Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), No. 4, 853-865 ISSN: 1927-5307

COMMUTATIVITY RESULTS WITH DERIVATIONS ON SEMIPRIME RINGS

MEHSIN JABEL ATTEYA*

Department of Mathematics ,College of Education , Al-Mustansiriyah University ,Baghdad, Box:

46219,Iraq

Abstract: In this paper, let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and U a non-zero ideal of R, d a derivation mapping. If R admitting

A derivation d satisfies one of the following .

(i) $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (ii) $[d_{(x)}^2, d_{(y)}^2] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (iii) $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x^2, y^2]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (iv) $[d_{(x)}^2, d_{(y)}^2] = [x^2, y^2]$ for all $x, y \in U$. A non – zero derivation d satisfies one of the following: (i) $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]) = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (ii) $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (ii) $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Keywords: derivation, prime ring, semiprime ring, central ideal.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 16W25; 16N60; 16U80.

1.Introduction

Several authors have investigated about semiprime rings under derivations and give some results. In [5] M.N.Daif, proved that, let R be a semiprime ring and d a derivation of R with $d^3 \neq 0$. If $[d_{(X)}, d_{(y)}]=0$ for all x, $y \in R$, then R contains a non-zero central ideal. M.N. Daif and H.E. Bell [4] proved that, let R be a semiprime ring admitting a derivation d for which either xy+d(xy)=yx+d(yx) for all $x, y \in R$ or xy-d(xy)=yx-d(yx) for all x, y

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: mehsinatteya@yahoo.com(M. Atteya)

Received December 11, 2011

 \subseteq R, then R is commutative. V. DeFilippis [6] proved that, when R be a prime ring let d a non-zero derivation of R, U \neq (0) a two-sided ideal of R, such that d([x,y])=[x,y] for all x,y \subseteq U, then R is commutative. A.H. Majeed and Mehsin Jabel [11], then gave some results as, let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and U a non-zero ideal of R.R admitting a non-zero derivation d satisfying d([d_(X),d_(Y)])=[x,y] for all x, y \subseteq U. If d acts as a homomorphism, then R contains a non-zero central ideal. Recently, Mehsin Jabel [12] proved, let R be a semiprime ring and U be a non-zero ideal of R. If R admits a generalized derivation D associated with a non-zero central ideal. Where according to[3], Bresar defined the following notation, an additive mapping D:R \rightarrow R is said to be a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation d:R \rightarrow R such that D(xy)=D(x)y+xd(y) for all x,y \subseteq R. Hence the concept of a generalized derivation covers both the concepts of a derivation and of a left multiplier (i.e.an additive map d satisfying d(xy)=d(x)y for all x,y \in R,[13]). In this paper we shall study and investigate some results concerning a derivation d on semiprime ring R, we give some results about that.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout R will represent an associative ring and has a cancellation property with center Z(R),R is said to be n-torsion free,where $n \neq 0$ is an integer, if whenever nx=0,with $x \in R$ then x=0.We recall that R is semiprime if xRx=(0) implies x=0 and it is prime if xRy=(0) implies x = 0 or y = 0. A prime ring is semiprime but the converse is not true in general.An additive mapping d:R \rightarrow R is called a derivation if $d_{(xy)}=d_{(x)}y+xd_{(y)}$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, and is said to be n-centralizing on U(resp.n– commuting on U), if $[x^n, d_{(x)}] \in Z(R)$ holds for all $x \in U$ (resp. $[x^n, d_{(x)}]=0$ holds for all $x \in U$), where n be a positive integer. We write [x,y] for xy-yx and make extensive use of basic commutator identities [xy,z]=x[y,z]+[x,z]y and [x,yz]=y[x,z]+[x,y]z.

To achieve our purposes, we mention the following results.

Lemma 2.1 ([8],Sublemma P.5). Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that $a \in R$, such that a commutes with every [a,x], $x \in R$, then $a \in Z(R)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([6]).Let R be a prime ring and U is a non-zero left ideal.If R admits a derivation d with $d(U) \neq 0$, satisfies d is centralizing on U. Then R is commutative.

Lemma 2.3 (10 ,Main Theorem) . Let R be a semiprime ring, d a non-zero derivation of R, and U a non-zero left ideal of R. If for some positive integers $t_0, t_1, ..., t_n$ and all $x \in U$, the identity $[[...[[d(x^{10}), x^{11}, x^{12}], ...], x^{1n}] = o$ holds, then either d(U) = o or else d(U) and d(R)U are contained in non-zero central ideal of R. In particular when R is a prime ring, R is commutative.

Lemma 2.4(9,Lemma1.8).*Let* R *be a semiprime ring, and suppose that* $a \in R$ *centralizes all commutators* $[x,y], x,y \in R$. *Then* $a \in Z(R)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([7]).*Let n be a fixed integer,let R be n!- torsion free semiprime ring and U be a non-zero left ideal of R. If R admits a derivation d which is non-zero on U and n-centralizing on U, then R contains a non-zero central ideal .*

Lemma 2.6 ([2]).*Let R be a prime ring with center Z(R), and let U be a non-zero ideal of R*.*If U is a commutative ideal, then R is commutative.*

Lemma 2.7([3],Theorem2.2). Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and U a non-zero ideal of R. If R admits a derivation d which is non-zero on U and [d(x),d(y)]=0 for all $x, y \in U$, then R contains a non-zero central ideal.

Lemma 2.8. Let *n* be a fixed positive integer, *R* semiprime ring and some a $\in R.If a^n \in Z(R)$ then $a \in Z(R)$.

Proof. The result holds for n=1. If $n \ge 2$, we have $a^n \in Z(R)$, then $a^{n-1} \in Z(R)$, inductively, we obtain $a \in Z(R)$.

Theorem 2.9. Let *R* be a 2–torsion free semiprime ring and *U* a non-zero ideal of *R*. If *R* admitting to satisfying $[x^2, y^2] = 0$ for all *U*. Then *R* contains a non-zero central ideal.

```
Proof. We have [x^2, y^2]=0 for all x, y \in U. The linearization (i.e. putting
                                                                                      x+y for x)
in above relation gives
[xy+yx,y^2]=0 for all x,y \in U.
                                                                                 (1)
[x,y^2]y+y[x,y^2]=0 for all x,y \in U.
                                                                                 (2)
Also from (1), we obtain
[xy+yx-yx+yx,y^2]=0 for all x, y \in U. Then
[[x,y]+2yx,y^2] = 0 for all x, y \in U.
[[x, y]y^2]+2[yx, y^2]=0 for all x, y \in U. Replacing x by x^2, we obtain
 [[x^2,y],y^2]+2y[x^2,y^2]=0 for all x,y \in U.According to the relation [x^2,y^2]=0, then we
obtain [x^2, y], y^2 = 0 for all x, y \in U. Then
[x^{2},y]y^{2}=y^{2}[x^{2},y] for all x,y \in U.
                                                                                  (3)
From (2), we have
y[x,y]y+[x,y]y^2+y^2[x,y]+y[x,y]y=0 for all x,y \in U.Replacing x by x<sup>2</sup>, we obtain
y[x^2,y]y+[x^2,y]y^2+y^2[x^2,y]+[x^2,y]y=0 for all x,y \in U.
                                                                                   (4)
Substituting (3) in (4), we obtain
2(y[x^2,y]y+[x^2,y]y^2)=0 for all x, y \in U. Since R is 2-torsion free, then
 y[x^2,y]y+[x^2,y]y^2=0 for all x,y \in U.
                                                                                    (5)
Left – multiplying (5) by y, we get
y^{2}[x^{2},y]y+y[x^{2},y]y^{2}=0 for all x, y \in U. Then we set
a=y[x^2,y]y, a \in \mathbb{R}, thus
ya + ay = 0 for all y \in U. Then
[ya,r]+[ay,r] = 0 for all y \in U,r \in \mathbb{R}. Then
y[a,r]+[y,r]a+a[y,r]+[a,r]y=0 for all y \in U, r \in R. Replacing r by a, we obtain
[y,a]a+a[y,a]=0 for all y \in U. Then
[y,a^2] = 0 for all y \in U. Then
[[y,a^2],r] = 0 for all y \in U. Replacing r by a^2 and by using Lemma2.1, we obtain a^2
\in Z(R), by Lemma 2.8, we get a \in Z(R), i.e., y[x^2, y]y \in Z(R) for all x, y \in U. Then
[y[x^2,y]y,r] = 0 for all x, y \in U,r \in \mathbb{R}.
Replacing r by y, we obtain
[y[x^2,y]y,y] = 0 for all x, y \in U. Then
```

$$\begin{split} y[[x^2,y],y]y=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. & (6) \\ \text{Right-multiplying (6) by } [[x^2,y],y], we get \\ (y[[x^2,y],y])^2 = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. Left-multiplying by w with using the cancellation \\ property of w y[[x^2,y],y], w \in R, we obtain \\ y[[x^2,y],y]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. & (7) \\ \text{Left-multiplying (6) by } [[x^2,y],y], we obtain \\ ([[x^2,y],y]y)^2 = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. Right-multiplying by w with using the cancellation \\ property of [[x^2,y],y]yw, w \in R, we obtain \\ ([[x^2,y],y]y]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. Right-multiplying by w with using the cancellation \\ property of [[x^2,y],y]yw, w \in R, we obtain \\ [[x^2,y],y]y=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. & (8) \\ \text{Subtracting (7) and (8), we obtain \\ [[[x^2,y],y]] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. & (9) \\ \text{We set } [[x^2,y],y] = b, b \in R. Then \\ [b,y] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in U. Then \\ [[b,y],r]= 0 \text{ for all } y \in U, r \in R. \\ \text{Replacing } r by b \text{ and by using Lemma 2.1, we obtain } \\ b \in Z(R), i.e.[[x^2,y] y] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y],y],r]= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y]] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, then \\ [[x^2,y], r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U,$$

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let *R* be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and *U* a non-zero ideal of *R*. If *R* admitting a derivation d satisfying $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then *R* contains a non-zero central ideal.

Proof. When we have $d \neq 0$, then

 $[d_{(x)},d_{(y)}]=[x,y]$ for all x, $y \in U$. Replacing x by xt, we obtain

 $[d_{(x)}t, d_{(y)}] + [xd_{(t)}, d_{(y)}] = [xt, y]$ for all x, y, $t \in U$.

 $d_{(x)}[t,d_{(y)}] + [d_{(x)},d_{(y)}]t + x[d_{(t)},d_{(y)}] + [x,(y)]d_{(t)} = x[t,y] + [x,y]t \quad \text{ for all } x,y,t \in U \quad \text{ Since } x = 0$

 $[d_{(x)},d_{(y)}]=[x,y]$,then we have

 $d_{(x)}[t,d_{(y)}]+[x,d_{(y)}]d_{(t)}=0$ for all x,y,t $\in U$.

Replacing t and y by x , we obtain

 $d_{(x)}[x,d_{(x)}] + [x,d_{(x)}]d_{(x)} = 0$ for all x,y,t $\in U$. Then

 $[x,d_{(x)}^{2}]=0$ for all $x \in U$. Replacing x by x+ y, with replacing y by x,we obtain

 $8[d_{(x^{2}),x}]=0$ for all $x \in U$. Since R is 2-torsion free with using Lemma 2.4, We get U is a non-zero central ideal.

We,now suppose that d=0,we obtain [x,y]=0 for all x, $y \in U$. Replacing y by r y, we get r[x,y]+[x,r]y=0 for all x, $y \in U$, $r \in R$.

Since [x,y] = o, then we obtain

 $[x,r]y=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U, r \in \mathbb{R}.$ (12)

Replacing y by rx , we obtain

 $[x,r]rx=0 \text{ for all } x \in U, r \in \mathbb{R}.$ (13)

In(12) replacing y by xr, we get

 $[x,r]xr=0 \text{ for all } x \in U, r \in \mathbb{R}.$ (14)

From (13) and (14), we obtain $[x,r]^2 = 0$ for all $x \in U, r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Right-multiplying by w with using the cancellation property of $[x,r]w,w \in R$.we obtain, R contains a non-zero central ideal .

Theorem 3.2. Let *R* be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and *U* a non-zero ideal of *R*. If *R* admitting a derivation d satisfying $[d^2(x), d^2(y)] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then *R* contains a non-zero central ideal.

Proof .Suppose that $d \neq 0$, then we have $[d^{2}_{(x)}, d^{2}_{(y)}] = [x, y] \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \text{ Then}$ $[d^{2}_{(x)}d^{2}_{(y)}, r] - [d^{2}_{(y)}d^{2}_{(x)}, r] = [[x, y], r] \text{ for all } x, y \in U, r \in \mathbb{R}.$ (15) Replacing r by $d^{2}_{(y)}d^{2}_{(x)}$, we obtain

 $[d^{2}_{(x)y,t}]+2[d_{(x)}d_{(y),t}]+[xd^{2}_{(y),t}]=0$ for all x,y,t $\in U$.

According to the relation $[d^2(x),t]=0$, the precedence equation with replacing

t and y by x,become $2[d_{(x)}^2,x]=0$ for all $x \in U$. Since R is 2-torsion free,then

 $[d_{(x)}^{2},x]=0$ for all $x \in U$. The Linearization (i.e., putting x+y for x) with replacing y by x, gives

 $8[d_{(x^{2})}, x^{2}]=0$ for all $x \in U$. Since R is 2-torsion free with using Lemma 2.3, we obtain U is a non-zero central ideal.

We have, when d=0,then [x,y] = 0 for $x,y \in U$.

Replacing y by ry, we obtain

[x,r]y=0 for all x, $y \in U, r \in R$. Replacing y by w[x,r] for all $x \in U, r, w \in R$,

we obtain

[x,r]w[x,r]=0 for all $x \in U, r, w \in R$. Then [x,r]=0 for all $x \in U, r \in R$.

Since R is semiprime ring ,then [x,r] = 0 for all $x \in U, r \in R$.

Then U is a non-zero central ideal.

Theorem 3.3. Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and U a non-zero ideal of R . If R admitting a derivation d satisfying $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x^2, y^2]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then R contains a non-zero central ideal.

Proof. We suppose first that $d \neq 0$, then $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x^2, y^2]$ for all x, $y \in U$. Replacing x by x+y, we obtain $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x^2, y^2] + [xy, y^2] + [yx, y^2]$ for all x, $y \in U$. According to the relation $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x^2, y^2]$ for all x, $y \in U$, we obtain $[xy, y^2] + [yx, y^2] = 0$ for all x, $y \in U$. Replacing x by $d_{(y)}y$, we get $[d_{(y)}y^2, y^2] + [yd_{(y)}y, y^2] = 0$ for all $y \in U$. Then $[d_{(y^2)}y, y^2] = 0$ for all $y \in U$. Right-multiplying (21) by y $d_{(y^2)}$, we get $[d_{(y^2)}, y^2] y^2 d_{(y^2)=0}$ for all $y \in U$. Also, by left-multiplying (21) by $y^2 d_{(y^2)}$ and right-multiplying by

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} \end{bmatrix} , \text{we get}$$

$$y^{2} \ d_{y} y^{2}, \begin{bmatrix} d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} \end{bmatrix} y^{2} d_{y} y^{2}, \begin{bmatrix} d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} \end{bmatrix} = (y^{2} d_{y} y^{2}) \begin{bmatrix} d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} \end{bmatrix})^{2} = 0 \text{ for all } y \in U.$$
Right-multiplying by w with using the cancellation property of $y^{2} d_{y} y^{2}, [d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}]$ ww $\in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain
$$y^{2} d_{y} y^{2}, [d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{U}.$$

$$(23)$$
Left-multiplying (23) by $d_{y} y^{2}, [d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}] d_{y} y^{2}, and right-multiplying by $d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}, we obtain$

$$d_{y} y^{2}, [d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}] d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} d_{y} y^{2}, [d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}] d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}] d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{U}.$$

$$(d_{y} y^{2}, [d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}] d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}] d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{U}.$$

$$(24)$$

$$Left-multiplying (24) by [d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2}] d_{y} y^{2}, y^{2} d_{y}$$$

When d=0, we obtain $[x^2,y^2] = 0$ for all x,y $\in U$. By Theorem 2.9, we complete the proof of theorem .

The following results can be proven in a similar way.

Theorem 3.4. Let *R* be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and *U* a non-zero ideal of *R*. If *R* admitting a derivation d satisfying $[d^2_{(X)}, d^2_{(Y)}] = [x^2, y^2]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then *R* contains

Theorem 3.5. Let *R* be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and *U* a non-zero ideal of *R*. If *R* admitting a non-zero derivation *d* to satisfying $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]) = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then *R* contains a non-zero central ideal.

Proof. We have $d([d_{(x)},d_{(y)}]) = [x,y]$ for all $x,y \in U$.

Then by replacing x by x², we obtain
$$d([d_{(x^2)}, d_{(y)}]) - [x^2, y] = 0$$
 for all x, $y \in U$.

Thend($[d_{(x)}x,d_{(y)}]$)+ d($[xd_{(x)},d_{(y)}]$) – $[x^2,y]$ = 0 for all x, y $\in U$.

 $\begin{aligned} &d(d_{(x)}[x,d_{(y)}]) + d([d_{(x)},d_{(y)}]x) + d(x[d_{(x)},d_{(y)}]) + d([x,d_{(y)}]d_{(x)}) - [x^{2},y] = 0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \text{ Then} \\ &d^{2}_{(x)}[x,d_{(y)}] + d_{(x)}d([x,d_{(y)}]) + d([d_{(x)},d_{(y)}])x + [d_{(x)},d_{(y)}]d_{(x)} + d_{(x)}[d_{(x)},d_{(y)}] + xd([d_{(x)},d_{(y)}]) + d([x,d_{(y)}])d_{(x)} + [x,d_{(y)}]d_{(x)} +$

,d_(y)]d²_(x)-[x²,y]=0 for all x,y \in U . Replacing y by x , we obtain

$$d^{2}(x)[x,d_{(x)}]+d_{(x)}d([x,d_{(x)}])+d([x,d_{(x)}])d_{(x)}+[x,d_{(x)}]d^{2}(x)=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$d^{2}(x)[x,d_{(x)}]+[x,d_{(x)}]d^{2}(x)+d_{(x)}(d(xd_{(x)})-d(d_{(x)}x))+(d(xd_{(x)})-d(d_{(x)}x))d_{(x)}=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ Then}$$

 $x \in U$. Then

 $[x,d(d_{(x)}^{2})] = o \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ We set } a = d_{(x)}^{2}, \text{ then}$

$$[x,d_{(a)}] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U$$

[[x, d_(a)],r]=0 for all x ∈ U,r ∈ R.Replacing r by d_(a), and using Lemma 2.1, we obtain d_(a) ∈ Z(R) (i.e. $d^2(x)^2 ∈ Z(R)$ for all x ∈ U), then by Lemma 2.8, we get $d^2(x) ∈ Z(R)$ for all x ∈ U, then [d²(x),r]=0 for all x ∈ U,r ∈ R.Replacing x by xr and r by x, we obtain [d²(xy),x]=[d²(x)y+ 2 d(x)d(y)+ xd²(y),x] = 0 for all x, y ∈ U. In the relation [d²(x), r]= 0, replacing r by x, we obtain [d²(x),x]=0 for all x ∈ U. Then according to this relation the equation $[d^{2}_{(x)}y + 2d_{(x)}d_{(y)}+x d^{2}_{(y)}x]= 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, with replacing y by x, become $2[d_{(x)}^{2},x]=0$ for all $x \in U$. Since R is 2-torsion free semiprime, then $[d_{(x)}^{2},x]=0$ for all $x \in U$. Thus $[[d_{(x)}^{2},x],d_{(x)}^{2}]=0$ for all $x \in U$. By Lemma 2.1,we obtain $d_{(x)}^{2} \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in U$, then by Lemma 2.8,we get $d_{(x)} \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in U$, then $[d_{(x)},r]=0$ for all $x \in U$, $r \in R$. Replacing r by x, we obtain $[d_{(x)},x]=0$ for all $x \in U$. By Lemma 2.3, R contains a non-zero central ideal.

Theorem 3.6. Let *R* be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. If *R* admitting a derivation *d* to satisfying $d([d_{(X)}, d_{(Y)}]) = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then *R* is commutative.

Proof. At first, when $d \neq 0$, by same method in Theorem 3.5, we obtain $d(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in U$, then $[d_{(x)}, r] = 0$ for all $x, r \in R$. Replacing r by $d_{(y)}$, we get $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. By substituting this relation in $d([d_{(x)}+d_{(x)}])=[x,y]$ for all $x, y \in R$, gives [x,y]=0 for all $x, y \in R$. Then R is commutative. When d=0, it is clearly we obtain R is commutative.

Corollary 3.7. Let *R* be a 2-torsion free prime ring and *U* a non-zero ideal of *R*. If *R* admitting a derivation *d* to satisfying $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]) = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then *R* is commutative.

Proof. When $d \neq 0$, by using same method in Theorem 3.5, with Lemma 2.3, we get R is commutative.

When d=0,then [x,y]=0 for all $x,y \in U.By$ Lemma 2.6,we obtain R is commutative.

Theorem 3.8. Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and U a non-zero ideal of R. If R admitting a non-zero derivation d to satisfying $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]) = [d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]$ for al x,y $\in U$. Then R contains a non-zero central ideal. **Proof.** We suppose first that $a=[d(x),d(y)], a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then d(a)=a. (28) We set $a=az, z \in \mathbb{R}$, where z=[d(y),d(x)], then d(az)=az . Thus d(a)z+ad(z)=az. According to (28), we obtain ad(z)=0. This implies [d(x),d(y)]d([d(y),d(x)])=0 for all \in U.Since R has a cancellation property from right, we obtain [d(x),d(y)]=0 for all $x,y \in$ U.By Lemma 2.7, we get

R contains a non-zero central ideal.

Corollary 3.9. Let *R* be a 2-torsion free prime ring and *U* a non-zero ideal of *R*. If *R* admitting a non-zero derivation *d* to satisfying $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]) = [d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then *R* is commutative .

We now have enough information to prove the following result .

Theorem 3.10. Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and U a non-zero ideal of R. If R admitting a non-zero derivation d to satisfying one of the following conditions.

(*i*) $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (*ii*) $[d^{2}_{(x)}, d^{2}_{(y)}] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (*iii*) $[d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}] = [x^{2}, y^{2}]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (*iv*) $[d^{2}_{(x)}, d^{2}_{(y)}] = [x^{2}, y^{2}]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (*v*) $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]) = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in U$. (*vi*) $d([d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]) = [d_{(x)}, d_{(y)}]$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then $d_{(U)}$ centralizes U.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the referee for her/his useful comments.

REFERENCES

[1] H.E. Bell and W.S .Martindale III, Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings, Canad. Math. Bull., 30(1)(1987), 92-101.

[2]M. Breser, Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, J.Algebra, 156 (1993), 385-394.

[3] M. Bresar, On the distance of the compositions of two derivations to the generalized derivations, Glasgow Math.J.,33 (1991),80-93.

- [4] M.N. Daif and H.E.Bell, Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 15 (1992), 205-206.
- [5] M.N. Daif Commutativity results for semiprime rings with derivations, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., Vol.21(3)(1998), 471-474.
- [6]V. DeFilippis, Automorphisms and derivations in prime rings, Rendiconti di Mathematica, Serie VII, Vol.19, Roma (1999), 393-404.
- [7]Q. Deng and H.E. Bell, On derivations and commutativity on semiprime rings, Comm. Algebra, 32 (1995), 3703-3713.
- [8]I.N. Herstein, Topics in Ring Theory, University of Chicago Press, Chicago , 1969.
- [9]I.N. Herstein, Rings with Involution, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1976.
- [10]C. Lanski, An engel condition with derivation for left ideals, Proc. Amer. Math Soc., 125(2)(1997), 339-345.
- [11]A.H. Majeed and M. Jabel, Some results of prime and semiprime rings with derivations, Um-Salama ScienceJ., Vol.2(3)(2005), 508-516.

[12]M. Jabel, On generalized derivations of semiprime rings, International Journal of Algebra, no. 12(4)(2010), 591-598.

[13] A. Nakajima, On categorical properties of generalized derivations, Scientiae Mathematicae 2(1999), 345-352.