



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 5 (2015), No. 1, 45-55

ISSN: 1927-6303

CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR TOTAL ASYMPTOTICALLY QUASI-NONEXPANSIVE NONSELF MAPPINGS IN UNIFORMLY CONVEX METRIC SPACES

ANANTACHAI PADCHAROEN

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Rambhai Barni Rajabhut University, Chanthaburi 22000, Thailand

Copyright © 2015 Anantachai Padcharoen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we study and prove some fixed point theorems for fixed points of total asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive nonself mappings in uniformly convex metric spaces.

Keywords: total asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive nonself; uniformly convex metric spaces; fixed points.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.

1. Introduction

Takahashi [1] introduced the notion of convex metric spaces and studied the fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings. A convex structure in a metric space (X, d) is a mapping $W : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ satisfying, for all $x, y, u \in X$ and all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(u, x) + \lambda d(u, y). \quad (1.1)$$

A metric space with convex structure is called a convex metric space. Examples for convex metric are convex Banach space, $CAT(0)$ spaces and $CAT(1)$ spaces with small diameters (see

E-mail address: apadcharoen@yahoo.com

Received October 9, 2014

[7]). A subset C of convex Metric space X is said to be convex if $W(x, y, \lambda) \in C$ for all $x, y \in C$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

A uniformly convex metric space have various authors(see [3, 5, 6, 7, 8]) study fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings by using the Ishikawa iteration method (see e.g.,[7]).

Extend a convex structure as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a convex metric space with a convex structure and $I \in [0, 1]$, $W : X^3 \times I^3 \rightarrow X, T : X \rightarrow X$ be an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping of X $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\alpha'_n\}, \{\beta'_n\}, \{\gamma'_n\}$ be six sequences in $[0, 1]$ with $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1, \alpha'_n + \beta'_n + \gamma'_n = 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma'_n < \infty,$ for any given $x_0 \in X$ define a sequence x_n as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= W(Ty_n, x_n, u_n, \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n), \\ y_n &= W(Tx_n, x_n, u_n, \alpha'_n, \beta'_n, \gamma'_n), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ are two sequences in X satisfying the following conditions. For any nonnegative integers $n, m, 0 \leq n < m$ if $\delta(A_{n,m}) > 0,$ then

$$\max_{n \leq i, j \leq m} \{d(x, y) : x \in \{u_i, v_i\}, y \in \{x_j, y_j, u_j, v_j\}\} < \delta(A_{n,m}) \quad (1.3)$$

where $A_{n,m} = \{x_i, y_i, Tx_i, Ty_i, u_i, v_i : n \leq i \leq m\},$

$$\delta(A_{n,m}) = \sup_{x, y \in A_{n,m}} d(x, y), \quad (1.4)$$

then $\{x_n\}$ is called the Ishikawa type iterative sequence with errors of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping $T.$

Obviously, the Ishikawa iterative sequence is a special case of with $\gamma_n = 0, \gamma'_n = 0$ and $\{u_n\} = \{v_n\} = 0.$

Lemma 1.1. Let E be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete convex metric space $X, T : E \rightarrow E,$ an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping of E with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n < \infty$ and $F(T),$ nonempty. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 \in E \quad x_{n+1} &= W(T^n y_n, x_n, \alpha_n), \\ y_n &= W(T^n x_n, x_n, \alpha_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ satisfy that $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1$ then, (a) $d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq d(1 + k_n)^2 d(x_n, p)$, for all $p \in F(T)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, (b) there exists a constant $M > 0$, such that $d(x_{n+m}, p) \leq Md(x_n, p)$ for all $p \in F(T)$ and for all $n, m \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be a nonempty closed convex subset a complete convex metric space $X, T : E \rightarrow E$, an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping of E (T need not be continuous), and $F(T)$, nonempty. Suppose that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an Ishikawa type iterative sequence with errors defined by (1.4). Then, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a fixed point of T if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf d(x_n, F(T)) = 0$, where $d(y, X)$ denotes the distance of y to set X , i.e., $d(y, X) = \inf_{x \in X} d(y, x)$.*

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) = l$. A geodesic path from x to y is a isometry $c : [0, l] \rightarrow X$ such that $c(0) = x$ and $c(l) = y$. The image of a geodesic part is called a geodesic segment. A metric space X is a (uniquely) geodesic space, if every two point of X are joined by only one geodesic segment. We will use $[x, y]$ to denote a geodesic segment joining x and y . A subset C of a geodesic space is said to be convex if $[x, y] \in C$ for any $x, y \in C$.

Definition 2.1 *A geodesic metric space (X, d) is called uniformly convex if for any $r > 0$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ there exists $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that for all $a, x, y \in X$ with $d(x, a) < r, d(y, a) < r$ and $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon r$. It is the case that*

$$d(m, a) \leq (1 - \delta), \quad (2.1)$$

where m stands for any midpoint of any geodesic segment $[x, y]$. A mapping $\delta : (0, \infty) \times (0, 2] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ providing such $\delta = \delta(r, \varepsilon)$ for a given $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ is called a modulus of uniform convexity.

From Definition 2.1, it is clear that uniformly convex metric spaces are uniquely geodesic. The mapping δ is monotone (resp. lower semi-continuous from the right) if for every fixed ε it decreases (resp. is lower semi-continuous from the right) with respect to r (see [5]).

In this paper, we assume that all uniformly convex metric spaces have monotone or lower semi-continuous from the right modulus of uniform convexity.

Definition 2.2 A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called:

- (a) *Nonexpansive* if $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (b) *Quasi-nonexpansive* if $d(Tx, p) \leq d(x, p)$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $p \in F(T)$.
- (c) *Asymptotically nonexpansive* if there exists $k_n \in [0, 1)$ for all $n \geq 1$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0$ such that $d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq (1 + k_n) d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (d) *Asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive* if there exists $k_n \in [0, 1)$ for all $n \geq 1$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0$ such that $d(T^n x, p) \leq (1 + k_n) d(x, p)$ for all $x \in X$, for all $p \in F(T)$.
- (e) *Total Asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive* if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists nonnegative real sequence $\{k_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$, and strictly increasing and continuous functions $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\xi(0) = 0$ such that $d(T^n x, p) \leq d(x, p) + k_n d(x, p) + u_n$ all $x, y \in X, n \geq 1$ and for all $p \in F(T)$.

Remark 2.1 From Definition 2.2, the following implications are obvious:

- (a) *Nonexpansiveness implies Quasi-nonexpansiveness.*
 - (b) *Nonexpansiveness implies Asymptotically nonexpansiveness.*
 - (c) *Quasi-nonexpansiveness implies Asymptotically quasi-nonexpansiveness.*
 - (d) *Asymptotically nonexpansiveness implies Asymptotically quasi-nonexpansiveness.*
 - (e) *Asymptotically quasi-nonexpansiveness implies Total asymptotically quasi-nonexpansiveness.*
- The converses of these implications may not be true.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let C be a nonempty subset of X . Recall that C is said to be a retract of X if there exists a continuous map $P : X \rightarrow C$ such that $Px = x$, for all $x \in C$. A map $P : X \rightarrow C$ is said to be a retraction if $P^2 = P$. If P is a retraction, then $Py = y$ for all y in the range of P .

Definition 2.3. Let C be a bounded closed convex subset of a complete uniformly convex metric space X and P be the nonexpansive retraction of X onto C . Let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be said to be uniformly total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mapping if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exist nonnegative real sequence $\{k_n\}, \{u_n\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$ and a strictly

increasing continuous function $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ $\xi(0) = 0$ with such that all $x \in C$, $p \in F(T)$

$$d\left(p, T(PT)^{n-1}x\right) \leq d(p, x) + k_n \xi(d(p, x)) + u_n \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad (2.2)$$

where P is a nonexpansive retraction of X onto C .

Each quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mapping must be a total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mapping, but the converse is not true.

Lemma 2.1[6] *Let $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ be three nonnegative sequences satisfying*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 + b_n)a_n + c_n, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.3)$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$, then

(a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ exists,

(b) *If $\{a_n\}$ has a subsequence which converges strongly to zero, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.*

3. Main results

In this section, we start by proving the following important result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a bounded closed convex subset of a complete uniformly convex metric space X and P be the nonexpansive retraction of X onto C . Let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be uniformly total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mapping. Let $T_i : C \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, 2$, be uniformly total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mappings with sequences $\{k_n^{(i)}\}$ and $\{u_n^{(i)}\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n^{(i)} = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^{(i)} = 0$ and strictly increasing function $\xi^{(i)} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\xi^{(i)}(0) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. For arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= P\left(W\left(x_n, T_1(PT_1)^{n-1}y_n, \alpha_n\right)\right), \\ y_n &= P\left(W\left(x_n, T_2(PT_2)^{n-1}x_n, \beta_n\right)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

with $\{k_n^{(1)}\}$, $\{k_n^{(2)}\}$, $\{u_n^{(1)}\}$, $\{u_n^{(2)}\}$, $\xi^{(1)}$, $\xi^{(2)}$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(a) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n^{(i)} < \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^{(i)} < \infty$, $i = 1, 2$,

(b) there exist constants $a, b \in (0, 1)$ with $0 < b(1 - a) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ such that $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [a, b]$ and $\{\beta_n\} \subset [a, b]$

(c) there exists a constant $M^* > 0$ such that $\xi^{(i)}(r) \leq M^*r, r \geq 0, i = 1, 2$ and $F := F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) = \{x \in C : T_1x = T_2x = x\} \neq \emptyset$. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F)$ exists, $q \in F$.

Proof. Let $q \in F$. Set $k_n = \max\{k_n^{(1)}, k_n^{(2)}\}$ and $u_n = \max\{u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}\}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ and condition (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, q) &= d\left(P\left(W\left(x_n, T_1(PT_1)^{n-1}y_n, \alpha_n\right)\right), q\right) \\
&\leq d\left(W\left(x_n, T_1(PT_1)^{n-1}y_n, \alpha_n\right), q\right) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, q) + \alpha_n d\left(T_1(PT_1)^{n-1}y_n, q\right) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, q) + \alpha_n \left[d(y_n, q) + k_n \xi^{(1)}(d(y_n, q)) + u_n\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since $\xi^{(1)}$ is an strictly increasing function, we see that there exists a constant $M^* > 0$ such that $\xi^{(1)}(r) \leq M^*r, r \geq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, q) &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, q) + \alpha_n \left[d(y_n, q) + k_n \xi^{(1)}(d(y_n, q)) + u_n\right] \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, q) + \alpha_n [d(y_n, q) + k_n M^* d(y_n, q) + u_n] \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, q) + \alpha_n [(1 + k_n M^*)d(y_n, q) + u_n]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
d(y_n, q) &= d\left(P\left(W\left(x_n, T_2(PT_2)^{n-1}x_n, \beta_n\right)\right), q\right) \\
&\leq d\left(W\left(x_n, T_2(PT_2)^{n-1}x_n, \beta_n\right), q\right) \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, q) + \beta_n d\left(T_2(PT_2)^{n-1}x_n, q\right) \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, q) + \beta_n [d(x_n, q) + k_n \xi^{(2)}(d(x_n, q)) + u_n].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\xi^{(2)}$ is an strictly increasing function, we find that there exists a constant $M^* > 0$ such that $\xi^{(2)}(r) \leq M^*r, r \geq 0$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(y_n, q) &\leq (1 - \beta_n) d(x_n, q) + \beta_n [d(x_n, q) + k_n \xi^{(2)}(d(x_n, q)) + u_n] \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n) d(x_n, q) + \beta_n [d(x_n, q) + k_n M^* d(x_n, q) + u_n] \\
&\leq d(x_n, q) - \beta_n d(x_n, q) + \beta_n d(x_n, q) + \beta_n k_n M^* d(x_n, q) + \beta_n u_n \\
&\leq d(x_n, q) + \beta_n k_n M^* d(x_n, q) + \beta_n u_n \\
&\leq (1 + \beta_n k_n M^*) d(x_n, q) + \beta_n u_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Substituting (3.3) and (3.5) gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, q) &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) d(x_n, q) + \alpha_n [(1 + k_n M^*) ((1 + \beta_n k_n M^*) d(x_n, q) + \beta_n u_n) + u_n] \\
&= [1 - \alpha_n + \alpha_n (1 + k_n M^*) (1 + \beta_n k_n M^*)] d(x_n, q) + \alpha_n (1 + k_n M^*) \beta_n u_n + \alpha_n u_n \\
&= [1 - \alpha_n + \alpha_n + \alpha_n k_n M^* + \alpha_n \beta_n k_n M^* + (\alpha_n k_n M^*) (\beta_n k_n M^*)] d(x_n, q) \\
&\quad + \alpha_n (1 + k_n M^*) \beta_n u_n + \alpha_n u_n \\
&= [1 + (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n k_n M^*] d(x_n, q) + [(1 + k_n M^*) \beta_n + 1] \alpha_n u_n \\
&= [1 + (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n k_n M^*] d(x_n, q) + (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n u_n
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$d(x_{n+1}, F) \leq [1 + (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n k_n M^*] d(x_n, q) + (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n u_n. \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n < \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$, we find from Lemma 2.1 that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F)$ exists, $q \in F$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. *Let C be a bounded closed convex subset of a complete uniformly convex metric space X and P be the nonexpansive retraction of X onto C . Let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be uniformly total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mapping. Let $T_i : C \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, 2$, uniformly total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mappings with sequences $\{k_n^{(i)}\}$ and $\{u_n^{(i)}\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n^{(i)} = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^{(i)} = 0$ and strictly increasing function $\xi^{(i)} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with*

$\xi^{(i)}(0) = 0, i = 1, 2$. For arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= P \left(W \left(x_n, T_1 (PT_1)^{n-1} y_n, \alpha_n \right) \right), \\ y_n &= P \left(W \left(x_n, T_2 (PT_2)^{n-1} x_n, \beta_n \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

with $\{k_n^{(1)}\}, \{k_n^{(2)}\}, \{u_n^{(1)}\}, \{u_n^{(2)}\}, \xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)}, \{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(a) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n^{(i)} < \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^{(i)} < \infty, i = 1, 2,$

(b) there exist constants $a, b \in (0, 1)$ with $0 < b(1-a) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ such that $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [a, b]$ and $\{\beta_n\} \subset [a, b]$

(c) there exists a constant $M^* > 0$ such that $\xi^{(i)}(r) \leq M^*r, r \geq 0, i = 1, 2$ and $F := F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) = \{x \in C : T_1x = T_2x = x\} \neq \emptyset$. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $T_i, i = 1, 2$, if and only if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$, where $d(x_n, F) = \inf_{q \in F} d(x_n, q), n \geq 1$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q)$ exists. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) = v > 0$. From (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, q) &\leq [1 + (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n k_n M^*] d(x_n, q) + (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n u_n \\ &= d(x_n, q) + l_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $l_n = (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n k_n M^* d(x_n, q) + (1 + \beta_n + \beta_n k_n M^*) \alpha_n u_n$. Since $\{d(x_n, q)\}$ is bounded and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n < \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l_n < \infty$. Hence, (3.9) implies that

$$\inf_{q \in F} d(x_{n+1}, q) \leq \inf_{q \in F} d(x_n, q) + l_n, \quad (3.10)$$

that is

$$d(x_{n+1}, F) \leq d(x_n, F) + l_n. \quad (3.11)$$

It follows from lemma 2.1 and (3.10) that we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$. Next, we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. From (3.9), we see that for any $n \geq n_0$, any $n \geq n_1$ and any

$q_1 \in F$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+m}, q_1) &\leq d(x_{n+m-1}, q_1) + l_{n+m-1} \\
&\leq d(x_{n+m-2}, q_1) + l_{n+m-1} + l_{n+m-2} \\
&\leq d(x_{n+m-3}, q_1) + l_{n+m-1} + l_{n+m-2} + l_{n+m-3} \\
&\vdots \\
&\leq d(x_n, q_1) + \sum_{j=n}^{n+m-1} l_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+m}, x_n) &\leq d(x_{n+m}, q_1) + d(x_n, q_1) \\
&\leq 2d(x_n, q_1) + \sum_{j=n}^{n+m-1} l_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

By the arbitrariness of $q_1 \in F$ and from (3.13), we have that

$$d(x_{n+m}, x_n) \leq 2d(x_n, F) + \sum_{j=n}^{n+m-1} l_j, \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \tag{3.14}$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive number $n_1 \geq n_0$, such that for $n \geq n_1$, $d(x_n, F) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ and $\sum_{j=n}^{n+m-1} l_j < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, It follows from (3.13) that

$$d(x_{n+m}, x_n) \leq 2d(x_n, F) + \sum_{j=n}^{n+m-1} l_j < \frac{2\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \tag{3.15}$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+m}, x_n) = 0, m \geq 1.$$

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in C . Since C is a closed subset of X and it is complete, we have that there exists a $q \in C$ such that $x_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Next, we show that $q \in F$. Assume that $q \notin F$. Note that F is closed set, $d(q, F) > 0$. Thus for all $q \in F$, we have

$$d(q, q_1) \leq d(q, x_n) + d(x_n, q_1). \tag{3.16}$$

This implies that

$$d(q, F) \leq d(q, x_n) + d(x_n, F). \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.16) and (3.17), we have that $d(p, F) \leq 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence $q \in F$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.3. *Let C be a bounded closed convex subset of a complete uniformly convex metric space X and P be the nonexpansive retraction of X onto C . Let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be a uniformly total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mapping. Let $T_i : C \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, 2$, uniformly total quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive nonself mappings with sequences $\{k_n^{(i)}\}$ and $\{u_n^{(i)}\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n^{(i)} = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^{(i)} = 0$ and strictly increasing function $\xi^{(i)} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\xi^{(i)}(0) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. For arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= P \left(W \left(x_n, T_1 (PT_1)^{n-1} y_n, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \\ y_n &= P \left(W \left(x_n, T_2 (PT_2)^{n-1} x_n, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

with $\{k_n^{(1)}\}$, $\{k_n^{(2)}\}$, $\{u_n^{(1)}\}$, $\{u_n^{(2)}\}$, $\xi^{(1)}$, $\xi^{(2)}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(a) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n^{(i)} < \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^{(i)} < \infty$, $i = 1, 2$, (b) there exists a constant $M^* > 0$ such that $\xi^{(i)}(r) \leq M^* r$, $r \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$ and $F := F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) = \{x \in C : T_1 x = T_2 x = x\} \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of T_i , $i = 1, 2$.

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Takahashi, A convexity in metric space and nonexpansive mappings, *Koda Math. Sen. Rep.* 22 (1970), 142-149.
- [2] S.S. Chang, L. Yang, X.R. Wang, Stronger convergence theorems for an infinite family of uniformly quasi-Lipschitzian mappings in convex metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2010) 277-282.
- [3] W.A. Kirk, Krasnoselski's iteration process in hyperbolic space, *Number, Funct., Anal. Optim.* 4 (1982), 371-381.
- [4] A.R. Khan, M.A. Ahmed, Convergence of a general iterative scheme for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces and applications, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59 (2010), 2990-2995.
- [5] I. Beg, An iteration scheme for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings on uniformly convex metric space, *Nonlinear Anal. Forum* 6 (2001), 27-34.
- [6] L. Qihou, Iterative sequence for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with errors member, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 259 (2001) 18-24.

- [7] T. Suzuki, Several fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. Bull. Calcutta. Math. Soc. 60 (1968), 71-76.
- [8] M.D. Guay, K.L. Singh, J.H.M. Whitfield, Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces, Proceedings, Conference on Nonlinear Analysis (S.P.Singh and J.H. Barry, eds.), 80 Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1982, 179-189.
- [9] L. Wang, S.S. Chang, Z. Ma, Convergence theorems for total asymptotically nonexpansive non-self mappings in CAT(0) Spaces, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013 (2013), Article ID 135.