

Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2022, 12:153 https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/7357 ISSN: 1927-5307

# FIXED POINTS OF RATIONAL F-CONTRACTIONS IN S-METRIC SPACES

TONJAM THAIBEMA<sup>1</sup>, YUMNAM ROHEN<sup>1</sup>, THOUNAOJAM STEPHEN<sup>1,\*</sup>, OINAM BUDHICHANDRA SINGH<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Manipur, Imphal, 795004 India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Thambal Marik College, Oinam, 795134, Manipur, India

Copyright © 2022 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. The concept of F-contraction generalizes Banach contraction theorem. In this paper, we introduce a generalized F-contraction and used it to obtain fixed points in S-metric spaces.

Keywords: rational *F*-contraction; fixed points; *S*-metric space.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

# **1.** INTRODUCTION

The concept of *F*-contraction was introduced by Wardowski [1]. By introducing *F*-contraction, Wardowski [1] generalized the famous Banach Contraction Theorem. His result was extended or generalized by various researchers. For our study, we will use the notation  $\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{N}$  as the set of real numbers, set of positive real numbers, set of natural numbers respectively.

Wardowski [1] defined the following:

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

E-mail address: stepthounaojam@gmail.com

Received March 17, 2022

## **2. PRELIMINARIES**

**Definition 1.** [1] A self mapping P in a metric space (X,d) is said to be an F-contraction if for all  $x, y \in X$  and d(Px, Py) > 0 implies

(1) 
$$\tau + F(d(Px, Py)) \le F(d(x, y))$$

where  $\tau > 0$  and  $F \in \mathscr{F}$ .

*Here*  $\mathscr{F}$  *is the family of all functions*  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  *satisfying* 

(*F*1): *F* is strictly increasing;

(F2): 
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \alpha_n = 0$$
 if and only if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$  for each sequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ ;  
(F3): for  $0 < k < 1$ ,  $\lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ .

Wardowski also pointed out that by considering different types of mappings in (1) variety of contractions can be obtained. He also remarked that from (*F*1) and (1), it can be concluded that *F*-contraction mappings are contractive and hence continuous. Further, if  $F_1, F_2$  be such that the properties (*F*1)-(*F*3) in Definition 1 are satisfied. If  $F_1(\alpha) \le F_2(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$  and a mapping  $G = F_2 - F_1$  is decreasing then every  $F_1$ -contraction *P* is  $F_2$ -contraction.

The following theorem was proved by Wardowski :

**Theorem 1.** [1] In a complete metric space (X,d), a self mapping P be an F-contraction. Then for every  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $\{P^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x^* \in X$  where  $x^*$  is the unique fixed point of P.

Secelean [2] replaced (F2) of Definition 1 by either of the property given as under:

(*F*2'): inf  $F = -\infty$  or

(*F*2"): a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of positive real numbers exist such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty.$ 

Secelean [2] also proved the following:

**Lemma 1.** [2] Consider a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and an increasing mapping  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following conditions hold true

(i): 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$$
, implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;  
(ii):  $\inf F = -\infty$ , and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ , implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$ .

Wardowski also pointed out that Banach contractions are *F*-contractions but the converse is not true.

F-contraction is introduced by Cosentino and Verto [3].

**Definition 2.** [3] In a complete metric space (X, d), a self mapping P is said to be Hardy-Rogers type F-contraction if  $F \in \mathscr{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$  satisfies

(2) 
$$\tau + F(d(Px, Py)) \le F(a_1.d(x, y) + a_2.d(x, Px) + a_3.d(y, Py) + a_4.d(x, Py) + a_5.d(y, Px))$$

with d(Px, Py) > 0 for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  and  $a_5$  are non-negative numbers,  $a_3 \neq 1$ and  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** [3] In a complete metric space (X,d), a self mapping P be a Hardy-Rogers-type contraction and  $a_3 \neq 1$ . Then P has a fixed point. Further, P has a unique fixed point if  $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 \leq 1$ .

In Definition 1, the condition (F3) was replaced by Piri and Kumam [4] as under:

(F3'): F is continuous on  $(0, +\infty)$ .

They defined a family of functions  $\mathscr{F}$  satisfying (F1), (F2') and (F3') and proved the following :

**Theorem 3.** [4] In a complete metric space (X,d), let P be a self mapping. Let  $F \in \mathscr{F}$  satisfy

$$\forall x, y \in X, [d(Px, Py) > 0 \text{ implies } \tau + F(d(Px, Py)) \le F(d(x, y))].$$

where  $\tau > 0$ . Then P has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and the sequence  $\{P^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x^*$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Piri and Kumam [4] showed the independence of (F3) and (F3').

The next result was proved by Popescu and Gabrial [5] by generalizing the results in [1, 3].

**Theorem 4.** [5] In a complete metric space (X,d), let P be a self mapping. For  $\tau > 0$ , let  $x, y \in X$ , d(Px, Py) > 0 implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau + F(d(Px, Py)) \\ &\leq F(a_1.d(x, y) + a_2.d(x, Px) + a_3.d(y, Py) + a_4.d(x, Py) + a_5.d(y, Px)), \end{aligned}$$

where the mapping  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  is increasing,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$  are non-negative numbers,  $a_4 < 1/2, a_3 < 1, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 = 1, 0 < a_1 + a_4 + a_5 \leq 1$ . Then P has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ , also the sequence  $\{P^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x^*$  for each  $x \in X$ .

For more results on *F*-contraction, readers are suggested to see research papers [6, 7, 8]. For other type of contractions one can see [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]

**Definition 3.** [9] Let X be a non-empty set. An S-metric on X is a function  $S: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  that satisfies the following conditions.

(1): S(x,y,z) ≥ 0 for all x, y, z ∈ X;
(2): S(x,y,z) = 0 if and only if x = y = z for every x, y, z ∈ X;
(3): S(x,y,z) ≤ S(x,x,a) + S(y,y,a) + S(z,z,a) for every x, y, z, a ∈ X.

The pair (X, S) is called an S-metric.

**Definition 4.** *Let* (X, S) *be an S-metric space and*  $A \subset X$ *.* 

(1): If for every  $x \in A$  there exists r > 0 such that  $B_S(x,r) \subset A$ , then the subset A is called an open subset of X.

(2): A subset A of X is said to be S-bounded if there exists r > 0 such that S(x,x,y) < r $\forall x, y \in A$ .

- (3): A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X converges to x if and only if  $S(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . That is for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \ge n_0, S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$  and we denote this by  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ .
- (4): A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$  for each  $n, m \ge n_0$ .
- (5): The S-metric space (X,S) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

(6): Let  $\tau$  be the set of all  $A \subset X$  with  $x \in A$  if and only if there exists r > 0 such that  $B_S(x,r) \subset A$ . Then  $\tau$  is a topology on X (induced by the S-metric S).

There are various forms of *S*-metric space and these are used with the generalized forms of Banach Contraction Theorems. These can be found in research papers [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. In this paper, we use the concept of rational *F*-contraction in *S*-metric space to obtain fixed points.

## **3.** MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 5.** Let P be a self-mapping of a complete S-metric space X into itself. Suppose that there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ , S(Px, Px, Py) > 0 implies

$$\tau + F(S(Px, Px, Py)) \le F(a_1S(x, x, y) + a_2S(x, x, Px) + a_3S(y, y, Py) + a_4S(x, x, Py) + a_5S(y, y, Px))$$

where  $F: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  is an increasing mapping,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$  are non negative numbers,  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 3a_4 + a_5 \leq 1$ . Then P has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and for every  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $\{P^nx\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point and we construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N} \in X$  by

(3)  

$$x_{1} = Px_{0},$$

$$x_{2} = Px_{1} = P^{2}x_{0},$$

$$\dots$$

$$x_{n} = Px_{n-1} = P^{n}x_{0}, \forall n \in N$$

If there exists  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  such that  $S(x_n, x_n, Px_n)=0$ , then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of *P* and the proof is complete. Hence, we assume that

(4) 
$$0 < S(x_n, x_n, Px_n) = S(Px_{n-1}, Px_{n-1}, Px_n) \ \forall n \in N$$

Now, Let  $S_n = S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})$ . By the hypothesis and the monotony of *F*, we have for all  $n \in N$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(S_n) &= \tau + F(S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &= \tau + F(S(Px_{n-1}, Px_{n-1}, Px_n)) \\ &\leq F(a_1S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, Px_{n-1}) + a_3S(x_n, x_n, Px_n) \\ &\quad + a_4S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, Px_n) + a_5S(x_n, x_n, Px_{n-1})) \\ &= F(a_1S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_4S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + a_5S(x_n, x_n, x_n)) \\ &\leq F(a_1S_{n-1} + a_2S_{n-1} + a_3S_n + a_42S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_4S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_5.0)) \\ &= F((a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4)S_{n-1} + (a_3 + a_4)S_n) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

(5)  

$$F(S_n) \leq F((a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4)S_{n-1} + (a_3 + a_4)S_n) - \tau$$

$$< F((a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4)S_{n-1} + (a_3 + a_4)S_n)$$

So from the monotony of F, we get

$$S_n \le (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4)S_{n-1} + (a_3 + a_4)S_n$$

and hence

$$(1 - a_3 - a_4)S_n \le (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4)S_{n-1} \ \forall n \in N$$

Since  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 3a_4 + a_5 \le 1$ 

$$S_n \leq rac{a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4}{1 - a_3 - a_4} S_{n-1} \ < S_{n-1} orall n \in N.$$

Thus, we conclude that the sequence  $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is strictly decreasing, so there exists  $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n = S$ .

Suppose that S > 0. Since F is an increasing mapping, there exists  $\lim_{x\to S_+} F(x) = F(S+0)$ , so taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  in inequality (5), we get  $F(S+0) \le F(S+0) - \tau$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore,

$$lim_{n\to\infty}S_n=0.$$

Now, we claim that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Arguing by contradiction , we assume that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sequences  $\{p(n)\}_{n \in N}$  and  $\{q(n)\}_{n \in N}$  of natural numbers such that p(n) > q(n) > n,

(7) 
$$S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}) > \varepsilon, S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)}) \le \varepsilon, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then, we have

$$\varepsilon < S(x_{(p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)})$$

$$\le 2S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)-1}) + S(S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)})$$

$$\le 2S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)-1}) + \varepsilon$$

It follows from relation (6) and above inequality that

(8) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}) = \varepsilon$$

Since  $S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}) > \varepsilon > 0$ , by the hypothesis and monotony of *F*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)})) &= & \tau + F(S(Px_{p(n)-1}, Px_{p(n)-1}, Px_{q(n)-1})) \\ &\leq & F(a_1S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)-1}) + a_2S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, Px_{p(n)-1})) \\ &+ a_3S(x_{q(n)-1}, x_{q(n)-1}, Px_{q(n)-1}) + a_4S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, Px_{q(n)-1})) \\ &+ a_5S(x_{q(n)-1}, x_{q(n)-1}, Px_{p(n)-1})) \\ &= & F(a_1S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)-1}) + a_2S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)})) \\ &+ a_3S(x_{q(n)-1}, x_{q(n)-1}, x_{q(n)}) + a_4S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)}) \\ &+ a_5S(x_{q(n)-1}, x_{q(n)-1}, x_{q(n)}) + a_4S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

#### THAIBEMA, ROHEN, STEPHEN, SINGH

$$\leq F(a_{1}(2S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)}) + S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)-1})) + a_{2}S_{p(n)-1} + a_{3}S_{q(n)-1} + a_{4}(2S(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)-1}, x_{p(n)}) + S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}))) \\ + a_{5}(2S(x_{q(n)-1}, x_{q(n)-1}, x_{q(n)}) + S(x_{q(n)}, x_{q(n)}, x_{p(n)}))) \\ \leq F(2a_{1}S_{p(n)-1} + a_{1}(2S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}) + S(x_{q(n)}, x_{q(n)}, x_{q(n)-1}))) \\ + a_{2}S_{p(n)-1} + a_{3}S_{q(n)-1} + 2a_{4}S_{p(n)-1} \\ + a_{4}S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}) + 2a_{5}S_{q(n)-1} + a_{5}S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)})) \\ = F((2a_{1} + a_{4} + a_{5})S(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}) + (2a_{1} + a_{2} + 2a_{4})S_{p(n)-1} \\ + (a_{1} + a_{3} + 2a_{5})S_{q(n)-1})$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we get

$$\tau + F(\varepsilon + 0) \le F(\varepsilon + 0),$$

which is a contradiction and hence, the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, S) is a complete S-metric space, we have that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  converges to some point  $x^*$  in X.

If there exists a sequence  $\{p(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of natural numbers such that  $x_{p(n)+1} = Px_{p(n)} = Px^*$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{p(n)+1} = x^*$ , so  $Px^* = x^*$ . Otherwise, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n+1} = Px_n \neq Px^*$ ,  $\forall n \ge \mathbb{N}$ .

Assume that  $Px^* \neq x^*$ . By the hypothesis, we have

$$\tau + F(S(Px_n, Px_n, Px^*)) \leq F(a_1S(x_n, x_n, x^*) + a_2S(x_n, x_n, Px_n) + a_3S(x^*, x^*, Px^*) + a_4S(x_n, x_n, Px^*) + a_5S(x^*, x^*, Px_n))$$

so

$$\tau + F(S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, Px^*)) \leq F(a_1S(x_n, x_n, x^*) + a_2S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3S(x^*, x^*, Px^*) + a_4S(x_n, x_n, Px^*) + a_5S(x^*, x^*, x_{n+1}))$$

Since F is increasing , we deduce that

$$S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, Px^*) \leq a_1 S(x_n, x_n, x^*) + a_2 S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3 S(x^*, x^*, Px^*)$$
$$\leq +a_4 S(x_n, x_n, Px^*) + a_5 S(x^*, x^*, x_{n+1})$$

so letting  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$S(x^*, x^*, Px^*) \leq a_3 S(x^*, x^*, Px^*) + a_4 S(x^*, x^*, Px^*)$$
  
=  $(a_3 + a_4) S(x^*, x^*, Px^*)$   
<  $S(x^*, x^*, Px^*)$ 

This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $Px^* = x^*$ . Now, we will show that *P* has a unique fixed point. Let  $x, y \in X$  be two distinct fixed points of *P*. Thus,  $Px = x \neq y = Py$ . Hence, S(Px, Px, Py) = S(x, x, y) > 0. By the hypothesis, since  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 3a_4 + a_5 \le 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(S(x, x, y)) &= & \tau + F(S(Px, Px, Py)) \\ &\leq & F(a_1S(x, x, y) + a_2S(x, x, Px) + a_3S(y, y, Py)) \\ &+ a_4S(x, x, Py) + a_5S(y, y, Px)) \\ &= & F(a_1S(x, x, y) + a_4S(x, x, y) + a_5S(y, y, x)) \\ &= & F((a_1 + a_4 + a_5)S(x, x, y)) \\ &\leq & F(S(x, x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, *P* has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 1.** Let (X,S) be a complete S-metric space and let P be a self mapping on X. Assume that there exists an increasing mapping  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\tau + F(S(Px, Px, Py)) \le F(a_1S(x, x, y) + a_2S(x, x, Px) + a_3S(y, y, Py)) \quad \forall x, y \in X, Px \neq Py,$$

where  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \le 1$ . Then, *P* has a unique fixed point in *X*.

## **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### REFERENCES

 D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type contractive mappings in complete metric space, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012), 94.

- [2] N. A. Secelean, Iterated function system consisting of *F*-contractions, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013 (2013), 277.
- [3] V. Cosentino, P. Vetro, Fixed point result for *F*-contractive mappings of Hardy-Rogers-Type, Filomat. 28 (2014), 715-722.
- [4] P. Kumam, H. Piri, Some fixed point theorems concerning *F*-contraction in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014 (2014), 210.
- [5] G. Stan, O. Popescu, Two fixed point theorems concerning *F*-contraction in complete metric spaces, Symmetry. 12 (2020), 58.
- [6] N. Saleem, M. Zhou, S. Bashir, S. M. Husnine, Some new generalizations of *F*-contraction type mappings that weaken certain conditions on Caputo fractional type differential equations, AIMS Math. 6 (2021), 12718-12742.
- [7] N. Saleem, I. Iqbal, B. Iqbal, S. Radenović, Coincidence and fixed points of multivalued *F*-contractions in generalized metric space with application, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 22 (2020), 81.
- [8] N. Saleem, M. Abbas, Z. Raza, Fixed fuzzy poit results of generalized Suzuki type F-contraction mappings in ordered metric spaces, Georgian Math. J. 27 (2020), 307–320.
- [9] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik. 64 (2012), 258-266.
- [10] L. Shanjit, Y. Rohen, Best proximity point theorems in *b*-metric space satisfying rational contractions, J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 2019 (2019), 12-22.
- [11] M.S. Khan, N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, Fixed point of generalised rational  $\alpha^* \psi$ -Geraghty contraction for multivalued mappings, J. Adv. Study, 12 (2019), 156-169.
- [12] M. Bina Devi, N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, Fixed point theorems for  $(\alpha, \beta) (\phi, \psi)$ -rational contractive type mappings, J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), 955-969.
- [13] B. Khomdram, M. Bina Devi, Y. Rohen, Fixed point of theorems of generalised α-ratonal contractive mappings on rectangular *b*-metric spaces, J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), 991-1010.
- [14] B. Khomdram, N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, N. Saleem, On generalized rational α-Geraghty contraction mappings in *G*-metric spaces, J. Math. 2021 (2021), Article ID 6661045.
- [15] Th. Stephen, Y. Rohen, Fixed points of generalized rational ( $\alpha, \beta, Z$ )-contraction mappings under simulation functions, J. Math. Computer Sci. 24 (2022), 345–357.
- [16] M. Edelstein, On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 37 (1962), 74-79.
- [17] Y. Rohen, T. Došenović, S. Radenović, A Note on the Paper "A Fixed point Theorems in S<sub>b</sub>-Metric Spaces", Filomat. 31 (2017), 3335-3346.
- [18] M. Priyobrata, Y. Rohen and N. Mlaiki, Complex valued S<sub>b</sub>-metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. 8 (2017), 13-24.

- [19] N. Mlaiki, A. Mukheimer, Y. Rohen, N. Souayah, T. Abdeljawad, Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$  contractive mapping in *S*<sub>b</sub>-metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. 8 (2017), 40-46.
- [20] A. H. Ansari, D. Dhamodharan, Y. Rohen, R. Krishnakumar, C-class function on new contractive conditions of integral type on complete S-metric spaces, J. Glob. Res. Math. Arch. 5 (2018), 46-63.
- [21] B. Khomdram, Y. Rohen, Some common coupled fixed point theorems in  $S_b$ -metric space, Fasc. Math. 60 (2018), 79-92.
- [22] B. Khomdram, Y. Rohen, Y. Mahendra, M.S. Khan, Fixed point theorems of generalised S-beta-shi contractive type mappings, Math. Morrav. 22 (2018), 81-92.
- [23] S. Poddar, Y. Rohen, Generalised rational  $\alpha_s$  Meir-Keeler contraction mapping in *S*-metric spaces, Amer. J. Appl. Math. Stat. 9 (2021), 48-52.
- [24] Th. Stephen, Y. Rohen, N. Saleem, M. Bina, K. Anthony Singh, Fixed points of generalized  $\alpha$ -Meir-Keeler contraction mappings in *S*<sub>b</sub>-metric spaces, J. Funct. Spaces, 2021 (2021), Article ID 4684290.