Available online at http://scik.org J. Math. Comput. Sci. 3 (2013), No. 2, 708-719 ISSN: 1927-5307

### MAPS AND FUZZY CONNECTIONS

YONG CHAN KIM

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Gangwondo 210-702, Korea

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigate the relations between maps and residuated (dual residuated, residuated, Galois, dual Galois) connections in complete residuated lattices.

**Keywords**: complete residuated lattices; isotone (antitone) maps; residuated (dual residuated, residuated, Galois, dual Galois) connections

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 03E72; 03G10; 06A15; 06F07

## 1. Introduction

Hájek [7] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. Bělohlávek [1-3] developed the notion of fuzzy contexts using Galois connections with  $R \in L^{X \times Y}$  on a complete residuated lattice. Georgescue and Popescu [5,6] introduced the non-commutative fuzzy connection on generalized residuated lattice without commutative conditions. Garcia [4] investigated fuzzy connections categorically. It is an important mathematical tool for algebraic structure of fuzzy contexts [1-3,8-10].

Received February 25, 2013

In this paper, we investigate the relations between maps and residuated (dual residuated, residuated, Galois, dual Galois) connections in complete residuated lattices. We give their examples.

**Definition 1.1.** [1,7] An algebra  $(L, \land, \lor, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  is called a complete residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions:

(C1)  $L = (L, \leq, \lor, \land, 1, 0)$  is a complete lattice with the greatest element 1 and the least element 0;

- (C2)  $(L, \odot, 1)$  is a commutative monoid;
- (C3)  $x \odot y \le z$  iff  $x \le y \to z$  for  $x, y, z \in L$ .

In this paper, we assume  $(L, \land, \lor, \odot, \rightarrow, {}^*0, 1)$  is a complete residuated lattice with the law of double negation; i.e.  $x^{**} = x$ .

**Lemma 1.2.**[1,7] For each  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$ , we have the following properties.

(1) If  $y \leq z$ ,  $(x \odot y) \leq (x \odot z)$ ,  $x \to y \leq x \to z$  and  $z \to x \leq y \to x$ . (2)  $x \to (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \to y_i)$ . (3)  $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \to y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \to y)$ . (4)  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i^* = (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)^*$  and  $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i^* = (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)^*$ . (5)  $(x \odot y) \to z = x \to (y \to z) = y \to (x \to z)$ . (6)  $x \odot y = (x \to y^*)^*$  and  $x \to y = y^* \to x^*$ . (7)  $x \odot (x \to y) \leq y$ . (8)  $(x \to y) \odot (y \to z) \leq x \to z$ . (9)  $x \leq y \to z$  iff  $y \leq x \to z$ .

**Definition 1.3.**[1-3] Let X be a set. A function  $e_X : X \times X \to L$  is called:

- (E1) reflexive if  $e_X(x, x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ ,
- (E2) transitive if  $e_X(x,y) \odot e_X(y,z) \le e_X(x,z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,
- (E3) if  $e_X(x, y) = e_X(y, x) = 1$ , then x = y.

If e satisfies (E1) and (E2),  $(X, e_X)$  is a fuzzy preorder set. If e satisfies (E1), (E2) and (E3),  $(X, e_X)$  is a fuzzy partially order set (simply, fuzzy poset).

**Remark 1.4.**(1) We define a function  $e_{L^X} : L^X \times L^X \to L$  as  $e_{L^X}(A, B) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \to B(x))$ . Then  $(L^X, e_{L^X})$  is a fuzzy poset from Lemma 1.2 (8).

(2) We denote  $e_X^{-1}(x, y) = e_X(y, x)$ ,  $(e_X)_x(y) = e_X(x, y)$  and  $(e_X)_y^{-1} = e_X(x, y)$ . Moreover,  $1_x$  is a characteristic function such that  $1_x(x) = 0$ ,  $1_x(y)$ , for otherwise.

**Definition 1.5.**[1-3] Let  $(X, e_X)$  and  $(Y, e_Y)$  be a fuzzy poset and  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to X$  maps.

(1)  $(e_X, f, g, e_Y)$  is called a Galois connection if for all  $x \in X, y \in Y$ ,

$$e_Y(y, f(x)) = e_X(x, g(y))$$

 $(2)(e_X, f, g, e_Y)$  is called a dual Galois connection if for all  $x \in X, y \in Y$ ,

$$e_Y(f(x), y) = e_X(g(y), x)$$

(3)  $(e_X, f, g, e_Y)$  is called a residuated connection if for all  $x \in X, y \in Y$ ,

$$e_Y(f(x), y) = e_X(x, g(y)).$$

(4)  $(e_X, f, g, e_Y)$  is called a dual residuated connection if for all  $x \in X, y \in Y$ ,

$$e_Y(y, f(x)) = e_X(g(y), x)$$

(5) A map  $f: (X, e_X) \to (Y, e_Y)$  is called an isotone map if for all  $x, z \in X$ ,  $e_X(x, z) \le e_Y(f(x), f(z))$ .

(6) A map  $f: (X, e_X) \to (Y, e_Y)$  is called an antitone map if for all  $x, z \in X, e_X(x, z) \le e_Y(f(z), f(x))$ .

# 2. Maps and fuzzy connections

**Theorem 2.1.**Let  $(X, e_X)$  and  $(Y, e_Y)$  be a fuzzy poset and  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g : Y \to X$ maps. For each  $A \in L^X$  and  $B \in L^Y$ , we define operations as follows:

$$F_{1}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \to e_{Y}(y, f(x))), \quad F_{2}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \to e_{Y}(f(x), y)),$$
  

$$G_{1}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \to e_{X}(x, g(y))), \quad G_{2}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \to e_{X}(g(y), x)),$$
  

$$H_{1}(B)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (e_{X}(x, g(y)) \odot B(y)), \quad H_{2}(B)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (e_{X}(g(y), x) \odot B(y)),$$

710

$$\begin{split} I_1(A)(y) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot e_Y(y, f(x))), \quad I_2(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot e_Y(f(x), y)), \\ J_1(B)(x) &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (e_X(x, g(y)) \to B(y)), \quad J_2(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (e_X(g(y), x) \to B(y)), \\ K_1(A)(y) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (e_Y(y, f(x)) \to A(x)), \quad K_2(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (e_Y(f(x), y) \to A(x)). \\ L_1(B)(x) &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (B^*(y) \odot e_X(x, g(y))), \quad L_2(B)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (B^*(y) \odot e_X(g(y), x)), \\ M_1(A)(y) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot e_Y(y, f(x))), M_2(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot e_Y(f(x), y)). \end{split}$$

 $x \in X$ Then the following statements hold:

(1)  $F_1(1_x) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}^{-1}, F_2(1_x) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}, K_1(1_x^*) = ((e_Y)_{f(x)}^{-1})^*, K_2(1_x^*) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}^*, M_1(1_x^*) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}^{-1}, M_2(1_x^*) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}, I_1(1_x) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}^{-1} and I_2(1_x) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}.$ 

(2)  $G_1(1_y) = (e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1}, \ G_2(1_y) = (e_X)_{g(y)}, \ H_1(1_w) = (e_X)_{g(w)}^{-1}, \ H_2(1_w) = (e_X)_{g(w)},$  $J_1(1_y^*) = ((e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1})^*, \ J_2(1_y^*) = (e_X)_{g(y)}^*, \ L_1(1_y^*) = (e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1} \ and \ L_2(1_y^*) = (e_X)_{g(y)}.$ 

 $(3)(e_X, f, g, e_Y)$  is a Galois connection iff  $(e_{L^X}, F_1, G_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a Galois connection with antitone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, K_1, H_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual residuated connection with antitone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, M_1, L_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual Galois connection with antitone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, I_1, J_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a residuated connection with antitone maps f and g.

(4)  $(e_X, f, g, e_Y)$  is a residuated connection iff  $(e_{L^X}, F_2, G_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a Galois connection with isotone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, K_2, H_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual residuated connection with isotone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, M_2, L_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual Galois connection with isotone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, I_2, J_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a residuated connection with isotone maps f and g.

(5)  $(e_X, f, g, e_Y)$  is a dual Galois connection iff  $(e_{L^X}, F_2, G_2, e_{L^Y})$  is a Galois connection with antitone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, K_2, H_2, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual residuated connection with antitone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, M_2, L_2, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual Galois connection with antitone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, I_2, J_2, e_{L^Y})$  is a residuated connection with antitone maps f and g.

(6)  $(e_X, f, g, e_Y)$  is a dual residuated connection iff  $(e_{L^X}, F_1, G_2, e_{L^Y})$  is a Galois connection with isotone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, K_1, H_2, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual residuated connection with isotone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, M_1, L_2, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual Galois connection with isotone maps f and g iff  $(e_{L^X}, I_1, J_2, e_{L^Y})$  is a residuated connection with isotone maps f and g.

(7) If  $e_X(x, y) \le e_Y(f(x), f(y))$ , then

$$F_1((e_X)_z) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}^{-1}, \qquad F_2((e_X)_z^{-1}) = (e_Y)_{f(z)},$$
  

$$K_1(((e_X)_z^{-1})^*) = ((e_Y)_{f(z)}^{-1})^*, \qquad K_2((e_X)_z^*) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}^*,$$
  

$$I_1((e_X^{-1})_z) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}^{-1}, \qquad I_2((e_X)_z) = (e_Y)_{f(z)},$$
  

$$M_1((e_X^{-1})_z^*) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}^{-1}, \qquad M_2((e_X)_z^*) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}.$$

(8) If  $e_X(x, y) \le e_Y(f(y), f(x))$ , then

$$F_1((e_X)_z^{-1}) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}^{-1}, \qquad F_2((e_X)_z) = (e_Y)_{f(z)},$$
  

$$K_1(((e_X)_z)^*) = ((e_Y)_{f(z)}^{-1})^*, \qquad K_2((e_X^{-1})_z^*) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}^*,$$
  

$$I_1((e_X)_z) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}^{-1}, \qquad I_2((e_X)_z^{-1}) = (e_Y)_{f(z)},$$
  

$$M_1((e_X)_z^*) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}^{-1}, \qquad M_2((e_X^{-1})_z^*) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}.$$

(9) If  $e_Y(x, y) \le e_X(g(x), g(y))$ , then

$$G_{1}((e_{Y})_{y}) = (e_{X})_{g(y)}^{-1}, \qquad G_{2}((e_{Y})_{y}^{-1}) = (e_{X})_{g(y)},$$
  

$$H_{1}((e_{Y})_{y}^{-1}) = (e_{X})_{g(y)}^{-1}, \qquad H_{2}((e_{Y})_{y}) = (e_{X})_{g(y)},$$
  

$$J_{1}(((e_{Y})_{y}^{-1})^{*}) = ((e_{X})_{g(y)}^{-1})^{*}, \qquad J_{2}((e_{Y})_{y}^{*}) = (e_{X})_{g(y)}^{*},$$
  

$$L_{1}((e_{Y}^{-1})_{y}^{*}) = (e_{X})_{g(y)}^{-1}, \qquad L_{2}((e_{Y})_{y}^{*}) = (e_{X})_{g(y)}.$$

(10) If  $e_Y(x, y) \le e_X(g(y), g(x))$ , then

$$G_1((e_Y)_y^{-1}) = (e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1}, \qquad G_2((e_Y)_y) = (e_X)_{g(y)},$$
$$H_1((e_Y)_y) = (e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1}, \qquad H_2((e_Y)_y^{-1}) = (e_X)_{g(y)},$$
$$J_1(((e_Y)_y)^*) = ((e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1})^*, \quad J_2((e_Y^{-1})_y^*) = (e_X)_{g(y)}^*,$$
$$L_1((e_Y)_y^*) = (e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1}, \qquad L_2((e_Y^{-1})_y^*) = (e_X)_{g(y)}.$$

**Proof.** (1) and (2) follow from their definitions.

(3) Let  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  be given. Since  $e_X(g(y), g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(g(y))) = 1$ , then g is an antitone map from:

$$e_Y(y_1, y_2) = e_Y(y_1, y_2) \odot e_Y(y_2, f(g(y_2)))$$
  
$$\leq e_Y(y_1, f(g(y_2))) = e_X(g(y_2), g(y_1)).$$

Similarly, f is an antitone map.

First, we will show that  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  iff  $e_{L^X}(A, G_1(B)) = e_{L^Y}(B, F_1(A))$ .

712

Let  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  be given. By Lemma 1.2 (2,5), we have

$$e_{L^{Y}}(B, F_{1}(A)) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \to F_{1}(A)(y))$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left( B(y) \to \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \to e_{Y}(y, f(x))) \right)$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( A(x) \to (B(y) \to e_{X}(x, g(y))) \right)$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( A(x) \to G_{1}(B)(x) \right)$$
  
$$= e_{L^{X}}(A, G_{1}(B)).$$

Conversely, put  $A = 1_x$  and  $B = 1_y$ . By (1) and (2), we have

$$e_Y(y, f(x)) = F_1(1_x)(y) = e_{L^Y}(1_y, F_1(1_x))$$
  
=  $e_{L^X}(1_x, G_1(1_y)) = G_1(1_y)(x) = e_X(x, g(y)).$ 

Second, we will show that  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  iff  $e_{L^X}(H_1(B), A) = e_{L^Y}(B, K_1(A))$ . Let  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  be given. By Lemma 1.2 (3,5), we have

$$e_{L^{X}}(H_{1}(B), A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (H_{1}(B)(x) \to A(x))$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( \bigvee_{y \in Y} (e_{X}(x, g(y)) \odot B(y)) \to A(x) \right)$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left( B(y) \to (e_{X}(x, g(y)) \to A(x)) \right)$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left( B(y) \to \bigwedge_{x \in X} (e_{Y}(y, f(x)) \to A(x)) \right)$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left( B(y) \to K_{1}(A)(y) \right)$$
  
$$= e_{L^{Y}}(B, K_{1}(A))$$

Conversely, put  $A = 1_x^*$  and  $B = 1_y$ . By (1) and (2), we have

$$e_X^*(x, g(y)) = H_1(1_y)^*(x) = e_{L^X}(H_1(1_y), 1_x^*)$$
  
=  $e_{L^Y}(1_y, K_1(1_x^*)) = K_1(1_x^*)(y) = e_Y^*(y, f(x)).$ 

Third, we will show that  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  iff  $e_{L^X}(L_1(B), A) = e_{L^Y}(M_1(A), B)$ .

Let  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  be given. By Lemma 1.2 (3,5,6), we have

$$\begin{split} e_{L^{Y}}(M_{1}(A),B) &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y}(M_{1}(A)(y) \to B(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y}((\bigvee_{z \in X}(A^{*}(z) \odot e_{Y}(y,f(z))) \to B(y))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y}\bigwedge_{z \in X}(A^{*}(z) \to (e_{Y}(y,f(z)) \to B(y))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X}(A^{*}(z) \to \bigwedge_{y \in Y}(e_{Y}(y,f(z)) \to B(y))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X}(A^{*}(z) \to (\bigvee_{y \in Y}(e_{Y}(y,f(z)) \odot B^{*}(y)))^{*}) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X}(\bigvee_{y \in Y}(e_{Y}(y,f(z)) \odot B^{*}(y))) \to A(z)) \\ &= e_{L^{X}}(L_{1}(B),A). \end{split}$$

Conversely, put  $A = 1_x^*$  and  $B = 1_y^*$ . Since  $M_1(1_x^*)(y) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  and  $L_1(1_y^*)(x) = e_X(x, g(y))$  from (1) and (2). Hence we have

$$e_Y^*(y, f(x)) = M_1(1_x^*)^*(y) = e_{L^Y}(M_1(1_x^*), 1_y^*)$$
  
=  $e_{L^X}(L_1(1_y^*), 1_x^*) = L_1(1_y^*)^*(x) = e_X^*(x, g(y)).$ 

Finally, we will show that  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  iff  $e_{L^X}(A, J_1(B)) = e_{L^Y}(I_1(A), B)$ . Let  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$ . Then

$$e_{L^{Y}}(I_{1}(A), B) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (I_{1}(A)(y) \to B(y))$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} ((\bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot e_{Y}(y, f(x))) \to B(y)))$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \to (e_{Y}(y, f(x)) \to B(y)))$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \to \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (e_{Y}(y, f(x)) \to B(y)))$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \to J_{1}(B)(x))$$
  
$$= e_{L^{X}}(A, J_{1}(B)).$$

Conversely, put  $A = 1_x$  and  $B = 1_y^*$ . Since  $I_1((e_X)_x)(y) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  and  $J_1((e_Y)_y^*)(x) = e_X(x, g(y))^*$  from (1) and (2),

$$e_Y^*(y, f(x)) = I_1(1_x)^*(y) = e_{L^Y}(I_1(1_x), 1_y^*)$$
  
=  $e_{L^X}(1_x, J_1(1_y^*)) = J_1(1_y^*)(x) = e_Y^*(x, g(y))$ 

(4) Let  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(f(x), y)$  be given. Since  $e_X(g(y), g(y)) = e_Y(f(g(y), y)) = 1$ , then g is an isotone map from:

$$e_Y(y_1, y_2) = e_Y(y_1, y_2) \odot e_Y(f(g(y_1)), y_1)$$
  
$$\leq e_Y(f(g(y_1)), y_2) = e_X(g(y_1), g(y_2)).$$

Similarly, f is an isotone map.

First, we will show that  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(f(x), y)$  iff  $e_{L^X}(A, G_1(B)) = e_{L^Y}(B, F_2(A))$ . Let  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(f(x), y)$  be given. By Lemma 1.2(2,5), we have

$$e_{L^{Y}}(B, F_{2}(A)) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \to F_{2}(A)(y))$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left( B(y) \to \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \to e_{Y}(f(x), y)) \right)$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( A(x) \to (B(y) \to e_{X}(x, g(y))) \right)$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( A(x) \to \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \to e_{X}(x, g(y))) \right)$$
  
$$= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( A(x) \to G_{1}(B)(x) \right)$$
  
$$= e_{L^{X}}(A, G_{1}(B)).$$

Conversely, put  $A = 1_x$  and  $B = 1_y$ . By (1) and (2),  $F_2(1_x) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}$  and  $G_1(1_y) = (e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1}$ .

$$e_Y(f(x), y) = F_2(1_x)(y) = e_{L^Y}(1_y, F_2(1_x))$$
$$= e_{L^X}(1_x, G_1(1_y)) = G_1(1_y)(x) = e_X(x, g(y)).$$

Second, we will show that  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(y, f(x))$  iff  $e_{L^X}(H_1(B), A) = e_{L^Y}(B, K_2(A))$ . If  $e_X(x, g(y)) = e_Y(f(x), y)$ , then

$$e_{L^X}(H_1(B), A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (H_1(B)(x) \to A(x))$$
  
=  $\bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( (\bigvee_{y \in Y} (e_X(x, g(y)) \odot B(y))) \to A(x) \right)$   
=  $\bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left( B(y) \to (e_X(x, g(y)) \to A(x)) \right)$   
=  $\bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left( B(y) \to \bigwedge_{x \in X} (e_Y(f(x), y) \to A(x)) \right)$   
=  $\bigwedge_{y \in Y} \left( B(y) \to K_2(A)(y) \right)$   
=  $e_{L^Y}(B, K_2(A)).$ 

Put  $A = 1_x^*$  and  $B = 1_y$ . By (1) and (2),  $K_2(1_x^*) = (e_Y)_{f(x)}^*$  and  $H_1(1_w) = (e_X)_{g(w)}^{-1}$ . Hence

$$e_X^*(x, g(y)) = K_2(1_x^*)(y) = e_{L^X}(H_1(1_y, 1_x^*))$$
$$= e_{L^Y}(1_y, K_2(1_x^*)) = H_1(1_y)^*(x) = e_X^*(x, g(y)).$$

Other cases, (5) and (6) are similarly proved in (3).

(7) We have  $F_2((e_X)_z^{-1}) = (e_Y)_{f(z)}$  from:

$$F_2((e_X)_z^{-1})(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((e_X)_z^{-1}(x) \to e_Y(f(x), y))$$
  
$$\leq (e_X)_z^{-1}(z) \to e_Y(f(z), y) = e_Y(f(z), y)$$

Since f is an isotone map,

$$e_{Y}(f(z), y) \odot e_{X}(x, z) \leq e_{Y}((f(z), y) \odot e_{Y}(f(x), f(z))) \leq e_{Y}(f(x), y),$$

$$e_{Y}(f(z), y) \leq \bigwedge_{z \in X} ((e_{X})_{z}^{-1}(x) \to e_{Y}(f(x), y)) = F_{2}((e_{X})_{z}^{-1})(y).$$

$$K_{2}((e_{X})_{x}^{*})(y) = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_{Y}(f(z), y) \to (e_{X})_{x}^{*}(z))$$

$$\leq (e_{Y}(f(x), y) \to \bot) = e_{Y}(f(x), y)^{*}.$$

Thus,  $K_2((e_X)_x^*) \le (e_Y)_{f(x)}^*$ . Furthermore,  $K_2((e_X)_x^*) \ge (e_Y)_{f(x)}^*$  from:

$$e_Y(f(z), y) \odot e_X(x, z) \le e_Y(f(z), y) \odot e_Y(f(x), f(z)) \le e_Y(f(x), y)$$
  
iff  $(e_Y(f(x), y))^* \le e_Y(f(z), y) \to (e_X(x, z))^*.$ 

(9) We have  $G_1((e_Y)_y) \le (e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1}$  from:

$$G_1((e_Y)_y)(x) = \bigwedge_{w \in Y} ((e_Y)_y(w) \to e_X(x, g(w))) \le e_X(x, g(y)).$$

Moreover,  $G_1((e_Y)_y) \ge (e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1}$  from:

$$e_X(x,g(y)) \odot e_Y(y,w) \le e_X(x,g(y)) \odot e_X(g(y),g(w)) \le e_X(x,g(w))$$
$$e_X(x,g(y)) \le e_Y(y,w) \to e_X(x,g(w)).$$

We have  $H_1((e_Y)_w^{-1}) = (e_X)_{g(w)}^{-1}$  from:

$$H_1((e_Y)_w^{-1})(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} ((e_Y)_w^{-1}(y) \odot e_X(x, g(y))) \ge (e_X)_{g(w)}(x).$$
$$e_X(x, g(y)) \odot e_Y(y, w) \le e_X(x, g(y)) \odot e_X(g(y), g(w)) \le e_X(x, g(w).$$

Since  $J_1(((e_Y)_y^{-1})^*)(x) = \bigwedge_{w \in Y} (e_X(x, g(w)) \to ((e_Y)_y^{-1})^*(w) \le (e_X(x, g(y)))^*$ , then  $J_1(((e_Y)_y^{-1})^*) \le ((e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1})^*$ .

Since  $e_X(x, g(w)) \odot e_Y(w, y) \le e_X(x, g(w)) \odot e_X(g(w), g(y)) \le e_X(x, g(y))$ , then

$$e_X(x, g(w)) \to e_Y(w, y)^* \ge (e_X(x, g(y)))^*.$$

Thus,  $J_1(((e_Y)_y^{-1})^*) \ge ((e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1})^*$ . Hence  $J_1(((e_Y)_y^{-1})^*) = ((e_X)_{g(y)}^{-1})^*$ .

Other cases in (7) and (9), (8) and (10) are similarly proved.

Example 2.2. Define a binary operation  $\odot$  (called Łukasiewicz conjection) on L = [0, 1] by

$$x \odot y = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, \ x \to y = \min\{1 - x + y, 1\}.$$

Let  $(X = \{a, b, c\}, e_X)$  and  $(Y = \{x, y, z\}, e_Y)$  be a fuzzy poset with  $e_X = (e_X(a, b))$ ,  $e_Y = (e_Y(x, y))$  and  $e_Y^0 = (e_Y^0(x, y))$  as follows:

$$e_X = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 & 0.7 & 0.4 \\ 0.3 & 1.0 & 0.6 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 1.0 \end{pmatrix} e_Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 & 0.8 & 0.6 \\ 0.6 & 1.0 & 0.5 \\ 0.7 & 0.6 & 1.0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$e_Y^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 & 1.0 \\ 1.0 & 0.3 & 0.5 \\ 0.7 & 1.0 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) We define  $f: X \to Y$  with f(a) = x, f(b) = f(c) = y. Then f is an isotone map. It satisfies Theorem 2.1(7). For examples,

$$F_2((e_X)_a^{-1}) = F_2(1, 0.3, 0.5) = (1, 0.8, 0.6) = (e_Y)_{f(a)} = (e_Y)_x,$$
  

$$F_2((e_X)_b^{-1}) = F_2(0.7, 1, 0.5) = (0.6, 1, 0.5) = (e_Y)_{f(b)} = (e_Y)_y,$$
  

$$F_2((e_X)_c^{-1}) = F_2(0.4, 0.3, 1) = (0.6, 1, 0.5) = (e_Y)_{f(c)} = (e_Y)_y.$$

(2) We define  $h: X \to Y$  with h(a) = x, h(b) = h(c) = z. Then f is an antitone map. It satisfies Theorem 2.1(8). For examples,

$$K_2((e_X^{-1})_a^*) = K_2(0, 0.7, 0.5) = (0, 0.2, 0.4) = (e_Y)_{h(a)}^*,$$
  

$$K_2((e_X^{-1})_b^*) = K_2(0.3, 0, 0.5) = (0.3, 0.4, 0) = (e_Y)_{h(b)}^*,$$
  

$$K_2((e_X^{-1})_c^*) = K_2(0.6, 0.4, 0) = (0.3, 0.4, 0) = (e_Y)_{h(c)}^*.$$

(3) We define f and g as f(a) = x, f(b) = y, f(c) = z and g(x) = c, g(y) = a, f(z) = b. Then  $e_Y^0(x, f(a)) = e_X(a, g(x))$  for all  $a \in X, x \in Y$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $(e_X, f, g, e_Y^0)$  is a Galois connection,  $(e_{L^X}, F_1, G_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a Galois connection with antitone maps f and g,  $(e_{L^X}, K_1, H_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual residuated connection with antitone maps f and g,  $(e_{L^X}, M_1, L_1, e_{L^Y})$  is a dual Galois connection with antitone maps f and g and  $(e_{L^X}, I_1, J_1, e_{L^Y})$ 

is a residuated connection with antitone maps f and g. It satisfies Theorem 2.1(8) and (10). For examples,

$$F_1((e_X)_a^{-1})(z) = F_1(1, 0.3, 0.5)(z) = 0.7 = e_Y^0(z, x)$$
  

$$F_2((e_X)_b^{-1}) = F_2(0.7, 1, 0.5) = (0.7, 0.6, 1) = (e_Y)_{f(b)}$$
  

$$F_2((e_X)_c^{-1}) = F_2(0.4, 0.3, 1) = (0.7, 0.6, 1) = (e_Y)_{f(c)}$$

Example 2.3.Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  be a set and  $f : X \to X$  a function as f(a) = b, f(b) = a, f(c) = c. Define a binary operation  $\odot$  (called Lukasiewicz conjection) on L = [0, 1] as Example 2.2.

(1) Let  $(X = \{a, b, c\}, e_1 = (e_X(a, b)))$  be a fuzzy poset as follows:

$$e_1 = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.0 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\ 0.6 & 1.0 & 0.5 \\ 0.7 & 0.7 & 1.0 \end{array}\right)$$

Since  $e_1(f(x), y) = e_1(x, f(y))$ , then  $(e_1, f, f, e_1)$  are both residuated and dual residuated connections. It satisfies Theorem 2.1 (4) and (6). Since f is an isotone map, it satisfies Theorem 2.1 (7) and (9). For examples,

$$e_{1}(f(a), c) = 0.5 = F_{2}((e_{1})_{a}^{-1})(c) = (1 \to 0.5) \land (0.6 \to 0.5) \land (0.7 \to 1)$$
  
$$= e_{L^{X}}((e_{1})_{c}, F_{2}((e_{1})_{a}^{-1})) = (0.7 \to 0.6) \land (0.7 \to 1) \land (1 \to 0.5)$$
  
$$= e_{L^{X}}((e_{1})_{a}^{-1}, G_{1}((e_{1})_{c})) = (1 \to 0.5) \land (0.6 \to 0.5) \land (0.7 \to 0.8)$$
  
$$= G_{1}((e_{1})_{c})(a) = (0.7 \to 0.6) \land (0.7 \to 1) \land (1 \to 0.5)$$
  
$$= e_{1}(a, f(c)) = (e_{1})_{f(c)}^{-1}(a).$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^*(f(c), a) &= 0.3 = K_2((e_1)_c^*)(a) = (0.6 \to 0.3) \land (1 \to 0.3) \land (0.7 \to 0) \\ &= e_{L^Y}((e_1)_a^{-1}, K_2((e_1)_c^*) = (1 \to 0.3) \land (0.6 \to 0.3) \land (0.7 \to 0) \\ &= e_{L^X}(H_1((e_1)_a^{-1}), (e_1)_c^*) = (0.6 \to 0.3) \land (1 \to 0.3) \land (0.7 \to 0) \\ &= H_1((e_1)_a^{-1})^*(c) = e_1^*(c, f(a)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $(X = \{a, b, c\}, e_2 = (e_2(a, b)))$  be a fuzzy poset as follows:

$$e_2 = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.0 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\ 0.6 & 1.0 & 0.7 \\ 0.7 & 0.5 & 1.0 \end{array}\right)$$

Since  $e_1(y, f(x)) = e_1(x, f(y))$ , then  $(e_1, f, f, e_1)$  are both both Galois and dual Galois connections. It satisfies Theorem 2.1 (3) and (5). Since f is an antitone map, it satisfies Theorem 2.1 (8) and (10). For examples,

$$e_{2}(b, f(c)) = 0.7 = F_{1}((e_{2})_{c}^{-1})(b) = (0.5 \to 1) \land (0.7 \to 0.6) \land (1 \to 0.7)$$
  
$$= e_{L^{Y}}((e_{Y})_{y}^{-1}, F_{1}((e_{X})_{x}^{-1})) = (0.6 \to 0.5) \land (1 \to 0.7) \land (0.5 \to 1)$$
  
$$= e_{L^{X}}((e_{X})_{x}^{-1}, G_{1}((e_{Y})_{y}^{-1})) = (0.5 \to 1) \land (0.7 \to 0.6) \land (1 \to 0.7)$$
  
$$= G_{1}((e_{2})_{b}^{-1})(c) = e_{2}(c, f(b)).$$

$$e_2^*(a, f(a)) = 0.4 = H_1((e_2)_a^*(a) = \left( (0.6 \odot 1) \lor (1 \odot 0.6) \lor (0.5 \odot 0.5) \right)^*$$
  
=  $e_{L^X}(H_1((e_2)_a, (e_2)_a^*) = (0.6 \to 0) \land (1 \to 0.4) \land (0.5 \to 0.5)$   
=  $e_{L^Y}((e_2)_2, K_1((e_2)_a^*)) = (1 \to 0.4) \land (0.6 \to 0) \land (0.5 \to 0.5)$   
=  $K_1((e_2)_a^*)(a) = e_2(a, f(a)).$ 

#### References

- [1] R. Bělohlávek, Fuzzy Galois connections, Math. Log. Quart., 45 (1999), 497-504.
- [2] R. Bělohlávek, Concept lattices and order in fuzzy logic, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 128(2004), 277-298.
- [3] R. Bělohlávek, Lattices of fixed points of Galois connections, Math. Logic Quart., 47 (2001), 111-116.
- [4] J.G. Garcia, I.M. Perez, M.A. Vicente, D. Zhang, Fuzzy Galois connections categorically, Math. Log. Quart., 56(2) (2010), 131-147.
- [5] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, Non-commutative Galois connections, Soft Computing, 7 (2003), 458-467.
- [6] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, Non-dual fuzzy connections, Arch. Math. Log. 43(2004), 1009-1039.
- [7] P. Hájek, Metamathematices of Fuzzy Logic, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1998).
- [8] H. Lai, D. Zhang, Complete and directed complete Ω-categories, Theoretical Computer Science, 388(2007), 1-25.
- H. Lai, D. Zhang, Concept lattices of fuzzy contexts: Formal concept analysis vs. rough set theory, Int. J. Approx. Reasoning, 50(2009), 695-707.
- [10] W. Yao, L.X. Lu, Fuzzy Galois connections on fuzzy posets, Math. Log. Quart., 55(1)(2009), 105-112.