

Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), No. 4, 4943-4959 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/5506 ISSN: 1927-5307

## MAPPINGS AND PRODUCTS IN SOFT L-TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

SANDHYA S. PAI, T. BAIJU\*

Department of Mathematics, Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal - 576104, India

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Fuzzy set, soft set and their extensions have been successful in being a raapproachment between precise classical mathematics and imprecise real world. In particular, soft lattices as a generalization of soft set is a new mathematical approach to study uncertainity. Soft L-topological spaces are defined over a soft lattice L with a fixed set of parameter P and the continuity of mappings of soft L-topological spaces has also been studied. In this paper, we introduce the concept of soft L-continuous mapping between two soft L-topological spaces. Further some results based on soft L-homeomorphism are also obtained. Finally, the concept of cartesian product of soft L-sets are defined and explored some results relating to this.

Keywords: soft L-continuity; soft L-homeomorphism; soft L-cartesian product; soft L-product topology.2010 AMS Subject Classification: 11T23, 20G40, 94B05, 54B05, 54B10, 54C05.

### **1.** INTRODUCTION

The concept of soft set theory begins with Molodtsov [1, 3] in the year 1999. It is completely new approach for modelling, vagueness and uncertainties. Few applications in many directions of soft set theory have been shown by Molodtsov in [1, 3]. Also Maji et.al [2, 3] studied soft sets introduced by Molodtsov [1, 3] and gave the definitions based on equality of two soft sets, subset and super set of a soft set, complement of a soft set, null soft set, and absolute soft

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

E-mail address: baiju.t@manipal.edu

Received February 02, 2021

#### SANDHYA S. PAI, T. BAIJU

set with examples and basic properties are also defined. The algebraic structure of set theory dealing with uncertainties has also been studied by some authors [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The concept of soft set has been extended to soft lattices and soft fuzzy sets by Li.F [9] in the year 2010. Soft lattices can also expressed in terms of algebraical and set theoretical manner. Cagman et al. [10] did a deep study on these two concepts and came to the conclusion that algebraical and set theoretical definitions are equivalent or same. Cagman et al. (2011)[10] presented the related properties of soft topology on a soft set. We follow the approach of M. Shabir and M. Naz [11] who introduced the concept of soft topological spaces in the year 2011 and studied some basic properties. In our work, we use the notion of soft set initiated by Molodtsov [1, ?] and extend this idea to the field of soft lattices [9] and obtain the topological properties of soft lattices. In 2016, Cigdem Gunduz Aras, Ayse Sonmez and Huseyin Cakalli [12] introduced soft continuous mappings. Some of its properties are studied by many authors [16, 17, 18]. In 2012, H.Hazra, P.Majumdar and S.K.Samanta [13] gave the definition of continuity of soft mappings with their properties. In 2015, Yang et al. [14] first proposed the concept of soft continuous mapping between two soft topological spaces. In 2013, E. Peyghana, B. Samadia and A. Tayebib [15] discussed cartesian product of soft sets and soft product topology.

Soft Lattice topological spaces (Soft *L*-topological spaces or Soft *L*-space) [19] are introduced with a fixed set of parameters P over an initial universe X. We have defined some basic properties of soft L-topological spaces and also gave the definition of soft L-open and soft Lclosed sets. The soft L-closure of a soft lattice is also defined which is a generalization of closure of a set. The concept of parameters plays a major role with the set of parameterized topologies on the initial universe. We define a topological space corresponding to each parameter, and it is more essential. We show that a soft topological space gives a parameterized family of topologies on the initial universe. Converse need not be true. It means if we are given some topologies for each parameter, it is not possible to construct a soft topological space.

We introduced soft L-continuous mappings [20] which are defined over an initial universe set with a fixed set of parameters. Further we discuss some algebraic properties of soft L-mappings such as injectivity, surjectivity, bijectivity and composition of soft L-mappings and study their continuity properties under soft L-topology. The continuity of mappings of soft

L-topological spaces has defined and its properties has investigated. Also, soft open and soft closed L-mappings, soft L-homeomorphism are defined and some interesting results are obtained.

In this paper, the concept of soft L-continuous mapping between two soft L-topological spaces is proposed and some results are proved. Also, we have proved some theorems based on soft L-homeomorphism. Finally, cartesian product for soft L-sets are defined and some results are discussed.

### **2.** Preliminaries and Basic Definitions

Throughout this paper, we consider L as a complete lattice and we denote universal bounds as  $\bot$  and  $\top$ . Our assumption is *L* is consistent i.e. floor is different from top. Therefore,  $\bot \leq \alpha \leq \top$  for every  $\alpha \in L$ . Also  $\forall \phi = \bot$  and  $\land \phi = \top$ . The two point lattice  $\{\bot, \top\}$  is denoted by 2. A unary operation  $\prime : L \longrightarrow L$  is quasi complementation. It is an involution (i.e.,  $\alpha'' = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in L$ ) that inverts the ordering. (i.e.,  $\alpha \leq \beta \Longrightarrow \beta' \leq \alpha'$ ). De Morgan's laws also hold in  $(L, \prime)$ . (i.e.,  $(\lor A)' = \land \{\alpha' : \alpha \in A\}$  and  $(\land A)' = \lor \{\alpha' : \alpha \in A\}$  for every  $A \subset L$ ). In addition,  $\bot' = \top$  and  $\top' = \bot$ . Based on these concepts, we use a completely distributive lattice  $(L, \prime)$  as a complete lattice equipped with an order reserving involution in this paper.

**Definition 2.1.** [1] *Assume X as an initial universe set and P be a set of parameters. The power* set of X is denoted as  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $B \subset P$ . Then a pair (P,B) is said to be a soft set over X, where the mapping P is given by  $P : B \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

*i.e.*, a soft set over X is regarded as a parametrized family of subsets of the universe X. For  $b \in B$ , the set of approximate elements of the soft set (P,B) denoted by P(b).

**Definition 2.2.** [9] Consider M = (f, X, L), where L is a complete lattice,  $f : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(L)$  is a mapping, X is a universe set, then M is called the soft lattice denoted by  $f_P^L$ . ie, for every  $x \in X$ ,  $f_P^L$  is a soft lattice over L, if f(x) is a sub lattice of L.

**Definition 2.3.** [19] The relative complement of a soft lattice  $f_P^L$  is denoted by  $(f_P^L)'$  and is defined as  $(f_P^L)' = (f_P'^L)$  where  $f' : P \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(L)$  is a mapping given by  $f'(\alpha) = L - f(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in P$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [19] *Consider X as an initial universe set and P as the non-empty set of parameters.* 

Let  $\tau$  be the set of complete, uniquely complemented soft lattices over L, then  $\tau$  is said to be a soft lattice topology on L if;

 $(i)\phi, L belongs to \tau.$ 

(ii) The arbitrary union of soft lattices in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ .

(iii) The finite intersection of soft lattices in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ .

Then  $(L, \tau, P)$  is called a soft lattice topological space (soft topological lattice space or soft L -space) over L.

**Definition 2.5.** [19] Consider  $(L, \tau, P)$  as a soft lattice topological space over L, then the members of  $\tau$  are called as soft L-open sets in L.

**Definition 2.6.** [19] Let  $(L, \tau, P)$  be a soft lattice topological space over L. A soft lattice  $f_P^L$  over L is said to be a soft L-closed set in L, if its relative complement  $(f_P^L)'$  belongs to  $\tau$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [19] We consider *L* as a lattice, *P* be the set of parameters and  $\tau = {\phi, L}$ . Then  $\tau$  is called the soft indiscrete lattice topology on *L* and  $(L, \tau, P)$  is said to be a soft indiscrete lattice topological space over *L*.

**Definition 2.8.** [19] Consider L be a lattice, P be the set of parameters and let  $\tau$  be the collection of all soft lattices which can be defined over L. Then  $\tau$  is called the soft discrete lattice topology on L and  $(L, \tau, P)$  is said to be a soft discrete lattice topological space over L.

**Definition 2.9.** [19] We consider  $(L, \tau, P)$  as a soft lattice topological space over L and  $f_P^L$  be a soft lattice over L. Then the soft lattice closure of  $f_P^L$ , denoted by  $\overline{f}_P^L$ , is the intersection of all soft L-closed super sets of  $f_P^L$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [19] Let  $(L, \tau, P)$  be a soft lattice topological space over L and  $f_P^L$  be a soft lattice over L. Then we associate with  $f_P^L$ , a soft lattice L, denoted by  $\overline{f}_P^L$  and defined as  $\overline{f}(\alpha) = \overline{f(\alpha)}$ , where  $\overline{f(\alpha)}$  is the soft L-closure of  $f(\alpha)$  in  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in P$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [19] Consider  $(L, \tau, P)$  as a soft lattice topological space over L,  $g_P^L$  be a soft lattice over L and  $x \in L$ . Then x is said to be a soft L-interior point of  $g_P^L$  if there exists a soft L-open set  $f_P^L$  such that  $x \in f_P^L \subset g_P^L$ . It is denoted by  $(f_P^L)^o$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [19] Let  $(L, \tau, P)$  be a soft lattice topological space over L,  $g_P^L$  be a soft lattice over L and  $x \in L$ . Then  $g_P^L$  is said to be a soft lattice neighbourhood of x if there exists a soft L-open set  $f_P^L$  such that  $x \in f_P^L \subset g_P^L$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** [19] Let  $(L, \tau, P)$  be a soft L- space over L. Then the set  $\tau_a = \{f(a) | f_P^L \in \tau\}$  for all  $a \in P$  gives a topology on L.

**Definition 2.14.** [20] Consider  $f_P^L$  as a soft lattice over L. The soft lattice  $f_P^L$  is called a soft L-point, denoted by  $(l_p, P)$ , for the element  $p \in P$ ,  $f(p) = \{l\}$  and  $f(p') = \phi$  for all  $p' \in P - \{l\}$ .

**Definition 2.15.** [20] Let  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be two soft lattice topological spaces. The mapping  $f_g$  is called a soft L-mapping from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$  denoted by  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$ , where  $f: L_1 \longrightarrow L_2$  and  $g: P \longrightarrow P$  are two mappings. For each soft L-neighbourhood  $g_P^L$  of  $(f(l)_p, P)$ , if there exist a soft L-neighbourhood  $f_P^L$  of  $(l_p, P)$  such that  $f_g(f_P^L \subset g_P^L)$ , then  $f_g$  is said to be soft L-continuous mapping at  $(l_p, P)$ .

If  $f_g$  is soft L-continuous mapping for all  $(l_p, P)$ , then  $f_g$  is called soft L-continuous mapping.

**Definition 2.16.** [20] Let  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be two soft lattice topological spaces,  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be a mapping. Then

(a) If the image  $f_g(f_P^L)$  of each soft L-open set  $f_P^L$  over  $L_1$  is a soft L-open set in  $L_2$ , then  $f_g$  is said to be a soft L-open mapping.

(b) If the image  $f_g(h_P^L)$  of each soft L-closed set  $h_P^L$  over  $L_1$  is a soft L-closed set in  $L_2$ , then  $f_g$  is said to be a soft L-closed mapping.

**Theorem 2.17.** [20] We know that  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  are two soft lattice topological spaces,  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be a mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  is a soft L-continuous mapping.

(2) For each soft L-open set  $G_P^L$  over  $L_2$ ,  $f_g^{-1}(g_P^L)$  is a soft L-open set over  $L_1$ .

- (3) For each soft L-closed set  $H_P^L$  over  $L_2$ ,  $f_g^{-1}(h_P^L)$  is a soft L-closed set over  $L_1$ .
- (4) For each soft L-set  $F_P^L$  over  $L_1$ ,  $f_g(\overline{f_P^L}) \subset \overline{f_g(f_P^L)}$ .
- (5) For each soft L-set  $G_P^L$  over  $L_2$ ,  $\overline{f_g^{-1}(g_P^L)} \subset f_g(\overline{g_P^L})$ .
- (6) For each soft L-set  $g_P^L$  over  $L_2$ ,  $f_g^{-1}((g_P^L)^o) \subset (f_g^{-1}(g_P^L))^o$ .

**Theorem 2.18.** [20] If  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  is a soft L-continuous mapping, then for each  $\alpha \in P$ ,  $f_{g\alpha}: (L_1, \tau_{1\alpha}) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_{2\alpha})$  is a soft continuous mapping.

**Proposition 2.19.** [20] If  $f_{g\alpha}: (L_1, \tau_{1\alpha}) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_{2\alpha})$  is soft L-open(closed) mapping, then for each  $\alpha \in P$ ,  $f_{g\alpha}: (L_1, \tau_{1\alpha}) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_{2\alpha})$  is an soft open(closed) mapping.

**Theorem 2.20.** [20] Let  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be two soft lattice topological spaces,  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be a mapping. Then (a)  $f_g$  is a soft L-open mapping if for each soft L-set  $f_P^L$  over  $L_1$ ,  $f_g((f_P^L)^o) \subset (f_g(f_P^L))^o$  is satisfied. (b)  $f_g$  is a soft L-closed mapping if for each soft L-set  $f_P^L$  over  $L_1$ ,  $\overline{f_g((f_P^L))} \subset f_g(\overline{f_P})$  is satisfied.

**Definition 2.21.** [20] Consider  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  as two soft lattice topological spaces,  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be a mapping. If  $f_g$  is a bijection, soft L-continuous and  $f_g^{-1}$  is a soft L-continuous mapping, then  $f_g$  is said to be soft L-homeomorphism from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ . When a soft homeomorphism  $f_g$  exists between  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , we say that  $L_1$  is soft L-homeomorphic to  $L_2$ .

**Theorem 2.22.** [20] Let  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be two soft lattice topological spaces,  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be a bijection mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $f_g$  is a homeomorphism on soft L-topological space,
- (2)  $f_g$  is a continuous and closed mapping on soft L-topological space,
- (3)  $f_g$  is a continuous and open mapping on soft L-topological space.

### 3. SOFT LATTICE CONTINUOUS MAPPING BETWEEN SOFT L-TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

In this subsection, we discuss the concept of Soft lattice continuous mapping between two soft L-topological spaces with their related properties.

Consider the two initial universe sets be *X* and *Y* and let *P* be a non-empty parameter. The set of all soft L-sets over *X* is denoted by  $S_{L_1}(X)$ . Similarly, the set of all soft L-sets over *Y* is denoted by  $S_{L_2}(Y)$ .

# **Definition 3.1.** Let $f_g$ be a mapping from X to Y. Then

(1) The soft L-set mapping induced by  $f_g$ , denoted  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}$  is a soft mapping from  $S_{L_1}(X)$  to  $S_{L_2}(Y)$  that maps  $f_P^L$  to  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L) = (f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f^L), P)$ , where  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L)$  is defined by  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f^L)(\alpha) = \{f_g(l)|l \in f^L)(\alpha)\} \forall \alpha \in P$ .

(2) The inverse soft L-set mapping induced by  $f_g$ , denoted by the notation  $f_g^{\leftarrow}$  is a soft mapping from  $S_{L_2}(Y)$  to  $S_{L_1}(X)$  that maps  $g_P^L$  to  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L) = (f_g^{\leftarrow}(g^L), P)$ , where  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L)$  is defined by  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g^L)(\alpha) = \{l|f_g(l) \in g^L)(\alpha)\} \forall \alpha \in P.$ 

**Example 3.2.** Suppose  $L_1 = \{l_1, l_2, l_3\}, L_2 = \{h_1, h_2\}, P = \{p_1, p_2\}$ . The mapping  $f_g$  is given by  $f_g(l_1) = h_1, f_g(l_2) = h_1, f_g(l_3) = h_2$ . (1) If  $f_P^L \in S_{L_1}(X)$  is defined by  $\{f(p_1) = \{l_1, l_2\}, f(p_2) = \{l_2, l_3\}\}$ , then  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L) = (f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f^L), P) = \{f_g^{\longrightarrow}f(p_1) = \{h_1\}, f_g^{\longrightarrow}f(p_2) = L_2\} \in S_{L_2}(Y)$ . (2) If  $g_P^L \in S_{L_2}(Y)$  is defined by  $\{g(p_1) = \{h_2\}, g(p_2) = \{h_1\}\}$ , then  $f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_P^L) = (f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g^L), P) = \{f_g^{\longleftarrow}g(p_1) = \{l_3\}, f_g^{\longleftarrow}g(p_2) = \{l_1, l_2\}\} \in S_{L_1}(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let us consider  $f_g$  to be a mapping from X to Y,  $f_{1P}^L, f_{2P}^L \in S_{L_1}(X)$ . Then (1)  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(\phi) = \phi$ . (2)  $f_{1P}^L \subset f_{2P}^L \Rightarrow f_g^{\longrightarrow}(F_{1P}^L) \subset f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_{2P}^L)$ (3)  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_{1P}^L \cup f_{2P}^L) = f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_{1P}^L) \cup f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_{2P}^L)$ (4)  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_{1P}^L \cap f_{2P}^L) \subset f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_{1P}^L) \cap f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_{2P}^L)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** When  $f_g$  be a mapping from X to Y,  $g_{1P}^L, g_{2P}^L \in S_{L_2}(Y)$ . Then (1)  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(\phi) = \phi$ ,  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(Y) = X$ (2)  $g_{1P}^L \subset g_{2P}^L \Rightarrow f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_{1P}^L) \subset f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_{2P}^L)$   $(3) f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_{1P}^L \cup g_{2P}^L) = f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_{1P}^L) \cup f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_{2P}^L)$   $(4) f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_{1P}^L \cap g_{2P}^L) = f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_{1P}^L) \cap f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_{2P}^L)$   $(5) f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_{1P}^L)' = (f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_{1P}^L))'.$ 

**Proposition 3.5.** Consider  $f_g$  be a mapping from X to Y,  $f_P^L \in S_{L_1}(X)$  and  $g_P^L \in S_{L_2}(Y)$ . Then (1)  $f_g^{\longleftarrow}(f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L)) \supset f_P^L$ . If  $f_g$  is one to one, then  $f_g^{\longleftarrow}(f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L)) = f_P^L$ . (2)  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_P^L)) \subset g_P^L$ . If  $f_g$  is surjective, then  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_P^L)) = g_P^L$ .

Proof. (1) Let 
$$f_g^{\longrightarrow}(g_P^L) = g_P^L$$
. Then  $\forall \alpha \in P$ ,  
 $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g^L)(\alpha) = \{l|f_g(l) \in g^L(\alpha)\} = \{l|f_g(l) \in \{f_g(t)|t \in f^L(\alpha)\} \supset f^L(\alpha),$   
which implies  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(f_g^{\rightarrow}(f_P^L)) \supset f_P^L$ .  
If  $f_g$  is one to one, then  $\{l|f_g(l) \in \{f_g(t)|t \in f^L(\alpha)\} = f^L(\alpha),$  thus  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(f_g^{\rightarrow}(f_P^L)) = f_P^L$ .  
(2) Let  $f_g^{\rightarrow}(g_P^L) = f_P^L$ . Then  $\forall \alpha \in P$ ,  
 $f_g^{\leftarrow}(f^L)(\alpha) = \{f_g(l)|l \in f^L)(\alpha)\} = \{f_g(l)|l \in \{f_g(t)|t \in g^L(\alpha)\} \supset g^L(\alpha),$   
which implies  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(f_g^{\rightarrow}(g_P^L)) \subset g_P^L$ .  
If  $f_g$  is mainstand then  $\{f_g(t)|t \in \{f_g(t)|t \in f_g(\alpha)\} = f_g(\alpha)\}$ .

If  $f_g$  is surjective, then  $\{f_g(l)|l \in \{f_g(t)|t \in g^L(\alpha)\} = g^L(\alpha)$ , thus  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_P^L)) = g_P^L$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be two soft lattice topological spaces over X and Y respectively and  $f_g$  be a mapping from X and Y. If  $\forall g_P^L \in \tau_2, f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L) \in \tau_1$ , then  $f_g$  is called soft L-continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  to  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$ .

**Example 3.7.** Suppose  $L_1 = \{l_1, l_2, l_3\}, L_2 = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}, P = \{p_1, p_2\}$  and  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, L_1, f_{1P}^L, f_{2P}^L\}$  is a soft L-topological space over X, where  $f_{1P}^L, f_{2P}^L$  are soft lattices over X defined by  $f_1(p_1) = \{l_2\}, f_1(p_2) = \{l_1\}, f_2(p_1) = \{l_2, l_3\}, f_2(p_2) = \{l_1, l_2\}.$ Then  $\tau_1$  is a soft L-topology on X and hence  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  is a soft lattice topological spaces over X.

Also  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, L_2, g_{1P}^L, g_{2P}^L\}$  is a soft L-topological space over Y, where  $g_{1P}^L, g_{2P}^L$  are soft lattices over Y, defined as

$$g_1(p_1) = \{h_1\}, g_1(p_2) = \{h_2\},$$
  

$$g_2(p_1) = \{h_1, h_3\}, g_2(p_2) = \{h_1, h_2\},$$
  
If  $f_g : L_1 \longrightarrow L_2$  as  $f_g(l_1) = h_2, f_g(l_2) = h_1, f_g(l_3) = h_3.$ 

Now  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L) \in \tau_1$  for all  $g_P^L \in \tau_2$ . Thus  $f_g$  is a soft L-continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  to  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$ .

Thus fg is a soft E commutates mapping from  $(E_1, v_1, r)$  to  $(E_2, v_2, r)$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** We have  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  as the two soft lattice topological spaces over X and Y respectively. If  $f_g$  is soft L-continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  to  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$ , then  $f_g$  is a soft continuous mapping from  $f_g$  to  $(L_1, \tau_{1\alpha})$  to  $(L_2, \tau_{2\alpha})$  for all  $\alpha \in P$ .

*Proof.* Using proposition 2.17,  $(L_1, \tau_{1\alpha})$  and  $(L_2, \tau_{2\alpha})$  are two soft lattice topological spaces for all  $\alpha \in P$ . If  $A \in \tau_{2\alpha}$ , then there exists a soft L-set  $g_P^L \in \tau_2$  such that  $A = g(\alpha)$ .

Since  $f_g$  is soft L-continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  to  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$ , then  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L) \in \tau_1$ . Thus  $f_g^{-1}(A) = f_g^{-1}(g(\alpha)) = \{l | f_g(l) \in g(\alpha)\} = f_g^{\leftarrow}(g(\alpha)) \in \tau_{1\alpha}$ .

By the definition of soft continuous,  $f_g$  is a soft continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_{1\alpha}) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_{2\alpha})$ for all  $\alpha \in P$ . This proposition tells that a soft L-continuous mapping gives a parameterized family of soft continuous mapping.

**Example 3.9.** Suppose  $L_1 = \{l_1, l_2, l_3\}, L_2 = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}, P = \{p_1, p_2\} and \tau_1 = \{\phi, L_1, f_{1P}^L, f_{2P}^L\}$  is a soft L-topological space over X, where  $f_{1P}^L, f_{2P}^L$  are soft lattices over X defined by

 $f_1(p_1) = \{l_2\}, f_1(p_2) = \{l_1\},$  $f_2(p_1) = \{l_2, l_3\}, f_2(p_2) = \{l_1, l_2\}.$ 

Then  $\tau_1$  is a soft L-topology on X and hence  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  is a soft lattice topological spaces over X.

Also  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, L_2, g_{1P}^L, g_{2P}^L\}$  is a soft L-topological space over Y, where  $g_{1P}^L, g_{2P}^L$  are soft lattices over Y, defined as

$$\begin{split} g_1(p_1) &= \{h_1\}, g_1(p_2) = \{h_2\}, \\ g_2(p_1) &= \{h_1, h_3\}, g_2(p_2) = \{h_1, h_2\}, \\ If f_g : X \longrightarrow Y \text{ as } f_g(l_1) &= h_2, f_g(l_2) = h_1, f_g(l_3) = h_3. \\ Now f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L) &\in \tau_1 \text{ for all } g_P^L \in \tau_2. \\ Thus f_g \text{ is a soft L-continuous mapping from } (L_1, \tau_1, P) \text{ to } (L_2, \tau_2, P). \\ Here \text{ by proposition } 2.17, \ \tau_{1p_1} &= \{\phi, L_1, \{l_2\}, \{l_2, l_3\}\} \text{ and } \tau_{1p_2} = \{\phi, L_1, \{l_1\}, \{l_1, l_2\}\} \text{ are two topologies on } X. \end{split}$$

 $\tau_{2p_1} = \{\phi, L_2, \{h_1\}, \{h_1, h_3\}\}$  and  $\tau_{2p_2} = \{\phi, L_2, \{h_1, h_2\}\}$  are two topologies on *Y*.

Hence  $f_g$  is a soft continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_{1p_1})$  to  $(L_2, \tau_{2p_1})$  and also a soft continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_{1p_2})$  to  $(L_2, \tau_{2p_2})$ .

The following example shows that the inverse of proposition 3.8 does not hold in general.

**Example 3.10.** Suppose  $L_1 = \{l_1, l_2, l_3\}, L_2 = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}, P = \{p_1, p_2\}$  and  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, L_1, f_{1P}^L, f_{2P}^L\}$  is a soft L-topological space over X, where  $f_{1P}^L, f_{2P}^L$  are soft lattices over X defined by  $f_1(p_1) = \{l_2\}, f_1(p_2) = \{l_1\}, f_2(p_1) = \{l_2, l_3\}, f_2(p_2) = \{l_1, l_2\}.$ Then  $\tau_1$  is a soft L-topology on X and hence  $(L, \tau_1, P)$  is a soft lattice topological spaces over

Then  $\iota_1$  is a soft L-topology on X and hence  $(L, \iota_1, P)$  is a soft lattice topological spaces over X.

Let  $Y = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}, \tau_2 = \{\phi, L_2, g_{3P}^L\}$ , where the soft L-set  $g_{3P}^L$  over Y defined by  $g_3(p_1) = \{h_1\}, g_3(p_2) = \{h_1, h_2\}.$ 

If  $f_g$  is a mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  to  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$ .

*Here by proposition 2.17,*  $\tau_{1p_1} = \{\phi, L_1, \{l_2\}, \{l_2, l_3\}\}$  and  $\tau_{1p_2} = \{\phi, L_1, \{l_1\}, \{l_1, l_2\}\}$  are two topologies on *X*.

Also  $\tau_{2p_1} = \{\phi, L_2, \{h_1\}, \{h_1, h_3\}\}$  and  $\tau_{2p_2} = \{\phi, L_2, \{h_1, h_2\}\}$  are two topologies on Y. Hence  $f_g$  is a continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_{1p_1})$  to  $(L_2, \tau_{2p_1})$  and also from  $(L_1, \tau_{1p_2})$  to  $(L_2, \tau_{2p_2})$ . However,  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_{3P}^L) = \{f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_3(p_1) = \{l_2\}, f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_3(p_2) = \{l_1, l_2\}\} \notin \tau_1$ 

This implies  $f_g$  is not a soft L-continuous mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  to  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$ . The following proposition gives some equivalence characterizations of soft L-continuous mapping.

**Proposition 3.11.** We take  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  as two soft lattice topological spaces over X and Y respectively and  $f_g : X \longrightarrow Y$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $f_g$  is a soft L-topological mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  to  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$ .
- (2) For each soft L-closed set  $g_P^L$  in Y,  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L)$  is a soft L-closed set in X.
- (3) For each soft L-set  $f_P^L$  in X,  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(\overline{f_P^L}) \subset \overline{f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L)}$ .
- (4) For each soft L-set  $g_P^L$  in Y,  $f_g^{\longleftarrow}(\overline{g_P^L}) \supset \overline{f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_P^L)}$ .

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : Let  $g_P^L$  be a soft L-closed set in Y. Then  $(g_P^L)'$  is a soft L-closed set in Y. By (1) and Proposition 3.4,  $f_g^{\longleftarrow}((g_P^L)') = (f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_P^L))'$  is a soft L-closed set in X. Hence  $f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L)$  is a soft L-closed set in X.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $f_P^L$  be a soft L-set in X.

By theorem 2.16,  $f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L) \subset \overline{f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L)}$ .

Then by Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5,  $f_P^L \subset f_g^{\longleftarrow}(f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L)) \subset \overline{f_g^{\leftarrow}(f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L))}$ .

Since  $\overline{f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L)}$  is a soft L-closed set in *Y*, then by (2),  $\overline{f_g^{\longleftarrow}(f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L))}$  is a soft L-closed set in *X*.

Thus  $\overline{(f_P^L)} \subset f_g^{\longleftarrow}(\overline{f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_P^L)}).$ 

Also by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5,

$$\begin{split} f_g^{\longrightarrow} \overline{(f_P^L)}) &\subset f_g^{\longrightarrow} (f_g^{\longleftarrow} (\overline{f_g^{\longrightarrow}} (f_P^L))) \subset \overline{f_g^{\longrightarrow}} (f_P^L).\\ \text{So } f_g^{\longrightarrow} \overline{(f_P^L)}) &\subset \overline{f_g^{\longrightarrow}} (f_P^L). \end{split}$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $g_P^L$  be a soft L-set in Y.

By (3), Proposition 3.5 and theorem 2.16,

$$f_g^{\longrightarrow}\overline{(f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_P^L))} \subset \overline{f_g^{\longrightarrow}(f_g^{\longleftarrow}(g_P^L))} \subset \overline{(g_P^L)}.$$

Then by Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5,

$$\begin{split} &f_g^{\longleftarrow}\overline{(g_P^L)}\supset f_g^{\longleftarrow}(f_g^{\longrightarrow}\overline{(f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L))})\supset\overline{f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L)}.\\ &\text{So }f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{(g_P^L)}\supset\overline{f_g^{\leftarrow}(g_P^L)}.\\ &(\underline{4})\Rightarrow(\underline{1})\text{: Let }g_P^L\text{ be a soft L-closed set in }Y. \text{ Then }(g_P^L)'\text{ is a soft L-closed set in }Y.\\ &\text{By }(\underline{4}) \text{ and theorem }2.16, \overline{f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{((g_P^L)')}}\subset f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{((g_P^L)')}.\\ &\text{Obviously, }\overline{f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{((g_P^L)')}}\supset f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{(g_P^L)'}).\\ &\text{Thus }\overline{f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{((g_P^L)')}}=f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{((g_P^L)')}=(f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{(g_P^L)})' \text{ [By 2] which soft L-closed set in }X.\\ &\text{Therefore }f_g^{\leftarrow}\overline{(g_P^L)} \text{ is a soft L-closed set in }X. \end{split}$$

Hence  $f_g$  is a soft L-topological mapping from  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  to  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$ .

# 4. Soft L-Continuous Mapping - Soft L-Homeomorphism

**Theorem 4.1.** We consider  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be two soft lattice topological spaces,  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  be a mapping. Then  $f_g$  is soft L-continuous if and only if  $f_g(\overline{f_P^L}) \subset \overline{f_g((f_P^L))}$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $f_g$  be soft L-continuous. Since  $\overline{f_g((f_P^L))}$  is a soft L-closed set in  $L_2$ ,  $f_g^{-1}\overline{f_g((f_P^L))}$  is soft L-closed set in  $L_1$  containing  $f_P^L$ .

Also,  $\overline{(f_P^L)}$  is the smallest soft L-closed set in  $L_1$  containing  $f_P^L$ . Therefore,  $\overline{(f_P^L)} \subset \overline{f_g^{-1}}\overline{f_g((f_P^L))}$ . Hence  $f_g(\overline{f_P^L}) \subset \overline{f_g((f_P^L))}$ . Conversely, let  $f_g(\overline{f_P^L}) \subset \overline{f_g((f_P^L))}$ . Let  $f_P^L$  be a soft L-closed set in  $L_2$ . Then  $f_g\overline{f_g^{-1}(f_P^L)} \subset \overline{f_g}\overline{f_g^{-1}(f_P^L)} \subset \overline{(f_P^L)} = f_P^L$ . Hence  $\overline{f_g^{-1}(f_P^L)} \subset f_g^{-1}(f_P^L)$ . Therefore  $f_g^{-1}(f_P^L)$  is soft L-closed set. Thus  $f_g$  be soft L-continuous.

**Theorem 4.2.** A bijection soft *L*-continuous mapping  $f_g$  is a soft *L*-homeomorphism if and only if  $\overline{f_g(f_P^L(\alpha))} = f_g(\overline{f_P^L})(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_g$  be soft L-homeomorphism.

Then by theorem 4.1,  $f_g$  is soft L-continuous and soft L-closed mapping.

By theorem 2.19, if  $f_g$  is soft L-closed mapping if for each soft L-set  $f_P^L$  over L and for every  $\alpha \in P$ ,  $\overline{f_g(f_P^L(\alpha))} \subset f_g(\overline{f_P^L})(\alpha)$  is satisfied.

Now we need to show that  $f_g(\overline{f_P^L})(\alpha) \subset \overline{f_g(f_P^L(\alpha))}$ .

Since  $\overline{(f_P^L)}$  is a soft L-closed set in  $L_1$  and  $f_g$  is soft L-closed mapping,  $f_g(\overline{f_P^L})(\alpha)$  is a soft L-closed set in  $L_2$  which is containing  $f_g(f_P^L)$ .

Since  $\overline{f_g(f_P^L)}$  is the smallest soft L-closed set containing  $f_g(f_P^L)$ , we have  $f_g(f_P^L) = \overline{f_g(f_P^L)}$ . Conversely, if  $f_g$  is bijective and the condition holds.

i.e., 
$$f_g(f_P^L(\alpha)) = f_g(f_P^L)(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in P$$
.

Then by theorem 2.21,  $f_g$  is soft L-continuous.

Let  $f_P^L$  be a soft L-closed set in  $L_1$ . Then  $\overline{(f_P^L)} = f_P^L$ . Therefore,  $\overline{(f_P^L)} = f(f_P^L)$ .

Therefore, 
$$f_g(f_P^L) = f_g(f_P^L)$$
.

Then by the given condition,  $f_g(f_P^L) = \overline{f_g(f_P^L)}$ .

Hence  $f_P^L$  be a soft L-closed set in  $L_2$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let us consider  $(L_1, \tau_1, P)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P)$  to be two soft lattice topological spaces. Then  $f_g$  is a soft L-homeomorphism if and only if  $f_g: (L_1, \tau_1, P) \longrightarrow (L_2, \tau_2, P)$  is a soft homeomorphism.

*Proof.* The proof follows from theorem 2.21.

### 5. CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF SOFT L-SETS AND SOFT L-PRODUCT TOPOLOGY

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $SS(L)_P$  be the collection of all soft L-sets with a set of parameter P over L and A and B are subsets of P. The cartesian product of soft L-sets  $f_P^L \in SS(L_1)_A$  and  $g_P^L \in SS(L_2)_B$  is a soft L-set  $(f_P^L \times g_P^L, A \times B)$  in  $SS(L_1 \times L_2)_{A \times B}$ , where  $f_P^L \times g_P^L \colon A \times B \longrightarrow P(L_1) \times P(L_2)$  is a mapping given by  $(f_P^L \times g_P^L)(a,b) = f_P^L(a) \times g_P^L(b)$  for each  $(a,b) \in A \times B$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $f_{P_1}^L$ ,  $f_{P_2}^L$  be soft L-sets in  $SS(L)_{P_1}$  and  $SS(L)_{P_2}$  respectively, where  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are two different parameters. Then the cartesian product of  $f_{P_1}^L$  and  $f_{P_2}^L$  denoted by  $f_{P_1}^L \times f_{P_2}^L$  in  $SS(L)_{P_1 \times P_2}$  is defined as  $(f_{P_1}^L \times f_{P_2}^L)(p_1, p_2) = f_{P_1}^L(p_1) \times f_{P_2}^L(p_2)$ .

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $(L_1, \tau_1, P_1)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P_2)$  be two soft lattice topological spaces. The soft lattice topological space  $(L_1 \times L_2, \tau, P_1 \times P_2)$ , where  $\tau$  is the collection of all soft lattice unions of elements of  $\{f_{P_1}^L \times g_{P_2}^L : f_{P_1}^L \in \tau_1, g_{P_2}^L \in \tau_2\}$  is called soft L-product topological space over  $L_1 \times L_2$ .

Symbolically, we write  $\tau = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** Let  $f_{1_{P_1}}^L$ ,  $g_{1_{P_1}}^L \in SS(L)_{P_1}$  and  $f_{2_{P_2}}^L$ ,  $g_{2_{P_2}}^L \in SS(L)_{P_2}$ . Then  $(i)\phi_{P_1}^L \times f_{2_{P_2}}^L = f_{1_{P_1}}^L \times \phi_{P_2}^L = \phi_{P_1 \times P_2}^L$  $(ii)(f_{1_{P_1}}^L \times f_{2_{P_2}}^L) \cap (g_{1_{P_1}}^L \times g_{2_{P_2}}^L) = (f_{1_{P_1}}^L \cap g_{1_{P_1}}^L) \times (f_{2_{P_2}}^L \cap g_{2_{P_2}}^L)$ 

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\phi_1^L = \phi_{1_{P_1}}^L, \phi_2^L = \phi_{2_{P_2}}^L$  and  $f_1^L = f_{1_{P_1}}^L, f_2^L = f_{2_{P_2}}^L$ . Then we have  $(f_1^L \times \phi_2^L)(p_1, p_2) = f_1^L(p_1) \times \phi_2^L(p_2)$ =  $f_1^L(p_1) \times \phi^L$ =  $\phi^L$ 

$$\begin{split} &= \phi^L \times f_2^L(p_2) \\ &= \phi^L(p_1) \times f_2^L(p_2) \\ &= (\phi_1^L \times f_2^L)(p_1, p_2) \\ \text{This implies (i).} \\ &\text{(ii) Let } (f_1^L \times f_2^L, P_1 \times P_2) \cap (g_1^L \times g_2^L, P_1 \times P_2) = (h^L, P_1 \times P_2), (f_{1_{P_1}}^L \cap g_{1_{P_1}}^L) = i_{P_1}^L \text{ and } (f_{2_{P_2}}^L \cap g_{2_{P_2}}^L) = j_{P_2}^L. \\ & f_1^L(p_1, p_2) = (f_1^L \times f_2^L)(p_1, p_2) \cap (g_1^L \times g_2^L)(p_1, p_2) \\ &= (f_1^L(p_1) \times f_2^L(p_2)) \cap (g_1^L(p_1) \times g_2^L(p_2)) \\ &= (f_1^L(p_1) \cap f_2^L(p_2)) \times (g_1^L(p_1) \cap g_2^L(p_2)) \\ &= i^L(p_1) \times j^L(p_2) \\ &= (i^L \times j^L)(p_1, p_2) \\ & \text{Hence } (h^L, P_1 \times P_2) \times j_{P_1}^L. \end{split}$$

**Proposition 5.5.** Let  $(L_1, \tau_1, P_1)$  and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P_2)$  be two soft lattice topological spaces. Let  $B = \{f_{P_1}^L \times g_{P_2}^L | f_{P_1}^L \in \tau_1, g_{P_2}^L \in \tau_2\}$  and  $\tau$  be the collection of all arbitrary union of elements of B. Then  $\tau$  is a soft L- topology over  $L_1 \times L_2$ .

*Proof.* We have 
$$\begin{split} \phi_1^L &= \phi_{1_{P_1}}^L \in \tau_1, \phi_2^L = \phi_{2_{P_2}}^L \in \tau_2. \end{split}$$
Then by proposition 5.12; 
$$\begin{split} \phi_{1_{P_1}}^L &\times \phi_{2_{P_2}}^L = \phi_{P_1 \times P_2}^L. \end{aligned}$$
Moreover  $L_1 &= L_{1_{P_1}} \in \tau_1$  and  $L_2 = L_{2_{P_2}} \in \tau_2.$ Then  $L_1 \times L_2 = (L_{1_{P_1}} \times L_{2_{P_2}}, P_1 \times P_2)$ such that the following holds:  $(L_{1_{P_1}} \times L_{2_{P_2}})(p_1, p_2) = L_{1_{P_1}}(p_1) \times L_{2_{P_2}}(p_2)$   $= L_{1_{P_1}} \times L_{2_{P_2}}, \text{ for each } (p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \times P_2.$ Therefore  $L_1 \times L_2 \in \tau.$ Let  $f_{P_1 \times P_2}^L, g_{P_1 \times P_2}^L \in \tau.$  Then  $\exists$  the elements  $f_{\alpha_{P_1}}^L \times g_{\beta_{P_2}}^L, f_{\beta_{P_1}}^L \times g_{\alpha_{P_2}}^L, \alpha \in i^L, \beta \in j^L$  of B such that  $f_{B_{N_1}}^L = \bigcup_{q \in I} (f_{D_1}^L \times P_{D_1}^L, P_1 \times P_2).$ 

 $f_{P_1 \times P_2}^L = \bigcup_{\alpha \in i^L} (f_{\alpha}^L \times g_{\alpha}^L, P_1 \times P_2),$   $g_{P_1 \times P_2}^L = \bigcup_{\beta \in j^L} (f_{\beta}^L \times g_{\beta}^L, P_1 \times P_2),$ Let  $h_{P_1 \times P_2}^L = f_{P_1 \times P_2}^L \cap g_{P_1 \times P_2}^L$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} h^{L}(p_{1},p_{2}) &= f^{L}(p_{1},p_{2}) \cap g^{L}(p_{1},p_{2}) \\ &= [\cup_{\alpha \in i^{L}}(f^{L}_{\alpha}(p_{1}) \times g^{L}_{\alpha}(p_{2})] \cap [\cup_{\beta \in j^{L}}(f^{L}_{\beta}(p_{1}) \times g^{L}_{\beta}(p_{2})] \\ &= \cup_{\beta \in j^{L}} \cup_{\alpha \in i^{L}} [[f^{L}_{\alpha}(p_{1}) \times g^{L}_{\alpha}(p_{2})] \cap [f^{L}_{\beta}(p_{1}) \times g^{L}_{\beta}(p_{2})]] \\ &= \cup_{\beta \in j^{L}} \cup_{\alpha \in i^{L}} [[f^{L}_{\alpha}(p_{1}) \cap g^{L}_{\alpha}(p_{2})] \times [f^{L}_{\beta}(p_{1}) \cap g^{L}_{\beta}(p_{2})]] \\ &= \cup_{\alpha \in i^{L}} \cup_{\beta \in j^{L}} [(f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})(p_{1}) \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})(p_{2})] \\ &= \cup_{\alpha \in i^{L}} \cup_{\beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta} \times g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})(p_{1}, p_{2}) \\ \\ &\text{Hence } h^{L}_{P_{1} \times P_{2}} = \cup_{\alpha \in i^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})(p_{1} \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})p_{1} \times p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})(p_{1} \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})(p_{1} \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{1} \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{1} \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{1} \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{1} \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{1} \times (g^{L}_{\alpha} \cap g^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L}_{\alpha} \cap f^{L}_{\beta})p_{2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in j^{L}, \beta \in j^{L}} (f^{L$$

Thus an arbitrary union of elements of  $\tau$  is an elements in  $\tau$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** Let Let  $f_{P_1}^L$  and  $g_{P_2}^L$  be soft lattices in  $SS(L_1)_{P_1}$  and  $SS(L_2)_{P_2}$  respectively. Then  $(f_{P_1}^L \times g_{P_2}^L)' = (f_{P_1}^{L'} \times L_2) \cup (L_1 \times g_{P_2}^{L'}).$ 

Proof. Let 
$$[(f^{L} \times g^{L})_{P_{1} \times P_{2}}]' = (f^{L} \times g^{L})'_{P_{1} \times P_{2}}$$
. Then  
 $(f^{L} \times g^{L})'(p_{1}, p_{2}) = (L_{1} \times L_{2}) - [(f^{L} \times g^{L})(p_{1}, p_{2})]$   
 $= (L_{1} \times L_{2}) - [(f^{L}(p_{1}) \times g^{L}(p_{2})]$   
 $= [(L_{1} - f^{L}(p_{1}) \times L_{2})] \cup [L_{1} \times (L_{2} - g^{L}(p_{2}))]$   
Also  $(f^{L'}_{P_{1}} \times L_{2}) \cup (L_{1} \times g^{L'}_{P_{2}}) = (f^{L'} \times L_{2})_{P_{1} \times P_{2}} \cup (L_{1} \times g^{L'})_{P_{1} \times P_{2}}$   
Let us take soft lattice as  $h^{L}_{P_{1} \times P_{2}}$ . Then  
 $h^{L}(p_{1}, p_{2}) = (f^{L'} \times L_{2})(p_{1}, p_{2}) \cup (L_{1} \times g^{L'})(p_{1}, p_{2})$   
 $= [f^{L'}(p_{1}) \times L_{2}] \cup [L_{1} \times g^{L'}(p_{2})]$   
 $= [(L_{1} - f^{L}(p_{1}) \times L_{2}))] \cup [L_{1} \times (L_{2} - g^{L}(p_{2}))].$ 

**Corollary 5.7.** Let  $f_{P_1}^L$  and  $g_{P_2}^L$  be soft L-closed set in soft lattice topological spaces  $(L_1, \tau_1, P_1)$ and  $(L_2, \tau_2, P_2)$  respectively. Then  $f_{P_1}^L \times g_{P_2}^L$  is soft L-closed set in soft L-product space  $(L_1 \times L_2, \tau, P_1 \times P_2)$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $f_{P_1}^{L'}$  and  $L_1$  are soft L-open sets in  $(L_1, \tau_1, P_1)$  and  $g_{P_2}^{L'}$  and  $L_2$  are soft L-open sets in  $(L_2, \tau_2, P_2)$ .

Now by Proposition 5.6;  $(f_{P_1}^L \times g_{P_2}^L)'$  is soft L-open in  $(L_1 \times L_2, \tau, P_1 \times P_2)$ . Hence  $f_{P_1}^L \times g_{P_2}^L$  is soft L-closed set in soft L-product space  $(L_1 \times L_2, \tau, P_1 \times P_2)$ .

## **6.** CONCLUSION

Topological structures on soft sets are more generalized methods and they can be useful for measuring the similarities and dissimilarities between the objects in a universe which are soft sets. The concept of soft L-topological spaces are defined over a soft lattice with a fixed set of parameter. Also soft L-continuous mappings are defined over an initial universe set with a fixed set of parameters. This paper deals with the mappings and cartesian products in soft L-topological spaces is first proposed. Moreover, some results based on soft L-homeomorphism are also proved. The concept of cartesian product of soft L-sets are defined and some interesting results are obtained in the last section.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very much indebted to Dr. Sunil Jacob John, Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Calicut, Kerala, India for his constant encouragement throughout the preparation of this paper.

### **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, Computers Math. Appl. 37 (1999), 19-31.
- [2] P.K. Maji, A.R.Roy, R.Biswas, An application of Soft sets in a decision making problem, Computers Math. Appl. 68 (2002), 1077-1083.
- [3] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, A.R. Roy, Soft set theory, Computers Math. Appl. 45 (2003), 555–562.
- [4] K. V. Babitha and J. J. Sunil, Soft topologies generated by soft set relations, in Handbook of Research on Generalized and Hybrid Set Structures and Applications for Soft Computing, pp. 118–126, IGI Global Pub, Hershey, PA, USA, 2015.
- [5] K.V. Babitha, S.J. John, Studies on soft topological spaces, J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 28 (2015), 1713–1722.
- [6] S.J. John, Topological Structures of Soft Sets, in: Soft Sets, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021: pp. 83–116.
- [7] K.V. Babitha, J.J. Sunil, Soft set relations and functions, Computers Math. Appl. 60 (2010), 1840–1849.

- [8] S.J. John, Soft Sets: Theory and Applications, Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [9] F. Li, Soft lattices, Glob. J. Sci. Front. Res. 10 (2010), 56-58.
- [10] N. Çağman, S. Karataş, S. Enginoglu, Soft topology, Computers Math. Appl. 62 (2011), 351–358.
- [11] M. Shabir, M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, Computers Math. Appl. 61 (2011), 1786–1799.
- [12] C.G. Aras, A. Sonmez, H. Çakallı, On Soft Mappings, ArXiv:1305.4545 [Math]. (2013).
- [13] H. Hazra, P. Majumdar, S.K. Samanta, Soft Topology, Fuzzy Information and Engineering. 4 (2012), 105–115.
- [14] H.-L. Yang, X. Liao S.-G. Li, On Soft Continuous Mappings and Soft Connectedness of Soft Topological Spaces, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. 44 (2015), 385-398.
- [15] E. Peyghana, B. Samadia, A. Tayebib, About Soft Topological Spaces, J. New Results Sci. 2 (2013), 60-75.
- [16] I. Zorlutuna, H. Cakir, On continuity of soft mappings. Appl. Math. Inform. Sci. 9 (2015), 403-409.
- [17] [1]P. Majumdar, S.K. Samanta, On soft mappings, Computers Math. Appl. 60 (2010), 2666–2672.
- [18] I. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W.K. Min, S. Atmaca, Remarks on soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3 (2012), 171-185.
- [19] S.S. Pai, T. Baiju, On Soft Lattice Topological Spaces, Fuzzy Inform. Eng. (Communicated).
- [20] S.S. Pai, T. Baiju, Continuous mappings in Soft Lattice Topological Spaces, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. (Communicated).