

Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), No. 5, 5501-5513 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/5965 ISSN: 1927-5307

ORDERENERGETIC, HYPOENERGETIC AND EQUIENERGETIC GRAPHS RESULTING FROM SOME GRAPH OPERATIONS

T. K. JAHFAR*, A. V. CHITHRA

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Calicut, Kerala, India-673601

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. A graph G is said to be orderenergetic, if its energy is equal to its order and it is said to be hypoenergetic if its energy is less than its order. Two non-isomorphic graphs of same order are said to be equienergetic if their energies are equal. In this paper, we construct some new families of orderenergetic graphs, hypoenergetic graphs, equienergetic graphs and equihypoenergetic graphs.

Keywords: orderenergetic graphs; equienergetic graphs; hypoenergetic graphs; equiorderenergetic graphs; equihypoenergetic graphs.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 05C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider simple undirected graphs. Let G = (V, E) be a simple graph of order p and size q with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_p\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_q\}$. The adjacency matrix $A(G) = [a_{ij}]$ of the graph G is a square symmetric matrix of order pwhose (i, j)th entry is defined by

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: jahfartk@gmail.com

Received May 01, 2021

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are adjacent,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_p$ of the graph *G* are defined as the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix A(G). If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_t$ are the distinct eigenvalues of *G*, then the spectrum of *G* can be written as $spec(G) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & ... & \lambda_t \\ m_1 & m_2 & ... & m_t \end{pmatrix}$, where m_j indicates the algebraic multiplicity of the

eigenvalue λ_j , $1 \le j \le t$ of *G*. The energy [7] of the graph *G* is defined as $\varepsilon(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} |\lambda_i|$. More results on graph energy are reported in [2,7]. Two non-isomorphic graphs are said to be cospetral if they have the same spectrum, otherwise they are known as non-cospectral. Two non-isomorphic graphs of the same order are said to be equienergetic if they have the same energy [12]. A graph of order *p* is said to be hyperenergetic [6] if its energy is greater than 2(p-1), otherwise it is known as non hyperenergetic. Graphs of order *p* with energy equal to *p* is called orderenergetic graphs [1]. The number of graphs whose energy equal to its order are relatively small. So we are trying to find new families of orderenergetic graphs.

The spectrum of complete bipartite graph $K_{p,p}$ is

$$spec(K_{p,p}) = \begin{pmatrix} -p & 0 & p \\ 1 & 2p-2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\varepsilon(K_{p,p}) = 2p$, so $K_{p,p}$ is orderenergetic for every p. So our interest is to find the orderenergetic graphs other than $K_{p,p}$. In 2007, I.Gutman et al. [10] introduced the definition of hypoenergetic graphs. A graph is said to be hypoenergetic if its energy is less than its order, otherwise it is said to be non hypoenergetic. The properties of hypoenergetic graphs are discussed in detail [6,9,10]. In the chemical literature there are many graphs for which the energy exceeds the order of graphs. In 1973, England and Ruedenberg published a paper [5] in which they asked "why does the graph energy exceed the number of vertices?". In 2007, Gutman [8] proved that if the graph G is regular of any non-zero degree, then G is non hypoenergetic. The orderenergetic and hypoenergetic graphs have several applications in theoretical chemistry. A graph is said to be integral if all its eigenvalues are integers. The aim of this paper is to construct new families of orderenergetic, hypoenergetic and equienergetic

5502

graphs using some graph operations.

The complement graph \overline{G} of G is a graph with vertex set same as that of G and two vertices in \overline{G} are adjacent only if they are not adjacent in G. We shall use the following notations throughout this paper, C_p , K_p , P_m and $K_{r,s}$ denotes cycle on p vertices, complete graph on p vertices, path on m vertices and complete bipartite graph on r + s vertices respectively. The symbols I_m and J_m will stands for the identity matrix of order m and $m \times m$ matrix with all entries one respectively.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state some previously known results that will be needed in the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we construct some orderenergetic graphs. In Section 4, some new families of hypoenergetic graphs are presented. In Section 5, an infinite family of equienergetic, equiorderenergetic and equihypoenergetic graphs are given.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall the concepts of the *m*-splitting graph, the *m*-shadow graph and the *m*-duplicate graph of a graph and list some previously established results.

Definition 2.1. [4] *The Kronecker product of two graphs* G_1 *and* G_2 *is a graph* $G_1 \times G_2$ *with vertex set* $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ *and the vertices* (x_1, x_2) *and* (y_1, y_2) *are adjacent if and only if* (x_1, y_1) *and* (x_2, y_2) *are edges in* G_1 *and* G_2 *respectively.*

Definition 2.2. [4] Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $B \in M_{p \times q}(\mathbb{R})$ be two matrices of order $m \times n$ and $p \times q$ respectively. Then the Kronecker product of A and B is defined as follows

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & a_{13}B & \dots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & a_{23}B & \dots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & a_{m3}B & \dots & a_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition 2.1. [4] Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ be two matrices of order n. Let λ be an eigenvalue of matrix A with corresponding eigenvector x and μ be an eigenvalue of matrix B with corresponding eigenvector y, then $\lambda \mu$ is an eigenvalue of $A \otimes B$ with corresponding eigenvector $x \otimes y$.

Lemma 2.1. [3] If G_1 and G_2 are any two graphs, then $\varepsilon(G_1 \times G_2) = \varepsilon(G_1)\varepsilon(G_2)$.

Definition 2.3. [3] *The join of graphs* G_1 *and* G_2 , $G_1 \lor G_2$ *is obtained from* $G_1 \cup G_2$ *by joining every vertex of* G_1 *with every vertex of* G_2 .

Proposition 2.2. [4]. If G_1 is a r_1 -regular graph with n_1 vertices and G_2 is a r_2 -regular graph with n_2 vertices, then the characteristic polynomial of $G_1 \lor G_2$ is given by

$$\phi(G_1 \lor G_2, x) = \frac{\phi(G_1, x)\phi(G_2, x)}{(x - r_1)(x - r_2)} [(x - r_1)(x - r_2) - n_1n_2].$$

Definition 2.4. [15] Let G be a (p,q) graph. Then the m-splitting graph $spl_m(G)$ of a graph G is obtained by adding to each vertex v of G new m vertices, say $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$ such that $v_i, 1 \le i \le m$ is adjacent to each vertex that is adjacent to v in G. The adjacency matrix of m-splitting graph of G is

$$A(spl_m(G)) = \begin{bmatrix} A(G) & A(G) & A(G) & \dots & A(G) \\ A(G) & O & O & \dots & O \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A(G) & O & O & \dots & O \end{bmatrix}_{(m+1)p}$$

Proposition 2.3. [15] Let G be a (p,q) graph. Then the energy of m-splitting graph of G is, $\varepsilon(spl_m(G)) = \sqrt{1+4m}\varepsilon(G).$

Definition 2.5. [15] Let G be a (p,q) graph. Then the m-shadow graph $D_m(G)$ of a connected graph G is obtained by taking m copies of G, say $G_1, G_2, ..., G_m$ then join each vertex u in G_i to the neighbors of the corresponding vertex v in $G_j, 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le m$. The adjacency matrix of m-shadow graph of G is $A(D_m(G)) = J_m \otimes A(G)$.

Note that the number of vertices in $D_m(G)$ is pm.

If m = 2, then the graph $D_2(G)$ is called shadow graph of G.

Lemma 2.2. [15] *Let G be any graph. Then* $\varepsilon(D_m(G)) = m\varepsilon(G)$.

Proposition 2.4. [2] *The graphs* $D^m(G)$ *and* $D_{2^m}(G)$ *are non-cospectral equienergetic graphs for all m.*

Definition 2.6. [13] Let G = (V, E) be a (p,q) graph with vertex set V and edge set E. Let W be a set such that $V \cap W = \emptyset$, |V| = |W| and $f : V \to W$ be bijective (for $a \in V$ we write f(a) as a' for convenience). A duplicate graph of G is $D(G) = (V_1, E_1)$, where the vertex set $V_1 = V \cup W$ and the edge set E_1 of D(G) is defined as, the edge ab is in E if and only if both ab' and a'b are in E_1 .

In general m-duplicate graph $D^m(G)$ is defined as $D^m(G) = D^{m-1}(D(G))$. Note that the m-duplicate graph has $2^m p$ vertices and $2^m q$ edges.

Note 1.[11] *Energy of the duplicate graph* D(G), $\varepsilon(D(G)) = 2\varepsilon(G)$.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF ORDERENERGETIC GRAPHS

In this section, we construct an infinite family of orderenergetic graphs from the given orderenergetic graphs. Let *G* and *H* be orderenergetic graphs, then $G \cup H$ is orderenergetic. For example, the graph $K_{p,p} \cup mK_2$ is orderenergetic, but this graph is not connected.

The following theorems give some new methods to construct an infinite family of connected orderenergetic graphs.

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a connected orderenergetic graph of order p. Then the m-shadow graph, $D_m(G)$ is a connected orderenergetic graph.

Proof. Since *G* is orderenergetic, by Lemma 2.2, $\varepsilon(D_m(G)) = m\varepsilon(G) = mp$. So $D_m(G)$ is orderenergetic. Also, the *m*-shadow graph of a connected graph is connected.

Remark 3.1. Let *G* be an orderenergetic graph. Then the *m*-shadow graph of a duplicate graph, $D_m(D(G))$ is orderenergetic.

Theorem 3.2. Let *G* be an *r*-regular orderenergetic graph of order *p*. Then $G \vee \overline{K_n}$ is orderenergetic if and only if n = 4p - 2r.

Proof. Let $r = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_p$ be the eigenvalues of G. Since G is orderenergetic, we have,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{p} |\lambda_i| = p - r.$$

From Proposition 2.2, the characteristic polynomial of $G \vee \overline{K_n}$ is given by

$$\phi(G \vee \overline{K_n}, x) = x^{n-1}(x - \lambda_2)(x - \lambda_3)...(x - \lambda_p)(x^2 - rx - np).$$

Let α and β be the roots of the equation $x^2 - rx - np = 0$. It is easy to observe that α and β are of opposite sign. Without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < 0$, then $\alpha + \beta = r$, $\alpha\beta = -np$. Thus the spectrum of $G \vee \overline{K_n}$ is

$$spec(G \lor \overline{K_n}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \dots & \lambda_p & \alpha & \beta \\ n-1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$arepsilon(G \lor \overline{K_n}) = \sum_{i=2}^p |\lambda_i| + |lpha| + |eta| = \sum_{i=2}^p |\lambda_i| + lpha - eta.$$

If $G \vee \overline{K_n}$ is orderenergetic, then

$$\varepsilon(G \lor \overline{K_n}) = p + n \iff p - r + \alpha - \beta = p + n$$

 $\iff \alpha - \beta = n + r$

Also $\alpha + \beta = r$ and $\alpha - \beta = n + r$ implies that $\alpha = \frac{n+2r}{2}$ and $\beta = -\frac{n}{2}$.

$$\alpha\beta = -np \iff \alpha\beta = \frac{-n^2 - 2nr}{4}$$
$$\iff -np = \frac{-n^2 - 2nr}{4}$$
$$\iff 4np = n^2 + 2nr$$
$$\iff n = 4p - 2r.$$



FIGURE 1. Graph $K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}$

Example 3.1. Let $G = C_4$. Then $G \vee \overline{K_{12}}$ is orderenergetic.

$$spec(G) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

 $spec(G \lor \overline{K_{12}}) = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & -2 & 0 & 8 \\ 1 & 1 & 13 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

 $\varepsilon(G \vee \overline{K_{12}}) = 16$ and order of $G \vee \overline{K_{12}}$ is 16.

Example 3.2. Consider $K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}$,

$$spec(K_2 \lor \overline{K_6}) = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -1 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

 $\varepsilon(K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}) = 8$ and order of $K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}$ is 8.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be an orderenergetic graph with p vertices. Then the 2-splitting graph of G, $spl_2(G)$ is orderenergetic.

In [14], D.Stevanovic introduced the graph superpath $SP(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$ obtained by replacing each vertex v_i of the path P_m with totally disconnected graph \overline{K}_{a_i} . Two vertices $u \in \overline{K}_{a_i}$ and $w \in \overline{K}_{a_j}$ are adjacent in $SP(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$ if v_i and v_j are adjacent in $P_m, i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$. The order of $SP(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$ is m(m+1).

For example, the superpath SP(4, 1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1, 4) as shown in FIGURE 2.



FIGURE 2. Graph SP(4, 1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1, 4).

Note that the maximum degree \triangle of $SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, \dots, 2, m-1, 1, m)$ is 2m-1.

Theorem 3.4. [14] The superpath SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, ..., 2, m-1, 1, m) is integral for each natural number *m*. Its spectrum consists of the simple eigenvalues $\pm m, \pm (m-1), \pm (m-2), ..., \pm 1$ and the eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity m(m-1).

From this theorem, we can say that the eigenvalues of SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, ..., 2, m-1, 1, m) are consecutive integers $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, ..., \pm m$.

Corollary 3.5. The energy of SP(m, 1, m - 1, 2, ...2, m - 1, 1, m) is m(m + 1).

The following corollary gives the existence of orderenergetic graph of maximum degree 2m-1.

Corollary 3.6. The graph SP(m, 1, m - 1, 2, ..., 2, m - 1, 1, m) is orderenergetic for every m. *Observation 1.* The graph SP(m, 1, m - 1, 2, ..., 2, m - 1, 1, m) is a graph with least maximum degree in the collection of all orderenergetic graphs having $m^2 + m$ vertices.

Observation 2. Let G be an orderenergetic graph. Then G is an integral graph.

5508

4. Hypoenergetic Graphs

In 2007, I.Gutman et al.[6] introduced the definition of hypoenergetic graphs. In this section, we present some techniques for constructing sequence of hypoenergetic graphs.

Proposition 4.1. *Kronecker product of two hypoenergetic graphs is hypoenergetic.*

Proof. Let G_1 and G_2 be two hypoenergetic graphs with order n_1 and n_2 respectively. Then $\varepsilon(G_1) < n_1$ and $\varepsilon(G_2) < n_2$. By Lemma 2.1, $\varepsilon(G_1 \times G_2) = \varepsilon(G_1)\varepsilon(G_2) < n_1n_2$. Thus Kronecker product of G_1 and G_2 , $G_1 \times G_2$ is hypoenergetic graph.

The following theorem enable us to construct infinitely many hypoenergetic graphs.

Proposition 4.2. Let G_1 be an orderenergetic graph and G_2 be a hypoenergetic graph. Then *Kronecker product of* G_1 *and* G_2 , $G_1 \times G_2$ *is hypoenergetic graph*.

Proof. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with order n_1 and n_2 respectively. Since G_1 is orderenergetic, $\varepsilon(G_1) = n_1$ and G_2 is hypoenergetic, $\varepsilon(G_2) < n_2$. By Lemma 2.1, $\varepsilon(G_1 \times G_2) = \varepsilon(G_1)\varepsilon(G_2) < n_1n_2$.

Example 4.1. Let $G = K_{p,p} \times K_{1,3}$. Then $|V(K_{p,p} \times K_{1,3})| = 8p$ and $\varepsilon(K_{p,p} \times K_{1,3}) = 4\sqrt{3}p < 8p$. So $K_{p,p} \times K_{1,3}$ is hypoenergetic for every p.

Proposition 4.3. Let G be a hypoenergetic graph of order p. Then the m-shadow graph of G, $D_m(G)$ is hypoenergetic for every m.

Example 4.2. Let $G = K_{r,s}$, $r \neq s$. Then $|V(K_{r,s})| = r + s$ and $\varepsilon(D_m(K_{r,s})) = 2m\sqrt{rs} < m(r+s) = |V(D_m(K_{r,s}))|$.

Remark 4.1. Let *G* be a hypoenergetic graph. Then the *m*-shadow graph of duplicate graph, $D_m(D(G))$ is hypoenergetic.

Proposition 4.4. Let G be a hypoenergetic graph of order p. Then the m-splitting graph of G, $spl_m(G)$ is hypoenergetic for m > 2. *Proof.* Since G is a hypoenergetic graph, $\varepsilon(G) < p$. Also $|V(spl_m(G))| = p(m+1)$. As m > 2,

$$\begin{split} m(m-2) > 0 \Longrightarrow (m+1)^2 > 1 + 4m \\ \implies \sqrt{1 + 4m}\varepsilon(G) < p(m+1) \\ \implies \varepsilon(spl_m(G)) < p(m+1). \end{split}$$

Thus $spl_m(G)$ is hypoenergetic graph.

It is very interesting to construct hypoenergetic graphs from non hypoenergetic graphs.

The following theorem describes a construction of hypoenergetic graphs from the complete graph.

Let *G* be a graph of order *p* and we denote $G_r^s = K_{r,s} \times G, r, s \in N$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $G = K_p$ be a complete graph on p vertices and $m \ge 14$. Then the graph G_1^m is hypoenergetic.

Proof. The energy of complete graph is 2(p-1) and $|V(G_1^m)| = p(1+m)$. As $m \ge 14$,

$$m(m-14) + 1 > 0 \Longrightarrow m^2 + 2m + 1 > 16m$$
$$\implies 4\sqrt{m} < (m+1)$$
$$\implies 4\sqrt{m}(p-1) < p(m+1)$$
$$\implies 2\sqrt{m}\varepsilon(K_p) < p(m+1)$$
$$\implies \varepsilon(G_1^m) < p(1+m).$$

Thus G_1^m is hypoenergetic whenever $m \ge 14$.

(1)

Remark 4.2. The graph $G = K_p$ is non hypoenergetic but G_1^m is hypoenergetic for $m \ge 14$.

Since $4\sqrt{m} > (m+1)$ for m < 14, inequality (1) is satisfied for every $p \le k$, where $k = \lfloor \frac{4\sqrt{m}}{4\sqrt{m}-(m+1)} \rfloor$, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is floor of x. Thus G_1^m , (m < 14) is hypoenergetic whenever $p \le k$.

Corollary 4.2. Let G be any non hyperenergetic graph. Then G_1^m is hypoenergetic for every $m \ge 14$.

5. EQUIENERGETIC GRAPHS

In this section, we construct some new pairs of equienergetic graphs.

Proposition 5.1. Let G be a (p,q) graph. Then the graphs $D_m(D(G))$ and $D_{2m}(G)$ are non-cospectral equienergetic graphs.

Proposition 5.2. Let G be a (p,q) graph and $D^m(G)$ be the m-duplicate graph of G. Then $\varepsilon(D^m(G)) = 2^m \varepsilon(G)$.

Proposition 5.3. Let G be a simple (p,q) graph. Then G is integral if and only if its m-duplicate graph $D^m(G)$ is integral.

The following propositions describes the class of equiorderenergetic graphs.

Proposition 5.4. Let G be a hypoenergetic graph. Then the graphs $spl_2(G)$ and $D_3(G)$ are equihypoenergetic graphs.

Proposition 5.5. Let G be an orderenergetic graph of order p. Then the graphs $spl_2(G)$ and $D_3(G)$ are equiorderenergetic graphs.



FIGURE 3. Graph SP(2, 1, 1, 2).



FIGURE 4. Graph $D_3(SP(2, 1, 1, 2))$.



FIGURE 5. Graph $spl_2(SP(2, 1, 1, 2))$.

The graphs $D_3(SP(2,1,1,2))$ and $spl_2(SP(2,1,1,2))$ are equiorderenergetic graphs.

5.1. Conclusion. In this paper, we construct some family of orderenergetic graphs from the known orderenergetic graphs. Also, some new families of hypoenergetic graphs are derived by using some graph operations. Moreover, the problem for constructing equienergetic graphs are discussed. In addition to that a new class of equiorderenergetic and equihypoenergetic graphs are obtained.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- S. Akbari, M. Ghahremani, I. Gutman, F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar, Orderen- ergetic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 84 (2020), 325-334.
- [2] R. Balakrishnan, The energy of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 387 (2004), 287-295.
- [3] R. Balakrishnan, K. Ranganathan, A textbook of graph theory, Springer Science and Business Media, New York, 2012.
- [4] D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, et al., Spectra of graphs-theory and applications, Vol. 10, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [5] W. England, K. Ruedenberg, Why is the delocalization energy negative and why is it proportional to the number of π electrons, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95 (1973), 8769-8775.
- [6] I. Gutman, Hyperenergetic and hypoenergetic graphs, Selected Topics on Applications of Graph Spectra, Math. Inst., Belgrade, 113-135.
- [7] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, Ber. Math.-Statist. Sekt. Forsch. Graz, 103 (1978), 1-22.
- [8] I. Gutman, S.Z. Firoozabadi, J.A. de la Penac, J. Rada, On the energy of regular graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 57 (2007), 435-442.
- [9] I. Gutman, X. Li, Y. Shi, J. Zhang, Hypoenergetic trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60 (2008), 415-426.
- [10] I. Gutman, S. Radenković, Hypoenergetic molecular graphs, Indian J. Chem. 46A (2007), 1733-1736.
- [11] G. Indulal, A. Vijayakumar, On a pair of equienergetic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 55 (2006), 83-90.
- [12] H.S. Ramane, H.B. Walikar, S.B. Rao, et al. Equienergetic graphs, Kragujevac J. Math. 26 (2004), 5-13.
- [13] E. Sampathkumar, On duplicate graphs, J. Indian Math. Soc. 37 (1973), 285-293.
- [14] D. Stevanović, N. Milosavljević, D. Vukićević, A few examples and counter examples in spectral graph theory, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, 40 (2020), 637-662.
- [15] S.K. Vaidya, K.M. Popat, Energy of m-splitting and m-shadow graphs, Far East J. Math. Sci. 102 (2017), 1571-1578.