NEW TECHNIQUE FOR SOLVING TIME FRACTIONAL WAVE EQUATION: PYTHON
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Abstract. In this paper, we develop the fractional order explicit finite difference scheme for time fractional wave equation. Furthermore, we prove the scheme is conditionally stable and convergent. As an application of the scheme numerical solution of the test problem is obtained by Python Programme and represented graphically.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional order partial differential equations are widely used in many areas like physics, engineering, finance, medical sciences etc.[2, 8], as they can provide an adequate and precise description of the models, which cannot be revealed by integer-order differential equations. Recently, the fractional wave equation is occurs in many studies such as acoustics, electromagnetic, seismic study etc[3, 10, 18]. It also describes the movement of strings, wires and fluid surfaces[12]. Any wave or motion can be express in terms of a sum of sine or sinusoidal waves. The traveling wave solution of the wave equation was first published by d’Alembert in 1747[7].
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The traveling wave solutions of fractional order partial differential equations are deeply helpful to realise the mechanisms of the phenomena as well as their further application in real-world. Finite difference method is also one of the very effective method for solving fractional order partial differential equations [1, 4, 14, 15, 16, 17].

Recently, several authors have developed different numerical techniques for solving differential equations using mathematical software[5, 6, 11]. Python is a high-level interpreted programming language that has a vast standard library and a lot of modern software engineering tools. It allows fast exploration of various ideas as well as efficient implementation. It has been used for teaching and research in various branches of pure and applied mathematics[19]. Therefore, in this connection we develop the explicit finite difference scheme for time-fractional wave equation and obtain its solution using Python programme.

We organize the paper as follows: In section 2, we develop the explicit finite difference scheme for time fractional wave equation. The section 3 is devoted for stability of solution of the scheme and the convergence is proven in section 4. In section 5, we develop a python programme for the proposed scheme and numerical experiments are performed to confirm stability and convergence of the scheme.

We consider the following time-fractional wave equation with initial and boundary conditions:

\[\frac{\partial^\alpha V}{\partial t^\alpha} = C^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega = [0,L] \times [0,T]\]

initial conditions:

\[V(x,0) = f(x), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(x,0) = g(x), \quad x \in [0,L]\]

boundary conditions:

\[V(0,t) = 0, \quad V(L,t) = 0, \quad t \in (0,T]\]

where \(V(x,t)\) is the amplitude of wave at position \(x\) and time \(t\), and \(C\) is the velocity of wave. Here, \(\frac{\partial^\alpha V}{\partial t^\alpha}\) is Caputo time fractional derivative of order \(\alpha\), which is defined as follows[9]:

\[\frac{\partial^\alpha V}{\partial t^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{m-\alpha-1} \frac{\partial^m V(x,\zeta)}{\partial \zeta^m} d\zeta\]

where \(m\) is an integer such that \(m-1 < \alpha \leq m\).
2. Finite difference Scheme

Let $V_i^n$ be the numerical approximation of $V(x,t)$ at point $(ih,nk)$, where $h$ and $k$ are spatial and temporal sizes respectively. Let $x_i = ih$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and $t_n = nk$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$, where $h = \frac{L}{M}$ and $k = \frac{T}{N}$. Now, the fractional order wave equation (1) - (3) is discretized by using the second-order accurate central difference formula for the derivative term in space and the Caputo finite difference formula for the time $\alpha$-order fractional derivative for each interior grid point $(ih,nk)$. We discretized the second order space derivative by second-order accurate central difference formula as follows:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right)_{(x_i,t_n)} = \frac{V_{i+1}^n - 2V_i^n + V_{i-1}^n}{h^2} + O(h^2)
\]

and Caputo time fractional derivative as follows:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^{\alpha} V}{\partial t^{\alpha}} \right)_{(x_i,t_n)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_n} (t_n - \zeta)^{1 - \alpha} \frac{\partial^2 V(x_i, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta^2} d\zeta
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{j}^{(j+1)k} \eta^{1 - \alpha} \frac{\partial^2 V(x_i, t_n - \eta)}{\partial \eta^2} d\eta
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{V_{i+j+1}^n - 2V_{i+j}^n + V_{i+j-1}^n}{k^2} \right] + O(k^2) \int_{j}^{(j+1)k} \eta^{1 - \alpha} d\eta
\]

\[
= \frac{k^{2 - \alpha}}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{V_{i+j+1}^n - 2V_{i+j}^n + V_{i+j-1}^n}{k^2} \right] [(j+1)^{2 - \alpha} - j^{2 - \alpha}]
\]

\[
+ \frac{k^{2 - \alpha}}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [(j+1)^{2 - \alpha} - j^{2 - \alpha}] O(k^2)
\]

\[
= \frac{k^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{V_{i+j+1}^n - 2V_{i+j}^n + V_{i+j-1}^n}{k^2} \right] [(j+1)^{2 - \alpha} - j^{2 - \alpha}]
\]

\[
+ \frac{k^{2 - \alpha}}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha)} n^{2 - \alpha} O(k^2)
\]

As $nk \leq T$ is finite, then above formula can be rewritten as

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^{\alpha} V}{\partial t^{\alpha}} \right)_{(x_i,t_n)} = \frac{k^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{M} b_j \left( V_{i+j+1}^n - 2V_{i+j}^n + V_{i+j-1}^n \right) + O(k^2)
\]
where
\[ b_j = (j + 1)^{2-\alpha} - j^{2-\alpha}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \]

Now, putting (4) and (5) in equation (1), we obtain
\[
\frac{k^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j \left( V_i^{n-j+1} - 2V_i^{n-j} + V_i^{n-j+1} \right) = C^2 \left( \frac{V_i^{n+1} - 2V_i^n + V_i^{n-1}}{h^2} \right) 
\]
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j \left( V_i^{n-j+1} - 2V_i^{n-j} + V_i^{n-j+1} \right) = \frac{\Gamma(3-\alpha)k^2C^2}{h^2} \left( V_i^{n+1} - 2V_i^n + V_i^{n-1} \right) 
\]
\[ V_i^{n+1} - 2V_i^n + V_i^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \left( V_i^{n-j+1} - 2V_i^{n-j} + V_i^{n-j+1} \right) = \frac{\Gamma(3-\alpha)k^2C^2}{h^2} \left( V_i^{n+1} - 2V_i^n + V_i^{n-1} \right) 
\]

After simplification, we get
\[
V_i^{n+1} = 2V_i^n - V_i^{n-1} + \mu \left( V_i^{n+1} - 2V_i^n + V_i^{n-1} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \left( V_i^{n-j+1} - 2V_i^{n-j} + V_i^{n-j+1} \right) 
\]
where \( \mu = \frac{\Gamma(3-\alpha)k^2C^2}{h^2} \).

The initial conditions are approximated as follows:
\[
V(x_i, 0) = f(x_i) \text{ implies } V_i^0 = f(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1
\]
and
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(x_i, t_0) = g(x_i) \text{ implies } \frac{V_i^1 - V_i^{-1}}{2k} = g(x_i)
\]
\[
V_i^{-1} = V_i^1 - 2kg(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1
\]

Also, the boundary conditions are approximated as follows:
\[ V(0, t_n) = 0 \text{ implies } V_0^n = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1 \]
and
\[ V(L, t_n) = 0 \text{ implies } V_M^n = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1 \]

Now, putting \( n = 0 \) in equation (6) and using equation (8), we obtain
\[
V_i^1 = V_i^0 + kg(x_i) + \frac{\mu}{2} \left( V_{i+1}^0 - 2V_i^0 + V_{i-1}^0 \right) 
\]
For \( n = 1 \), we have
\[
V_i^2 = 2V_i^1 - V_i^0 + \mu \left( V_{i+1}^1 - 2V_i^1 + V_{i-1}^1 \right) 
\]
and for \( n = 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1 \), we have

\[
V_i^{n+1} = 2V_i^n - V_i^{n-1} + \mu \left( V_{i+1}^n - 2V_i^n + V_{i-1}^n \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \left( V_i^{n-j+1} - 2V_i^{n-j} + V_i^{n-j-1} \right)
\]

The complete discretized time-fractional wave equation with initial and boundary conditions is written as follows:

(9) \[ V_i^1 = V_i^0 + kg(x_i) + \frac{\mu}{2} \left( V_{i+1}^0 - 2V_i^0 + V_{i-1}^0 \right) \]

(10) \[ V_i^2 = 2V_i^1 - V_i^0 + \mu \left( V_{i+1}^1 - 2V_i^1 + V_{i-1}^1 \right) \]

\[
V_i^{n+1} = 2V_i^n - V_i^{n-1} + \mu \left( V_{i+1}^n - 2V_i^n + V_{i-1}^n \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \left( V_i^{n-j+1} - 2V_i^{n-j} + V_i^{n-j-1} \right), \text{ for } n = 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1,
\]

initial condition:

(12) \[ V_i^0 = f(x_i), \; i = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1 \]

boundary conditions:

(13) \[ V_0^n = 0, \; V_M^n = 0, \; n = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1 \]

The discretized finite difference scheme (9)-(13) can be written in matrix form as follows:

(14) \[ V^1 = A_1 V^0 + F \]

(15) \[ V^2 = 2A_1 V^1 - V^0 \]

\[
V^{n+1} = A_2 V^n + (-1 + 2b_1 - b_2) V^{n-1} + (-b_1 + 2b_2 - b_3) V^{n-2} + \ldots
\]

\[ + (-b_{n-2} + 2b_{n-1}) V^1 + (-b_{n-1}) V^0, \text{ for } n = 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1, \]

initial condition:

(17) \[ V_i^0 = f(x_i), \; i = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1 \]

boundary conditions:

(18) \[ V_0^n = 0, \; V_M^n = 0, \; n = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1 \]
where $V^n = [V^n_1, V^n_2, \ldots, V^n_{M-1}]'$, $F = [kg(x_1), kg(x_2), \ldots, kg(x_{M-1})]'$,

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 - \mu & \mu \\
\mu & 1 - \mu & \mu \\
\mu & \mu & 1 - \mu & \mu \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}
$$

and

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
2 - 2\mu - b_1 & \mu \\
\mu & 2 - 2\mu - b_1 & \mu \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}
$$

3. Stability

In this section, we discuss the stability of solution of the explicit finite difference scheme (9)-(13) develop for time-fractional wave equation (1)-(3).

**Lemma 3.1.** The eigenvalues of the $N \times N$ tri-diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
a & b \\
c & a & b \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& c & a & b \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& c & a & b \\
c & a
\end{pmatrix}$$
are given as
\[\lambda_s = a + 2\sqrt{bc} \cos \left(\frac{s\pi}{N+1}\right), \quad s = 1, 2, \ldots, N\]
where \(a, b\) and \(c\) may be real or complex[13].

**Theorem 3.2.** The solution of fractional order explicit finite difference scheme (9)-(13) for time-fractional wave equation (1)-(3) is conditionally stable.

**Proof.** The eigenvalues of tri-diagonal matrix \(A_1\) are given by,
\[
\lambda_s(A_1) = 1 - \mu + 2\sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{4}} \cos \left(\frac{s\pi}{M}\right), \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1
\]
\[
= 1 - \mu + \mu \cos \left(\frac{s\pi}{M}\right)
\]
\[
\leq 1 - \mu + \mu = 1
\]
\[
\lambda_s(A_1) = 1 - \mu + \mu \cos \left(\frac{s\pi}{M}\right), \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1
\]
\[
\geq 1 - \mu - \mu
\]
\[
\geq 1 - 2\mu
\]
\[
\geq -1 \text{ when } 1 - 2\mu \geq -1 \Rightarrow \mu \leq 1
\]
Therefore, we have
\[
(19) \quad |\lambda_s(A_1)| \leq 1 \text{ for } 0 < \mu \leq 1
\]

Similarly, eigenvalues of tri-diagonal matrix \(2A_1\) are given by,
\[
\lambda_s(2A_1) = 2 - 2\mu + 2\mu \cos \left(\frac{s\pi}{M}\right), \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1
\]
\[
\geq 2 - 2\mu - 2\mu
\]
\[
\geq 2 - 4\mu
\]
\[
\geq -1 \text{ when } 2 - 4\mu \geq -1 \Rightarrow \mu \leq \frac{3}{4}
\]
\[
\lambda_s(2A_1) = 2 - 2\mu + 2\mu \cos \left(\frac{s\pi}{M}\right), \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1
\]
\[
= 2 - 4\mu \sin^2 \left(\frac{s\pi}{2M}\right)
\]
\[
\leq 1
\]
when \[ 2 - 4\mu \sin^2 \left( \frac{s\pi}{2M} \right) \leq 1 \Rightarrow 1 \leq 4\mu \sin^2 \left( \frac{s\pi}{2M} \right) \Rightarrow 1 \leq 4\mu \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \leq \mu. \]

Therefore, we have

\[ |\lambda_s(2A_1)| \leq 1 \text{ for } \frac{1}{4} \leq \mu \leq \frac{3}{4} \]

Now, the eigenvalues of tri-diagonal matrix \( A_2 \) are given by,

\[ \lambda_s(A_2) = 2 - 2\mu - b_1 + 2\mu \cos \left( \frac{s\pi}{M} \right), \text{ for } s = 1, 2, \ldots M - 1 \]

\[ \geq 2 - 2\mu - b_1 - 2\mu \]

\[ \geq 2 - 4\mu - b_1 \]

\[ \geq -1 \text{ when } 2 - 4\mu - b_1 \geq -1 \Rightarrow \mu \leq \frac{3 - b_1}{4} \]

\[ \lambda_s(A_2) = 2 - b_1 - 4\mu \sin^2 \left( \frac{s\pi}{2M} \right) \]

\[ \leq 1 \]

when \[ 2 - b_1 - 4\mu \sin^2 \left( \frac{s\pi}{2M} \right) \leq 1 \Rightarrow 1 - b_1 \leq 4\mu \sin^2 \left( \frac{s\pi}{2M} \right) \Rightarrow 1 - b_1 \leq 4\mu \Rightarrow \frac{1 - b_1}{4} \leq \mu. \]

Hence, we have

\[ |\lambda_s(A_2)| \leq 1 \text{ for } \frac{1 - b_1}{4} \leq \mu \leq \frac{3 - b_1}{4}. \]

Therefore, from equations (19), (20) and (21), we prove the spectral radius \( \rho(A) \) of matrices \( A = A_1, 2A_1, A_2 \), satisfies \( \rho(A) \leq 1 \) if

\[ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1 - b_1}{4} \right\} \leq \mu \leq \min \left\{ 1, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3 - b_1}{4} \right\} \]

Hence, this proves the theorem. \( \square \)

### 4. Convergence

In this section, we discuss the question of convergence. Let \( V_i^0 \) be the exact solution of time-fractional wave equation (1)-(3) and \( \tau_i^n \) be the local truncation error for \( 1 \leq i \leq M \). The finite difference scheme (9)-(13) will become

\[ \tau_i^1 = V_i^1 - V_i^0 - kg(x_i) - \frac{\mu}{2} \left( V_{i+1}^0 - 2V_i^0 + V_{i-1}^0 \right) = O(h^2 + k^2) \]
\[ \tau_i^2 = \nabla_i^2 - 2\nabla_i^1 + \nabla_i^0 + \mu \left( \nabla_i^{1+} - 2\nabla_i^1 + \nabla_i^{-1} \right) = O(h^2 + k^2) \]

and for \( 2 \leq n \leq N - 1 \),

\[ \tau_n^{n+1} = \nabla_n^{n+1} - 2\nabla_n^n + \nabla_n^{n-1} - \mu \left( \nabla_n^{n+1} - 2\nabla_n^n + \nabla_n^{n-1} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \left( \nabla_n^{n+j+1} - 2\nabla_n^{n+j} + \nabla_n^{n+j-1} \right) = O(h^2 + k^2) \]

**Lemma 4.1.** The coefficient \( b_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots \) satisfy

(i) \( b_j > 0 \)

(ii) \( b_j > b_{j+1} \)

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( \nabla_i^n \) be the exact solution of time-fractional wave equation (1)-(3) and \( V_i^n \) be the numerical solution of explicit finite difference scheme (9)-(13) at each mesh point \((x_i, t_n)\). Then there exist a positive constant \( K \) independent of \( h \) and \( k \) such that

\[ \| \nabla_i^n - V_i^n \| 1 \leq K(h^2 + k^2), \text{ when } \frac{1}{4} \leq \mu \leq \frac{3-b_1}{4}. \]

**Proof.** Let \( e_i^n \) be the error at each mesh point \((x_i, t_n)\), then

\[ e_i^n = \nabla_i^n - V_i^n \]

Now, we denote the error vector by \( e^n = (e_1^n, e_2^n, \ldots, e_M^n) \) for \( 1 \leq n \leq N \) and local truncation error vector by \( \tau^n = (\tau_1^n, \tau_2^n, \ldots, \tau_M^n) \) for time level \( n \). From equations (14)-(16), we obtain

\[ e^1 = A_1 e^0 + \tau^1 \]

\[ e^2 = 2A_1 e^1 - e^0 + \tau^2 \]

\[ e^{n+1} = A_2 e^n + \left( -1 + 2b_1 + b_2 \right) e^{n-1} + \left( -b_1 + 2b_2 - b_3 \right) e^{n-2} + \ldots \]

\[ + \left( -b_{n-2} + 2b_{n-1} \right) e^1 + \left( -b_{n-1} \right) e^0 + \tau^{n+1} \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1. \]

Using mathematical induction, we will prove that \( \| e^n \|_\infty \leq K(h^2 + k^2) \).

For \( n = 1 \), we have

\[ \max_{1 \leq i \leq M-1} |e_i^1| \leq \| e^1 \|_\infty = \| A_1 e^0 + \tau^1 \|_\infty \leq \| \tau^1 \|_\infty \leq K(h^2 + k^2) \]
where \( K \) is independent of \( h \) and \( k \). Also for \( n = 2 \), we have

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq M-1} |e_i^2| \leq \| e^2 \|_\infty \leq \| 2A_1 e^1 - e^0 + \tau^2 \|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq \| 2A_1 e^1 \|_\infty + \| \tau^2 \|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq \| e^1 \|_\infty + \| \tau^2 \|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq K_1(h^2 + k^2) + K_2(h^2 + k^2)
\]

\[
\leq K(h^2 + k^2)
\]

where \( K \) is independent of \( h \) and \( k \).

Suppose that

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq M-1} |e_i'\| \leq \| e' \|_\infty \leq K(h^2 + k^2)
\]

for \( r \leq n \) and \( K \) is independent of \( h \) and \( k \).

Consider,

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq M-1} |e_i^{n+1}| \leq \| e^{n+1} \|_\infty \leq \| A_2 e^n + (-1 + 2b_1 - b_2)e^{n-1} + (-1 + 2b_2 - b_3)e^{n-2} + \ldots
\]

\[
+ (-b_{n-2} + 2b_{n-1})e^1 + (-b_{n-1})e^0 + \tau^{n+1} \|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq \| A_2 \| \cdot \| e^n \|_\infty + \| (-1 + 2b_1 - b_2)e^{n-1} + (-1 + 2b_2 - b_3)e^{n-2} + \ldots
\]

\[
+ (-b_{n-2} + 2b_{n-1})e^1 + (-b_{n-1})e^0 + \tau^{n+1} \|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq \| A_2 \| \cdot \| e^n \|_\infty + \| (-1 + 2b_1 - b_2)\| e^{n-1} \|_\infty + \| -b_1 + 2b_2 - b_3)\| e^{n-2} \|_\infty + \ldots
\]

\[
+ \| (-b_{n-2} + 2b_{n-1}) \| \cdot \| e^1 \|_\infty + \| -b_{n-1} \| \cdot \| e^0 \|_\infty + \| \tau^{n+1} \|_\infty
\]

\[
\leq (1 + 1 - 2b_1 + b_2 + b_1 - 2b_2 + b_3 + \ldots
\]

\[
+ b_{n-2} - 2b_{n-1} + b_{n-1} + 2(-b_{n-2} + 2b_{n-1})K_2(h^2 + k^2) + K_1(h^2 + k^2)
\]

\[
\leq (2 - b_1 + 2(-b_{n-2} + 2b_{n-1}) + b_{n-1})K_2(h^2 + k^2) + K_1(h^2 + k^2)
\]

\[
\leq K(h^2 + k^2)
\]

where \( K \) is a positive constant independent of \( h \) and \( k \). Hence, by mathematical induction, for all

\( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), we have

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq M-1} |e_i^n| \leq \| e^n \|_\infty \leq K(h^2 + k^2)
\]
Therefore, we conclude that if
\[ \frac{1}{4} \leq \mu \leq \frac{3 - b_1}{4} \]
then \( \| e^n \|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \) as \((h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)\). Therefore, we proves that \( V^n_i \) converges to \( \bar{V}^n_i \).
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

5. PYTHON PROGRAMME

We compute \( V^n_i \) at each grid point \((x_i, t_n)\) using proposed scheme by Python. Now, the algorithm for scheme (9)-(13) as follows:

1. Compute \( V^0_i = f(x_i) \), \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M \).
2. Compute \( V^1_i, V^2_i \), \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M \).
3. Compute \( V^{n+1}_i \), for each \( n = 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1, \) \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M \).

Now, we develop the Python programme TFW for complete discretized scheme (9)-(13) as follows:

**Inputs:**
- \( f \) - initial displacement
- \( g \) - initial velocity
- \( C \) - velocity of wave
- \( L \) - spatial length
- \( T \) - end time
- \( k \) - temporal size
- \( \mu \) - \( \mu \)
- \( \alpha \) - fractional order \( \alpha \) of time derivative
- \( t_1 \) - time level, at which solution has to be estimate

**Output of Python programme TFW** is the approximate value of vector \( V(x_i, t_1) \).
import numpy as np
def TFW(f,g,C,L,T,k,mu,a,t1):
    d=(gamma(3-a)*(k)**a*C**2)/mu
    h=sqrt(d)
    N=int(round(T/k))
    M=int(round(L/h))
    t=np.linspace(0,N*k,N+1)
    x=np.linspace(0,M*h,M+1)
    V=np.zeros((N+1,M+1))
    for i in range(0,M+1):
        V[0][i]=f(x[i])
    for i in range(1,M):
        V[1][0]=0; V[1][M]=0
        for j in range(1,n):
            S=S+((j+1)**(2-a)-j**(2-a))*(V[n-j+1][i]-2*V[n][i]+V[n+j-1][i])
            V[n+1][i]=2*V[n][i]-V[n-1][i]+mu*(V[n][i+1]-2*V[n][i]+V[n][i-1])-S
        V[n+1][0]=0; V[n+1][M]=0
    return(x,V[t1])

**Numerical Solutions:**

We consider the following time-fractional wave equation:

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha V}{\partial t^\alpha} = C^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega = [0,1] \times [0,1]
\]

with initial conditions:

\[
V(x,0) = \sin(5\pi x) + 2\sin(7\pi x), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(x,0) = 0, \quad x \in [0,1]
\]

and boundary conditions,

\[
V(0,t) = 0, \quad V(1,t) = 0, \quad t \in (0,1]
\]

The exact solution to this problem is

\[
V(x,y) = \sin(5\pi x) \cos(5\pi Ct) + 2\sin(7\pi x) \cos(7\pi Ct)
\]

Using the python programme TFW, we estimate the value of \(V(x,t)\) for any time level \(t_n\). In Table 1, we compare the exact solution and numerical solution for \(\alpha = 1.99\) with parameters
In Table 2, we compare the exact solution and numerical solution for parameters \( \alpha = 2, h = \frac{1}{100}, k = \frac{1}{150}, C = 1, t = 1 \).

From these tables, we conclude that the proposed scheme gives accurate results and stable solutions. Using the Python programme TFW, we obtain numerical solutions of time fractional wave equation for \( \alpha = 1.97, 1.98, 1.99 \) and compare with exact solution with the parameters \( \mu = \frac{3}{4}, k = \frac{1}{100}, C = 1, t = 1 \) and represented graphically in Figure 1.

We obtain the numerical solutions for \( \mu = 0.9 \) and 1.0 with parameters \( \alpha = 1.5, k = \frac{1}{100}, C = 1, t = 1 \) and represented graphically using Python programme TFW in Figure 2.

We observe that \( \mu > 0.75 \) then approximate solution obtained by the develop scheme using Python programme TFW is unstable.
TABLE 2. Comparison of exact and numerical solution for $\alpha = 2, h = \frac{1}{100}, k = \frac{1}{150}, C = 1, t = 1$

| $x$ | Exact solution | Numerical solution | Relative error $e^n_i = ||V^n_i - V_i||$ |
|-----|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 0.1 | -2.6180339887  | -2.6175015948     | 0.000532                                |
| 0.2 | 1.9021130326   | 1.9015345652      | 0.000578                                |
| 0.3 | 0.3819660113   | 0.3821136465      | 0.000147                                |
| 0.4 | -1.1755705046  | -1.1752129921     | 0.000357                                |
| 0.5 | 1.0            | 0.9994320835      | 0.000567                                |
| 0.6 | -1.1755705046  | -1.1752129921     | 0.000357                                |
| 0.7 | 0.3819660113   | 0.3821136465      | 0.000147                                |
| 0.8 | 1.9021130326   | 1.9015345652      | 0.000578                                |
| 0.9 | -2.6180339887  | -2.6175015948     | 0.000532                                |
| 1.0 | 0.0            | 0.0               | 0.0                                     |

FIGURE 1. The nature of approximate solutions for the parameters $\mu = \frac{3}{4}, k = \frac{1}{100}, t = 1, C = 1$
Figure 2. The nature of approximate solutions for the parameters $\alpha = 1.5, t = 1, C = 1, k = \frac{1}{100}$.

6. CONCLUSIONS

(i) We successfully develop the fractional order finite difference scheme for time fractional wave equation.

(ii) Theoretically, we proved that the developed scheme is conditionally stable and bound of stability is $\frac{1}{4} \leq \mu \leq \frac{3-b_1}{4}$, where $0 \leq b_1 \leq 1$.

(iii) The convergence of the scheme is theoretically proved by using maximum norm method.

(iv) We successfully develop a python programme for time fractional wave equation.

(v) Analysis shows that the finite difference scheme is numerically stable and the results are compatible with our theoretical analysis.

(vi) We observe that Python is very powerful tool, which allows for the convenient computation of finite difference schemes for solving fractional order differential equations. The numerical solution of test problem is obtained successfully by Python programme and represented graphically.
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