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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. A set $S \subseteq E(G)$ is called an edge hop dominating set if $S=E(G)$ or for every $g \in E(G) \backslash S$, there exists $h \in S$ such that $d(g, h)=1$. The minimum cardinality of an edge hop domination set of $G$ is called the edge hop domination number of $G$ is denoted by $\gamma_{e h}(G)$. The edge hop domination number of some standard graphs are determined. It is proved that for any two connected graphs $H$ and $K$ of orders $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ respectively, $\gamma_{e h}(H+K)=3$. Also it is proved that for any two connected graphs of sizes $m_{1} \geq 3$ and $m_{2} \geq 3$ respectively, $\gamma_{e h}(H \circ K) \leq m_{1}$.
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## 1. Introduction

For notation and graph theory terminology we in general,follow [6,8]. Specifically, let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set $V$ of order $n=|V|$ and edge set $E$ of size $m=|E|$. Let $v$ be a vertex in $V(G)$. Then the open neighborhood of $v$ is the set $N(v)=\{u \in V(G) / u v \in E\}$, and the closed neighborhood of $v$ is $N[v]=\{v\} \cup N(v)$. The degree of a vertex $v$ is $\operatorname{deg}(v)=|N(v)|$.

[^0]If $e=\{u, v\}$ is an edge of a graph $G$ with $\operatorname{deg}(u)=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(v)>1$, then $e$ is called a pendant edge or end edge, $u$ is a leaf or end vertex and $v$ is a support vertex of $u$. A vertex of degree $n-1$ is called a universal vertex.

The distance $d(u, v)$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a connected graph $G$ is the length of a shortest $u-v$ path in $G$. A $u-v$ path of length $d(u, v)$ is called a $u-v$ geodesic. A vertex $x$ is said to lie on a $u-v$ geodesic $P$ if $x$ is a vertex of $P$ including the vertices $u$ and $v$. The eccentricity $e(v)$ of a vertex $v$ in $G$ is the maximum distance from $v$ and a vertex of $G . e(v)=\max \{d(v, u)$ : $u \in V(G)\}$. The minimum eccentricity among the vertices of $G$ is the radius, $\operatorname{rad} G$ or $r(G)$ and the maximum eccentricity is its diameter, diamG. We denote $\operatorname{rad}(G)$ by $r$ and diamG by $d$. Two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ are antipodal if $d(u, v)=\operatorname{diam} G$ or $d(G)$. A double star is a tree with diameter 3. It is denoted by $K_{2, n, m}$. The vertex set of $K_{2, n, m}$, where $u v$ is the internal edge of $K_{2, n, m}$. Therefore $K_{2, n, m}=K_{1, n} \cup K_{1, m} \cup\{u v\}$, where the centre vertex of $K_{1, n}$ is $u$ and the centre vertex of $K_{1, m}$ is $v$. The distance concepts has applications in social network. For example if one is locating an emergency facility like police station, fire station, hospital, school, college, library, ambulance depot, emergency care center, etc., then the primary aim is to minimize the distance between the facility and the location of a possible emergency. For edges $e, f \in E(G)$, the distance $d(e, f)$ is defined as $d(e, f)=\min \{d(x, y): x$ is an end edge of $e$ and $y$ is an end edge of $f$. A $x-y$ path of length $d(e, f)$ is called an $e-f$ geodesic joining the edges $e$ and $f$. If $e$ and $f$ are adjacent if and only if $d(e, f)=0$ and if $e$ and $f$ has a common edge, then $d(e, f)=1$. $W_{n}=K_{1}+C_{n-1}$ is called wheel graph. We denote $V\left(C_{n-1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$. The helm graph $H_{n}$ is a graph obtained from a wheel graph by attaching a pendent edge at each vertex of the cycle $C_{n-1}$. Denote the pendent vertices of $H_{n}$ by $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$. A sunflower graph $S F_{n}$ is the graph obtained from helm graph by introducing the edges $u_{i} v_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$ and $u_{n-1} v_{1}$. The triangular book with $n$ pages is defined as $n$ copies of cycle $C_{3}$ sharing a common edge. The common edge is called the base of the book. A quadrilateral book consists of $r$ quadrilaterals sharing a common edge $u v$. That is, it is a cartesian product of a star and a single edge. It is denoted by $Q_{r, 2}$. A banana tree graph is obtained by connecting one leaf of each of copies of a star graph with a single root vertex that is distinct from all the stars.

A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex $v \in V(G) \backslash D$ is adjacent to some vertex in $D$. A dominating set $D$ is said to be minimal if no subset of $D$ is a dominating set of $G$. The minimum cardinality of a minimal dominating set of $G$ is called the domination number of $G$ and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. The domination number of a graph was studied in [4,5,7,9-11,16-19]. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of a graph $G$ is a hop dominating set of $G$ if for every $v \in V(G) \backslash S$, there exists $u \in S$ such that $d(u, v)=2$. The minimum cardinality of a hop dominating set of $G$ is called the hop domination number and is denoted by $\gamma_{h}(G)$. Any hop dominating set of order $\gamma_{h}(G)$ is called $\gamma_{h}$-set of $G$. The hop domination number of a graph was studied in [1-3,1214]. The join $G+H$ of two graphs $G$ and $H$ is the graph with $V(G+H)=V(G) \cup V(H)$ and $E(G+H)=E(G) \cup E(H) \cup\{u v: u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}$. The corona product $K \circ H$ is defined as the graph obtained from $K$ and $H$ by taking one copy of $K$ and $|V(K)|$ copies of $H$ and then joining by an edge, all the vertices from the $i^{\text {th }}$-copy of $H$ to the $i^{\text {th }}$-vertex of $K$, where $i=1,2, \ldots,|V(H)|$. The join and corona concept was studied in [15]. In this paper, we introduce the concept of the edge hop domination number of a graph. Hop dominating concept have interesting application in social network. If we apply edge hop dominating concept in the social network then the effectiveness can be increased.

## 2. The Edge Hop Domination Number of a Graph

Definition 2.1. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. A set $S \subseteq E(G)$ is called an edge hop dominating set if $S=E(G)$ or for every $g \in E(G) \backslash S$, there exists $h \in S$ such that $d(g, h)=1$. The minimum cardinality of an edge hop domination set of $G$ is called the edge hop domination number of $G$ is denoted by $\gamma_{e h}(G)$.

Example 2.2. For the graph $G$ given in Figure 2.1, $S=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{5} v_{6}\right\}$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.


Remark 2.3. There can be more than one $\gamma_{e h}$-sets of $G$. For the graph $G$ given in Figure 2.1, $S_{1}$ $=\left\{v_{2} v_{4}, v_{2} v_{7}, v_{4} v_{7}\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{v_{4} v_{5}, v_{4} v_{7}, v_{5} v_{7}\right\}, S_{3}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{5}\right\}, S_{4}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{7}, v_{5} v_{7}\right\}, S_{5}$ $=\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{7}, v_{5} v_{7}\right\}, S_{6}=\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{5}\right\}, S_{7}=\left\{v_{2} v_{7}, v_{5} v_{6}, v_{5} v_{7}\right\}, S_{8}=\left\{v_{2} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{5}, v_{5} v_{6}\right\}$ are the $\gamma_{e h}$-sets of $G$ such that $\gamma_{e h}\left(S_{i}\right)=3$ for all $i(1 \leq i \leq 8)$.

Theorem 2.4. For every connected graph $G$ of size $m \geq 2,2 \leq \gamma_{e h}(G) \leq m$.

Proof. Since any edge hop dominating set of $G$ contains at least two edges, $\gamma_{e h}(G) \geq 2$. Since $E(G)$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G, \gamma_{e h}(G) \leq m$. Thus $2 \leq \gamma_{e h}(G) \leq m$.

Remark 2.5. The bound in Theorem 2.4 is sharp. For the graph $G=P_{4}, \gamma_{e h}(G)=2$ and for $G=K_{1, m}, \gamma_{e h}(G)=m$. Also the bound in Theorem 2.4 can be strict. For the graph $G$ given in Figure 2.1, $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$. Thus $2<\gamma_{e h}(G)<m$.

Theorem 2.6. For the complete graph $G=K_{n}(n \geq 3), \gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Proof. Let $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $G$. Let $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{3}\right\}$. Then $S_{1}$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq 3$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$. Let $S^{\prime}=\{f, h\}$ be a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. Since $G$ is complete, $g \in E \backslash S^{\prime}$ such that $g$ is adjacent to both $f$ and $h$. Then $d(g, f)=d(g, h)=0$, which is a contradiction to $S^{\prime}$ a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Theorem 2.7. For the complete bipartite graph $G=K_{r, s}(1 \leq r \leq s), \gamma_{e h}(G)= \begin{cases}s & \text { if } \quad \mathrm{r}=1 \\ r & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
Proof. If $r=1$, then $S=E$ is the unique $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=s$. So, let $2 \leq r \leq$ s. Let $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r}\right\}$ and $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{s}\right\}$ are the two bipartite sets of $G$. Let $S=$ $\left\{w_{1} u_{1}, w_{1} u_{2}, \ldots, w_{1} u_{r}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq r$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=r$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq r-1$. Then there exists a $\gamma_{e h}$-set $S^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq r-1$. Let $g \in E \backslash S^{\prime}$. Then $g$ is not adjacent to any edge of $S^{\prime}$. Let $g=u w$. where $u \in U$ and $w \in W$. Then $u$ and $w$ are adjacent to elements of $V\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. Let $g_{1}=u x$ and $g_{2}=w y$ such that $g_{1}, g_{2} \notin S^{\prime}$, where $x, y \in S^{\prime}$. Then $d\left(h_{1}, g_{1}\right)=d\left(h_{2}, g_{2}\right)=0$ for $h_{1}, h_{2} \in S^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction to $S^{\prime}$ a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=r$.

```
Theorem 2.8. For the cycle \(G=C_{n} \quad(n \geq 3)\), \(\quad \gamma_{e h}(G)=\)
\(\begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n=4,5 \\ 3 & \text { if } n=3 \\ 2 r & \text { if } n=6 r \\ 2 r+1 & \text { if } n=6 r+1 \text { or } 6 r+3 \\ 2 r+2 & \text { if } n=6 r+2 \text { or } 6 r+4 \text { or } 6 r+5 ; r \geq 1\end{cases}\)
```

Proof. Let $G=C_{n}$ be $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{1}$.

## Case 1:

Case 1a: $n=4$. Then $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{4}\right\}, S_{3}=\left\{v_{3} v_{4}, v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$ and $S_{4}=$ $\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{1} v_{4}\right\}$ are the only minimum edge hop dominating sets of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.
Case 1b: $n=5$. Then $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{4}\right\}, S_{3}=\left\{v_{3} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{5}\right\}, S_{4}=\left\{v_{1} v_{5}, v_{4} v_{5}\right\}$ and $S_{5}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{1} v_{5}\right\}$ are the only minimum edge hop dominating sets of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.
Case 2: $n=3$. Then $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{1} v_{3}\right\}$ is the unique edge hop dominating set of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Case 3: $n=6 r$. Let $S=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{5}, \ldots, v_{6 r-5} v_{6 r-4}, v_{6 r-2} v_{6 r-1}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq|S|=2 r$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r$. If $r=1$, then the result is obvious. So, let $r \geq 2$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq 2 r-1$. Then there exists a $\gamma_{e h}$-set $S^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 r-1$. Hence there exists $g \in E \backslash S^{\prime}$ such that $d(g, h) \geq 1$, where $h \in S^{\prime}$. Therefore $S^{\prime}$ is not an edge hop dominating set of $G$, which is a contradiction.

## Case 4:

Case 4a: $n=6 r+1$. Let $Y=S \cup\left\{v_{6 r-1} v_{6 r}\right\}$. Then as in Case 3. We can prove that $Y$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r+1$.

Case 4b: $n=6 r+3$. Let $T=S \cup\left\{v_{6 r+1} v_{6 r+2}\right\}$. Then as in Case 3. We can prove that $T$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r+1$.

## Case 5:

Case 5a: $n=6 r+2$. Let $T^{\prime}=T \cup\left\{v_{1} v_{6 r+2}\right\}$. Then as in Case 3, we can prove that $T^{\prime}$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r+2$.

Case 5b: $n=6 r+4$. Let $W=T \cup\left\{v_{1} v_{6 r+4}\right\}$. Then as in Case 3, we can prove that $W$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r+2$.

Case 5b: $n=6 r+5$. Let $X=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{7} v_{8}, \ldots, v_{6 r+1} v_{6 r+2}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{8} v_{9}, \ldots, v_{6 r+2} v_{6 r+3}\right\}$. Then as in Case 3 , we can prove that $X$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r+2$.

Theorem $\quad \begin{array}{ll}\quad \text { 2.9. For the path } G=P_{n} \quad(n \geq 3), \quad \gamma_{e h}(G) \\ 2 & \text { if } n=3 \text { or } 4 \text { or } 5 \\ 2 r & \text { if } n=6 r \text { or } 6 r+1 \\ 2 r+1 & \text { if } n=6 r+2 \\ 2 r+2 & \text { if } n=6 r+3 \text { or } 6 r+4 \text { or } 6 r+5 ; r \geq 1\end{array}$
Proof. Let $G=P_{n}$ be $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{n}$.

## Case 1:

Case 1a: $n=3$. Then $S=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}\right\}$ is the unique minimum edge hop dominating set of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

Case 1b: $n=4$. Then $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}\right\}$ and $S_{2}=\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{4}\right\}$ are the only minimum edge hop dominating sets of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.
Case 1c: $n=5$. Then $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{4}\right\}, S_{1}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{5}\right\}$ and $S_{4}=$ $\left\{v_{3} v_{4}, v_{4} v_{5}\right\}$ are the only minimum edge hop dominating sets of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

Case 2: $n=6 r$ or $6 r+1$. Let $S=\left\{v_{3} v_{4}, v_{9} v_{10}, \ldots, v_{6 r-3} v_{6 r-2}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{4} v_{5}, v_{10} v_{11}, \ldots, v_{6 r-2} v_{6 r-1}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq 2 r$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r$. If $r=1$, then result is obvious. So, let $r \geq 2$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq 2 r-1$. Then there exists a $\gamma_{e h}$-set $S^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 r-1$. Hence there exists $g \in E \backslash S^{\prime}$ such that $d(g, h) \geq 1$, where $h \in S^{\prime}$. Therefore $S^{\prime}$ is not an edge hop dominating set of $G$, which is a contradiction.

Case 3: $n=6 r+2$. Let $T=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{5}, v_{7} v_{8}, \ldots, v_{6 r+1} v_{6 r+2}\right\}$. Then as in Case 2, we can prove that $T$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r+1$.

## Case 4:

Case 4a: $n=6 r+3$ or $6 r+4$. Let $T^{\prime}=T \cup\left\{v_{6 r+2} v_{6 r+3}\right\}$. Then as in Case 2. We can prove that $T^{\prime}$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r+2$.

Case 4b: $n=6 r+5$. Let $W=T \cup\left\{v_{6 r+4} v_{6 r+5}\right\}$. Then as in Case 2. We can prove that $W$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2 r+2$.

Theorem 2.10. For the wheel $G=W_{n}(n \geq 4), \gamma_{e h}(G)== \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n=7 \\ 3 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
Proof. Let $V\left(K_{1}\right)=\{u\}$ and $V\left(C_{n-1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$.
Case 1: $n=7$. Then $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{4} v_{5}\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{5} v_{6}\right\}$ and $S_{3}=\left\{v_{3} v_{4}, v_{1} v_{6}\right\}$ are the $\gamma_{e h}$-sets of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

Case 2:
Case 2a: $n=4$. Then $G=K_{4}$. By Theorem 2.6, $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.
Case 2b: $n=5$ or 6 . Then $S_{4}=\left\{u v_{1}, v_{1} v_{2}, u v_{2}\right\}$ is the $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.
Case 2c: $n \geq 8$. Then $S_{5}=\left\{u v_{1}, u v_{2}, v_{1} v_{2}\right\}$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq$ 3. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$. Let $S^{\prime}=\{f, g\}$ be a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. First assume that $f$ and $g$ are adjacent. If $f, g \in E\left(C_{n-1}\right)$ then without loss of generality, let us assume that $f=v_{1} v_{2}$ and $g=v_{2} v_{3}$. Since $u$ is adjacent to each vertex of $G$, then $d\left(v_{1} v_{2}, u v_{2}\right)=d\left(v_{2} v_{3}, u v_{2}\right)=0$, which is a contradiction. Next we assume that $f$ and $g$ are non-adjacent. If $f \in E\left(C_{n-1}\right)$ and $g \notin E\left(C_{n-1}\right)$, then without loss of generality let us assume that $f=v_{1} v_{2}$ and $g=u v_{3}$. This implies $d\left(u v_{1}, v_{1} v_{2}\right)=d\left(u v_{3}, u v_{1}\right)=0$ which is a contradiction, $S^{\prime}$ a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $G=K_{2, m, n}$ be a double star. Then $\gamma_{e h}(G)== \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n=1 \text { or } \mathrm{m}=1 \\ 3 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
Proof. Let $V(G)=\{u, v\} \cup\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$.
Case 1:
Case 1a: $n=1$. Then $S=\left\{u_{1} u, u v\right\}$ is the unique minimum edge hop dominating set of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

Case 1b: $m=1$. Then $S=\left\{u v, v v_{1}\right\}$ is the unique minimum edge hop dominating set of $G$ and so $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

Case 2: $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. Let $S=\left\{u u_{1}, v v_{1}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq 3$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$. Let $S^{\prime}=\{f, g\}$
be a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. First assume that $f$ and $g$ are adjacent. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $f=u u_{1}$ and $g=u v$. This implies $d\left(u v, v v_{1}\right)=0$, which is a contradiction. Next assume that $f$ and $g$ are non-adjacent. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $f=u u_{1}$ and $g=v v_{1}$. This implies $d\left(u u_{1}, u v\right)=d\left(u v, v v_{1}\right)=0$ which is a contradiction, $S^{\prime}$ a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Theorem 2.12. For the helm graph $G=H_{n}(n \geq 3), \gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Proof. Let $x$ be the central vertex of $G$ and $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{1}$ be the cycle. Let $\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{2} v_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-1} v_{n-1}\right\}$ be the set of all end edges of $G$. Let $S=\left\{x v_{1}, v_{1} v_{2}, x v_{2}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq 3$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$. Then there exists a $\gamma_{e h}$-set $S^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $S^{\prime}=\{e, f\}$. Suppose that $e$ and $f$ are adjacent. Then there exist at least one edge $h \in E(G) \backslash S^{\prime}$ such that $h$ is incident with exactly one vertex of $V\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. Hence it follows that $d(e, h)=0$ and $d(f, h)=0$, which is a contradiction. Suppose that $e$ and $h$ are not adjacent. Then there exists at least one edge $h^{\prime} \in E(G) \backslash S^{\prime}$ such that either $d\left(e, h^{\prime}\right)=d(e, f)=0$ or $d\left(e, h^{\prime}\right)=d(e, f)=2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Theorem 2.13. For the sunflower graph $G=S F_{n}(n \geq 3), \gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.
Proof. Let $S=\left\{u u_{1}, u_{1} u_{2}, u_{2} u\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq$ $|S|=3$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$. Let $S^{\prime}=\{g, h\}$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. First assume that $g$ and $h$ are adjacent. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $g=u u_{1}$ and $h=u_{1} u_{2}$. This implies $d\left(u u_{1}, u u_{2}\right)=d\left(u_{1} u_{2}, u u_{2}\right)=0$, which is a contradiction. Next assume that $g$ and $h$ are not adjacent. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $g=u u_{1}$ and $h=v_{1} u_{2}$. This implies $d\left(u u_{1}, u_{1} v_{1}\right)=d\left(u u_{1}, u_{1} u_{2}\right)=d\left(v_{1} u_{2}, u u_{2}\right)=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Theorem 2.14. For the banana graph $G=B_{m, n}(m \leq n), \gamma_{e h}(G)=m$.
Proof. Let $u$ be the central vertex and take $m$ copies of a $n$-star graph with a single root vertex that is distinct for all stars. Let $S=\left\{u u_{1}, u u_{2}, \ldots, u u_{m}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq|S|=m$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=m$. On the contrary, suppose that
$\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq m-1$. Then there exists a $\gamma_{e h}$-set $S^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq m-1$. Let $g \in E \backslash S^{\prime}$. Then $g$ is not adjacent to any edge of $S^{\prime}$. Let $g_{1}=u x$ and $g_{2}=w y$ such that $g_{1}, g_{2} \notin S$ where $x, y \in S^{\prime}$. Then $d\left(h_{1}, g_{1}\right)=d\left(h_{2}, g_{2}\right)=0$ for $h_{1}, h_{2} \in S$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=m$.

Theorem 2.15. For the triangular graph $G=K_{2} \vee \bar{K}_{n-2}(n \geq 3), \gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Proof. Let $V\left(K_{2}\right)=\{x, y\}$ and $V\left(K_{n-2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-2}\right\}$. Let $S=\left\{x y, x v_{1}, y v_{1}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq 3$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$. Then there exists a $\gamma_{e h}$-set $S^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=2$. Then $G\left[S^{\prime}\right]$ is connected. Hence there exists at least one edge $e \in E(G) \backslash S^{\prime}$ such that $d(e, f)=0$, where $f \in S^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.

Theorem 2.16. For the Quadrilateral book graph $G=Q_{n-2,2}, \gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

Proof. Let $P_{i}=u_{i}, v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$ be a copy of path on two vertices. Let $V\left(K_{2}\right)=\{x, y\}$. Then the Quadrilateral book graph $G=Q_{n-2,2}$ is obtained from $P_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$ and $K_{2}$ by joining $x$ with each $u_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$ and $y$ with each $v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$. Let $S=\left\{x u_{1}, u_{1} v_{1}\right\}$ be a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$. Then for every $e \in E(G) \backslash S$, there exist $f \in S$ such that $d(e, f)=1$. Therefore $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$. Hence $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

## 3. THE EDGE HOP DOMINATION OF JOIN AND CORONA OF GRAPHS

Theorem 3.1. Let $H$ and $K$ be two connected graphs of orders $n_{1} \geq 2$ and $n_{2} \geq 2$ respectively. Then $\gamma_{e h}(H+K)=3$.

Proof. Let $V(H+K)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n_{1}}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n_{2}}\right\}$. Let $S=\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{1} u_{2}, u_{2} v_{1}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $H+K$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(H+K) \leq 3$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(H+K)=3$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(H+K)=2$. Then there exists a $\gamma_{e h}$-set $S^{\prime}$ of $H+K$ such that $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq 2$.
 is a contradiction to $S^{\prime}$ a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $H+K$. Hence $\gamma_{e h}(H+K)=3$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G=K_{1, n_{1}} \circ K_{n_{2}} n_{1} \geq 3$ and $n_{2} \geq 3$. Then $\gamma_{e h}(G)=n_{1}+1$.

Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{x, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n_{1}}, u_{1,1}, u_{1,2}, \ldots, u_{1, n_{1}}, u_{2,1}, u_{2,2}, \ldots, u_{2, n_{2}} u_{n_{1}, 1}, u_{n_{1}, 2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{u}_{n_{1}, n_{2}}, x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \ldots, x_{1, n_{2}}\right\}$. Let $S=\left\{x u_{1}, x u_{2}, \ldots, x u_{n}, u_{i} u_{i, j}\right\} ;\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{1}\right)$ and $\left(1 \leq j \leq n_{2}\right)$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq|S|=n_{1}+1$. We prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=$ $n_{1}+1$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq n_{1}$. Then there exist a $\gamma_{e h}$-set $S^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $f \in S$ and $f \notin S^{\prime}$. First assume that $f \in\left\{x u_{1}, x u_{2}, \ldots, x u_{n_{1}}\right\}$. Without loss of generality, let us assume $f=x u_{1}$. Then $d_{G}\left(f, u_{j} u_{j+1}\right)=0$ for $u_{j} u_{j+1} \in E \backslash S^{\prime}(1 \leq j \leq m-1)$. Next assume that $f=\left\{u_{i} u_{i, j}\right\}$ for $\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{1}\right)$ and $\left(1 \leq j \leq n_{2}\right)$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $f=u_{1} u_{1,1}$. Then $d_{G}\left(f, x x_{i, j}\right)=0$ for $x x_{i, j} \in E \backslash S^{\prime}\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{1}\right)$ and $\left(1 \leq j \leq n_{2}\right)$. Hence $S^{\prime}$ is not a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=n_{1}+1$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $G=K_{1, n_{1}} \circ K_{1} n_{1} \geq 3$. Then $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{x, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n_{1}}, x_{1,1}, u_{1,1}, u_{2,1}, \ldots, u_{n_{1}, 1}\right\}$. Let $S=\left\{x u_{i}, u_{i} u_{i, 1}\right\}$ for $(1 \leq i \leq$ $\left.n_{1}\right)$. Then $d_{G}\left(x u_{i}, u_{i+1} u_{i+1,1}\right)=1$ for $u_{i+1} u_{i+1,1} \in E \backslash S\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{1}-1\right)$ and $d_{G}\left(u_{i} u_{i, 1}, x u_{i+1}\right)=$ $d_{G}\left(u_{i} u_{i, 1}, x x_{1,1}\right)=1$ for $x u_{i+1}, x x_{1,1} \in E \backslash S\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{1}-1\right)$. Hence $S$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=2$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $G=P_{n_{1}} \circ C_{n_{2}} n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 3 . \gamma_{e h}(G)= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } n_{1}=3 \\ 3 r & \text { if } n_{1}=4 r \\ 3 r+1 & \text { if } n_{1}=4 r+1 \\ 3 r+2 & \text { if } n_{1}=4 r+2 \\ 3 r+2 & \text { if } n_{1}=4 r+3\end{cases}$
Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n_{1}}, u_{1,1}, u_{1,2}, \ldots, u_{1, n_{2}}, u_{2,1}, u_{2,2}, \ldots, u_{2, n_{2}}, \ldots, u_{2, n_{2}}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{u}_{n_{1}, 1}, u_{n_{1}, 2}, \ldots, u_{n_{1}, n_{2}}\right\}$.
Case 1: $n_{1}=3$. Then $S=\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, u_{2} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{1,1}\right\}$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3$.
Case 2: $n_{1}=4 r$ and $r=1,2,3 \ldots$
Let $S=\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, u_{5} u_{6}, \ldots, u_{4 r-3} u_{4 r-2}\right\} \cup\left\{u_{2} u_{3}, u_{6} u_{7}, \ldots, u_{4 r-2} u_{4 r-1}\right\} \cup\left\{u_{3} u_{4}, u_{7} u_{8}, \ldots, u_{4 r-1} u_{4 r}\right\}$. Then $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq|S|=3 r$. We have to prove that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3 r$. On the contrary, suppose that $\gamma_{e h}(G) \leq 3 r-1$. Let $f$ be an edge of $G$ such that $f \in S$ and $f \notin S^{\prime}$. First assume that $f \in\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, u_{5} u_{6}, \ldots, u_{4 r-3}, u_{4 r-2}\right\}$. Without loss of
generality, let us assume $f=u_{1} u_{2}$. Then $d_{G}\left(f, u_{1, i} u_{1, i+1}\right)=0,\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{2}-1\right)$ for $u_{1, i} u_{1, i+1} \notin$ $E \backslash S^{\prime}$. Next assume that $f=\left\{u_{2} u_{3}, u_{6} u_{7}, \ldots, u_{4 r-2} u_{4 r-1}\right\} \cup\left\{u_{3} u_{4}, u_{7} u_{8}, \ldots, u_{4 r-1} u_{4 r}\right\}$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $f=u_{2} u_{3}$. Then $d_{G}\left(f, u_{2, i} u_{2, i+1}\right)=0,\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{2}-1\right)$ for $u_{2, i} u_{2, i+1} \in E \backslash S^{\prime}$. Therefore $S^{\prime}$ is not a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3 r$.

Case 3: $n_{1}=4 r+1$ and $r=1,2,3 \ldots$
Let $S_{1}=S \cup\left\{u_{4 r} u_{4 r+1}\right\}$. Then as in Case 2, we can prove that $S_{1}$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3 r+1$.

Case 4: $n_{1}=4 r+2$ and $r=1,2,3 \ldots$
Let $T=S_{1} \cup\left\{u_{4 r+1} u_{4 r+2}\right\}$. Then as in Case 2, we can prove that $T$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3 r+2$.

Case 5: $n_{1}=4 r+3$ and $r=1,2,3 \ldots$
Let $W=T \cup\left\{u_{4 r+2} u_{4 r+3}\right\}$. Then as in Case 2, we can prove that $W$ is a $\gamma_{e h}$-set of $G$ so that $\gamma_{e h}(G)=3 r+3$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $H$ and $K$ be two connected graphs of sizes $m_{1} \geq 3$ and $m_{2} \geq 3$ respectively. Then $\gamma_{e h}(H \circ K) \leq m_{1}$.

Proof. Let $G=H \circ K$ and $S=E(H)$. Let $e \in E(H) \backslash S$. If $e$ is incident with a vertex of $H$, then there exists an edge $f$ in $H$, which is independent of $e$ such that $d_{G}(e, f)=1$. If $e$ is not incident with a vertex of $H$. Then there exists $f \in H$ such that $d_{G}(e, f)=1$. Therefore $S$ is an edge hop dominating set of $G$. Hence $\gamma_{e h}(H \circ K) \leq m_{1}$.

Remark 3.6. The bound in Figure 3.5 is sharp. For the graph $G=K_{3} \circ K_{1}, \gamma_{e h}(G)=3$. Thus $\gamma_{e h}(G)=m_{1}=3$. Also the bound in Theorem 3.5 is srict. For the graph $G=C_{4} \circ P_{3}, \gamma_{e h}(G)=3$ and $m_{1}=4$. Thus $\gamma_{e h}(G)<m_{1}$.

## 4. Conclusion

In this article we introduced the concept of the edge hop domination number of a connected graphs of size $m \geq 2$. It can be further investigated to find out under which conditions the lower and upper bounds of the edge hop domination number are sharp.
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