



Available online at <http://scik.org>  
J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2022, 12:100  
<https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/7061>  
ISSN: 1927-5307

## QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF A.P.A. SOLUTION FOR FRACTIONAL ORDER NEUTRAL STOCHASTIC EVOLUTION EQUATIONS DRIVEN BY G-BROWNIAN MOTION

A. D. NAGARGOJE<sup>1,\*</sup>, V. C. BORKAR<sup>2</sup>, R. A. MUNESHAWAR<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P. G. Department of Mathematics N.E.S. Science College, Nanded - 431602, (MH), India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics Yeshwant Mahavidyalaya, Nanded- 431605, Maharashtra, India

Copyright © 2022 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we will analyse the square mean almost pseudo automorphic mild solution for fractional order equation,

$$(1) \quad {}_0^c D_\gamma^\alpha [\mathfrak{X}(\gamma) - D(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma))] = [A\mathfrak{X}(\gamma) + \phi(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma))]d\gamma + \varphi(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma))d\langle B \rangle(\gamma) + \psi(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma))dB(\gamma), \gamma \in R$$

where  $A(\gamma) : \mathcal{D}(A(\gamma)) \subset \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F})$  is densely closed linear operator and the functions  $D, \phi, \varphi$  and  $\psi : \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F})$  are jointly continuous. We derive square mean almost pseudo automorphic mild solution for fractional order neutral stochastic evolution equations driven by G-Brownian motion is obtained by using evolution operator theorem and fixed point theorem. Moreover, we prove that this mild solution of equation (1) is unique.

**Keywords:** fractional derivative and integral; existence and uniqueness; almost pseudo automorphic; G-Brownian motion; fixed point.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 26A33, 34A12, 60H20, 35B35.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Some results on existence and uniqueness of the square-mean almost pseudo almost automorphic mild solutions for fractional differential equation have been discussed by some authors

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: [nagargojearun1993@gmail.com](mailto:nagargojearun1993@gmail.com)

Received December 06, 2021

which can be found in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The aims of this article is to discussed the square mean almost pseudo automorphic mild solution for neutral stochastic evolution equations of fractional order driven by G-Brownian motion(G-NSEEF for short), which is given by equation (1). Now we will recall following definitions of fractional derivative,

**Riemann–Liouville definition** [5, 6]: For  $\alpha \in [n - 1, n)$  the  $\alpha$  - derivative of f is

$$D_a^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \frac{d^n x}{dt^n} \int_a^\alpha \frac{f(x)}{(t - x)^{\alpha - n + 1}} dx$$

**Caputo definition**[5, 6]:For  $\alpha \in (n - 1, n)$  the  $\alpha$  - derivative of f is

$${}_a^C D_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - n)} \int_a^t \frac{f^{(n)}(\tau)}{(t - \tau)^{\alpha - n + 1}} d\tau$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Lemma**[10] If  $0 \leq \mathfrak{T} < \infty$ , then

- (1)  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} \eta_\gamma d\langle B \rangle(\gamma) \right| \right] \leq \sigma^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} |\eta_\gamma|^2 d\gamma \right]$ , for any  $\eta_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_G^1([0, \mathfrak{T}])$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} \eta_\gamma dB(\gamma) \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} \eta_\gamma^2 d\langle B \rangle(\gamma) \right]$ , for any  $\eta_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_G^2([0, \mathfrak{T}])$ .
- (3)  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} |\eta_\gamma|^p d\gamma \right) \right] \leq \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} [\mathbb{E} |\eta_\gamma|^p] d\gamma$ , for any  $\eta_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_G^p([0, \mathfrak{T}])$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .

**Definition** An  $\mathcal{F}_\gamma$  progressively measurable process  $\{\mathfrak{X}(\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in R}$  is called a mild solution of the (1), if

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) - D(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) &= \mathcal{U}(\gamma, s) [\mathfrak{X}(s) - D(s, \mathfrak{X}(s))] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha - n)} \int_s^\gamma \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma - r)^{-\alpha + 1 - n}} dr \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha - n)} \int_s^\gamma \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma - r)^{-\alpha + 1 - n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha - n)} \int_s^\gamma \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \psi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma - r)^{-\alpha + 1 - n}} dB(r) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\gamma \geq s$  and  $s \in R$ .

For our convenience and further use we consider the following assumptions.

(H1)  $\exists \Omega > 0$  and  $\mu > 0$  such that the evolution family  $\mathcal{U}(\gamma, s)$  generated by  $A(\gamma)$  is exponentially stable,

$$\|\mathcal{U}(\gamma, s)\| \leq \Omega e^{-\mu(\gamma - s)}, \gamma \geq s.$$

(H2) The coefficients  $D(\gamma, x), \phi(\gamma, x), \varphi(\gamma, x)$  and  $\psi(\gamma, x) : R \times L_G^2(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F})$  are functions of  $SPAA(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ . Furthermore,  $\exists \mathcal{L}_D, \mathcal{L}_\phi, \mathcal{L}_\varphi, \mathcal{L}_\psi \geq 0$  such that

$$\|D(\gamma, x) - D(\gamma, y)\|^2 \leq \mathcal{L}_D \|x - y\|^2, \|\phi(\gamma, x) - \phi(\gamma, y)\|^2 \leq \mathcal{L}_\phi \|x - y\|^2$$

and

$$\|\varphi(\gamma, x) - \varphi(\gamma, y)\|^2 \leq \mathcal{L}_\varphi \|x - y\|^2, \|\psi(\gamma, x) - \psi(\gamma, y)\|^2 \leq \mathcal{L}_\psi \|x - y\|^2$$

for  $x, y \in \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\gamma \in R$ .

(H3)  $D = D_1 + D_2 \in SPAA(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , where  $D_1 \in SAA(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ ,  $D_2 \in SBC_0(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ .  $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \in SPAA(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , where  $\phi_1 \in SAA(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ ,  $\phi_2 \in SBC_0(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ .  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \in SPAA(R \times L_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , where  $\varphi_1 \in SAA(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ ,  $\varphi_2 \in SBC_0(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ .  $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2 \in SPAA(R \times L_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , where  $\psi_1 \in SAA(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ ,  $\psi_2 \in SBC_0(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem** If the hypothesis (H1) – (H3) are satisfied, and

$$4\mathcal{L}_D + \frac{4\Omega^2\mathcal{L}_\phi}{\mu^2} + \frac{4\sigma^{-4}\Omega^2\mathcal{L}_\varphi}{\mu^2} + \frac{4\sigma^{-2}\Omega^2\mathcal{L}_\psi}{\mu} < 1.$$

then, the system (1) has a unique mild solution  $\mathfrak{X} \in SPAA(R, \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$  and this solution can be expressed as

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) = & D(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha - n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r)\phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma - r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha - n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r)\varphi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma - r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha - n)} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r)\psi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma - r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** Firstly we will discuss the Existence of the square mean almost pseudo automorphic mild solution for of equation (1).

**Claim:** For all  $\gamma \geq s$  and at each  $s \in R$ , we will show that  $\mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$  defined by (3) satisfies the equation (2) and hence  $\mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$  will be a mild solution of (1).

For any  $\mathfrak{X} \in SPAA(R, \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , we define the operator  $(\Phi \mathfrak{X})(\gamma)$  as follows,

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi \mathfrak{K})(\gamma) &= D(\gamma, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \psi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r),
\end{aligned}$$

which is well defined and satisfies (2). From (H3), we have

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi \mathfrak{K})(\gamma) &= \left( D_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \Big) \\
&+ \left( D_2(\gamma, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(t, r) \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right. \\
&+ \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(t, r) \psi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right). \\
&= (\Phi_1 \mathfrak{K})(\gamma) + (\Phi_2 \mathfrak{K})(\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will show that  $(\Phi_1 \mathfrak{K})(\gamma) \in SAA(R, \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$  and  $(\Phi_2 \mathfrak{K})(t) \in SBC_0(R, \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ . We will illustrate the facts through following three steps.

**Step 1. Sub Claim - I:**  $(\Phi_1 \mathfrak{K})(\gamma)$  is continuous.

By the definition of  $(\Phi_1 \mathfrak{K})(\gamma)$ , we have

(6)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi_1 \mathfrak{K})(\gamma+s) - (\Phi_1 \mathfrak{K})(\gamma) \right\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left\| D_1(\gamma+s, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma+s)) - D_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma)) \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \\
&+ \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

As  $D_1(\gamma, x) \in SAA(R \times \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , then we conclude that,

$$(7) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left\| D_1(\gamma+s, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma+s)) - D_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0.$$

By means of the properties of evolution family  $\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r)$  and elementary inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{(\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) - I) \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right. \\
&+ \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{(\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) - I) \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2 \\
&+ 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that,

(8)

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2 = 0.$$

By using the properties of evolution family  $\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r)$  and Lemma 2, we conclude that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{(\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) - I) \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right. \\
&+ \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{(\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) - I) \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 \\
&+ 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\sigma^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \left\| \frac{(\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) - I) \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} \right\| dr \right)^2 \\
&+ 2\sigma^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+s} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} \right\| dr \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right\|^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{(\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) - I) \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\sigma^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{(\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) - I) \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} \right\|^2 dr \\
&\quad + 2\sigma^{-2} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+s} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+s, \gamma) \mathbb{E} \left\| \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2}{\left\| \Gamma(-\alpha-n)(\gamma+s-r)^{-\alpha+1-n} \right\|^2} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma+s) - (\Phi_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) \right\|^2 = 0.$$

**Step 2.** As  $D(\gamma, x)$ ,  $\phi(\gamma, x)$ ,  $\varphi(\gamma, x)$  and  $\psi(t, x)$  are the functions of  $SAA(R \times \mathfrak{L}_G^2, \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , therefore,  $\exists$  a subsequence  $\{r_n\}$  of  $\{r'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , for some stochastic process  $\widetilde{D}_1, \widetilde{\phi}_1, \widetilde{\varphi}_1$  and  $\widetilde{\psi}_1 : R \times \mathfrak{L}_G^2, \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F})$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| D_1(\gamma+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma+r_n)) - \widetilde{D}_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \widetilde{D}_1(\gamma-r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma-r_n)) - D_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_1(\gamma+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma+r_n)) - \widetilde{\phi}_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \widetilde{\phi}_1(\gamma-r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma-r_n)) - \phi_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \varphi_1(\gamma+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma+r_n)) - \widetilde{\varphi}_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \widetilde{\varphi}_1(\gamma-r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma-r_n)) - \varphi_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| h_1(\gamma+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma+r_n)) - \widetilde{h}_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \widetilde{\psi}_1(\gamma-r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma-r_n)) - \psi_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 = 0,$$

$\forall \gamma \in R$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F})$ .

To prove that  $(\Phi_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma)$  is a square mean almost automorphic process, we consider the following operator  $(\widetilde{\Phi}_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma)$ ,

(9)

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\Phi}_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) &= \widetilde{D}_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\psi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r). \end{aligned}$$

And hence we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \| (\Phi_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma+r_n) - (\widetilde{\Phi}_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) \|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \| D_1(\gamma+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma+r_n)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) h_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \\ &\quad - \widetilde{D}_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\psi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(10)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 4\mathbb{E} \| D_1(\gamma+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma+r_n)) - \widetilde{D}_1(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \|^2 + 4\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2 + 4\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 + 4\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\psi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(r+r_n))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(11) \quad \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) dr \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_1^{(n)}(r+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(r+r_n)) - \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 \frac{dr}{\xi^*},$$

For sake of simplicity, we consider,  $\Gamma(-\alpha-n)(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n} = \xi$  and  $\|\Gamma(-\alpha-n)(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}\| = \|\xi\| = \xi^*$ , where the last estimate converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that, for any  $\gamma \in R$ ,  $\langle \widetilde{B} \rangle(\gamma)$  the difference  $\langle B \rangle(\gamma+r_n) - \langle B \rangle(r_n)$  has the same distribution with  $\langle B \rangle(\gamma)$  and by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 \\ = \sigma^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\phi_1^{(n)}(r+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(r+r_n)) - \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))] d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 \frac{1}{\xi} \\ \leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\phi_1^{(n)}(r+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(r+r_n)) - \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, X(r))] dr \right\|^2 \frac{1}{\xi} \end{aligned}$$

$$(12) \quad \leq \sigma^{-4} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) dr \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_1^{(n)}(r+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(r+r_n)) - \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 \frac{dr}{\xi^*}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \phi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\phi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\widetilde{B}(\gamma) = B(\gamma+r_n) - B(r_n)$  for each  $\gamma \in R$ , then  $\widetilde{B}(\gamma)$  is also a G-Brownian motion with the same distribution as  $B(\gamma)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma+r_n} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma+r_n, r) \psi_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma+r_n-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \widetilde{\psi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right\|^2 \\ = \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\psi_1^{(n)}(r+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(r+r_n)) - \widetilde{\psi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))] d\widetilde{B}(r) \right\|^2 \frac{1}{\xi} \end{aligned}$$

$$(13) \quad \leq \sigma^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \|\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r)\| \mathbb{E} \left\| \psi_1^{(n)}(r+r_n, \mathfrak{X}(r+r_n)) - \widetilde{\psi}_1^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 \frac{dr}{\xi^*},$$



where the last estimate converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma + r_n) - (\widetilde{\Phi}_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) \right\|^2 = 0.$$

By the same arguments as above, we obtain,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left\| (\widetilde{\Phi}_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma - r_n) - (\Phi_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) \right\|^2 = 0.$$

From the Steps 1 and 2, we conclude that,  $(\Phi_1 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) \in SAA(R, \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ .

**Step 3. Sub Claim - II:**  $(\Phi_2 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma)$  is stochastically continuous process.

According to the functions  $D_2, F_2, G_2$  and  $H_2 \in SBC_0(R \times \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , then it follows that

$(\Phi_2 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma)$  is stochastically bounded. Now we aim to prove that

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi_2 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) \right\|^2 d\gamma = 0.$$

From the definition of  $(\Phi_2 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi_2 \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) \right\|^2 d\gamma \\ & \leq 4 \left[ \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \left\| D_2(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 d\gamma + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \right\|^2 d\gamma \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \right\|^2 d\gamma \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \psi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \right\|^2 d\gamma \right] \\ & \leq 4 \left[ \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \left\| D_2(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 d\gamma + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) dr \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \right] d\gamma \right. \\ & \quad + \sigma^{-4} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) dr \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \right] d\gamma \\ & \quad \left. + \sigma^{-2} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}^2(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \left\| \psi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 \frac{1}{\xi_*} dr d\gamma \right] \\ & \leq 4 \left[ \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \left\| D_2(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) \right\|^2 d\gamma + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) dr \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \right] d\gamma \right. \\ & \quad + \sigma^{-4} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) dr \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \left\| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \right] d\gamma \\ & \quad \left. + \sigma^{-2} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathfrak{T}} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}^2(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \left\| \psi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) \right\|^2 \frac{1}{\xi_*} dr d\gamma \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 4 \left[ \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} \mathbb{E} \| D_2(\gamma, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma)) \|^2 d\gamma + \frac{\Omega^2}{\mu} \times \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \right] d\gamma \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{\Omega^2 \sigma^{-4}}{\mu} \times \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \right] d\gamma \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\Omega^2 \sigma^{-2}}{\mu} \times \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \psi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 \frac{1}{\xi_*} dr d\gamma \right]
\end{aligned}$$

As to the second part of the last inequality, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} d\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} d\gamma \int_{-T}^{\gamma} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\infty}^{-\mathfrak{I}} d\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\mathfrak{I}} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} dr \int_r^{\mathfrak{I}} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 d\gamma \frac{1}{\xi_*} + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} d\gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\mathfrak{I}} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \\
(14) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2_{\infty} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as  $\mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \infty$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \phi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi_*} \right] dt = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{I}} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \psi_2^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r)) \|^2 \frac{1}{\xi_*} dr dt = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that,  $(\Phi_2 \mathfrak{K})(\gamma) \in SBC_0(R, \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ . According to the above three steps, finally we could demonstrate  $(\Phi \mathfrak{K})(\gamma) \in SPAA(R, \mathcal{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ .

**Uniqueness:** For a unique fixed point, suppose that  $\mathfrak{K}(\gamma)$  and  $Y(\gamma)$  are the solutions of (1).

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \| (\Phi \mathfrak{K})(\gamma) - (\Phi Y)(\gamma) \|^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \| D(t, \mathfrak{K}(\gamma)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathfrak{U}(\gamma, r) \phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathfrak{U}(\gamma, r) \phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathfrak{U}(\gamma, r) \psi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{K}(r))}{(t-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) - D(\gamma, Y(\gamma)) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathfrak{U}(\gamma, r) \phi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dr \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathfrak{U}(\gamma, r) \phi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} d\langle B \rangle(r) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha-n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathfrak{U}(\gamma, r) \psi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))}{(\gamma-r)^{-\alpha+1-n}} dB(r) \|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 4\mathbb{E} \| D(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) - D(\gamma, Y(\gamma)) \|^2 + 4\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \phi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))] dr \frac{1}{\xi} \right\|^2 \\
&+ 4\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\varphi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \varphi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))] d\langle B \rangle(r) \frac{1}{\xi} \right\|^2 \\
&+ 4\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\psi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \psi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))] dB(r) \frac{1}{\xi} \right\|^2 \\
&= 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \Pi_i(\gamma)
\end{aligned}$$

By using the assumption (H2), we get

$$(15) \quad \Pi_1(\gamma) = \mathbb{E} \| D(\gamma, \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)) - D(\gamma, Y(\gamma)) \|^2 \leq \mathfrak{L}_D \sup_{\gamma \in R} \mathbb{E} \| \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) - Y(\gamma) \|^2.$$

By using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, hypothesis (H1) and (H2), we conclude that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_2(\gamma) &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \phi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))] dr \frac{1}{\xi} \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) dr \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \| \phi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \phi^{(n)}(r, Y(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi^*} \\
&\leq \frac{\Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_\phi}{\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \| \mathfrak{X}(r) - Y(r) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi^*} \\
(16) \quad &\leq \frac{\Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_\phi}{\mu^2} \sup_{\gamma \in R} \| \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) - Y(\gamma) \|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by using Lemma 2, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, hypothesis (H1) and (H2), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_3(\gamma) &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\varphi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \varphi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))] d\langle B \rangle(r) \frac{1}{\xi} \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \sigma^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\varphi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \varphi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))] dr \frac{1}{\xi} \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \sigma^{-4} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) dr \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) \mathbb{E} \| \varphi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \varphi^{(n)}(r, Y(r)) \|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi^*} \\
(17) \quad &\leq \frac{\sigma^{-4} \Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_\phi}{\mu^2} \sup_{\gamma \in R} \| \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) - Y(\gamma) \|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2, (H1) and (H2), we can easily verify

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_4(\gamma) &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\psi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - h\psi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))] dB(r) \right\|_{\xi}^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \left\| \mathcal{U}(\gamma, r) [\psi^{(n)}(r, \mathfrak{X}(r)) - \psi^{(n)}(r, Y(r))] \right\|^2 d\langle B \rangle(r) \frac{1}{\xi^*} \\
&\leq \sigma^{-2} \Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_{\psi} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-2\mu(\gamma-r)} \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathfrak{X}(r) - Y(r) \right\|^2 dr \frac{1}{\xi^*} \\
(18) \qquad &\leq \frac{\sigma^{-2} \Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_{\psi}}{2\mu} \sup_{\gamma \in R} \left\| \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) - Y(\gamma) \right\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by using equation (15) in (18), we deduce

$$(19) \qquad \mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) - (\Phi Y)(\gamma) \right\|^2 \leq \left[ 4\mathfrak{L}_D + \frac{4\Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_{\phi}}{\mu^2} + \frac{\sigma^{-4} \Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_{\phi}}{\mu^2} + \frac{2\sigma^{-2} \Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_{\psi}}{\mu} \right] \sup_{\gamma \in R} \left\| \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) - Y(\gamma) \right\|^2.$$

So,

$$(20) \qquad \mathbb{E} \left\| (\Phi \mathfrak{X})(\gamma) - (\Phi Y)(\gamma) \right\|_{SPAA}^2 \leq \left[ 4\mathfrak{L}_D + \frac{4\Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_{\phi}}{\mu^2} + \frac{\sigma^{-4} \Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_{\phi}}{\mu^2} + \frac{2\sigma^{-2} \Omega^2 \mathfrak{L}_{\psi}}{\mu} \right] \left\| \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) - Y(\gamma) \right\|_{SPAA}^2.$$

Consequently,  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point in  $SPAA(R, \mathfrak{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}))$ , which shows that (1) has unique square mean pseudo almost automorphic mild solution.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we analysed square mean almost pseudo automorphic mild solution for fractional order neutral stochastic evolution equations driven by G-Brownian motion is obtain by using evolution operator theorem and fixed point theorem. Moreover, we proved this mild solution of equation (1) is unique.

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Z. Chen, W. Lin, Square mean pseudo almost automorphic process and its application to stochastic evolution equations. *J. Funct. Anal.* 261(2011), 69-89.
- [2] X. Feng, G. Zong, Pseudo almost automorphic solution to stochastic differential equation driven by Lévy process, *Front. Math. China*, 13(4) (2018), 779-796.
- [3] F. Gao, Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 119(2009), 3356-3382.
- [4] X. Bai, Y. Lin, On the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion with integral-Lipschitz coefficients. *Acta. Math. Appl. Sin Engl Ser.* 30(2014), 589-610.
- [5] P. Duan, Stabilization of stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion with aperiodically intermittent control, *Mathematics.* 9 (2021), 988.
- [6] Y. Gu, Y. Ren, R. Sakthivel, Square mean pseudo almost automorphic mild solutions for stochastic evolution equations driven by G-Brownian motion, *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* 34(3) (2016), 528-545.
- [7] A.D. Nagargoje, V.C. Borkar, R.A. Muneshwar, Existence and uniqueness of solution of fractional differential equation for the ocean flow in arctic gyres and mild solution of fractional voltera integrodifferential equations, *Noviy Mir Res. J.* 6(6) (2021), 44-56.
- [8] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential equations*, Academic Press USA, (1999).
- [9] A.D. Nagargoje, V.C. Borkar, R.A. Muneshwar, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for neutral stochastic fractional integro-differential equations with impulses by a rosenblatt process, *Noviy Mir Res. J.* 6(6) (2021), 88-97.
- [10] F. Gao, Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 119 (2009), 3356-3382.