



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 4 (2014), No. 2, 169-183

ISSN: 1927-6303

## PROPERTY $P$ AND SOME FIXED POINT RESULTS ON A NEW $\varphi$ -WEAKLY CONTRACTIVE MAPPING

MAHMOUD BOUSSELSAL<sup>1,\*</sup> AND SIDI HAMIDOU JAH<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ecole Normale Supérieure, Dept. of Mathematics, B.P. 92 Vieux Kouba (16050), Algiers, Algeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science Qassim University,

P.O. Box 6640 Buraydah 51452, Saudi Arabia

Copyright © 2014 Boussealsal and Jah. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove some fixed point results for new weakly contractive maps in  $G$ -metric spaces. It is proved that these maps satisfy property  $P$ . The results obtained in this paper generalize several well known comparable results in the literature.

**Keywords:** fixed point; coincidence point;  $G$ -metric spaces; contraction.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10.

### 1. Introduction

The study of fixed points of nonlinear mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the center of rigorous research activity, see [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22]. The notion of  $D$ -metric space is a generalization of usual metric spaces and it is introduced by Dhage [1, 2]. Recently, Mustafa and Sims [25, 26, 27] have shown that most of the results concerning

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: [boussealsal55@gmail.com](mailto:boussealsal55@gmail.com)(M. Boussealsal), [jahsiidi@yahoo.fr](mailto:jahsiidi@yahoo.fr) (S.H. Jah)

Received August 10, 2013

Dhage's  $D$ -metric spaces are invalid. In [25, 28, 29, 30], they introduced a improved version of the generalized metric space structure which they called  $G$ -metric spaces. For more results on  $G$ -metric spaces and fixed point results, one can refer to the papers [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 18, 21, 23, 31, 32, 33], some of them have given some applications to matrix equations, ordinary differential equations, and integral equations.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [24] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set,  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$ ,
- (2)  $0 < G(x, x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,
- (3)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $y \neq z$ ,
- (4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$  (symmetry in all three variables),
- (5)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$  (rectangle inequality).

Then the function  $G$  is called a generalized metric, or, more specially, a  $G$ -metric on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.2.** [24] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, and let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of points of  $X$ . We say that  $(x_n)$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x; x_n, x_m) = 0$ , that is, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x; x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $n, m \geq N$ . We call  $x$  the limit of the sequence  $x_n$  and write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** [24] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(x_n)$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ ;
- (2)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (3)  $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (4)  $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [24] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. A sequence  $(x_n)$  is called a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n, l \geq N$ , that is  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** [24] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $G$ -Cauchy;
- (2) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $n, m \geq N$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** [26] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then for any  $x, y, z, a \in X$ , it follows that:

- (1)  $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3} [G(x, y, a) + G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)]$ ,
- (2)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** [24] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $x \in X$  if and only if it is  $G$ -sequentially continuous at  $x$ , that is, whenever  $(x_n)$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ ,  $f(x_n)$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** [24] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then the function  $G(x, y, z)$  is jointly continuous all three of its variables.

**Definition 2.9.** [24] A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is called  $G$ -complete if every  $G$ -Cauchy sequence is  $G$ -convergent in  $(X, G)$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [24]. Two mappings  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  are weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is  $ft = gt$  for some  $t \in X$  implies that  $fgt = gft$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [24] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $S, T$  self-mappings of  $X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a coincidence point of  $S$  and  $T$  if  $Sx = Tx$ . A point  $w \in X$  is said to be a point of coincidence of  $S$  and  $T$  if there exists  $x \in X$  so that  $w = Sx = Tx$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [24]. Suppose  $(X, \preceq)$  is a partially ordered set and  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  are mappings.  $f$  is said to be  $g$ -Non decreasing if for  $x, y \in X$ ,  $gx \preceq gy$  implies  $fx \preceq fy$ .

Khan *et al.* [34] introduced the concept of altering distance function that is a control function employed to alter the metric distance between two points enabling one to deal with relatively new classes of fixed point problems.

Let us denote by  $\Psi$  the class of the set of altering distance functions  $\psi : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\psi$  is nondecreasing,
- (2)  $\psi$  is continuous,
- (3)  $\psi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0$

and by  $\Phi$  the class of the set of continuous functions  $\varphi : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$  and nondecreasing.

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ - a complete metric space and  $T$  self-mapping of  $X$ . We say that  $T$  satisfies the property  $P$  if  $F(T) = F(T^n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $F(T)$  denotes the set of fixed point of  $T$ .

**Remark 2.14.** In general  $F(T) \neq F(T^n)$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Example 2.15.** We consider  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $Tx = 1 - x$ .  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ . Every point of  $X$  is a fixed point of  $T^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

**Example 2.16.**  $X = [0, \pi]$  and  $Tx = \cos x$ ,  $T$  has a unique fixed point and every iterate of  $T$  has the same fixed point as  $T$ .

Jeong and Rhoades [32] showed that maps satisfying many contractive conditions have property  $P$ . An interesting fact about map satisfying property  $P$  is that they have no non trivial periodic points; see [32, 34] and the references therein. In this paper, we will prove some general point theorem for a new weakly contractive maps in  $G$ -complete metric spaces.

### 3. Main Results

We start with the following remark.

**Remark 3.1.** If  $\psi \in \Psi$  and if  $\varphi \in \Phi$  with the condition  $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ , then  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\varphi(t) < \psi(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ , then we have

$$0 \leq \varphi(0) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t) = \psi(0) = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ - metric space and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n)$  is decreasing and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0. \quad (1)$$

*If  $(x_{2n})$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $(m_k)$  and  $(n_k)$  of positive integers such that the following four sequences tends to  $\varepsilon$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  :*

$$G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}), G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}}) \quad (2)$$

$$G(x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2n_k}), G(x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2n_{k+1}}).$$

**Proof.** If  $(x_{2n})$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $(m_k)$  and  $(n_k)$  of positive integers such that

$$n_k > m_k > k; G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k-2}) < \varepsilon, \quad G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) \geq \varepsilon$$

for all integer  $k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) \leq G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k-2}) \\ &\quad + G(x_{2n_k-2}, x_{2n_k-2}, x_{2n_k-1}) + G(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2n_k}) \\ &< \varepsilon + G(x_{2n_k-2}, x_{2n_k-2}, x_{2n_k-1}) + G(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1), we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) = \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

Further, we have

$$G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) \leq G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}}) + G(x_{2n_{k+1}}, x_{2n_{k+1}}, x_{2n_k})$$

and

$$G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}}) \leq G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) + G(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}}).$$

Passing to the limit when  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and using (1) and (3), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}}) = \varepsilon.$$

The remaining two sequences in (2) tend to  $\varepsilon$  can be proved in a similar way.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. If there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$  with the condition  $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ , such that

$$\psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), \\ G(z, fz, fz) \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, y) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, z) \\ \beta G(x, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(y, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\} \right) \quad (4)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), where  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . Then construct a sequence  $(x_n)$  by  $x_{n+1} = fx_n = f^n x_0$ . We may assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for each  $n \geq 0$ . Since, if there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n = x_{n+1}$ , then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . From (4), substituting  $x = x_{n-1}, y = z = x_n$  then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \quad (5) \\ & \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ \alpha G(x_n, x_n, x_n) + (1 - \alpha)G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ \beta G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + (1 - \beta)G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ \beta G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + (1 - \beta)G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M_n = \max \{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}$ . then, (5) gives

$$\psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \leq \varphi(M_n). \quad (6)$$

We have two cases, either  $M_n = G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})$  or  $M_n = G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)$ . Suppose that, for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M_n = G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})$ . Then we have

$$\psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \leq \varphi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})). \quad (7)$$

Therefore from the condition of the theorem, we have  $G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0$ . Hence  $x_n = x_{n+1}$ . which is a contradiction with the element of  $x_n$  are distinct.

Thus,  $M_n = G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)$ , and (6) becomes

$$\psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \leq \varphi(G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)). \quad (8)$$

By using the condition of the theorem, we get from (8)

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9)$$

Therefore,  $\{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a positive non increasing sequence. Hence there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = r. \quad (10)$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (8), (10) and the continuity of  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ , we get

$$\psi(r) \leq \varphi(r). \quad (11)$$

Hence, using the condition of the theorem, we have  $r = 0$ , which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Now we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Using Lemma, we know that there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $(m_k)$  and  $(n_k)$  of positive integers such that the following four sequences tend to  $\varepsilon$  as  $k$  goes to infinity:

$$\begin{aligned} &G(fx_{2m_k}, fx_{2m_k}, fx_{2n_k}), G(fx_{2m_k}, fx_{2m_k}, fx_{2n_{k+1}}) \\ &G(fx_{2m_{k-1}}, fx_{2m_{k-1}}, fx_{2n_k}), G(fx_{2m_{k-1}}, fx_{2m_{k-1}}, fx_{2n_{k+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Putting in the contractive condition  $x = y = x_{2m_k}$  and  $z = x_{2n_{k+1}}$ , using (4) and we proceed as before, it follows that

$$\psi(G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}})) \leq \varphi(G(x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2n_k})) \quad (13)$$

and so, by the condition of the Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2m_{k-1}}, x_{2n_k}) = 0.$$

Since  $\psi$  is a continuous mapping, using (13) letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon).$$

Then, the condition of the theorem implies that  $\varepsilon = 0$ , which contradicts  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore,  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$ . Since  $(X, G)$  is a complete metric space, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(fu, fu, x_n)) &= \psi(G(fu, fu, fx_{n-1})) & (14) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(u, u, x_{n-1}), G(u, u, fu), G(u, u, fu), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \\ \alpha G(fu, fu, u) + (1 - \alpha)G(fu, fu, x_{n-1}) \\ \beta G(u, fu, fu) + (1 - \beta)G(u, fu, fu) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(u, u, x_{n-1}), G(u, u, fu) \\ , G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \\ \alpha G(fu, fu, u) + (1 - \alpha)G(fu, fu, x_{n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the using the fact that  $\psi$ ,  $\varphi$  are continuous and  $G$  is continuous on its variables, we get that  $G(fu, fu, u) = 0$ . Hence  $fu = u$ . So  $u$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Now to show uniqueness, let  $v$  be another fixed point of  $f$  with  $v \neq u$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(u, u, v)) &= \psi(G(fu, fu, fv)) & (15) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(u, u, v), G(u, fu, fu), G(u, fu, fu), \\ G(v, fv, fv) \\ \alpha G(fu, fu, u) + (1 - \alpha)G(fu, fu, v) \\ \beta G(u, fu, fu) + (1 - \beta)G(v, fv, fv) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &= \varphi(\max \{G(u, u, v), (1 - \alpha)G(fu, fu, v)\}) \\ &= \varphi(G(u, u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\psi(G(u, u, v)) \leq \varphi(G(u, u, v)). \quad (16)$$

Therefore, by using the condition of the theorem, we get  $G(u, u, v)$  and  $u = v$ .



Now we are in a position to show that  $f$  is continuous at  $u$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow u$ . Using (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(fx_n, u, u)) &= \psi(G(fx_n, fu, fu)) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_n, u, fu), G(x_n, fx_n, fx_n), G(u, u, fu), \\ G(u, u, fu) \\ \alpha G(fx_n, fx_n, u) + (1 - \alpha)G(fu, fu, u) \\ \beta G(x_n, fx_n, fx_n) + (1 - \beta)G(u, fu, fu) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &= \varphi(\max \{G(x_n, u, u), \alpha G(fx_n, fx_n, u), \beta G(x_n, fx_n, fx_n)\}) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_n, u, u), \alpha G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, u), \\ \beta G(x_n, u, u) + \beta G(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Using the condition of the theorem and (17), we get

$$G(fx_n, u, u) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x_n, u, u), \alpha G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, u), \\ \beta G(x_n, u, u) + \beta G(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (18)$$

Therefore, we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fx_n, u, u) = 0$ . Using the continuity of  $G$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = f(u)$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and Let  $f$  be a map satisfying*

$$G(fx, fy, fz) \leq \lambda \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), \\ G(z, fz, fz) \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, y) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, z) \\ \beta G(x, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(y, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\} \right) \quad (19)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha, \beta, \lambda < 1$ , Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), where  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Proof.** We obtain the result by taking  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$ , in Theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space, Let  $f$  be a map satisfying*

$$G(fx, fy, fz) \leq \lambda \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), G(z, fz, fz) \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, y) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, z) \\ \beta G(x, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(y, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\} \right) \quad (20)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha, \beta, \lambda < 1$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), where  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Proof.** We obtain the result by taking  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\phi(t) = \lambda t$ ,  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$  in Theorem 3.3

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space. Let  $f$  be a map satisfying

$$\psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \psi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), \\ G(z, fz, fz) \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, y) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, z) \\ \beta G(x, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(y, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\} \right) \quad (21)$$

$$- \phi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), \\ G(z, fz, fz) \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, y) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, z) \\ \beta G(x, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(y, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  with  $\phi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), where  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Proof.** We obtain the result by taking  $\phi(t) = \psi(t) - \phi(t)$ , in Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.7.** Under the condition of theorem 3.3,  $f$  has the property  $P$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $f$  has a fixed point. Therefore  $F(f^n) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n > 1$ , assume that  $u \in F(f^n)$ . We claim that  $u \in F(f)$ . To prove the claim, suppose that  $u \neq fu$ . Using (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(u, fu, fu)) &= \psi(G(f^n u, f^{n+1} u, f^{n+1} u)) \quad (22) \\ &= \psi(G(f f^{n-1} u, f f^n u, f f^n u)) \\ &\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(f^{n-1} u, u, u), G(u, fu, fu) \\ \alpha G(u, u, u) + (1 - \alpha)G(fu, fu, u) \\ \beta G(f^{n-1} u, u, u) + (1 - \beta)G(u, fu, fu) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &= \phi(\max \{G(f^{n-1} u, u, u), G(u, fu, fu)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $M = \max \{G(f^{n-1} u, u, u), G(u, fu, fu)\}$ , we deduce from (22)

$$\psi(G(u, fu, fu)) \leq \phi(\max \{M\}). \quad (23)$$

If  $M = G(u, fu, fu)$ , then

$$\psi(G(u, fu, fu)) \leq \varphi(G(u, fu, fu)).$$

Then by using the condition of the theorem, we get  $G(u, fu, fu) = 0$ , therefore  $u = fu$ , which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if  $M = G(f^{n-1}u, u, u)$ , then (4) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(u, fu, fu)) &= \psi(G(f^n u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u)) \\ &\leq \varphi(G(f^{n-1}u, f^n u, f^n u)). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By using the condition of the theorem, we have

$$G(f^n u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) \leq G(f^{n-1}u, f^n u, f^n u).$$

Therefore,  $\{G(f^n u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a positive non increasing sequence. Hence there exists  $\gamma \geq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(f^n u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) = \gamma. \quad (25)$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (24), using (25) and the continuity of  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ , we get

$$\psi(\gamma) \leq \varphi(\gamma). \quad (26)$$

Hence, using the condition of the theorem, we have  $\gamma = 0$ , which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(f^n u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) = 0. \quad (27)$$

Thus  $G(u, fu, fu) = 0$ , and we have  $u = fu$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $u \in F(f)$  and  $f$  has the property  $P$ .

Let

$$M_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), \\ G(z, fz, fz) \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, y) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, z) \\ \beta G(x, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(y, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\}, \quad (28)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $G(x, y, z) = \max \{|x - y|, |y - z|, |x - z|\}$  be a  $G$ -metric space on  $X$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow X$  by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{8}x$ . We take  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{8}t$ , for  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$ . So that

$$\psi(M_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z)) = M_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z). \quad (29)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) &= \max \left\{ \frac{|x - y|}{8}, \frac{|y - z|}{8}, \frac{|x - z|}{8} \right\} = \frac{1}{8}G(x, y, z) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}M_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) \\ &\leq \varphi(M_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z)). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From theorem 3.3, we deduce that  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $u = 0$  and  $f$  satisfies the property  $P$ .

## 4. Applications

Denote by  $\Lambda$  the set of functions  $\chi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following hypotheses.

- (1)  $\chi$  is a Lebesgue integrable on each compact of  $[0, \infty)$ ,
- (2) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\int_0^\varepsilon \chi(s) ds > 0$ .

It is an easy matter to see that the mapping  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , defined by  $\psi(t) = \int_0^t \chi(s) ds$  is an altering distance function.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. If there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$  with the condition  $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ , such that

$$\int_0^{\psi(G(fx, fy, fz))} \chi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\varphi(M_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z))} \chi(t) dt$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), where  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84 (1992), 329-336.
- [2] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and topological structure I, Annalele Stintifice ale Universitatii Al.I. Cuza, 46 (2000), 3-24.
- [3] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, S. Radenovic, Some periodic point results in generalized metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2010), 4094-4099.
- [4] Z. Kadelburg, H.K. Nasine, S. Radenovic, Common coupled fixed point results in partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 4 (2012), 51-63.
- [5] W. Long, M. Abbas, T. Nazir, S. Radenovic, Common Fixed Point for Two Pairs of Mappings Satisfying (E.A) Property in Generalized Metric Spaces, Abst. Appl. Anal. 2012 (2012), Article ID 394830.
- [6] B.S. Choudhury, P. Maity, Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces, Math. Comput. Modelling 54 (2011), 73-79.
- [7] H. Aydi, B. Damjanovic, B. Samet, W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces, Math. Comput. Modelling 54 (2011), 2443-2450.
- [8] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, C. Vetro, On generalized weakly  $G$ -contraction mapping in  $G$ - metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011), 4222-4229.
- [9] H. Aydi, A fixed point result involving a generalized weakly contractive condition in  $G$ -metric spaces, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 3 (2011), 180-188.
- [10] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache, Coupled fixed point results for  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weakly contractive mappings in ordered  $G$ -metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 63 (2012), 298-309.
- [11] H. Aydi, A common fixed point of integral type contraction in generalized metric spaces, J. Adv. Math. Stud. 5 (2012), 111-117.
- [12] JJ. Nieto, R. Rodriguez-Lopez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. Order 22 (2005), 223-239.
- [13] Y.J. Cho, R. Saadati, S. Wang, Common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in ordered cone metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011), 1254-1260.
- [14] H. K. Nashine, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, J. K. Kim, Fixed point theorems under Hardy-Rogers contractive conditions on 0-complete ordered partial metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (1012), Article ID 180.
- [15] L. Gajic, Z.L. Crvenkovic, On mappings with contractive iterate at a point in generalized metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010) Article ID 458086.
- [16] M. Abbas, B.E. Rhoades, Common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings with-out continuity in generalized metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 215 (2009), 262-269.

- [17] M. Abbas, A.R. Khan, T. Nazir, Coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2011), 6328-6336.
- [18] M. E. Gordji, M. Ramezani, Y.J. Cho, C. S. Pirbavafa, A generalization of Geraghty's theorem in partially ordered metric space and application to ordinary differential equations, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012 (2012), Article ID74.
- [19] N.V. Luong, N.X. Thuan: Coupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 983-992.
- [20] R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, L.B. Ćirić, Generalized distance and some common fixed point theorems, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 12 (2010), 157-162.
- [21] R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 52 (2010), 797-801..
- [22] T.G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006), 1379-1393.
- [23] W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 40 (2011), 441-447.
- [24] W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying  $\Phi$ -maps in  $G$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2010 (2010), Article ID 181650.
- [25] Z. Mustafa, A new structure for generalized metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, Australia, (2005).
- [26] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 7 (2006), 289-297.
- [27] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, Some remarks concerning  $D$ -metric spaces, in: *Proc. Int. Conf. on Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Valencia, Spain, July 2003, pp. 189-198.
- [28] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete  $G$ - metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2009 (2009), Article ID 917175.
- [29] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete  $G$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2008 (2008), Article ID 189870.
- [30] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi, M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in  $G$ -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2009 (2009), Article ID 283028.
- [31] M. Boussealsal, Z. Mostefaoui,  $(\psi, \alpha, \beta)$ -weak contraction in partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces, *Thai J. Math.* in press.
- [32] G.S. Jeong, B.E. Rhoades, More maps for which  $F(T) = F(T^n)$ , *Demonstration Math.* 40 (2007), 671-680.
- [33] M. Khandaqgi, S. A. Sharif, M. Al khaleel, Property and some fixed point results on  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weakly contractive  $G$ -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2012 (2012), Article ID 675094.

- [34] G.S. Jeong, B.E. Rhoades, maps for which  $F(T) = F(T^n)$ , in Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol 6, pp.71-105, Nova science Publishers, New York, USA, 2007.