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Abstract. Liman, Mohopatra and Shah proved that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zeros in $|z|<1$, then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1,|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right) p(z)\right| \leq & {\left[\left\{\left|\alpha+\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right)\right|+\left|z+\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right)\right|\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right.} \\
& \left.-\left\{\left|\alpha+\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right)\right|-\left|z+\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right)\right|\right\} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{\alpha} p(z)=n p(z)+(\alpha-z) p^{\prime}(z)$ is the polar derivative of $p(z)$ with respect to the point $\alpha$. We extend and generalize this inequality for the polynomial $p(z)$ which does not vanish in $|z|<k, k \leq 1$. Our result also generalizes other known inequalities as well.
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## 1. Introduction

Bernstein [4] established an estimate of the derivative of a polynomial $p(z)$ of degree $n$ in terms of the maximum modulus of $p(z)$ on the unit circle by proving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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In (1.1) equality is attained if $p(z)$ is of the form $\alpha z^{n}$, where $\alpha$ is a non zero constant. Erdös conjectured that if we restrict $p(z)$ to the polynomials of degree $n$ having no zero in $|z|<1$, then (1.1) can be sharpened and replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (1.2) was proved later by Lax [9]. Equality is attained in (1.2) for $p(z)=\alpha z^{n}+\beta$, where $|\alpha|=|\beta|$. For the same class of polynomials as considered by Erdös and Lax, Aziz and Dawood [1] involved $\min |p(z)|$ on the unit circle and proved a refinement of (1.2). In fact, they proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left\{\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-\min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dewan and Hans [6] improved (1.3) by proving that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zeros in $|z|<1$, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{2} p(z)\right| \leq & \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|+\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\left|1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right|-\left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\} . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\alpha$ be any real or complex number and let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$. We define the polar derivative [11] of $p(z)$ with respect to $\alpha$, denoted by $D_{\alpha} p(z)$, as

$$
D_{\alpha} p(z)=n p(z)+(\alpha-z) p^{\prime}(z)
$$

$D_{\alpha} p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n-1$. Since,

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_{\alpha} p(z)}{\alpha}=p^{\prime}(z)
$$

therefore, $D_{\alpha} p(z)$ is considered as a generalized form of the ordinary derivative of $p(z)$.
Aziz and Shah [2] extended (1.1) to polar derivative and proved that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, then for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|D_{\alpha} p(z)\right| \leq n|\alpha| \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Aziz and Shah [3] refined and extended their result (1.5) by considering that the polynomial $p(z)$ of degree $n$ having no zeros in $|z|<1$ and for every real or complex number $\alpha$ satisfying $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|D_{\alpha} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left\{(|\alpha|+1) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-(|\alpha|-1) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the more general class of polynomials of degree $n$, namely, $p(z)=a_{0}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$, $1 \leq \mu \leq n$, we find some generalizations of (1.6) in the literature ( see Dewan et al. [7] and Bidkham et al. [5]). The next result was proved by Liman et al. [10]. It generalizes inequalities (1.4) and (1.6) proved by Dewan and Hans [6] and Aziz and Shah [3] respectively.

Theorem 1.1. If $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zero in $|z|<1$, then for all $\alpha, \beta$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1,|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-1}{2} p(z)\right| \leq & \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right|+\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right|-\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\} . \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. Main Results

In this paper, by involving some coefficients of the polynomial $p(z)$, we generalize and extend inequality (1.7). The result also generalizes other inequalities mentioned in the preceding section. More precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, be a polynomial of degree $n$ which does not vanish in $|z|<k, k \leq 1$. Then, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq A,|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| \leq & \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|+\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right. \\
& \left.-\left(k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|-\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|\right\}, \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu-1}+n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu}}{\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right|+n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{\mu-1}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we can verify that $A=1$ when $k=1$, whereas, for $k<1$ we can verify that $A \leq k$ as shown below. Using inequality (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu} \leq n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu} \\
& \Longrightarrow \quad \mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right) \leq n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu}\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right), \\
& \text { or } \quad \mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu-1}+n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu} \leq n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu-1}+\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu}, \\
& \text { i.e. } \quad \frac{\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu-1}+n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu}}{\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right|+n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{\mu-1}} \leq k^{\mu} \leq k \quad \text { as } k \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mu \geq 1, \\
& \text { i.e. } A
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.3. Taking $k=1$ (so that $A=1$ ) in Theorem 2.1, inequality (2.1) for $\mu=1$ reduces to (1.7) due to Liman[10]. Thus, Theorem 2.1 is an extension and a generalization of Theorem 1.1 for the lacunary polynomial $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$.

If we take $\beta=0$ in Theorem 2.1, it takes the following simplified form.

Corollary 2.4. If $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, is a polynomial of degree $n$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $|z|<k, k \leq 1$, then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(k^{-n}|\alpha|+1\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|-\left(k^{-n}|\alpha|-1\right) \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (2.2).

Remark 2.5. If we take $\mu=1$ and $k=1$ in Corollary 2.4, then (2.3) reduces to (1.6) due to Aziz and Shah [3] and therefore Theorem 2.1 extends and generalizes (1.6) to lacunary polynomials of the type $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$.

Dividing both sides of (2.1) by $|\alpha|$ and taking the limit as $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.6. If $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, is a polynomial of degree $n$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $|z|<k, k \leq 1$, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{n \beta}{1+A} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2} & \left\{\left(k^{-n}\left|1+\frac{\beta}{1+A}\right|+\left|\frac{\beta}{1+A}\right|\right) \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right. \\
& \left.-\left(k^{-n}\left|1+\frac{\beta}{1+A}\right|-\left|\frac{\beta}{1+A}\right|\right) \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|\right\}, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (2.2).

Remark 2.7. If we take $k=1$ (so that $A=1$ ) and $\mu=1$ in Corollary 2.6, inequality (2.4) reduces to (1.4) due to Dewan and Hans [6]. Further more, if $\beta=0$ along with $k=1$ and $\mu=1$, inequality (2.4) becomes (1.3) due to Aziz and Dawood [1].

## 3. Lemmas

For the proof of Theorem 2.1, we require the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Laguerre [8, 11]

Lemma 3.1. If all the zeros of an $n^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomial $p(z)$ lie in a circular region $C$, and $\omega$ is any zero of $D_{\alpha} p(z)$, where $\alpha$ is any real or complex number, then at most one of the points $\omega$ and $\alpha$ may lie outside $C$.

Lemma 3.2. If $p(z)=a_{0}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zeros in $|z|<k, k \geq 1$, then on $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq k^{\mu+1} \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{\mid a_{\mu \mid}}{\left|a_{0}\right|} k^{\mu-1}+1}{1+\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{\left|a_{\mu}\right|}{\left|a_{0}\right|} k^{\mu+1}}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{\left|a_{\mu}\right|}{\left|a_{0}\right|} k^{\mu} \leq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This lemma is due to Qazi [12].
Lemma 3.3. If $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in the closed disk $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then for any real or complex number $\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \geq A$
and $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{\alpha} p(z)\right| \geq n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|p(z)| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (2.2).

Before proving Lemma 3.3, we take note of an important consequence of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. If $p(z)$ is polynomial assumed as in Lemma 3.3 and $q(z)=z^{\bar{p}} \overline{p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$, then $q(z)$ has no zero in $|z|<\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k} \geq 1$. Thus, on applying Lemma 3.2 to $q(z)$, by inequality (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{\left|a_{n-\mu}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \frac{1}{k^{\mu}} \leq 1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $q(z)=z^{n} p\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)=\bar{a}_{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} \bar{a}_{n-v} z^{v}$. Then, it can be easily verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|n p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad|z|=1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, therefore, the polynomial $q(z)$ has no zero in $|z|<\frac{1}{k}$, $\frac{1}{k} \geq 1$. Thus, applying Lemma 3.2 to $q(z)$, we have by (3.1) for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| & \geq \frac{1}{k^{\mu+1}} \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{\left|a_{n-\mu}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \frac{1}{k^{\mu-1}}+1}{1+\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{\left|a_{n-\mu}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \frac{1}{k^{\mu+1}}}\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& =\frac{\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right|+n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{\mu-1}}{n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu}+\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu-1}}\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|,
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { therefore, } \quad\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu}+\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu-1}}{\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right|+n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{\mu-1}}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently, for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq A\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right|  \tag{3.6}\\
\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq(1+A)\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\frac{n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{2 \mu}+\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right| k^{\mu-1}}{\mu\left|a_{n-\mu}\right|+n\left|a_{n}\right| k^{\mu-1}}$.
Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n|p(z)| & =\left|n p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \leq\left|n p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \quad \text { on } \quad|z|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

which on using inequality (3.5) gives for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n|p(z)| \leq\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

combining (3.7) and (3.8), we have for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
n|p(z)| & \leq(1+A)\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| . \\
\text { i.e. } \quad\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| & \geq \frac{n}{1+A}|p(z)| . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By definition, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, particularly for $|\alpha| \geq A$, we have

$$
D_{\alpha} p(z)=n p(z)+(\alpha-z) p^{\prime}(z)
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{\alpha} p(z)\right| & =\left|n p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)+\alpha p^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \geq|\alpha|\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right|-\left|n p(z)-z p^{\prime}(z)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which on using inequality (3.5) gives for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{\alpha} p(z)\right| \geq\left|\alpha \| p^{\prime}(z)\right|-\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right| \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.6) to (3.10), we have for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{\alpha} p(z)\right| & \geq|\alpha|\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right|-A\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& =(|\alpha|-A)\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which in conjunction with (3.9) gives for $|z|=1$

$$
\left|D_{\alpha} p(z)\right| \geq n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|p(z)|
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, be a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq A,|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| \geq \frac{n}{k^{n}}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (2.2).

Proof of Lemma 3.4. If $p(z)$ has a zero on $|z|=k$, then (3.11) follows trivially. Therefore, we assume that $p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<k$. Let $m=\min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$, then $m>0$ and $|p(z)| \geq m$, where $|z|=k$. Therefore, for every $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$, it follows by Rouche's theorem that the polynomial $G(z)=p(z)-\lambda m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}$ has all its zeros in $|z|<k$. By lemma 3.1, $D_{\alpha} G(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<k$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\alpha} G(z) & =D_{\alpha} p(z)-D_{\alpha}\left(\lambda m \frac{z^{n}}{k^{n}}\right) \\
& =D_{\alpha} p(z)-\left\{n \lambda m \frac{z^{n}}{k^{n}}-(\alpha-z) n \lambda m \frac{z^{n-1}}{k^{n}}\right\} \\
& =D_{\alpha} p(z)-\alpha \lambda m n
\end{aligned}
$$

with $|\alpha| \geq A$.
Applying Lemma 3.3 to the polynomial $G(z)$, we have for $|z|=1$

$$
\left|D_{\alpha} G(z)\right| \geq n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|G(z)|,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} G(z)\right| \geq n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|G(z)| \quad \text { on } \quad|z|=1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z D_{\alpha} G(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<k \leq 1$, by using Rouche's theorem, it can be easily verified from (3.12) that the polynomial $z D_{\alpha} G(z)+\beta n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<1$, where $|\beta|<1$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
T(z) & =z D_{\alpha} p(z)-\alpha \lambda m n \frac{z^{n}}{k^{n}}+\beta n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\left(p(z)-\lambda m \frac{z^{n}}{k^{n}}\right) \\
& =z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)-\lambda m n \frac{z^{n}}{k^{n}}\left(\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

will have no zeros in $|z| \geq 1$. This implies for every $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$ and $|z| \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| \geq n m\left|\frac{z}{k}\right|^{n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (3.14) is not true, then there is a point $z=z_{0}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right| \geq 1$ such that

$$
\left|z_{0} D_{\alpha} p\left(z_{0}\right)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<n m\left|\frac{z_{0}}{k}\right|^{n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|
$$

Take

$$
\lambda=\frac{z_{0} D_{\alpha} p\left(z_{0}\right)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p\left(z_{0}\right)}{n m\left(\frac{z_{0}}{k}\right)^{n}\left(\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right)}
$$

then $|\lambda|<1$ and with this choice of $\lambda$, we have $T\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ from (3.13). But this contradicts the fact that $T(z) \neq 0$ for $|z| \geq 1$. Thus, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta|<1$ inequality (3.14) holds and for $|\beta|=1$, it follows by continuity. Hence,

$$
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| \geq n\left|\frac{z}{k}\right|^{n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| .
$$

Lemma 3.5. If $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, is a polynomial of degree $n$, then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|\alpha| \geq k \geq A$, where $k \leq 1$, we have for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{k^{n}}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| \max _{|z|=k}|p(z)|, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (2.2).
Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $M=\max _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda|<1$, then $|\lambda p(z)|<\left|M\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\right|$ for $|z|=k$. Therefore, it follows by Rouche's Theorem that $G(z)=M \frac{z^{n}}{k^{n}}-\lambda p(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|<k$. Thus, by using Lemma 3.1,

$$
D_{\alpha} G(z)=\alpha M n\left(\frac{z^{n-1}}{k^{n}}\right)-\lambda D_{\alpha} p(z)
$$

has all its zeros in $|z|<k$ for $|\alpha| \geq A$.
On applying Lemma 3.3 to the polynomial $G(z)$, we have for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} G(z)\right| \geq n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|G(z)| \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following a similar argument as used in the proof of Lemma 3.4, the result follows.
Lemma 3.6. If $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, is a polynomial of degree $n$, then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|\alpha| \geq k \geq A$, where $k \leq 1$, we have for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| & +\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| \\
& \leq n\left\{k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|+\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|, \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (2.2) and $Q(z)=\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n} \overline{p\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\bar{z}}\right)}$.
Proof of Lemma 2.6. Let $M=\max _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$. For $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|>1$, it follows by Rouche's theorem that the polynomial $G(z)=p(z)-\lambda M$ has no zeros in $|z|<k$. Consequently, the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n} \overline{G\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\bar{z}}\right)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$, also $|G(z)|=|H(z)|$ for $|z|=k$. Since all the zeros of $H(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq k$, therefore, for $\delta$ with $|\delta|>1$, by Rouche's Theorem all the zeros of $G(z)+\delta H(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq k$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3 for every $\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \geq A$, and $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|G(z)+\delta H(z)| \leq\left|z D_{\alpha}(G(z)+\delta H(z))\right| \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, all the zeros of $D_{\alpha}(G(z)+\delta H(z))$ lie in $|z|<k<1$, where $|\alpha| \geq A$. Therefore, for any $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$, Rouche's theorem implies that all the zeros of $z D_{\alpha}(G(z)+\delta H(z))+\beta n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}(G(z)+\delta H(z))$ lie in $|z|<1$. This means that the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=z D_{\alpha} G(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G(z)+\delta\left(z D_{\alpha} H(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} H(z)\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

will have no zeros in $|z| \geq 1$. Now, using a similar argument as used in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we get for $|z| \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} G(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G(z)\right| \leq\left|z D_{\alpha} H(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} H(z)\right| . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n} \overline{G\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\bar{z}}\right)}=\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n} \overline{p\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\bar{z}}\right)}-\bar{\lambda} M\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}=Q(z)-\bar{\lambda} M\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting for $G(z)$ and $H(z)$ in (3.21), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\,\left(z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right)\right. \\
& \text { (3.23) }  \tag{3.23}\\
& \left.\quad \leq n M\left(z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right)\right| & -\left|\lambda n M\left(z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left(z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right)-\bar{\lambda}_{n M}\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\left(\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right)\right| . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

As $|p(z)|=|Q(z)|$ for $|z|=k$, that is, $\max _{|z|=k}|p(z)|=\max _{|z|=k}|Q(z)|=M$, by Lemma 3.5 for $Q(z)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right|<|\lambda| n M k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, taking a suitable choice of the argument of $\lambda$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\,\left(z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right)\right. & \left.-\bar{\lambda} n M\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\left(\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& =|\lambda| n M k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|-\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| . \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

By combining the right hand sides of (3.24) and (3.26) for $|z|=1$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| & -\left|\lambda n M\left(z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right)\right| \\
& \leq|\lambda| n M k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|-\left|z D_{\alpha} q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} q(z)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| & +\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| \\
& \leq|\lambda|\left\{\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| k^{-n}+\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right\} n M .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| & +\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| \\
& \leq n\left\{\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| k^{-n}+\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right\} M .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.7. Let $H(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, be a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, and $G(z)$ be a polynomial of degree not exceeding that of $H(z)$. If $|G(z)| \leq|H(z)|$ for $|z|=k$, then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq A,|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} G(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G(z)\right| \leq\left|z D_{\alpha} H(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} H(z)\right|, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (2.2).

Proof of Lemma 2.7. Since $|\lambda G(z)| \leq|G(z)| \leq|H(z)|$ for $|z|=1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|<1$, then it follows by Rouche's theorem that the polynomials $H(z)$ and $H(z)-\lambda G(z)$ have the same number of zeros in the open disk $|z|<k$. Also, the inequality $|G(z)| \leq|H(z)|$ for $|z|=k$ implies that any zero of $H(z)$ on $|z|=k$ is also a zero of $G(z)$. Therefore, $H(z)-\lambda G(z)$ has all its zeros in the closed disk $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$. Thus, applying Lemma 3.3, we have for all real or complex $\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \leq A$ and $|z|=1$

$$
\left|z D_{\alpha}(H(z)-\lambda G(z))\right| \geq n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|H(z)-\lambda G(z)|
$$

Following a similar argument as used in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have for any $\beta$ with $|\beta|<1$ and $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha}(H(z)-\lambda G(z))\right| & \geq n \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|H(z)-\lambda G(z)| \\
& >n|\beta| \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}|H(z)-\lambda G(z)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=\left[z D_{\alpha} H(z)-\lambda z D_{\alpha} G(z)\right]+n|\beta| \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}[H(z)-\lambda G(z)] \neq 0 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{z D_{\alpha} H(z)+n|\beta| \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} H(z)\right\}-\lambda\left\{z D_{\alpha} G(z)+n|\beta| \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G(z)\right\} \neq 0 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can conclude that for $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} H(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} H(z)\right| \geq\left|z D_{\alpha} G(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G(z)\right| . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (3.30) is not true, then there exist a point $z_{0}$ on the unit circle that

$$
\left|z_{0} D_{\alpha} H\left(z_{0}\right)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} H\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\left|z_{0} D_{\alpha} G\left(z_{0}\right)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G\left(z_{0}\right)\right|
$$

If we choose

$$
\lambda=\frac{z_{0} D_{\alpha} H\left(z_{0}\right)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} H\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0} D_{\alpha} G\left(z_{0}\right)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G\left(z_{0}\right)}
$$

then $|\lambda|<1$ and hence (3.29) gives $T\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ for $\left|z_{0}\right|=1$. This is a contradiction to (3.28). Hence, (3.30) must hold for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta|<1$. For $|\beta|=1$, (3.30) holds by continuity. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7.

## 4. Proof of the Theorem

We now prove Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{v=\mu}^{n} a_{n-v} z^{n-v}, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$, does not vanish in $|z|<k$, and if $m=\min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|$, then $m \leq|p(z)|$ for $|z|=k$. Now for real or complex $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$, we have $|\lambda m|<m \leq|p(z)|$ for $|z|=k$. Therefore, it follows by Rouche's theorem that the polynomial $G(z)=p(z)-\lambda m$ has no zero in $|z|<k$. Therefore, the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n} \overline{G\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\bar{z}}\right)}=Q(z)-\bar{\lambda} m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(z)=\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n} \overline{p\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\bar{z}}\right)}$, will have all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$. Also, $|G(z)|=|H(z)|$ for $|z|=k$. Applying Lemma 3.7 for the polynomial $H(z)$ and $G(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} G(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} G(z)\right| \leq\left|z D_{\alpha} H(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\alpha| \geq k,|\beta \leq 1|$ and $|z|=1$.
Substituting for $G(z)$ and $H(z)$ in (4.2), we conclude that for every $\alpha, \beta$ with $|\alpha| \geq A,|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)-\lambda n m z+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}(p(z)-\lambda m)\right| & \leq \left\lvert\, z D_{\alpha} Q(z)-\bar{\lambda} \alpha n m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\right. \\
& \left.+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\left\{Q(z)-\bar{\lambda} m\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\right\} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right. & \left.-\lambda m n\left(z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)-\bar{\lambda}_{m n}\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\left(\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right)\right| . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since all the zeros of $Q(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq k$ and $|p(z)|=|Q(z)|$ for $|z|=k$, therefore, by applying Lemma 2.4 to $Q(z)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| & \leq n k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| \min _{|z|=k}|Q(z)| \\
& =n k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)| . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for an appropriate choice of the argument of $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)-\bar{\lambda} m n\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{n}\left(\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right|-|\lambda| m n k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|, \quad \text { on }|z|=1 \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the right hand sides of (4.3) and (4.5), we can rewrite inequality (4.5) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right|-|\lambda| m n\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| & \leq\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| \\
& -|\lambda| m n k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right| \quad \text { for }|z|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| & \leq\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| \\
& -|\lambda| m n\left\{k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|-\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| & \leq\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| \\
& -m n\left\{k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|-\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It implies for every real or complex number $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| & \leq\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| \\
& +\left|z D_{\alpha} Q(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} Q(z)\right| \\
& -m n\left\{k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|-\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right\} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Inequality (4.6) in conjunction with Lemma 3.6 gives for $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|z D_{\alpha} p(z)+n \beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A} p(z)\right| & \leq n\left\{k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|+\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| \\
& -n\left\{k^{-n}\left|\alpha+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|+\left|z+\beta \frac{|\alpha|-A}{1+A}\right|\right\} \min _{|z|=k}|p(z)|,
\end{aligned}
$$

from which (2.1) follows.
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