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#### Abstract

In this present work, authors are introduced a new subclass of bivalent functions $\mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, x, p, q)$ with respect to symmetric conjugate points in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ related to ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ ) polynomials. Further the initial bounds of the subclass and the well known Fekete-Szegö inequality are determined.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathrm{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$ be the set of real numbers, $\mathscr{C}$ be the set of complex numbers and

$$
N:=1,2,3 \ldots=N_{0} \backslash\{0\}
$$

be the set of positive integers.
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Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the family of normalized analytic functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the open disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Further, let $\mathscr{S}$ denote the class of functions in $\mathscr{A}$ which are also univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

The well-known Koebe one-quarter theorem [2] ensures that the image of $\mathbb{U}$ under every univalent function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ contains a disc of radius $1 / 4$. Hence every univalent function $f$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z))=z,(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and

$$
f^{-1}(f(w))=w,\left(|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq 1 / 4\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$ given by (1.1). For example, functions in the class $\Sigma$ are given below [8]:

$$
\frac{z}{1-z}, \quad-\log (1-z), \quad \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) .
$$

In 1967, Lewin [5] introduced the class $\Sigma$ of bi-univalent functions and shown that $\left|a_{2}\right|<$ 1.51. In 1969, Netanyahu [7] showed that $\max _{f \in \Sigma}\left|a_{2}\right|=4 / 3$ and Suffridge [9] have given an example of $f \in \Sigma$ for which $\left|a_{2}\right|=4 / 3$. Later, in 1980, Brannan and Clunie [1] improved the result as $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$. In 1985, Kedzier-awski [3] proved this conjecture for a special case when the function $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are starlike. In 1984, Tan [10] proved that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1.485$ which is the best estimate for the function in the class of bi-univalent functions.

For any integer $n \geq 2$ and $0<q<p \leq 1$, the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )-Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind is defined by the following recurrence relations:

$$
U_{n}(x, s, p, q)=\left(p^{n}+q^{n}\right) x U_{n-1}(x, s, p, q)+(p q)^{n-1} s U_{n-2}(x, s, p, q)
$$

with the initial values $U_{0}(x, s, p, q)=1, U_{1}(x, s, p, q)=(p+q) x$ and 's' is a variable. By Assuming various values of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}$ and q we get some interesting polynomials as follows:

- When $x=\frac{x}{2}, \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}$ and $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{q}$, the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind becomes ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )-Fibonacci polynomials.
- When $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{s}=-1, \mathrm{p}=1$ and $\mathrm{q}=1$, the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind becomes Second kind of Chebyshev polynomials.
- When $x=\frac{x}{2}, \mathrm{~s}=1, \mathrm{p}=1$ and $\mathrm{q}=1$, the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind becomes Fibonacci polynomials.
- When $x=\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{~s}=1, \mathrm{p}=1$ and $\mathrm{q}=1$, the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind becomes Fibonacci numbers.
- When $x=x, s=1, p=1$ and $q=1$, the ( $p, q$ )- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind becomes Pell polynomials.
- When $\mathrm{x}=1, \mathrm{~s}=11, \mathrm{p}=1$ and $\mathrm{q}=1$, the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind becomes Pell numbers.
- When $x=\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{~s}=2 \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{p}=1$ and $\mathrm{q}=1$, the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind becomes Jacobsthal polynomials.
- When $x=\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{~s}=2, \mathrm{p}=1$ and $\mathrm{q}=1$, the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ )- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind becomes Jacobsthal numbers.

Recently Kızılatess et al.[4] defined (p, q)-Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds and derived explicit formulas, generating functions and some interesting properties of these polynomials.

The generating function of the (p,q)- Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{p, q}(z)=\frac{1}{1-x p z \tau_{p}-x q z \tau_{q}-s p q z^{2} \tau_{p, q}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{n}(x, s, p, q) z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{aligned}
$$

where the Fibonacci operator $\tau_{q}$ was introduced by Mason [6], $\tau_{q} f(z)=f(q z)$. Similarly, $\tau_{p, q} f(z)=f(p q z)$.

Definition 1. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, a function $s \in \sigma$ is belong to the class $\mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, x, p, q)$ if it satisfies the following conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}}+\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}\right.}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{2 \alpha z^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha z(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})})^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})})}\right\} \prec G_{p, q}(z) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})}}+\frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}}\right.  \tag{1.4}\\
& \left.-\frac{2 \alpha w^{2} r^{\prime \prime}(w)+2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{\alpha w(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})}\right\} \prec G_{p, q}(w)
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=s^{-1}$.
By setting $\alpha=0, \mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, x, p, q)=\mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}(0, x, p, q)$ which holds the following conditions

$$
\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}\right.} \prec G_{p, q}(z) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}} \prec G_{p, q}(z)
$$

where r is the extension of $f^{-1}$.

## 2. Estimation of Initial Coefficients \& Fekete-Szegö Inequality

Theorem 1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, x, p, q)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{u_{1}(x, s, p, q)}{2}\left[\frac{\sqrt{u_{1}(x, s, p, q)}\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{(3-2 \alpha) u_{1}^{2}(x, s, p, q)-2(2-\alpha)^{2}}}\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{u_{1}(x, s, p, q)}{4}\left[\frac{\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{(3-2 \alpha)-\frac{u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)}{2(2-\alpha)^{2}}}\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, x, p, q)$, then from (1.3) and (1.4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}}+\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}\right.}\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
& -\frac{2 \alpha z^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha z\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})})}\right\}=G_{p, q}(\phi(z))}
\end{align*}
$$

and for its inverse map $g=f^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})}}+\frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}}\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left.-\frac{2 \alpha w^{2} r^{\prime \prime}(w)+2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{\alpha w(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})}\right\}=G_{p, q}(\varphi(w)) .
\end{align*}
$$

For some analytic functions $\phi$ and $\varphi$ such that $\phi(0)=\varphi(0)=0$ and $|\phi(z)|=|\varphi(w)|<1$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$. It is well known that if

$$
|\phi(z)|=\left|m_{1} z+m_{2} z^{2}+m_{3} z^{3}+\ldots\right|<1
$$

and

$$
|\varphi(w)|=\left|n_{1} w+n_{2} w^{2}+n_{3} w^{3}+\ldots\right|<1
$$

where $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$, then $\left|m_{k}\right|=\left|n_{k}\right|<1 \quad(\forall k \in N)$.
From (2.3) and (2.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\frac{2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}}+\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}-\frac{2 \alpha z^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha z(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})})^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})})}\right\}}\right. \\
& =U_{0}(x, s, p, q)+U_{1}(x, s, p, q) \phi(z)+U_{2}(x, s, p, q) \phi^{2}(z)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\frac{2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})}}+\frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}}-\frac{2 \alpha w^{2} r^{\prime \prime}(w)+2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{\alpha w(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})}\right\} \\
& =U_{0}(x, s, p, q)+U_{1}(x, s, p, q) \varphi(w)+U_{2}(x, s, p, q) \varphi^{2}(w)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}}+\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}-\frac{2 \alpha z^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha z\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})})}\right\}}\right.} \begin{array}{l}
=1+U_{0}(x, s, p, q)+m_{1}(z)+\left[U_{1}(x, s, p, q) m_{2}+U_{2}(x, s, p, q) m_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\ldots
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})}}+\frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}}-\frac{2 \alpha w^{2} r^{\prime \prime}(w)+2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{\alpha w(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})}\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
& =1+U_{0}(x, s, p, q)+n_{1}(w)+\left[U_{1}(x, s, p, q) n_{2}+U_{2}(x, s, p, q) n_{1}^{2}\right] w^{2}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

By equating the coefficients from (2.5) and (2.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(3-2 \alpha) a_{3}=u_{1}(x, s, p, q) m_{2}+u_{2}(x, s, p, q) m_{1}^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(3-2 \alpha)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=u_{1}(x, s, p, q) n_{1}^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7) and (2.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=-n_{1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(2-\alpha)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=u_{1}^{2}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (2.8) and (2.10) we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(3-2 \alpha) a_{2}^{2}=u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)+u_{2}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (2.12) in (2.13) we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[4(3-2 \alpha)-\frac{8(2-\alpha)^{2} u_{2}(x, s, p, q)}{u_{1}^{2}(x, s, p, q)}\right] a_{2}^{2}=u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.13) we acquired the result which is desired in (2.1).
By subtracting (2.10) from (2.8)

$$
-4(3-2 \alpha)\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)+u_{2}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{1}^{2}-n_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12),

$$
4(3-2 \alpha) \frac{u_{1}^{2}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)}{8(2-\alpha)^{2}}+4(3-2 \alpha) a_{3}=u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{4(3-2 \alpha)}+\frac{u_{1}^{2}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)}{8(2-\alpha)^{2}} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (2.11), we obtain the desired result in (2.2).

Theorem 2. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, x, p, q)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{\left|u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\right|}{2(3-2 \alpha)}, & \phi \leq \frac{1}{4(3-2 \alpha)} \\ 2\left|u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\right||p|, & \phi \geq \frac{1}{4(3-2 \alpha)}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From (2.14) and (2.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2} & =\frac{\left[u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\right]^{3}\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)(1-\mu)}{4(3-2 \alpha) u_{1}^{2}(x, s, p, q)-8(2-\alpha)^{2} u_{2}(x, s, p, q)}+\frac{u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{4(3-2 \alpha)} \\
& =u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\left[m_{2}+\left(\phi+\frac{1}{4(3-2 \alpha)}\right)+n_{2}\left(\phi-\frac{1}{4(3-2 \alpha)}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\phi=\frac{u_{1}^{2}(x, s, p, q)(1-\mu)}{4(3-2 \alpha) u_{1}^{2}(x, s, p, q)-8(2-\alpha)^{2} u_{2}(x, s, p, q)} .
$$

Corollary 1. When $\alpha=0$,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{\left|u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\right|}{6}, & \phi \leq \frac{1}{12} \\ 2\left|u_{1}(x, s, p, q)\right||p|, & \phi \geq \frac{1}{12}\end{cases}
$$
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