based selection of multi attribute decision making methods, International Transactions in Operation Research, 9 (2002), 169-181.

- [16] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.
- [17] X. Zhang, G. Yue and Z.Teng, Possibility degree of interval-valued fuzzy numbers and its application, International Symposium on Information Processing, Aug 21-23 (2009) 033-036.