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#### Abstract

In this paper, we suggested a numerical scheme for solving singularly perturbed differential-difference equation with small shift. First, Taylor series used to replace the given problem as singularly perturbed boundary value problem and then subsequently a fourth order finite difference scheme is employed to solve this problem. Convergence of the method is evaluated. By considering numerical experiments, the effect of small shift on the boundary layer solution of the problem is demonstrated.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the area of differential equation having delay, calculating its solution was an immense task, and was of considerable significance due to versatility of these equations in the mathematical modeling of processes in various application fields [2, 17]. For the detailed theory of delay differential equations, also known as functional differential equations, one may refer to $[4,6]$. The numerical

[^0]solution of singular perturbation problems is very well described in [35, 7, 12, 15]. In [1], the author derived a numerical scheme using finite differences for the solution of functional differential equations of second order. The authors in [8] presented a numerical method for solving boundary layer problems having delay, which works well, when delay argument is bigger one as well as smaller one. Kumara Swamy et al. [9] suggested a numerical integration scheme for solving delay differential equations with twin layers or oscillatory behavior. Lange and Miura [10] analyzed on the problems that display layer behavior at one or both boundaries using Laplace transforms. In [11], the same authors studied the problems having solutions which have turning point behavior. Phaneendra et al. [13] suggested a higher order compact numerical scheme for the solution of boundary layer problem with delay term. The same authors in [14] used Trapezoidal rule of integration to solve the delay differential equations having dual layers or oscillatory structure. Soujanya and Reddy [16] employed Simpson's rule of integration for the problems of delay differential equations with layer composition.

## 2. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

Consider a singularly perturbed linear two - point boundary value problem having small delay of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \omega^{\prime \prime}(\theta)+p(\theta) \omega^{\prime}(\theta-\delta)+q(\theta) \omega(\theta-\delta)+r(\theta) \omega(\theta)=f(\theta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $(0,1)$, under the boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\theta)=\varphi(\theta) \quad \text { on }-\delta \leq \theta \leq 0, \omega(1)=\gamma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $p(\theta), q(\theta), r(\theta), f(\theta)$ and $\varphi(\theta)$ ) are smooth, $\varepsilon$ is small perturbation parameter, $\quad 0<\varepsilon \ll 1$ and the delay parameter $\delta(0<\delta<1)$ is of $o(\varepsilon)$ satisfying the condition $\left(\varepsilon-\delta p(\theta)+\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} q(\theta)\right)<0$ and $q(\theta)+r(\theta) \leq 0, \forall \theta \in(0,1)$.

Expanding the terms $\omega(\theta-\delta)$ and $\omega^{\prime}(\theta-\delta)$ by Taylor series, as the solution $\omega(\theta)$ of the problem Eq. (1) with Eq. (2) is sufficiently differentiable, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega^{\prime}(\theta-\delta) \approx \omega^{\prime}(\theta)-\delta \omega^{\prime \prime}(\theta)  \tag{3a}\\
& \omega(\theta-\delta) \approx \omega^{\prime}(\theta)-\delta \omega^{\prime}(\theta)+\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} \omega^{\prime \prime}(\theta) \tag{3b}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3) in (1), we get an equivalent problem as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varepsilon-\delta p(\theta)+\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} q(\theta)\right) \omega^{\prime \prime}(\theta)+a(\theta) \omega^{\prime}(\theta)+b(\theta) \omega(\theta)=f(\theta) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (4) is a second order singular perturbation problem.
Here,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\varepsilon}=\left(\varepsilon-\delta p(\theta)+\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} q(\theta)\right)  \tag{5a}\\
a(\theta)=p(\theta)-\delta q(\theta)  \tag{5b}\\
b(\theta)=q(\theta)+r(\theta) \tag{5c}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Numerical Method

Discretize the region [0, 1] into $N$ subregions of mesh size $h=1 / N$ so that $\theta_{i}=i h$, for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, N$ are the nodes.

At $\theta=\theta_{i}$ the Eq. (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}+a_{i} \omega_{i}{ }^{\prime}+b_{i} \omega_{i}=f_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the central difference formulae for $\omega_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in new form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cong D^{+} D^{-} \omega_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{12} \omega_{i}^{(4)}+R_{1}  \tag{7}\\
& \omega_{i}^{\prime} \cong D^{ \pm} \omega_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} \omega_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}+R_{2} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad D^{+} D^{-} \omega_{i}=\frac{\omega_{i-1}-2 \omega_{i}+\omega_{i+1}}{h^{2}}, D^{ \pm} \omega_{i}=\frac{\omega_{i+1}-\omega_{i-1}}{2 h}, \quad R_{1}=-\frac{2 h^{4} \omega^{(6)}(\xi)}{6!}$

$$
R_{2}=-\frac{h^{4} \omega^{(5)}(\eta)}{5!} \quad \text { for } \xi, \eta \in\left[\theta_{i-1}, \theta_{i+1}\right] .
$$

From the differential Eq. (6), we obtain $\omega^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{i}, \omega_{i}{ }^{(4)}$ as

$$
\omega_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left[-\frac{a_{i}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \omega_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \omega_{i}^{\prime}-\frac{b_{i}^{\prime}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \omega+\frac{f^{\prime}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}}\right]
$$

$$
\omega_{i}^{(4)}=\left[\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}}-\frac{\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{\tilde{\varepsilon}}\right] \omega_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\left[\frac{a_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}}-\frac{\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{i}^{\prime}\right)}{\tilde{\varepsilon}}\right] \omega_{i}^{\prime}+\left[\frac{a b_{i}^{\prime}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}}-\frac{b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}}\right] \omega_{i}+\frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Using these expressions in Eq. (7), Eq. (8) and then from Eq. (6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\left[1-\frac{h^{2} a_{i}^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}}+\frac{h^{2}\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\right]\left(\frac{\omega_{i-1}-2 \omega_{i}+\omega_{i+1}}{h^{2}}\right)+\left[\frac{h^{2}\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{i}^{\prime}\right)}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{h^{2} a_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\right] \frac{\left(\omega_{i+1}-\omega_{i-1}\right)}{2 h}\right) \\
-\left[\frac{h^{2} b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime} h^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}^{2}}\right] \omega_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +a_{i}\left[\frac{a_{i} h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\omega_{i-1}-2 \omega_{i}+\omega_{i+1}}{h^{2}}\right)+\left(1+\frac{h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)\right) \frac{\left(\omega_{i+1}-\omega_{i-1}\right)}{2 h}+\frac{h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}} b^{\prime} \omega_{i}-\frac{h^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\right]+b_{i} \omega_{i}=f_{i} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (9) can be written as $E_{i} \omega_{i-1}-F_{i} \omega_{i}+G_{i} \omega_{i+1}=H_{i}, \quad$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N-1$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{i} & =\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{h^{2}}-\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12}+\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{h}{24}\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{h a_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{24 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{a_{i}}{2 h}\left(1+\frac{h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)\right) \\
F_{i} & =\frac{2 a_{i}^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{2 \tilde{\varepsilon}}{h^{2}}-\frac{2\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12}-\frac{2 a_{i}^{2}}{6}+\frac{h^{2} b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{12}-\frac{h^{2} a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{h^{2} a_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+b_{i} \\
G_{i} & =\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{h^{2}}-\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12}+\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{h}{24}\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{-} \frac{h a_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{24 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{a_{i}}{2 h}\left(1+\frac{h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)\right) \\
H_{i} & =\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon} h^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{a_{i} h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}} f_{i}^{\prime}+f_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

We solve the tridiagonal system Eq. (10) by using Thomas algorithm.

## 4. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

The system of Eq. (10) in matrix-vector form is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A Y=C \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A=\left(m_{i j}\right), 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1$ is a tridiagonal matrix of order $N-1$, with

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{i \mathrm{i}+1}=\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{h^{2}}-\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12}+\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{h}{24}\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{\frac{h a_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{24 \tilde{\varepsilon}}}+\frac{a_{i}}{2 h}\left(1+\frac{h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)\right) \\
m_{i i}=\frac{2 a_{i}^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{2 \tilde{\varepsilon}}{h^{2}}-\frac{2\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12}-\frac{2 a_{i}^{2}}{6}+\frac{h^{2} b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{12}-\frac{h^{2} a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{h^{2} a_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+b_{i} \\
m_{\mathrm{i} i-1}=\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{h^{2}}-\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12}+\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{h}{24}\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{h a_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{24 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{a_{i}}{2 h}\left(1+\frac{h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $C=\left(d_{i}\right)$ is a column vector with $\quad d_{i}=\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon} h^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{a_{i} h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}} f_{i}^{\prime}+f_{i} \quad$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N-1$
with local truncation error

$$
\left|\tau_{i}\right| \leq \max _{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i+1}}\left\{\frac{h^{4} a(\theta)}{5!}\left|\omega^{(5)}(\theta)\right|\right\}+\max _{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i+1}}\left\{\frac{2 h^{4} \tilde{\varepsilon}}{6!}\left|\omega^{(6)}(\theta)\right|\right\}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tau_{i}\right| \leq o\left(h^{4}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $Y=\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{N}\right)^{t}$.

Let $\bar{Y}=\left(\bar{\omega}_{0}, \bar{\omega}_{1}, \bar{\omega}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_{N}\right)^{t}$ denotes the actual solution and the local truncation error be $T(h)=\left(T_{0}(h), T_{1}(h), \ldots, T_{N}(h)\right)^{t}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \bar{Y}-T(h)=C \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (11) and Eq. (13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\bar{Y}-Y)=T(h) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the error equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A E=T(h) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad E=\bar{Y}-Y=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{N}\right)^{t}$.
Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} m_{i j}=-\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{h^{2}}+\frac{a_{i}{ }^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12}-\frac{a_{i}{ }^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{a_{i} h^{2} b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{12}-\frac{h^{2} a_{i} b_{i}{ }^{1}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{a_{i}{ }^{2} h^{2} b_{i}{ }^{1}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+b i+\frac{h a_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{24 \tilde{\varepsilon}} \\
& +\frac{h b_{i}^{\prime}}{12}-\frac{h a_{i} a_{i}^{\prime}}{24 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{h a_{i} b_{i}}{24 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{a_{i}}{2 h}\left(1+\frac{h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)\right) \text {, for } i=1 \\
& S_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} m_{i j}=b-\frac{h^{2} b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{12}-\frac{a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime} h^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{a_{i} h^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}=b_{i}+o\left(h^{2}\right)=B_{i_{0}} \text {, for } i=2,3, \ldots, N-2 \\
& S_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} m_{i j}=-\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{h^{2}}+\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\left(2 a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{12}-\frac{a_{i}^{2}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}}-\frac{h\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}+2 b_{i}^{\prime}\right)}{24}+\frac{h a_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)}{24 \tilde{\varepsilon}} \\
& -\frac{a_{i}}{2 h}\left(1+\frac{h^{2}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}\right)\right)+\frac{a_{i} h^{2} b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{12}-\frac{h^{2} a_{i} b_{i}{ }^{1}}{12 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+\frac{a_{i}{ }^{2} h^{2} b_{i}{ }^{1}}{6 \tilde{\varepsilon}}+b_{i}, \text { for } i=N-1
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing sufficiently small $h$, we get irreducible and monotone matrix $A$. It gives the existence of $A^{-1}$ and its elements are non-negative.
Hence from Eq. (15), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=A^{-1} T(h) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, using the matrix theory [18], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \quad \bar{m}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}} S_{i}=1, k=1 \text { (1) } N-1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{m}_{k, i}$ is $(k, i)$ element of the matrix $A^{-1}$.
Therefore, for some $i_{0}$ between 1 and $N-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{m}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}} \leq \frac{1}{\min _{1 \leq i \leq N-1} s_{i}}=\frac{1}{B_{i_{0}}} \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{i_{0}}\right|} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (16), Eq. (18) and Eq. (12), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{m}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}} T_{i}(h), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-1 \\
e_{j} \leq \frac{o\left(h^{4}\right)}{\left|B_{i_{0}}\right|}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-1 \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B_{i_{0}}=b_{i}$.
Therefore,

$$
\|E\|=0\left(h^{4}\right) .
$$

Hence, the proposed method has a fourth order convergent on uniform mesh.

## 5. Numerical Examples

We consider four examples to demonstrate the proposed method computationally. The maximum point-wise errors at all the mesh points are calculated using the double mesh principle $E_{\varepsilon}^{N}=\max _{0 \leq j \leq N}\left|\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}^{N}\right)_{j}-\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}^{2 N}\right)_{j}\right|$ when exact solution is not available for the problems.

Example 1: Our first problem is the following differential equation with variable coefficients
$-\varepsilon \omega^{\prime \prime}(\theta)+(1+\theta) \omega^{\prime}(\theta-\delta)-e^{-2 \theta} \omega(\theta-\delta)+e^{-\theta} \omega(x)=0$ with $\omega(\theta)=1, \quad-\delta \leq \theta \leq 0$ and $\omega(1)=-1$.

Table 1 shows the maximum absolute error values obtained by the present scheme for various values of $\delta$ and $N$ with $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$. The effect of the small parameter on the boundary layer solutions is shown in Figure. 1.

Example 2: Secondly, we consider the inhomogeneous equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\varepsilon \omega^{\prime \prime}(\theta)+(1+\theta) \omega^{\prime}(\theta-\delta)-e^{-2 \theta} \omega(\theta-\delta)+e^{-\theta} \omega(x)=1 \text { under the conditions } \\
& \omega(\theta)=1, \quad-\delta \leq \theta \leq 0 \text { and } \omega(1)=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum absolute error values are given in Table 2 for $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$ and different values of the delay parameter and $N$. Figure 2 shows the influence of the small parameter on the solutions for the boundary layer.

Example 3: $\quad-\varepsilon \omega^{\prime \prime}(\theta)+(1+\theta) \omega^{\prime}(\theta-\delta)+e^{-\theta} \omega(\theta)=1$ with the conditions $\omega(\theta)=1$, $-\delta \leq \theta \leq 0$ and $\omega(1)=-1$

Table 3 shows the maximum absolute error values for $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$ with different values of the delay parameter. Figures 3 demonstrate the influence of small parameter on the solutions of boundary layers.
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Example 4: $\quad-\varepsilon \omega^{\prime \prime}(\theta)+(1+\theta) \omega^{\prime}(\theta-\delta)+e^{-\theta} \omega(\theta)=0$ with $\omega(\theta)=1, \quad-\delta \leq \theta \leq 0$ and $\omega(1)=-1$

The maximum absolute error values for $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$ are presented in Table 4 with different values of the delay parameter. Figures 4 display the influence of small parameter on the solutions of the boundary layer.

## 6. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

For the solution of singularly perturbed differential equations with delay parameter, a numerical method has been developed which uses higher orders of finite differences. Four examples were solved for different values of delay and perturbation parameter in order to illustrate the applicability of the method. The maximum absolute error values are presented in Tables 1-4. It is observed that, the present method approximates the exact solution very well for $h>\varepsilon$ and $h \leq \varepsilon$. It is also noticed that the error decreases as the number of subintervals $N$ increases. The influence of the delay parameter on solutions was analyzed and shown in the graphs. Figures 1-4 demonstrate that the thickness of a boundary layer decreases as the value of the delay increases. In addition, the proposed approach is simple and efficient technique for addressing singularly perturbed differential - difference problems.

Table 1. The maximum absolute error values in the solution of Example 1

| $\delta \downarrow N \rightarrow$ | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta=0.3 \varepsilon$ | $1.0198 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.2790 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $9.4382 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $7.8752 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.6921 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $4.2306 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.5 \varepsilon$ | $5.6795 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $5.8630 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $4.0715 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $5.7102 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.4280 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.5704 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.7 \varepsilon$ | $3.3507 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.9926 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.2552 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $4.9301 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.2329 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.0824 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.9 \varepsilon$ | $2.0769 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.6566 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.7301 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $4.3298 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.0827 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $2.7070 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
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Table 2. The maximum absolute error values in the solution of Example 2

| $\delta \downarrow N \rightarrow$ | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta=0.3 \varepsilon$ | $6.8088 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $8.6165 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $6.5347 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $6.1724 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.3880 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.4705 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.5 \varepsilon$ | $3.8149 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $4.0039 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.0046 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $4.6857 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.1719 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $2.9300 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.7 \varepsilon$ | $2.2671 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.0800 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.7802 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $4.0450 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.0116 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $2.5292 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.9 \varepsilon$ | $1.4173 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.1768 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.4185 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.5507 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.8797 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $2.2201 \mathrm{e}-06$ |

Table 3. The maximum absolute error values in the solution of Example 3

| $\delta \downarrow N \rightarrow$ | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta=0.3 \varepsilon$ | $1.0581 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.1282 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $6.9964 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.7784 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $4.6704 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.2049 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| $\delta=0.5 \varepsilon$ | $5.4409 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $3.9143 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $5.7951 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.5082 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.9894 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.0153 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| $\delta=0.7 \varepsilon$ | $2.8632 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.9410 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $4.9681 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.3312 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.4603 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.7429 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.9 \varepsilon$ | $1.5050 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.6882 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $4.4015 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.1863 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.0442 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $7.6628 \mathrm{e}-06$ |

Table 4. The maximum absolute error values in the solution of Example 4.

| $\delta \downarrow N \rightarrow$ | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta=0.3 \varepsilon$ | $7.9279 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $8.5562 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $4.7217 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.1931 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.1452 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.1579 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.5 \varepsilon$ | $4.1023 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $3.0446 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.9288 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.0175 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $2.7153 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $6.9294 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.7 \varepsilon$ | $2.1789 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.3287 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.3763 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $9.0673 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $2.3712 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $5.9990 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $\delta=0.9 \varepsilon$ | $1.1621 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.1607 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.9945 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $8.1410 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $2.0957 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $5.2789 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
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Fig. 1. Solution profile in Example 1 for different values of $\delta$ with $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$


Fig. 2. Numerical solution of Example 2 for different values of $\delta$ with $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$


Fig. 3. Layer behavior in the solution of Example 3 for different values of $\delta$ with $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$


Fig. 4. Numerical solution of Example 4 for different values of $\delta$ with $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$
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