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#### Abstract

By making use of $\mu_{I} g$-closed sets, we have made out $\mu_{I} g$-Exterior, $\mu_{I} g$-border, $\mu_{I} g$-frontier and their properties are listed out. Also $q \mu_{I} g$-separated sets are introduced and their characters are contemplated. Some new forms of $\mu_{I} g$-closed sets are to be introduced. Also we introduce pre $\mu_{I}$-closed sets and their attributes are to be discussed.


Keywords: $q \mu_{I} g$-separated set; pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set; semi* $\mu_{I}$-closed set; $\alpha^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set; $\beta^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set; regular ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set; pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closure; pre* $\mu_{I}$-interior.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54A05,03E72.

## 1. Introduction

Coker introduced intuitionistic set based on membership and non-membership degrees which gives flexible approaches to represent the mathematical objects that plays a great role with classical set logic. Later on using these concepts we made $\mu_{I} g$-closed set in GITS. Here we are yet to study about few operators in $\mu_{I} g$-closed sets and their natures are described.

[^0]
## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we list some definitions and basic results of generalized intuitionistic topological space.

Definition 2.1. [1] Let $X$ be a non-empty set. An intuitionistic set $A$ is an object having the form $A=<X, A_{1}, A_{2}>$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are subsets of $X$ satisfying $A_{1} \cap A_{2}=\phi$. The set $A_{1}$ is called the set of members of $A$ while $A_{2}$ is called the set of non- members of $A$.

Result 2.1. Let $X$ be a non-empty set and let $A, B$ be an intuitionistic sets in the form $A=<$ $X, A_{1}, A_{2}>$ and $B=<X, B_{1}, B_{2}>$ respectively. Then

1) $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A_{1} \subseteq B_{1}$ and $B_{2} \subseteq A_{2}$.
2) $A=B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
3) $\bar{A}=<X, A_{2}, A_{1}>$, (in intuitionistic, $\bar{A}=A^{c}$ )
4) $A \cup B=<X, A_{1} \cup B_{1}, A_{2} \cap B_{2}>$.
5) $A \cap B=<X, A_{1} \cap B_{1}, A_{2} \cup B_{2}>$.
6) $A-B=A \cap \bar{B}$.
7) $\phi_{\sim}=<X, \phi, X>$; $X_{\sim}=<X, X, \phi>$.

Definition 2.2. [1] An intuitionistic topology on a non-empty set $X$ is a family $\tau$ of intuitionistic sets in $X$ containing $\phi_{\sim}, X_{\sim}$ and closed under finite union and arbitrary intersection. The pair $(X, \tau)$ is called an intuitionistic topological space. Any intuitionistic set in $\tau$ is known as an intuitionistic open set (IOS) in $X$ and the complement of IOS is called an intuitionistic closed set (ICS).

Definition 2.3. [7] Let $X$ be a non-empty set and $\mu_{I}$ be the collection of intuitionistic subset of $X$. Then $\mu_{I}$ is called generalized intuitionistic topology on $X$ if $\phi \in \mu_{I}$ and $\mu_{I}$ is closed under arbitrary unions. The elements of $\mu_{I}$ are called $\mu_{I}$-open sets and their complements are called $\mu_{I}$-closed sets.

Definition 2.4. [7] The $\mu_{I}$-closure of $A$ is the intersection of all $\mu_{I}$-closed sets containing $A$, and the $\mu_{I}$-interior of $A$ (its denoted by $\left.i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)$ is the union of all $\mu_{I}$-open sets contained in $A$.

Definition 2.5. [12] In $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$, an intuitionistic set $A$ of $X$ is said to be an intuitionistic generalized closed sets in generalized intuitionistic topological space (GITS) if $c_{\mu_{I}}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U$ is $\mu_{I-o p e n ~ s e t ~ a n d ~ i t ~ i s ~ d e n o t e d ~ b y ~} \mu_{I} g$-closed. The complement of $\mu_{I} g$-closed set is $\mu_{I g-o p e n ~ s e t . ~}^{\text {s }}$

Definition 2.6. [12] The $\mu_{I} g$-closure of $A$, denoted by $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$, is the intersection of all $\mu_{I} g$-closed supersets of $A$.

Definition 2.7. [12] For any $A \subseteq X$; the union of all $\mu_{I} g$-open sets contained in $A$ is defined as the $\mu_{I} g$-interior of $A$ and is denoted by $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Result 2.2. [12] Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GITS and $A, B \subseteq X$.

1) $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right) \neq \phi_{\sim} ; c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(X_{\sim}\right)=X_{\sim}$.
2) $i_{\mu_{I}}\left(X_{\sim}\right) \neq X_{\sim}$; $i_{\mu_{I}}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)=\phi_{\sim}$.
3) Monotonicity:
a) If $A \subseteq B$ then $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
b) If $A \subseteq B$ then $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
4) Idempotent property: $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
5) If $A$ is $\mu_{I} g-$ closed ( $\mu_{I} g-$ open) then $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A\left(i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A\right)$.
6) $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$.
7) $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
8) $A \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}(A)$.
9) $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$.
10) $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
11) $i_{\mu_{I}}(A) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$.
12) a) $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=\overline{\left(i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)}$
b) $\overline{\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)}=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$
c) $\overline{\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})\right)}=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$
d) $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=\overline{\left(i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})\right)}$
13) Every $\mu_{I}-$ closed set is a $\mu_{I} g-c l o s e d ~ s e t$.

Definition 2.8. [6] Consider $\left(X_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$ be an ITS, then the intuitionistic subset $M$ of $X_{1}$ is said to be an
i) Intuitionistic prefrontier ( $\operatorname{IpFr}$ shortly) if $\operatorname{IpFr}(M)=\operatorname{Ipcl}(M)-\operatorname{Ipint}(M)$.
ii) Intuitionistic preborder (Ipbr shortly) if $\operatorname{Ipbr}(M)=M-\operatorname{Ipint}(M)$.

Definition 2.9. [6] For an intuitionistic subset $N$ of $X$ in ITS, intuitionistic $\alpha$-exterior of $N$ is defined as $\operatorname{I\alpha ext}(N)=\operatorname{I\alpha int}\left(X_{\sim}-N\right)$.

Definition 2.10. [6] For an intuitionistic subset $N$ of $X$ in ITS, intuitionistic pre-exterior of $N$ is defined as $\operatorname{Ipext}(N)=\operatorname{Ipint}\left(X_{\sim}-N\right)$.

Definition 2.11. [6] Let $(X, \psi)$ be an intuitionistic topological space. Two non-empty ISs $M$ and $N$ of $X$ are said to be intuitionistic $q$-separated if $M \cap \operatorname{Icl}(N)=\phi_{\sim}$ and $\operatorname{Icl}(M) \cap N=\phi_{\sim}$. These both conditions are similar to the single condition $(M \cap \operatorname{Icl}(N)) \cup(\operatorname{Icl}(M) \cap N)=\phi_{\sim}$.

Definition 2.12. [7] Let $(X, \tau)$ be an ITS. Then intuitionistic set $A$ of $X$ is said to be
i) $\mu_{I} \alpha$-closed set if $c_{\mu_{I}}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)\right) \subseteq A$.
ii) $\mu_{I}$ semi-closed set if $i_{\mu_{I}}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \subseteq A$.
iii) $\mu_{I}$ pre-closed set if $c_{\mu_{I}}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \subseteq A$.
iv) $\mu_{I} \beta$-closed set if $i_{\mu_{I}}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)\right) \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.13. [13] Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GTS and $A \subseteq X$. Then the $\mu$-pre*-closure of $A$, denoted by pre ${ }^{*} c_{\mu}(A)$, is the intersection of all $\mu$ - pre* closed sets containing $A$.

## 3. $\mu_{I} g$ - EXTERIOR OF GITS

Definition 3.1. An intuitionistic subset $A$ of $X$ in GITS is said to be $\mu_{I}$ g-Exterior (denoted by $\left.E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$ if $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$.

Theorem 3.1. For intuitionistic subsets $A$ and $B$ of $X$ in GITS, the following are hold.
i) If $A \subseteq B$ then $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
ii) $E_{\mu_{I}}(A) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ where $E_{\mu_{I}}(A)$ is the $\mu_{I}$-Exterior of $A$.
iii) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
iv) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $A \subseteq B$, then $\bar{B} \subset \bar{A}$ which implies $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{B}) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$. Hence $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$ $\subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
(ii) Suppose $x \in E_{\mu_{I}}(A)$, then $x \in i_{\mu_{I}}(\bar{A})$, which gives $x \in \overline{c_{\mu_{I}}(A)}$ and so $x \notin c_{\mu_{I}}(A)$. By the definition of $c_{\mu_{I}}(A), x \notin \cap F, F$ is $\mu_{I}$-closed superset of $A$. Since every $\mu_{I}$-closed set is a $\mu_{I} g_{-}$ closed set, $x \notin \cap F, F$ is $\mu_{I} g$-closed superset of $A$. Hence we have $x \notin c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Then $x \in \overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$ $=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Therefore $E_{\mu_{I}}(A) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
(iii) We know that $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and also $B \subseteq A \cup B$. Then $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \bar{A}$ and $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \bar{B}$. Hence $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\overline{A \cup B}) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$ and $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\overline{A \cup B}) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{B})$. Therefore $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
(iv) We know that $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and also $A \cap B \subseteq B$. Then we have $\bar{A} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$ and $\bar{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$. Hence $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A}) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\overline{A \cap B})$ and $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{B}) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\overline{A \cap B})$. Therefore $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)$.

Theorem 3.2. $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)=E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
Proof. $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\overline{\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)}\right)=\overline{\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)\right)}=\overline{\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)}=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Result 3.1. i) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(X_{\sim}\right)$ ii) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(X_{\sim}\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)$
iii) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ is the largest $\mu_{1} g$-open subset of $\bar{A}$.

Proof. i) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\overline{\phi_{\sim}}\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(X_{\sim}\right)$.
ii) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(X_{\sim}\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\overline{X_{\sim}}\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)$.
iii) Since $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ is the largest $\mu_{1} g$-open subset of $A, E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ is the largest $\mu_{1} g$-open subset of $\bar{A}$.

Theorem 3.3. i) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq \bar{A}$ ii) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A}) \subseteq A$
Proof. i) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=\overline{\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)} \subseteq \bar{A}$
ii) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$

Theorem 3.4. Let A be an intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then
i) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=X-c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
ii) $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$.
iii) $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$.

Proof. i) $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=\overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=X-c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
ii) Let $x \notin E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)=E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}\right)$. Take $B=\overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$ Then $x \notin i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{B})=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$ and hence $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$.
iii). We know that $A \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Then $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*} \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})}=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*} \overline{\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)}$
$=E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$. Therefore $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$.
Note 3.1. From all the above discussions, we conclude that some properties such as enhancing, monotonicity and idempotency does not hold in $\mu_{I} g$-Exterior of GITS. $\mu_{I} g$-Exterior need not be $\mu_{I} g$-open since the union of $\mu_{I} g$-closed sets need not be $\mu_{I} g$-closed sets. Hence $E_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ need not be $\mu_{I}$ g-open whenever $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$.

## 4. $\mu_{I} g$-BORDER OF GITS

Definition 4.1. The $\mu_{I} g$-border of $A\left(\right.$ denoted by $\left.b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$ is defined as $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $A$ be an intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then subsequent results are hold.
i) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(X-A)$.
ii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)=\phi_{\sim}$.
iii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$.
iv) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Proof. i) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A \cap \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(X-A)$.
ii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)=\phi_{\sim} \cap \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)}=\phi_{\sim} \cap \overline{\phi_{\sim}}=\phi_{\sim}$.
iii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A \cap \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)} \subseteq \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$.
iv) By the definition of $\mu_{I} g$-border of $A, b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$. We know that $A \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Therefore $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let A be an intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then
i) $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right) \subseteq A$.
ii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right) \subseteq A$.
iii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq b_{\mu_{I}}(A)$, where $b_{\mu_{I}}(A)$ is the $\mu_{I}$-border of $A$.

Proof. i) $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right) \subseteq b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$.
ii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$.
iii) Suppose $x \notin b_{\mu_{I}}(A)=A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}(X-A)$, then $x \notin A$ and $x \notin c_{\mu_{I}}(X-A)$, which implies $x \notin A$ and $x \notin \cap F, F$ is $\mu_{I}$-closed set and $(X-A) \subseteq F$. Then $x \notin A$ and $x \notin \cap F, F$ is $\mu_{I} g$-closed set and $(X-A) \subseteq F$ and hence $x \notin b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.Therefore $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq b_{\mu_{I}}(A)$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A$ and $B$ be two intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then
i) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B) \subseteq b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
ii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)$.

Proof. i). $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=(A \cup B)-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=(A \cup B) \cap \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)}=(A \cup B) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*} \overline{(A \cup B)}$
$=(A \cup B) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}) \subseteq(A \cup B) \cap\left[c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A}) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{B})\right] \subseteq\left(A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right) \cup\left(B \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)\right)=b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup$ $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
$i i)$. The proof is similar to (i).

Example 1. The inclusion may be strict or equal, now we explain with an example.
i). Let $X=\{i, j, k\}$. Then $\mu_{I} g$-closed set $=\left\{X_{\sim},<X, \phi,\{i\}>,<X, \phi,\{i, j\}>,<X,\{j\},\{i\}>\right.$ $,<X,\{k\}, \phi>,<X,\{k\},\{i\}>,<X,\{k\},\{j\}>,<X,\{k\},\{i, j\}>,<X,\{j, k\}, \phi>$, $<X,\{j, k\},\{i\}>,<X,\{k, i\}, \phi>,<X,\{k, i\},\{j\}>$.
Let $A=<X,\{j, k\}, \phi>, B=<X,\{i, k\}, \phi>. A \cup B=<X, X, \phi>\Rightarrow b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=<X, \phi,\{i, j\}>$ $. b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X,\{k\}, \phi>$. Therefore $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B) \subset b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$. Let $A=<X,\{k\},\{j\}$ $>, B=<X,\{k\},\{i, j\}>.(A \cup B)=<X,\{k\},\{j\}>\Rightarrow b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=<X,\{k\},\{j\}>$. Then $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X,\{k\},\{j\}>$. Therefore $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
ii). Let $X=\{s, t\}$. Then $\mu_{I}$ g-closed set $=\left\{X_{\sim},<X, \phi,\{s\}>,<X, \phi, \phi>,<X,\{s\}, \phi\right.$ $>,<X,\{t\}, \phi>,<X,\{t\},\{s\}>\}$. Let $A=<X,\{s\}, \phi>, B=<X, \phi,\{t\}>$. Then $A \cap B=<$ $X, \phi,\{t\}>\Rightarrow b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)=<X, \phi,\{t\}>$. Then $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi,\{s, t\}>$. Therefore $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subset b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)$. Let $A=<X,\{t\},\{s\}>, B=<X, \phi,\{s\}>$. Then $(A \cap$ $B)=<X, \phi,\{s\}>\Rightarrow b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)=<X, \phi,\{s\}>$ and $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi,\{s\}>$. Therefore $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)$.

Remark 4.1. For any intuitionistic subset A in ITS, the following statements are valid.
i) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$.
ii) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=\phi_{\sim}$.

But in GITS these are not valid. Now we explain with an example.
Let $X=\{0,1,2\}$. Then $\mu_{I}$ g-closed set $=\left\{X_{\sim},<X, \phi,\{0\}>,<X, \phi,\{0,1\}>,<X,\{1\},\{0\}>\right.$ $,<X,\{2\}, \phi>,<X,\{2\},\{0\}>,<X,\{2\},\{1\}>,<X,\{2\},\{0,1\}>,<X,\{1,2\}, \phi>$, $<X,\{1,2\},\{0\}>,<X,\{2,0\}, \phi>,<X,\{2,0\},\{1\}>\}$.
Now take $A=<X,\{2,0\}, \phi>$. Then $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X, \phi,\{0\}>$ and $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{0\}, \phi>$. Therefore $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{0\}, \phi>\neq A$. Also $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X, \phi,\{0\}>$ which is not equal to $<X, \phi, X>=\phi_{\sim}$.

Note 4.1. For $\mu_{I}$ g-border of GITS, the properties such as monotonicity, enhancing and idempotency does not hold.

## 5. $\mu_{I} g$ - FRONTIER OF GITS

Definition 5.1. If $A$ is an intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$, then $\mu_{I} g$-Frontier of $A$ (denoted by $\left.F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right)$ is defined as $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 5.1. Let A be an intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then the subsequent results are valid.
i) $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$.
ii) $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
iii) $\overline{F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A}) \cup i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
iv) $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq F r_{\mu_{I}}(A)$, where $F r_{\mu_{I}}(A)$ is the $\mu_{I}$-Frontier of $A$.
v) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Proof. i) $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$.
ii) $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A}) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
iii) $\overline{F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=\overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})}=\overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)} \cup \overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})}=i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A}) \cup i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
(iv) $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}(A)-i_{\mu_{I}}(A)=F r_{\mu_{I}}(A)$.
(v) $b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(X-A)=A \cap \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)} \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap \overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 5.2. If an intuitionistic subset $A$ is $\mu_{I} g$ - closed in GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$, then $A-F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$. Proof. We know that $A-F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A \cap \overline{F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$. Now $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap(\bar{A}) \Rightarrow \overline{F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=$ $\overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)} \cup A \Rightarrow A \cap \overline{F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=A \cap \overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)} \cup(A \cap A) \subseteq(A \cap(\bar{A})) \cup A=A$. Therefore $A-F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq$ A.

Remark 5.1. The inclusion may be strict or equal. Now let us seen the following example. Let $X=\{x, y, z\}$. Then $\mu_{I} g$-closed set $=\left\{X_{\sim},<X, \phi,\{x\}>,<X, \phi,\{x, y\}>,<X,\{z\},\{x\}>,<\right.$ $X,\{z\}, \phi>,<X,\{y\},\{x\}>,<X,\{z\},\{y\}>,<X,\{z\},\{x, y\}>,<X,\{y, z\},\{x\}>,<X,\{z, y\}, \phi>$ $,<X,\{x, z\},\{y\}>,<X,\{x, z\}, \phi>\}$. Take $A=<X, \phi,\{y\}>$.

Then $A-F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X, \phi,\{y, z\}>\subset A$. Also we take $J=<X,\{y\}, \phi>$. Then $J-F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(J)=J$.
Theorem 5.3. If an intuitionistic subset $A$ is $\mu_{I} g$ - closed in $\operatorname{GITS}\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$, then $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$.
Proof. $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Since $A$ is $\mu_{I} g$ - closed, $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A-i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=b_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq$ A.

Note 5.1. If an intuitionistic subset $A$ is $\mu_{I} g$-closed in GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$, then its border and frontier are equal.

Theorem 5.4. If an intuitionistic subset $A$ is $\mu_{I}$ g-open in GITS, then $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq \bar{A}$.
Proof. $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c \mu_{I}^{*}(\bar{A})=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap \bar{A} \subseteq \bar{A}$.
Theorem 5.5. Let $A$ be an intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$, then $A \cup F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
Proof. Now $A \cup F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A \cup\left[c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})\right]=\left[A \cup c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right] \cap\left[A \cup c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})\right]=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap[A \cup$ $\left.c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})\right] \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
The inclusion may be strict or equal,we discuss in the following example.
Let $X=\{x, y, z\}$. Then $\mu_{I} g$-closed set $=\left\{X_{\sim},<X, \phi,\{x\}>,<X, \phi,\{x, y\}>,<X,\{z\},\{x\}>\right.$ $,<X,\{z\}, \phi>,<X,\{y\},\{x\}>,<X,\{z\},\{y\}>,<X,\{z\},\{x, y\}>,<X$, $\{y, z\},\{x\}>,<X,\{z, y\}, \phi>,<X,\{x, z\},\{y\}>,<X,\{x, z\}, \phi>\}$. Take $A=<X,\{x\}$, $\phi>$. Then $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X, \phi,\{x\}>$ and $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{z, x\}, \phi>$. Therefore $A \cup F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subset$ $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Take $A=<X,\{x\},\{z\}>$. Then $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{z\},\{x\}>$ and $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{z, x\}, \phi>$. Therefore $A \cup F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 5.6. Let $A$ be an intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]$ $\subseteq F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Proof. Let $A$ be an intuitionistic subset of $X$. Now $F_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right] \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[\overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}\right]=$ $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[\overline{c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}\right] \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Hence $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]$ $\subseteq F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 5.7. Let $A$ be an intuitionistic subset of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]$ $\subseteq \operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Proof. Let $A$ be an intuitionistic subset of $X$. Now $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]=c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right] \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[\overline{i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}\right] \subseteq$ $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Hence $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right] \subseteq \operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Remark 5.2. In GITS we give some examples to show that the following statements are not valid.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i) } c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=\operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) . \\
& \quad \text { ii) }<X, \phi, X>=F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) . \\
& \text { Let } X=\{u, v, w\} \text {. Then } \mu_{I} \text { g-closed set }=\{X,<X, \phi,\{u\}>,<X, \phi,\{u, v\}>,<X, \phi,\{v\}> \\
& ,<X, \phi, X>,<X, \phi,\{v, w\}>,<X, \phi,\{w, u\}>,<X,\{v\},\{u\}>,<X,\{v\}, \\
& \{w, u\}>,<X,\{w\}, \phi>,<X,\{w\},\{u\}>,<X,\{w\},\{v\}>,<X,\{w\},\{u, v\}>,<X,\{v \\
& , w\}, \phi>,<X,\{v, w\},\{u\}>,<X,\{w, u\}, \phi>,<X,\{w, u\},\{v\}>\} . \text { Take } A=<X,\{u\}, \\
& \phi>\text {. Then } i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{u\}, \phi>\text { and } c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{u, w\}, \phi>\text {. Also } F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X, \phi,\{u\}>\text {. } \\
& \text { Therefore } c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \neq F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \text {. } \\
& \quad \text { Let } X=\{u, v, w\} . \text { Then } \mu_{I I} g-\text { closed set }=\{X \sim,<X, \phi, \phi>,<X, \phi,\{v\}>,<X, \phi,\{w \\
& \}>,<X, \phi,\{v, w\}>,<X,\{u\},\{v\}>,<X,\{u\},\{w\}>,<X,\{u\}, \phi>,<X,\{u\},\{v, w\} \\
& >,<X,\{w\},\{v\}>,<X,\{v, u\}, \phi>,<X,\{v, u\},\{w\}>,<X,\{w\}, \phi>,<X,\{w, u\}, \phi \\
& >,<X,\{w, u\},\{v\}>,<X,\{v\},\{w\}>,<X,\{v, w\}, \phi>\} . \operatorname{Take} A=<X,\{u\}, \phi>. \operatorname{Then} i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=< \\
& X, \phi, \phi>\text { and } F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X, \phi, \phi>\text {. Therefore }<X, \phi, X>\neq F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \\
& \cap i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In $\mu_{I} g$-Frontier the properties such as enhancing, monotonicity and idempotency fails. Also $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)$ and $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$ do not depends on each other. Hence there is no relation
between $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)$ and $F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap F r_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$. Therefore both are independent. $\mu_{I} g$-Frontier need not be $\mu_{I} g$-closed, since the intersection of $\mu_{I} g$-closed sets need not be $\mu_{I} g$-closed sets. Hence $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ need not be $\mu_{I}$ g-closed whenever $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$.

## 6. $q \mu_{I g}$ - Separated in GITS

Definition 6.1. Two non-empty intuitionistic subsets $A$ and $B$ of a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ are said to be intuitionistic $q \mu_{I} g$-separated if $A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=\phi_{\sim}$ and $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap B=\phi_{\sim}$. These both conditions are similar to the single condition $\left(A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)\right) \cup\left(c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap B\right)=\phi_{\sim}$.

Note that any two intuitionistic $q \mu_{I} g$-separated sets are intuitionistic disjoint. But two intuitionistic disjoint sets are not necessarily intuitionistic $q \mu_{I} g$-separated. This condition can be seen in the following example.

Example 2. Let $X=\{1,2,3\}$. Then $\mu_{I}$ g-closed set $=\left\{X_{\sim},<X, \phi,\{1\}>,<X, \phi,\{3\}\right.$
$>,<X, \phi,\{3,1\}>,<X,\{2\},\{1\}>,<X,\{2\}, \phi>,<X,\{2\},\{3\}>,<X,\{2\},\{1,3\}>$,
$<X,\{1,2\}, \phi>,<X,\{2,3\},\{1\}>,<X,\{2,3\}, \phi>\}$. Let $A=<X,\{1\},\{2,3\}>$,
$B=<X,\{2,3\},\{1\}>, c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{2,1\},\{3\}>$ and $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X,\{2,3\},\{1\}>$. Then $A \cap$ $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=\phi_{\sim}$ but $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap B \neq \phi_{\sim}$. Here $A$ and $B$ are intuitionistic disjoint sets but not intuitionistic $q \mu_{I} g$-separated.

Theorem 6.1. If $A$ and $B$ are intuitionistic $q \mu_{I} g$-separated sets of GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ and $M \subset A$ and $N \subset B$, then $M$ and $N$ are also intuitionistic $q \mu_{I} g$-separated.

Proof. Given $M \subset A \Rightarrow c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(M) \subset c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ and $N \subset B \Rightarrow c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(N) \subset c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$. Since $A$ and $B$ are intuitionistic $q \mu_{I} g$-separated sets, it gives $A \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=\phi_{\sim}$ and $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap B=\phi_{\sim}$. Hence $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(M) \cap$ $N=\phi_{\sim}$ and $M \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(N)=\phi_{\sim}$. Therefore $M$ and $N$ are intuitionistic $q \mu_{I} g$-separated.

## 7. Some New Closed Sets in Gits

The intersection of all $\mu_{I} g$-closed superset of $A$ is called $\mu_{I} g$-closure of $A$ and it is denoted $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. By using this operator $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}$,we define the following.

Definition 7.1. An intuitionistic subset $A$ of $X$ in GITS is said to be
i) $\alpha^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set if $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)\right) \subseteq A$.
ii) $\alpha^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set if $A \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)\right)$.
iii) semi $\mu_{I}$-closed set if $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \subseteq A$.
iv) semi ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set if $A \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)$.
v) pre $^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set if $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \subseteq A$.
vi) pre $\mu_{I}$-open set if $A \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)$.
vii) $\beta^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set if $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)\right) \subseteq A$.
viii) $\beta^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set if $A \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)\right)$.

Theorem 7.1. Every semi $\mu_{I}$-closed set is $\beta^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set but the converse is not true.

Proof. Suppose $A$ is a semi ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set then $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \subseteq A$ which implies $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}\right.$ $\left.\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)\right) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \subseteq A$ and hence $A$ is a $\beta^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set.

Example 3. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. Let $X=\{s, t\}$. Then $\mu_{I g} g$ closed set $=\left\{X_{\sim},<X, \phi,\{s\}>,<X, \phi, \phi>,<X,\{s\}, \phi>,<X,\{t\}, \phi>,<X,\{t\},\{s\}>\right\}$. Here $<X,\{t\}, \phi>$ is a $\beta^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set but not a semi* $\mu_{I}$-closed set.

In GITS, we obtain that there is no relation between $\mu_{I} g$-closed sets and semi ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set, $\alpha^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set, $\beta^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set. So each one is independent to each other. But there is a relation between $\mu_{I} g$-closed set and pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed set. Now we discuss about the characterization of pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set.

## 8. Pre $^{*} \mu_{I}$-Closed Set

Theorem 8.1. Every $\mu_{I} g$-closed set is a pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set but the converse is not true.

Proof. Suppose $A$ is a $\mu_{I} g$-closed set, then $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$. Also we know that $i_{\mu_{I}}(A) \subseteq A$ it gives $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$. Therefore $A$ is a pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set.

Example 4. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. Now we can see in the following illustration. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$. Then $\mu_{I} g$-closed set $\left.=\{<X, X, \phi\rangle,<X, \phi,\{a\}\right\rangle,<$ $X, \phi,\{c\}>,<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>,<X,\{b\},\{a\}>,<X,\{b\}, \phi>,<X,\{a, b\}$ $, \phi>,<X,\{b\},\{a, c\}>,<X,\{a, b\},\{c\}>,<X,\{b, c\}, \phi>,<X,\{b, c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{$ $b\},\{c\}>\}$ and pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set $=\{<X, X, \phi>,<X, \phi,\{a\}>,<X, \phi,\{c\}>,<X,\{c\},\{a\}>$
$,<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>,<X,\{b\},\{a\}>,<X,\{b\}, \phi>,<X,\{a, b\}, \phi>,<X,\{$
$b\},\{a, c\}>,<X,\{a, b\},\{c\}>,<X,\{b, c\}, \phi>,<X,\{b, c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{b\},\{c\}>\}$ In this example, $<X,\{c\},\{a\}>$ is a pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set but not a $\mu_{I} g$-closed set.

Theorem 8.2. Every $\alpha^{*} \mu_{I-c l o s e d ~ s e t ~ i s ~ a ~ p r e ~}{ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set.

Proof. Suppose $A$ is a $\alpha^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set, then $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right)\right) \subseteq A$. Now $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ and hence $A$ is a pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed set.

Example 5. The converse of the above theorem need not be true.
Let $X=\{1,2,3\}$. Then pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set $=\left\{X_{\sim},<X, \phi,\{1\}>,<X, \phi,\{3\}>,<X, \phi,\{3,1\}>\right.$
$,<X,\{2\},\{3\}>,<X,\{3\},\{1\}>,<X,\{2,3\}, \phi>,<X,\{2\}, \phi>,<X,\{$
$2\},\{1\}>,<X,\{2\},\{1,3\}>,<X,\{1,2\},\{3\}<X,\{1,2\}, \phi>,<X,\{2,3\},\{1\}>\} . \alpha^{*}$
$\mu_{I}$-closed set $=\left\{<X,\{2\},\{1\}>,<X,\{2\},\{1,3\}>,<X,\{3,2\},\{1\}>,<X,\{2\}, \phi>, X_{\sim}, \phi_{\sim},<\right.$ $X, \phi,\{1\}>,<X, \phi,\{3\}>,<X, \phi,\{3,1\}>,<X,\{2\},\{3\}>,<X,\{3\},\{1\}$
$>\}$. Here $<X,\{1,2\}, \phi>,<X,\{1,2\},\{3\}>,<X,\{3,2\}, \phi>$ are pre $\mu^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed sets but not a $\alpha * \mu_{I}$-closed sets.

Remark 8.1. Union of two pre $\mu_{I} \mu_{I}$-closed sets need not be pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set. Now we can see the successive illustration. Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GITS where $X=\{a, b, c\}$. Then pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set $=$ $\{<X, \phi,\{a\}>,<X, X, \phi>,<X, \phi,\{c\}>,<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>,<X,\{b\},\{a\}>,<X,\{c\},\{a\}><$ $X,\{b\}, \phi>,<X,\{a, b\}, \phi>,<X,\{b\},\{a, c\}>,<X,\{a, b\},\{c\}>,<X,\{b, c\}, \phi>$, $<X,\{b, c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{b\},\{c\}>\}$. Let $A=<X, \phi,\{a\}>$ and $B=<X, \phi,\{c\}>$ be pre $\mu^{*} \mu_{I^{-}}$ closed sets. Then $A \cup B=<X, \phi, \phi>$ which is not a pre $* \mu_{I^{-}}$-closed set.

Theorem 8.3. Arbitrary intersection of pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed sets are pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set.
Proof. Let $\left\{F_{\alpha}\right\}$ be the collection of pre $\mu_{I}$-closed sets. Then $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}\left(F_{\alpha}\right)\right) \subseteq F_{\alpha}$, for each $\alpha$. Now $c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}\left(\cap F_{\alpha}\right)\right) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\cap i_{\mu_{I}}\left(F_{\alpha}\right)\right) \subseteq \cap c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(i_{\mu_{I}}\left(F_{\alpha}\right)\right) \subseteq \cap F_{\alpha}$. Therefore $\cap F_{\alpha}$ is a pre $\mu_{I_{I} \text {-closed }}$ set.

## 9. Pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-Closure in GITS

Definition 9.1. Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GITS and $A \subseteq X$. Then the pre $\mu_{I}$-closure of $A$, denoted by $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$, is the intersection of all pre $\mu_{I}$-closed sets containing $A$.

Theorem 9.1. Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GITS. Then $A \subseteq X$ is a pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set iff $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$.
Proof. Assume that $A \subseteq X$ is a pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set. By the definition:9.1, we have $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$. Conversely assume $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$. Using theorem:8.3, we have $A \subseteq X$ is a pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed set.

Note 9.1. i) $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right) \neq \phi_{\sim}$.
ii) $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left(X_{\sim}\right)=X_{\sim}$.

Theorem 9.2. (Enhancing Property) $A \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.
Proof. Since $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ is the intersection of all pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed sets containing $A, A \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 9.3. (Monotonicity Property) If $A \subseteq B$ then $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Proof. Suppose $x \notin c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$,then $x \notin \cap F, F$ is pre $\mu_{I} \mu_{I}$-closed set and $B \subseteq F$. This impiles $x \notin F$, for some pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I^{-}}$-closed superset $F$ of $B$. Since $A \subseteq B, A \subseteq F$. Hence $x \notin F$, for some pre* $\mu_{I^{-}}$ closed superset of $A$. So $x \notin c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Therefore $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Theorem 9.4. (Idempotency Property) $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]=c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Proof. From theorem:9.2 and 9.3, we have $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]$. Let $x \notin c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Then $x \notin F$, for some pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set $F$ such that $A \subseteq F \Rightarrow c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(F)=F$ and hence $x \notin c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]$. Then we get $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right] \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Therefore $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]=c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 9.5. $A \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}(A)$.

Proof. Suppose $x \notin c_{\mu_{I}}(A)$, then $x \notin \cap F$, where $F$ is a $\mu_{I}$-closed superset of $A$ and so $x \notin \cap F, F$ is a $\mu_{I} g$-closed superset of $A$. That is $x \notin c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ which implies $x \notin \cap F, F$ is a pre $\mu_{I}$-closed superset of $A$. Then $x \notin F$ for some pre $\mu_{I}$-closed superset of $A$. Therefore $x \notin A$ and hence we have $A \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}(A)$.

Theorem 9.6. $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Proof. We know that $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B$. Then $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ and $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq$ $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$. Therefore $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Example 6. The inclusion may be strict or equal, we can see the ensuing illustration.
Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$. Then pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed set $=\{<X, \phi,\{a\}>,<X, X, \phi>,<X, \phi,\{c\}$
$>,<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>,<X,\{b\},\{a\}>,<X,\{c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{b\}, \phi>$,
$<X,\{a, b\}, \phi>,<X,\{b\},\{a, c\}>,<X,\{a, b\},\{c\}>,<X,\{b, c\}, \phi>,<X,\{b, c\},\{a\}>,<$ $X,\{b\},\{c\}>\}$. Let $A=<X,\{c\}, \phi>$ and $B=<X,\{b\},\{a, c\}>$. Then $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{b, c\}, \phi$ $>, c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X,\{b\},\{a, c\}>$ which implies $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X,\{b\},\{a, c\}>$. Now, $A \cap B=<X, \phi,\{a, c\}>$. Thenc $_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)=<X, \phi,\{a, c\}>$.Hence $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subset c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap$ $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.Take $A=<X, \phi, \phi>, B=<X, \phi,\{a\}>$. Then $A \cap B=<X, \phi,\{a\}>$ which gives $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)=<X, \phi,\{a\}>. c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{b\}, \phi>, c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi,\{a\}$
$>$. Hence $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)=c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.
Theorem 9.7. $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$.

Proof. We know that $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$. Then $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$ and $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq$ $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$. Therefore $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$.

Example 7. The inclusion may be strict or equal, we can see the ensuing illustration.
Let $X=\{p, q, r\}$ be a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed set $=\{<X, \phi,\{p\}>,<X, X, \phi>$ $,<X, \phi,\{r\}>,<X, \phi,\{r, p\}>,<X,\{q\},\{p\}>,<X,\{r\},\{p\}>,<X,\{q\}, \phi$ $>,<X,\{p, q\}, \phi>,<X,\{q\},\{p, r\}>,<X,\{p, q\},\{r\}>,<X,\{q, r\}, \phi>,<X,\{q, r\}$, $\{p\}>,<X,\{q\},\{r\}>\}$. Let $A=<X, \phi,\{p\}>$ and $B=<X, \phi,\{r\}>$. Then $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ $=<X, \phi,\{p\}>, c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi,\{r\}>$ which implies $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi, \phi>$. Now, $A \cup B=<X, \phi, \phi>$. Then $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=<X,\{q\}, \phi>$. Hence $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subset c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$. Take $A=<X, \phi, \phi>, B=<X, \phi,\{p\}>$. Then $A \cup B=<X, \phi, \phi>$ which gives $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=<$ $X,\{q\}, \phi>. c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{q\}, \phi>, c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi,\{p\}>$. Hence $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup$ $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

## 10. PRE ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-OPEN IN GITS

Definition 10.1. Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GITS. Then $A \subseteq X$ is called pre* $\mu_{I}$-open (denoted by $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ ) if the complement of $A$ is a pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed set.

Theorem 10.1. Every $\mu_{I}$ g-open set is a pre* $\mu_{I}$-open set but the converse is not true.

Proof. Suppose $A$ is a $\mu_{I} g$-open set then $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$. Also we know that $A \subseteq c_{\mu_{I}}(A)$ which gives $i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}\left(c_{\mu_{I}}(A)\right) \supseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$. Therefore $A$ is a pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set.

Example 8. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. Now we can see the following illustration.

Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$. Then $\mu_{I}$ g-open set $\left.\left.=\{<X, \phi, X>,<X,\{a\}, \phi\rangle,<X, X, \phi\right\rangle,<X,\{b\}, \phi\right\rangle$
$,<X,\{a, b\}, \phi>,<X,\{a\},\{b\}>,<X,\{a, c\},\{b\}>,<X, \phi,\{c\}>,<X,\{a\},\{c\}>$,
$<X,\{b\},\{c\}>,<X,\{a, b\},\{c\}>,<X, \phi,\{b, c\}>,<X,\{a\},\{b, c\}>,<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>$,
$<X,\{c, a\}, \phi>,<X,\{b\},\{c, a\}>,<X,\{b, c\}, \phi>\}$ and pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I^{-} \text {open }}$ set $=\{<X, \phi, X>,<$
$X,\{a\}, \phi>,<X, X, \phi>,<X,\{b\}, \phi>,<X,\{a, b\}, \phi>,<X,\{a\},\{b\}>,<X,\{a, c\},\{b\}>$
$,<X, \phi,\{c\}>,<X,\{a\},\{c\}>,<X,\{b\},\{c\}>,<X,\{a, b\},\{c\}>,<X, \phi,\{b, c\}>$, $<X,\{a\},\{b, c\}>,<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>,<X,\{c, a\}, \phi>,<X,\{b\},\{c, a\}>,<X,\{b, c\}, \phi>$, $<X,\{c\}, \phi>,<X,\{c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{b, c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{c\},\{b\}>,<X,\{c\},\{a, b\}>,<X, \phi, \phi>$
\}. In this example, $<X,\{c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{c\}, \phi>,<X,\{b, c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{c\},\{b\}>$, $<X,\{c\},\{a, b\}>$ and $<X, \phi, \phi>$ are pre $\mu_{I}$-open sets but not a $\mu_{I} g$-open sets.

Theorem 10.2. Arbitrary union of pre $\mu_{I} \mu_{I}$-open sets are pre $\mu_{I}$-open set.

Proof. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a collection of pre* $\mu_{I^{-}}$open sets. Then $\left\{X-\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}\right\}$ is a collection of pre $\mu_{I^{-}}$ closed sets. By theorem:8.3, $\cap\left\{X-\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}\right\}$ is a pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed sets. Therefore $\cup\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set.

Remark 10.1. Intersection of any two pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-open sets need not be pre* $\mu_{I}$-open set. Now we can see the following example. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$ be a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$.

Then pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set $=\{<X,\{a\}, \phi>,<X, \phi, X>,<X,\{c\}, \phi>,<X,\{c, a\}, \phi>,<X,\{a\},\{b\}>$ $,<X,\{a\},\{c\}>,<X, \phi,\{b\}>,<X, \phi,\{a, b\}>,<X,\{a, c\},\{b\}>,<X,\{c\},\{a, b\}>$, $<X, \phi,\{b, c\}>,<X,\{a\},\{b, c\}>,<X,\{c\},\{b\}>\}$. Let $A=<X,\{a\}, \phi>$ and $B=<X,\{c\}, \phi>$ be pre* $\mu_{I}$-open sets. Then $A \cap B=<X, \phi, \phi>$ which is not a pre $\mu_{I}$-open set.

## 11. Pre $^{*} \mu_{I}$-Interior in Gits

Definition 11.1. Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GITS and $A \subseteq X$. Then the pre $\mu_{I}$-interior of $A$, denoted by $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$, is the union of all pre* $\mu_{I}$-open sets contained in $A$.

Theorem 11.1. Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GITS. Then $A \subseteq X$ is a pre $\mu_{I}$-open set iff $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$.
Proof. Suppose $A \subseteq X$ is a pre* $\mu_{I}$-open set, by the definition we get $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$. Conversely suppose $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=A$. By theorem:10.2, we get $A$ is a pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set.

Note 11.1. $(i) i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left(\phi_{\sim}\right)=\phi_{\sim}$.
(ii) $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left(X_{\sim}\right) \neq X_{\sim}$.

Theorem 11.2. (Enhancing Property) $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$.

Proof. Since $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ is the union of all pre* $\mu_{I}$-open sets contained in $A, i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$.

Theorem 11.3. (Monotonicity Property) If $A \subseteq B$ then $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Proof. Given that $A \subseteq B$,then $x \in i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Then $x \in \cup G, G$ is a pre $\mu_{I}$-open set and $G \subseteq A$. This impiles $x \in G$, for all pre $\mu_{I}$-open set $G$ contained in $B$. Hence $x \in \cup G, G$ is a pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set contained in $B$. So $x \in i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$. Therefore $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Theorem 11.4. (Idempotency Property) $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]=i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Proof. From theorem:11.2 and 11.3, we have $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right] \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Let $x \in i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$. Then $x \in G$, for some pre $\mu_{I}$-open set $G$ such that $G \subseteq A \Rightarrow G=i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(G) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ and hence $x \in$ $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]$. Then we get $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]$. Therefore $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}\left[i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)\right]=i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 11.5. $i_{\mu_{I}}(A) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in i_{\mu_{I}}(A)$. Then $x \in \cup G$, where $G$ is a $\mu_{I^{-}}$open set contained in $A$. It gives $x \in \cup G$, where $G$ is a $\mu_{I} g-$ open set contained in $A$. That is $x \in i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ which implies $x \in \cup G$, where $G$ is a pre $\mu_{I}$-open set contained in $A$. Then $x \in i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ and by theorem:11.2, we have $x \in A$. Therefore $i_{\mu_{I}}(A) \subseteq i_{\mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq A$.

Theorem 11.6. $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Proof. We know that $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B$. Then $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ and $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq$ $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$. Therefore $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Example 9. The inclusion may be strict or equal, we can see the ensuing illustration, Let $X=\{a, b, c\}$. Then pre* $\mu_{I}$-closed set $=\{<X, \phi, X>,<X, \phi,\{a\}>,<X, X, \phi>,<X, \phi\{b\},<$ $X, \phi,\{a, b\}>,<X,\{b\},\{a\}>,<X,\{a, c\},\{b\}>,<X, \phi,\{c\}$
$>,<X,\{c\}, \phi>,<X,\{b\},\{c\}>,<X,\{a, b\},\{c\}>,<X, \phi,\{b, c\}>,<X,\{a\},\{b, c\}$
$>,<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>,<X,\{c, a\}, \phi>,<X,\{b\},\{c, a\}>,<X,\{b, c\}, \phi>,<X,\{c\},\{a\}$
$>,<X,\{b, c\},\{a\}>,<X,\{c\},\{b\}>,<X,\{c\},\{a, b\}>,<X, \phi, \phi>\}$. Let $A=<X,\{a\}, \phi>$ and $B=<X,\{c\},\{a\}>$. Then $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{a\}, \phi>, i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X,\{c\}$,
$\{a\}>$ which implies $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi,\{a\}>$. Now, $A \cap B=<X, \phi,\{a\}>$. Then $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)=<X, \phi,\{a, c\}>$. Hence $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B) \subset i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$. Take $A=<X, \phi, \phi>$ $, B=<X, \phi,\{a\}>$. Then $A \cap B=<X, \phi,\{a\}>$ which gives $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)=<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>$. $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X, \phi, \phi>, i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi,\{c, a\}>$. Hence $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cap B)=i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cap i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

Theorem 11.7. $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$.

Proof. We know that $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$. Then $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$ and $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq$ $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$. Therefore $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subseteq i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$.

Example 10. The inclusion may be strict or equal, we can see the following illustration, Let $X=$ $\{u, v, w\}$ be a GITS $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$. Then pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set $=\{<X, \phi,\{v\},<X, X, \phi\rangle,<X, \phi,\{w\}>$ $,<X, \phi,\{v, w\}>,<X,\{u\},\{v\}>,<X,\{u\},\{w\}>,<X,\{u\}$, $\phi>,<X,\{w\}, \phi>,<X,\{u\},\{v, w\}>,<X,\{w\},\{v\}>,<X,\{u, v\}, \phi>,<X,\{u, v\},\{$ $w\}>,<X,\{u, w\},\{v\}>,<X, \phi, \phi>,<X,\{u, w\}, \phi>\}$. Let $A=<X,\{v, w\},\{u\}>$ and $B=<$ $X,\{w, u\},\{v\}>$. Then $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<X,\{v, w\},\{u\}>, i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X,\{w\},\{u$, $v\}>$ which implies $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X,\{v, w\},\{u\}>$. Now, $A \cup B=<X, X, \phi>$. Then $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=<X,\{v, w\}, \phi>$. Hence $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B) \subset i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)$. Take $A=<X, \phi, \phi>$ $, B=<X, \phi,\{v\}>$. Then $A \cup B=<X, \phi, \phi>$ which gives $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=<X, \phi, \phi>. i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=<$ $X, \phi, \phi>, i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)=<X, \phi,\{u, v\}>$. Hence $i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A \cup B)=i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A) \cup i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(B)$.

## Relation between Pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-Closure and Pre $\mu_{I}$-Interior in GITS.

Property 11.1. Let $\left(X, \mu_{I}\right)$ be a GITS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Afterwards the subsequent statements are hold.
i) $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})=\overline{i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$
ii) $\overline{c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}=i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$
iii) $\overline{c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})}=i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$
iv) $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)=\overline{i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})}$.

Proof. i) Let $x \in c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$. Then $x \in \cap F, F$ is a pre $\mu_{I}$-closed set and $\bar{A} \subseteq F$, which implies $x \in F$, for all pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed set $F$ such that $\bar{A} \subseteq F$. Therefore $x \notin X-F$, for all pre $* \mu_{I}$-open set $X-F$ such that $X-F \subseteq A$. Then $x \notin i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ and hence $x \in \overline{i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$ which implies $c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A}) \subseteq \overline{i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$. Suppose $x \notin c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$, then $x \notin \cap F, F$ is pre $* \mu_{I}$-closed set and $\bar{A} \subseteq F$, which implies $x \notin F$, for some pre $* \mu_{I}$-closed set contains $\bar{A}$. Therefore $x \in X-F$, for some pre $* \mu_{I}$-open set $X-F$ such that $X-F \subseteq A$ and consequently $x \in i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)$ which implies $x \notin \overline{i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)}$. Then $\overline{i_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(A)} \subseteq c_{p \mu_{I}}^{*}(\bar{A})$ and we get a result.
ii) Proof is similar to i).
iii) Following by taking complements in i).
iv) Replacing $A$ by $(\bar{A})$ in i).

## 12. Conclusion

In this article, we dealt with $\mu_{I} g$-Exterior, $\mu_{I} g$-border and $\mu_{I} g$-Frontier,pre ${ }^{*} \mu_{I}$-closed and pre $^{*} \mu_{I}$-open set. In future we wish to do our research in $\mu_{I} g$-dence, $\mu_{I} g$-connected, $\mu_{I} g$-compact and $\mu_{I} g$-continuous and so on.
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