Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 3 (2013), No. 2, 554-568 ISSN: 1927-5307

SOME SUBORDINATION PROPERTIES OF MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CERTAIN LINEAR OPERATORS

S R SWAMY

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R V College of Engineering, Mysore Road, Bangalore - 560 059, India

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we investigate some interesting properties among certain subclasses of analytic and p-valent functions, which are defined by a new generalized differential operator $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m$ and a new generalized integral operator $J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m$, using the techniques of the first order differential subordination.

Key words: Analytic functions, Differential subordination, Differential operator, Integral operator.

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80, 47B38.

1. Introduction

Let A_p denote the class of functions of the form

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, (p \in N = \{1, 2, 3...\}),$$

which are analytic and p-valent in the unit disc $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$, and we set $A_1 = A$, a wellknown class of normalized analytic functions in U. For $f \in A_p$ given by (1.1) and $g \in A_p$

Received January 27, 2013

defined by $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, the Hadamard (or convolution) product of f and g is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z)$$
. If f and g are analytic in U, we say that the function

f is subordinate to *g*, or the function *g* is superordinate to *f*, if there exists a Schwarz function *w*, analytic in *U*, with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1, for all $z \in U$, such that f(z) = g(w(z)), for $z \in U$. In such a case we write $f \prec g$. In particular, if the function *g* is univalent in *U*, then we

have the following equivalence(See [8,16]:

$$f(z) \prec g(z)$$
 $(z \in U)$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

For $f \in A_p$, the author [23, 24] defined a new differential operator $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m$ by the following

infinite series

(1.2)
$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + k\beta}{\alpha + p\beta}\right)^{m} a_{k} z^{k}, z \in U,$$

where $p \in N$, $m \in N_0 = N \bigcup \{0\}$, $\beta \ge 0$ and α a real number with $\alpha + p\beta > 0$.

Remark 1.1 If $f \in A_p$ and the differential operator $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m$ is given by (1.2), then

(1.3)
$$(\alpha + p\beta)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z) = \alpha I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) + \beta z (I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z))', \beta > 0.$$

We note that

- $I_{1,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z) = I_{\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)$ (See [22]).
- $I_{p,\alpha,1}^m f(z) = I_p^m(\alpha) f(z), \alpha > -p$ (See [1]).
- $I_{p,l+p-p\beta,\beta}^m f(z) = I_p^m(\beta,l)f(z), l > -p, \beta \ge 0$ (See Catas [9]).
- $I_{p,0,\beta}^{m}f(z) = D_{p}^{m}f(z)$ (See [4]).

Remark 1.2 i) $I_p^m(\alpha)f(z)$ was considered in [1], for $\alpha \ge 0$ and $I_p^m(\beta, l)f(z)$ was defined in [9] for $l \ge 0, \beta \ge 0$, ii) $I_p^m(l)f(z) = I_p^m(1, l)f(z), l > -p$, iii) $I_p^m(\beta, 0)f(z) = D_p^m(\beta)f(z), \beta \ge 0$, was mentioned in Aouf et.al. [5], iv) $D_1^m(\beta), \beta \ge 0$, was introduced by Al-Oboudi [2], v) $D_1^m(1)f(z) = D^m f(z)$ was defined by Salagean [20] and was considered for $m \ge 0$ in [7], vi) $I_1^m(\alpha)f(z), \alpha \ge 0$, was investigated in [10] and [11] and vii) $I_1^m(1)$ was due to Uralegaddi and Somanatha[27].

In [26], the author defined a new integral operator $J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}$ and is as follows:

Definition 1.3 For $f \in A_p$, we define an integral operator $J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)$ by

where $p \in N$, $m \in N_0 = N \bigcup \{0\}$, $\beta > 0$ and α a real number with $\alpha + p\beta > 0$.

We see that for $f(z) \in A_p$, we have

(1.4)
$$J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + p\beta}{\alpha + k\beta}\right)^{m} a_{k} z^{k}, z \in U,$$

where $p \in N$, $m \in N_0 = N \bigcup \{0\}$, $\beta > 0$ and α a real number with $\alpha + p\beta > 0$.

From (1.4), it is easy to verify that

(1.5)
$$(\alpha + p\beta)J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) = \alpha J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z) + \beta z (J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z))^{\prime}.$$

We also note that for $f(z) \in A$, we have

$$J_{1,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) = J_{\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha+k\beta}\right)^{m} a_{k} z^{k}, z \in U,$$

where $m \in N_0 = N \bigcup \{0\}$, $\beta > 0$ and α a real number with $\alpha + \beta > 0$.

Remark 1.4 i) $J_{1,\alpha,\beta}^{m} f(z) = J_{\alpha,\beta}^{m} f(z)$ [See 25],ii) $J_{p,l+p-p\beta,\beta}^{m} f(z) = J_{p}^{m}(\beta,l) f(z), l > -p, \beta > 0$ (See [6(considered for $l \ge 0$)], iii) $J_{p,\alpha,1}^{m} f(z) = J_{p}^{m}(\alpha) f(z), \alpha > -p$ (See [6(considered for $\alpha \ge 0$)], iv) $J_{p,0,\beta}^{m} f(z) = J_{p}^{m} f(z)$ (See[6]),v) $J_{p,p-p\beta,\beta}^{m} f(z) = L_{p}^{m}(\beta) f(z), \beta > 0$ (See[6]),vi) $J_{p,1,1}^{m} f(z) = L_{p}^{m} f(z)$ (See [17, 21]), vii) $J_{1,1,1}^{m} f(z) = L_{1}^{m} f(z) = L_{1}^{m} f(z)$ (See [12, 14]) and viii) $J_{1,1-\beta,\beta}^{m} f(z) = L^{m}(\beta) f(z)$ (See [19]).

Remark 1.3 we observe that $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m$ and $J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m$ are linear operators and for $f \in A_p$, we have $J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^mf(z)) = I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m(J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^mf(z)) = f(z).$

For $f(z) \in A_p$, the function $F_{\delta}(z)$ is defined by

(1.6)
$$F_{\delta}(z) = \frac{\delta + p}{z^{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\delta - 1} f(t) dt, z \in U.$$

where $\delta > -p$. Clearly $F_{\delta}(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\delta + p}{\delta + k}\right) a_k z^k$ and it is easy to verify that

557

(1.7)
$$(\delta + p)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) = \delta I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z) + z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z))',$$

and from Remark 1.3, we have

(1.8)
$$(\delta + p)J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) = \delta J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z) + z(J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z))^{'}.$$

In this paper we will determine some subordination properties of multivalent functions defined using a new generalized differential operator or a new generalized integral operator

2. Preliminaries

The following lemmas will be required in our investigation.

Lemma 2.1[13] Let $\gamma \in C, \gamma \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0$, h(z) be a convex (univalent) in U, with h(0) = 1 and let $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + ...$, be analytic in U. If $p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z), z \in U$, then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) = \frac{\gamma}{z^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\gamma-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z), z \in U$$
, and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

For any complex numbers $a, b, c(c \notin Z_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, ...\})$, the Gauss hypergeometric function is defined by

$$_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = 1 + \frac{ab}{c}\frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1)}\frac{z^{2}}{2!} + \dots$$

The above series converges absolutely for all $z \in U$, and hence represents an analytic function in the unit disc U (See, for details, [28]).

The each of the identities asserted by lemma below is well-known

Lemma 2.2[28] For any complex parameters a, b and c ($c \notin Z_0^-$), $\operatorname{Re}(c) > \operatorname{Re}(b) > 0$, we have

(2.1)
$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-tz)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z);$$

(2.2)
$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = {}_{2}F_{1}(b,a;c;z);$$

(2.3)
$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_{2}F_{1}(b,c-b;c;\frac{z}{z-1}).$$

3. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the remainder of this paper that $z \in U$, the powers are understood as principle values and the parameters $p, m, A, B, \delta, \lambda, \mu, \alpha$, and β are constrained as follows:

$$p \in N, m \in N_0, -1 \le B < A \le 1, \delta > -p, \lambda > 0, \mu > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha \in R$$
 such that $\alpha + p\beta > 0$.

Theorem 3.1 If the function $f \in A_p$, satisfy the following subordination condition

(3.1)
$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}\right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

then

$$\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where

(3.2)
$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) (1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, 1; \frac{\mu(\alpha + p\beta)}{\lambda\beta} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz + 1}\right), B \neq 0\\ 1 + \frac{\mu(\alpha + p\beta)}{\mu(\alpha + p\beta) + \lambda\beta} Az, \qquad B = 0 \end{cases}$$

and q(z) is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right) > M(p,A,B,\mu,\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$$

where

(3.3)
$$M(p,A,B,\mu,\lambda,\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right)(1 - B)^{-1} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1,1;\frac{\mu(\alpha + p\beta)}{\lambda\beta} + 1;\frac{B}{B-1}\right), \ B \neq 0\\ 1 - \frac{\mu(\alpha + p\beta)}{\mu(\alpha + p\beta) + \lambda\beta}A, \qquad B = 0. \end{cases}$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Let

(3.4)
$$p(z) = \left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu}$$

then p(z) is analytic in U with p(0) = 1. Using (1.3), (3.1) and (3.4), we obtain

$$p(z) + \frac{\lambda\beta}{\mu(\alpha + p\beta)} zp'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1 for $\gamma = \frac{\mu(\alpha + p\beta)}{\lambda\beta}$, we deduce that

$$\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec \left(\frac{\mu(\alpha+p\beta)}{\lambda\beta}\right) z^{-\left(\frac{\mu(\alpha+p\beta)}{\lambda\beta}\right)} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\left(\frac{\mu(\alpha+p\beta)}{\lambda\beta}\right)-1} \left(\frac{1+At}{1+Bt}\right) dt = q(z),$$

where q(z) is given by (3.2) and is obtained by change of variables followed by the use of identities (2.1),(2.2) and (2.3) from Lemma 2.2.Following the same lines as in Theorem 4[18], we can prove that $\inf_{z \in U} (\operatorname{Re}(q(z)) = q(-1))$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is thus completed.

In a manner similar to that of Theorem 3.1, we can easily prove the following theorem, using the identity (1.5).

Theorem 3.2 Let $f \in A_p$, satisfies

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu}\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}\right)\prec\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

then

$$\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where q(z) is given by (3.2) and q(z) is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right) > M(p,A,B,\mu,\lambda,\alpha,\beta),$$

where $M(p, A, B, \mu, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ is given by (3.3) and this result is sharp.

Remark 3.3 For $p = 1, \mu = 1$, and $\alpha = 1 - \beta$, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 agree with Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, respectively, of Al-Oboudi and Al-Qahtani [3]. For $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, our results for operators $I_p^m(\alpha)$ and $J_p^m(\alpha)$ hold true for $\alpha > -p$. Similarly, results obtained for operators $I_p^m(\beta, l)$ and $J_p^m(\beta, l)$ from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, by putting $\alpha = l + p - p\beta$, hold true for l > -p.

Now we prove the following.

Theorem 3.4 If the function $f \in A_p$, satisfy the following subordination condition

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)\prec\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

then

$$\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m F_{\delta}(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where $F_{\delta}(z)$ is defined by (1.6) and q(z) is given by

(3.5)
$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right)(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, 1; \frac{\mu(\delta + p)}{\lambda} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz + 1}\right), B \neq 0\\ 1 + \frac{\mu(\delta + p)}{\mu(\delta + p) + \lambda}Az, \qquad B = 0 \end{cases}$$

and q(z) is the best dominant. Furthermore

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right) > M_{1}(p,A,B,\delta,\lambda,\mu)$$

where

(3.6)
$$M_{1}(p, A, B, \delta, \lambda, \mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right)(1 - B)^{-1}{}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, 1; \frac{\mu(\delta + p)}{\lambda} + 1; \frac{B}{B - 1}\right), & B \neq 0\\ 1 - \frac{\mu(\delta + p)}{\mu(\delta + p) + \lambda}A, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Setting

(3.7)
$$p(z) = \left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m F_{\delta}(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu},$$

we note that p(z) is analytic in U and $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + ...$ Carrying out logarithmic

differentiation of (3.7) and using the identity (1.7), one obtains

$$p(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\delta + p)} z p'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 for $\gamma = \frac{\mu(\delta + p)}{\lambda}$, we get

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{\mu(\delta+p)}{\lambda}\right) z^{-\left(\frac{\mu(\delta+p)}{\lambda}\right)} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\left(\frac{\mu(\delta+p)}{\lambda}\right)-1} \left(\frac{1+At}{1+Bt}\right) dt = q(z),$$

where q(z) is given by (3.5) and the remaining part of the proof is similar to Theorem 3.1.

In the following theorem we prove the corresponding result, using the identity (1.8), for the defined new integral operator, the proof of which is similar to that of Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5 Let $f \in A_p$, satisfies

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)\prec\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

then

$$\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m F_{\delta}(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where $F_{\delta}(z)$ is defined by (1.6), q(z) is given by (3.5) and q(z) is the best dominant. Furthermore

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right) > M_{1}(p,A,B,\delta,\lambda,\mu)$$

where $M_1(p, A, B, \delta, \lambda, \mu)$ is given by (3.6) and this result is sharp.

Remark 3.6 For $p=1, \lambda=1, \mu=1$, and $\alpha=1-\beta$, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 agree with Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4, respectively, of Al-Oboudi and Al-Qahtani [3]. For $\beta=1$ in Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, our results for operators $I_p^m(\alpha)$ and $J_p^m(\alpha)$ hold true for $\alpha > -p$. Similarly, results obtained for operators $I_p^m(\beta,l)$ and $J_p^m(\beta,l)$ from Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, by putting $\alpha = l + p - p\beta$, hold true for l > -p.

Now we prove the partial converse of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, for $A = 1 - 2\rho$,

 $0 \le \rho < 1$ and B = -1.

Theorem 3.7 Let $f \in A_p$, satisfies

(3.8)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}\right) > \rho, 0 \le \rho < 1,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda)\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)\right)>\rho,|z|< R_{1},$$

where

(3.9)
$$R_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\mu(\delta + P))^2 - \lambda}}{\mu(\delta + p)}.$$

The bound R_1 is the best possible.

Proof. From (3.8), we have

(3.10)
$$\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} = \rho + (1-\rho)p(z).$$

We see that $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + ...$ is analytic and $\text{Re}(p(z)) > 0, z \in U$. Differentiating both sides of (3.10) and making use of (1.7), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda)\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)-\rho\right)=(1-\rho)\operatorname{Re}\left(p(z)+\frac{\lambda zp'(z)}{\mu(\delta+p)}\right)$$
$$\geq(1-\rho)\left(\operatorname{Re}(p(z)-\frac{\lambda|zp'(z)|}{\mu(\delta+p)}\right).$$

By making use of the well-known estimate (See [15]), $\frac{|zp'(z)|}{\operatorname{Re}(p(z))} \le \frac{2r}{1-r^2}$, (|z|=r<1), in (3.11),

we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda)\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)-\rho\right)\geq(1-\rho)\operatorname{Re}p(z)\left(1-\frac{2\lambda r}{\mu(\delta+p)(1-r^{2})}\right),$$

which is positive if $r < R_1$, where R_1 is given by (3.9).

To show that the bound R_1 is the best possible, we consider the function $f \in A_p$ defined by

$$\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m F_{\delta}(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu} = \rho + (1-\rho)\frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

where $F_{\delta}(z)$ is defined by (1.6). By noting that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda)\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)-\rho\right)=(1-\rho)\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{2\lambda}{\mu(\delta+p)}\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)$$
$$=0$$

for $z = R_1$, we conclude that the bound is best possible. Theorem 3.7 is thus proved.

By applying the technique of proof of Theorem 3.7, we easily get the following result.

Theorem 3.8 Let
$$f \in A_p$$
, satisfies $\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m F_{\delta}(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\mu}\right) > \rho, 0 \le \rho < 1$, then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda)\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}F_{\delta}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu-1}\left(\frac{J_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)\right)>\rho,|z|< R_{1},$$

where R_1 is given by (3.9). The bound R_1 is the best possible.

Remark 3.9 For $p = 1, \mu = 1$, and $\alpha = 1 - \beta$, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8 agree with Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, respectively, of Al-Oboudi and Al-Qahtani [3]. For $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8, our results for operators $I_p^m(\alpha)$ and $J_p^m(\alpha)$ hold true for $\alpha > -p$. Likewise, results obtained for operators $I_p^m(\beta, l)$ and $J_p^m(\beta, l)$ from Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8, by putting $\alpha = l + p - p\beta$, hold true for l > -p.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Aghalary, R. M. Ali, S. B. Joshi and V. Ravichandran, Inequalities for functions defined by certain linear operator, Int. j. Math. Sci., 4(2) (2005), 267-274.

[2] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429-1436.

[3] F. M. Al-Oboudi and Z. M. Al-Qahtani, Application of differential subordinations to some properties of linear operators, Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis, 2 (3) (2010), 189-202.

[4] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, On a subclasses of n-p-valent prestarlike functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 55(2008), 851-861.

[5] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah and S. M. El-Deeb, Some inequalities for certain p-valent functions involving extended multiplier transformations, Proc. Pak. Acad. Sci., 46 (4) (2009), 217 - 221.

[6] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa, and R. El-Ashwah, Sandwich theorems for p-valent functions defined by a certain integral operator, Math. Comp. Model., 53(2011), 1647-1653.

[7] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Swamy, On certain classes of analytic functions, Soochow J. Math., 20(1) (1994), 1-9.

[8] T. Bulboaca, Differential subordinations and superordinations, recent results, House of scientific publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.

[9] A. Catas, On certain class of p-valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations, Proceedings of the international symposium on geometric function theory and applications, August, 20-24, 2007, TC Istambul Kultur Univ., Turkey, 241-250.

[10] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, Math. Comput. Modeling, 37(1-2) (2003), 39-49.

[11] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly Close-to-Convex functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40(3) (2003), 399-410.

[12] T. M. Flett, The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 38(1972), 746-765.

[13] D. J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, Subordination by convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 52 (1975), 191-195.

[14] T. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one parameter families of integral operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 176 (1993), 138-147.

[15] T. H. MacGregor, The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14(1963), 514-520.

[16] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics (N.225), Marcel Dekker, New York and Besel, 2000.

[17] J. Patel and P. Sahoo, Certain subclasses of multivalent analytic functions, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 34(3) (2003), 487-500.

[18] J. Patel, N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators, Math. Coput. Modelling, 43(2006), 320-338.

[19] J. Patel, Inclusion relations and convolution properties of certain classes of analytic functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 15 (2008), 33-47.

[20] G. St. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Proc. Fifth Rou. Fin. Semin. Buch. Complex Anal., Lect. notes in Math., Springer –Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362-372.

[21] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni and J. M. Jahangiri, Subordination properties of p - valent functions defined by integral operators, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., (2006), 1-3, Art.id 94572.

[22] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, Int. Math. Forum, 7 (36) (2012), 1751-1760.

[23] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a multiplier transformation, Int. J. Math. Anal., 6 (32) (2012), 1553-1564.

[24] S. R. Swamy, Some properties of analytic functions defined by a new generalized multiplier transformation, J. Math. Comput. Sci., 2 (3) (2012), 759-767.

[25] S. R. Swamy, Sandwich theorems for analytic functions defined by new operators, J. Global. Res. Math. Arch., 1(2) (2013), 76-85.

[26] S. R. Swamy, Sandwich theorems for p-valent functions defined by certain integral operator, Int. J. Math. Arch., 4 (2) (2013).

[27] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, Current topics in analytic function theory, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, N. Y., (1992), 371-374.

[28] E. T. Whittaker and G. N Watson, A course of modern analysis, 4th ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1996).