



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Algebra Letters, 2016, 2016:2

ISSN: 2051-5502

ON FORMAL LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

C.H. TOGNON

Department of Mathematics, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Carlos - Sao Paulo, Brazil

Copyright © 2016 C.H. Tognon. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Let I, \mathfrak{a} be two ideals of a Noetherian ring R . Let M be an R -module. There exists a systematic study of the formal cohomology modules $\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_I^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$, $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is what will be done in this paper.

Keywords: inverse limit; local cohomology; formal cohomology.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 13D45.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative ring with non-zero identity. The theory of local cohomology has developed for six decades after its introduction by Grothendieck. There exists a relation between local cohomology and formal local cohomology. We study here this latter module.

2. Preliminaries

E-mail address: carlostognon@gmail.com

Received August 31, 2016

Let I be an ideal of R , and let M be an R -module. In [1], the i th local cohomology module $H_I^i(M)$ of M with respect to I is defined by

$$H_I^i(M) = \varinjlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^t, M),$$

for all $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, for a other ideal of R , consider the family of local cohomology modules given by $\{H_I^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. According to [4], for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that there exists a natural homomorphism

$$\phi_{n+1,n} : H_I^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^{n+1}M) \rightarrow H_I^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M).$$

These families form an inverse system. Their inverse limit that is given by $\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_I^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is called, according to [4], the i th formal local cohomology module of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} , and will be denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a},I}^i(M)$. Moreover, for a Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M an R -module we have the Matlis dual module $D(M) = \text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ of M , where $E = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is the injective envelope of the residue field R/\mathfrak{m} .

The next definition will be used in the sequence of the paper.

Definition 2.1. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) and (S, \mathfrak{n}, l) be two local rings. A ring homomorphism $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n}, l)$ is a *local homomorphism* if $\mathfrak{m}S \subset \mathfrak{n}$.

In the next section, the following remark will be used.

Remark 2.2.([4, Remark 4.6]) Note that, the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^n M / \mathfrak{a}^{n+1} M \rightarrow M / \mathfrak{a}^{n+1} M \rightarrow M / \mathfrak{a}^n M \rightarrow 0$$

induces an epimorphism $H_I^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^{n+1}M) \rightarrow H_I^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) \rightarrow 0$, of non-zero R -modules for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_I^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is not zero.

The following definition will be used in the next section.

Definition 2.3.([2, Definition 3.1]) Let R be a Noetherian ring. Let I be an ideal of R and let M be an R -module. The i th *local homology module* $H_I^i(M)$ of M with respect to I is defined by, $H_I^i(M) := \varprojlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I^t, M)$.

3. Main Results

In this section, we have a result on formal local cohomology modules.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n}, l)$ be a local homomorphism of local rings, with S a Noetherian ring, and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . Suppose that M is a finitely generated S -module. If $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}}^i(M) = 0$, for each $i \geq 1$, then $D(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is a flat R -module, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, for all $i \geq 1$, we have that:

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}}^i(M) := \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) = 0.$$

By the Remark , we have that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) = 0$. Therefore, it follows, as given in prerequisites, that we have:

$$\varinjlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, M/\mathfrak{a}^n M) = 0. \quad (*)$$

Thus, applying the Matlis dual module $D(\bullet)$ (see prerequisites) to $(*)$ we obtain that $D\left(\varinjlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)\right) = 0$. Now, by [3, Theorem 2.27], it follows that $D\left(\varinjlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)\right)$, which is equal to

$$\text{Hom}_R\left(\varinjlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, M/\mathfrak{a}^n M), E(R/\mathfrak{m})\right),$$

is isomorphic to $\varprojlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, M/\mathfrak{a}^n M), E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$, which in turn is equal to $\varprojlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} D(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, M/\mathfrak{a}^n M))$.

By [5, Proposition 3.4.14 (ii)], we have that:

$$D(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, D(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)).$$

Therefore, by the Definition , we have that

$$H_i^{\mathfrak{m}}(D(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) = \varprojlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, D(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) = 0.$$

By Remark , it follows that there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^t, D(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) = 0, \text{ for all } i \geq 1.$$

Thus, also we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, D(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) = 0$, for all $i \geq 1$ (**).

To end the theorem, it suffices to prove that $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) = 0$ for each finitely generated R -module N , and $i \geq 1$. This we achieve by an induction on $\dim(N)$.

When $\dim(N) = 0$, let's induce on the length of N . If $\ell_R(N) = 1$, then $N \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$, so the desired result is the mentioned in (**). When $\ell_R(N) \geq 2$, one can get an exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow N \rightarrow N' \rightarrow 0$. Applying $\bullet \otimes_R \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ yields an exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(N, \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(N', \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)).$$

Since $\ell_R(N') = \ell_R(N) - 1$, the induction hypothesis yields the vanishing.

Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer such that for $i \geq 1$ we have that the functor $\text{Tor}_i^R(\bullet, \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M))$ vanishes on finitely generated R -modules of dimension up to $d - 1$. Let N be a finitely generated R -module of dimension d . Consider the exact sequence of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(N) \rightarrow N \rightarrow N' \rightarrow 0,$$

and the induced exact sequence on $\text{Tor}_i^R(\bullet, \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M))$. Since $\ell_R(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(N))$ is finite, it suffices to verify the vanishing for N' . Thus, replacing N by N' , one may assume that $\text{depth}(N) \geq 1$. Let x in R be an N -regular element; then $\dim(N/(x)N) = \dim(N) - 1$. In view of the induction hypothesis, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \rightarrow N/(x)N \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(N, \mathbf{D}(\tilde{M})) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Tor}_i^R(N, \mathbf{D}(\tilde{M})) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(N/(x)N, \mathbf{D}(\tilde{M})) = 0$$

for $i \geq 1$, where $\tilde{M} = M/\mathfrak{a}^n M$. As an S -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M))$ is finitely generated: compute it using a resolution of N by finitely generated free R -modules. Since, by Definition, xS is in the maximal ideal of S , the exact sequence above implies $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, \mathbf{D}(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)) = 0$ by Nakayamas lemma, for all $i \geq 1$. This completes the induction step.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.P. Brodmann, R.Y. Sharp, Local cohomology - An algebraic introduction with geometric applications, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] N.T. Cuong, T.T. Nam, The I -adic completion and local homology for Artinian modules, Mathematical Proceedings Cambridge Philosophical Society 131 (2001), 61 - 72.

- [3] J.J. Rotman, An introduction to homological algebra, Academic Press, 1979.
- [4] P. Schenzel, On formal local cohomology and connectedness, Journal of Algebra 315 (2007), 894 - 923.
- [5] J.R. Strooker, Homological questions in local algebra, Cambridge University Press, 1990.