



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 5 (2015), No. 4, 448-466

ISSN: 1927-6303

## SOME COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN TWO QUASI-PARTIAL $b$ -METRIC SPACES WITH DIFFERENT COEFFICIENTS

ANURADHA GUPTA<sup>1</sup>, PRAGATI GAUTAM<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Delhi College of Arts and Commerce, University of Delhi, Delhi, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kamala Nehru College, August Kranti Marg, University of Delhi, Delhi, India

Copyright © 2015 Gupta and Gautam. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, some coupled common fixed-point theorems are proved for mappings defined on a set equipped with two quasi-partial  $b$ -metric spaces with different coefficients.

**Keywords:** Common coupled fixed point; Coupled coincidence point;  $w$ -compatible mappings; Quasi-partial metric space; Quasi-partial  $b$ -metric space.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of partial metric spaces was introduced by Matthews [14] in 1994 who then further extended the banach contraction principle from metric spaces to partial metric spaces. Since then several authors (for example, [2,3,4,9]) worked on this notion of partial metric spaces and obtained fixed point results for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions.

The concept of  $b$ -metric spaces was introduced by Bakhtin [5] which was further extended by Czerwick [8]. Later Shukla [16] generalized both the concept of  $b$ -metric and partial metric

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: dishna2@yahoo.in (A. Gupta), pragati.knc@gmail.com (P. Gautam)

Received July 8, 2015

spaces by introducing the partial  $b$ -metric spaces. Motivated by this we introduced the notion of Quasi-partial  $b$ -metric space [10] and proved fixed point theorem on it. Then we extended this study to coupled fixed point theorems on Quasi-partial  $b$ -metric spaces [11]. Earlier in 2012, Karapinar et al. [12] had introduced the concept of quasi-partial metric space which is defined as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** [12] A *quasi-partial metric* on nonempty set  $X$  is a function  $q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  which satisfies:

$$(QPM_1) \text{ If } q(x, x) = q(x, y) = q(y, y), \text{ then } x = y,$$

$$(QPM_2) \text{ } q(x, x) \leq q(x, y),$$

$$(QPM_3) \text{ } q(x, x) \leq q(y, x), \text{ and}$$

$$(QPM_4) \text{ } q(x, y) + q(z, z) \leq q(x, z) + q(z, y)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

A *quasi-partial metric space* is a pair  $(X, q)$  such that  $X$  is a nonempty set and  $q$  is a quasi-partial metric on  $X$ .

Let  $q$  be a quasi-partial metric on the set  $X$ . Then

$$d_q(x, y) = q(x, y) + q(y, x) - q(x, x) - q(y, y) \text{ is a metric on } X.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** [12] *Let  $(X, q)$  be a quasi-partial metric space. Let  $(X, p_q)$  be the corresponding partial metric space, and let  $(X, d_{p_q})$  be the corresponding metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (i)  $(X, q)$  is complete,
- (ii)  $(X, p_q)$  is complete,
- (iii)  $(X, d_{p_q})$  is complete.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{p_q}(x, x_n) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow p_q(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_q(x, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p_q(x_n, x_m) \\ &\Leftrightarrow q(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x_m) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q(x_m, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.3.** [16] A *partial b-metric* on a nonempty set  $X$  is a mapping  $p_b : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for some real number  $s \geq 1$  and for all  $x, y, z \in X$

- $(P_{b_1})$   $x = y$  if and only if  $p_b(x, x) = p_b(x, y) = p_b(y, y)$ ,
- $(P_{b_2})$   $p_b(x, x) \leq p_b(x, y)$ ,
- $(P_{b_3})$   $p_b(x, y) = p_b(y, x)$ ,
- $(P_{b_4})$   $p_b(x, y) \leq s[p_b(x, z) + p_b(z, y)] - p_b(z, z)$ .

A *partial b-metric space* is a pair  $(X, p_b)$  such that  $X$  is a nonempty set and  $p_b$  is a partial  $b$ -metric on  $X$ . The number  $s$  is called the coefficient of  $(X, p_b)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [6] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is a *coupled fixed point* of the mapping

$$F : X \times X \rightarrow X \text{ if } F(x, y) = x \text{ and } F(y, x) = y.$$

**Definition 1.5.** [13] An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called

- (i) a *coupled coincidence point* of the mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x, y) = gx$  and  $F(y, x) = gy$ ; in this case  $(gx, gy)$  is called *coupled point of coincidence* of mappings  $F$  and  $g$ ;
- (ii) a *common coupled fixed point* of mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x, y) = gx = x$  and  $F(y, x) = gy = y$ .

The concept of  $w$ -compatible mappings was introduced by Abbas et al. [1].

**Definition 1.6.** [1] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. The mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  are *w-compatible* if  $gF(x, y) = F(gx, gy)$  whenever  $gx = F(x, y)$  and  $gy = F(y, x)$ .

Shatanawi and Pitea [15] obtained some common coupled fixed point results for a pair of mappings in quasi-partial metric space. Later Gu and Wang [9] proved coupled fixed-point theorems in two quasi-partial metric spaces.

**Theorem 1.7 ([9], Theorem 2.1).** Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be two quasi partial metrics on  $X$  such that  $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ , and let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. Suppose that there exists  $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4$ , and  $k_5$  in  $[0, 1)$  with

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2k_4 + k_5 < 1 \tag{1}$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q_1(F(x,y), F(u,v)) + q_1(F(y,x), F(v,u)) \\
 & \leq k_1[q_2(gx, gu) + q_2(gy, gv)] + k_2[q_2(gx, F(x,y)) + q_2(gy, F(y,x))] \\
 & \quad + k_3[q_2(gu, F(u,v)) + q_2(gv, F(v,u))] + k_4[q_2(gx, F(u,v)) + q_2(gy, F(v,u))] \\
 & \quad + k_5[q_2(gu, F(x,y)) + q_2(gv, F(y,x))]
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

holds for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ .
- (ii)  $g(X)$  is complete subspace of  $X$  with respect to the quasi-partial metric  $q_1$ .

Then the mapping  $F$  and  $g$  have a coupled coincidence point  $(x, y)$  satisfying  $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$ . Moreover, if  $F$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -compatible, then  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form  $(u, u)$ .

The aim of this paper is to prove some coupled common fixed-point theorems on quasi-partial  $b$ -metrics spaces for mappings defined on a set equipped with two quasi-partial  $b$ -metrics with different coefficients  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  respectively such that  $s_2 > s_1$ .

## 2. Quasi-partial $b$ -metric spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A quasi-partial  $b$ -metric on a nonempty set  $X$  is a mapping  $qp_b : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for some real number  $s \geq 1$  and for all  $x, y, z \in X$

- (QP<sub>b1</sub>)  $qp_b(x, x) = qp_b(x, y) = qp_b(y, y) \Rightarrow x = y$ ,
- (QP<sub>b2</sub>)  $qp_b(x, x) \leq qp_b(x, y)$ ,
- (QP<sub>b3</sub>)  $qp_b(x, x) \leq qp_b(y, x)$ ,
- (QP<sub>b4</sub>)  $qp_b(x, y) \leq s[qp_b(x, z) + qp_b(z, y)] - qp_b(z, z)$ .

A quasi-partial  $b$ -metric space is a pair  $(X, qp_b)$  such that  $X$  is a nonempty set and  $qp_b$  is a quasi-partial  $b$ -metric on  $X$ . The number  $s$  is called the coefficient of  $(X, qp_b)$ .

Let  $qp_b$  be a quasi-partial  $b$ -metric on the set  $X$ . Then

$$d_{qp_b}(x, y) = qp_b(x, y) + qp_b(y, x) - qp_b(x, x) - qp_b(y, y)$$

is a  $b$ -metric on  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Every Partial  $b$ -metric space is a quasi-partial  $b$ -metric space. But the converse need not be true.*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $(X, qp_b)$  be a quasi-partial  $b$ -metric space. Then the following hold*

- (A) *If  $qp_b(x, y) = 0$  then  $x = y$ ,*  
 (B) *If  $x \neq y$ , then  $qp_b(x, y) > 0$  and  $qp_b(y, x) > 0$ .*

Proof is similar as for the case of quasi-partial metric space (Refer [12]).

**Definition 2.4.** *Let  $(X, qp_b)$  be a quasi-partial  $b$ -metric space. Then*

- (i) *a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  Converges to  $x \in X$  if and only if*

$$qp_b(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x).$$

- (ii) *a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if*

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_m, x_n) \quad \text{exist (and are finite).}$$

- (iii) *the quasi partial  $b$ -metric space  $(X, qp_b)$  is said to be Complete if every cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  converges with respect to  $\tau_{qp_b}$  to a point  $x \in X$  such that*

$$qp_b(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_m, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x_m).$$

- (iv) *a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be Continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(B(x_0, \delta)) \subset B(f(x_0), \varepsilon)$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(X, qp_b)$  be a quasi-partial  $b$ -metric space and  $(X, d_{qp_b})$  be the corresponding  $b$ -metric space. Then  $(X, d_{qp_b})$  is complete if  $(X, qp_b)$  is complete.*

**Proof.** Since  $(X, qp_b)$  is complete, every cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges, with respect to  $\tau_{qp_b}$  to a point  $x \in X$  such that

$$qp_b(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_m, x_n). \quad (3)$$

Consider a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, d_{qp_b})$ . We will show that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $(X, qp_b)$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $(X, d_{qp_b})$ , therefore  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_{qp_b}(x_n, x_m)$  exists and is finite.

Also,

$$d_{qp_b}(x_n, x_m) = qp_b(x_n, x_m) + qp_b(x_m, x_n) - qp_b(x_n, x_n) - qp_b(x_m, x_m).$$

Clearly,  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x_m)$  and  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_m, x_n)$  exists and are finite. Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $(X, qp_b)$ . Now, since  $(X, qp_b)$  is complete, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges with respect to  $\tau_{qp_b}$  to a point  $x \in X$  such that (3) holds. For  $\{x_n\}$  to be convergent in  $(X, d_{qp_b})$  we will show that

$$d_{qp_b}(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{qp_b}(x, x_n).$$

It follows from definition of  $d_{qp_b}$  that  $d_{qp_b}(x, x) = 0$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{qp_b}(x, x_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x, x_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x_n, x_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_b(x, x) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by (3) and definition of convergence in } (X, qp_b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $d_{qp_b}(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{qp_b}(x, x_n)$ .

### 3. The main results

Now, we shall prove our main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $qp_{b_1}$  and  $qp_{b_2}$  be two quasi-partial b-metrics on  $X$  with different coefficients  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  respectively such that  $s_2 > s_1$  and  $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. Suppose that there exist  $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4$ , and  $k_5$  in  $[0, 1)$  with*

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2s_2k_4 + k_5 < \frac{1}{s_1} \tag{4}$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} &qp_{b_1}(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\ &\leq k_1[qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv)] + k_2[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x))] \\ &\quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v)) + qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, u))] + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, u))] \\ &\quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, x))] \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

holds for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i)  $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$

(ii)  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$  with respect to the quasi-partial  $b$ -metric  $qp_{b_1}$ .

Then the mappings  $F$  and  $g$  have a coupled coincidence point  $(x, y)$  satisfying  $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$ . Moreover, if  $F$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -compatible, then  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form  $(u, u)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0, y_0 \in X$ . Since  $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$ , we can choose  $x_1, y_1 \in X$  such that  $gx_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $gy_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$ . Similarly, we can choose  $x_2, y_2 \in X$  such that  $gx_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$  and  $gy_2 = F(y_1, x_1)$ .

Continuing in this way we can construct two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

It follows from (5),  $(QP_{b_4})$  and  $(QP_{b_2})$  that,

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \\ &= qp_{b_1}(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_n, x_n)) \\ &\leq k_1[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] \\ &\quad + k_2[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))] \\ &\quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, F(y_n, x_n))] \\ &\quad + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, F(x_n, y_n)) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, F(y_n, x_n))] \\ &\quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))] \\ &= (k_1 + k_2)[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] \\ &\quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_n)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (k_1 + k_2)[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] \\
&\quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + k_4[s_2\{qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1})\} - qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_n)] \\
&\quad + s_2\{qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})\} - qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_n)] \\
&\quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
&\leq (k_1 + k_2 + s_2k_4)[qp_{b_2}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_2}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] \\
&\quad + (k_3 + s_2k_4 + k_5)[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
&\leq (k_1 + k_2 + s_2k_4)[qp_{b_1}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] \\
&\quad + (k_3 + s_2k_4 + k_5)[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})],
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \\
&\leq \frac{k_1 + k_2 + s_2k_4}{1 - k_3 - s_2k_4 - k_5} [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)].
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Put  $k = \frac{k_1 + k_2 + s_2k_4}{1 - k_3 - s_2k_4 - k_5}$ . Obviously,  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{s_1} < 1$ . By repetition of the above inequality (7)  $n$  times we get

$$qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \leq k^n [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1)]. \tag{8}$$

Next, we shall prove that  $\{gx_n\}$  and  $\{gy_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $g(X)$ . In fact, for each  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > n$ , from  $(QP_{b_4})$  and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} s_1^{m-i} [qp_{b_1}(gx_i, gx_{i+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_i, gy_{i+1})] \\
&\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} s_1^{m-i} \cdot k^i [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1)] \\
&= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \left(\frac{k}{s_1}\right)^i s_1^m [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1)]
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{s_1}\right)^i s_1^m [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1)] \\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{k}{s_1}\right)^n}{\left(1 - \frac{k}{s_1}\right)} \cdot s_1^m [qp_{b_1}(gx_0, gx_1) + qp_{b_1}(gy_0, gy_1)].
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (9); holding  $m$  fixed, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m)] \leq 0.$$

But

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m)] \geq 0.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m)] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m)] = 0.$$

Now letting  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ , one has

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) = 0. \tag{10}$$

By similar arguments as above, we can show that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_m, gx_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_m, gy_n) = 0. \tag{11}$$

So,  $\{gx_n\}$  and  $\{gy_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $(g(X), qp_{b_1})$ . Since  $(g(X), qp_{b_1})$  is complete, there exist  $gx, gy \in g(X)$  such that  $\{gx_n\}$  and  $\{gy_n\}$  converges to  $gx$  and  $gy$  with respect to  $\tau_{qp_{b_1}}$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx) \\
&= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_m, gx_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy) \\
&= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_m, gy_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Combining (10)-(13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx) \\
&= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_m, gx_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_m) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_m, gy_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_m) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

By  $(QP_{b_4})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) &\leq s_1 \{qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y))\} - qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) \\ &\leq s_1 \{qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y))\} \\ &\leq s_1 [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx) + s_1 \{qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y))\} - qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})] \\ &\leq s_1 [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, gx)] + s_1^2 [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + s_1^2 [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y))]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequalities and using (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s_1} qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) \\ &\leq s_1 qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Similarly using (15), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s_1} qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x)) \\ &\leq s_1 qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x)). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now, we prove that  $F(x, y) = gx$  and  $F(y, x) = gy$ . Infact, it follows from (5) and (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} &qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x)) \\ &= qp_{b_1}(F(x_n, y_n), F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y_n, x_n), F(y, x)) \\ &\leq k_1 [qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy)] + k_2 [qp_{b_2}(gx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, F(y_n, x_n))] \\ &\quad + k_3 [qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x))] + k_4 [qp_{b_2}(gx_n, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, F(y, x))] \\ &\quad + k_5 [qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x_n, y_n)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y_n, x_n))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= k_1[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy)] + k_2[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + k_3[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x))] + k_4[qp_{b_2}(gx_n, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy_n, F(y, x))] \\
&\quad + k_5[qp_{b_2}(gx, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy_{n+1})] \\
&\leq k_1[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy)] + k_2[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] + k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, F(y, x))] \\
&\quad + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy_{n+1})].
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, using (14)-(17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x))] \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ [k_1(qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy)) + k_2[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
&\quad + k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] + k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, F(y, x))] \\
&\quad + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx_{n+1}) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy_{n+1})] \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x))] \\
&\leq k_1[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy)] + k_2[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy)] \\
&\quad + k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, F(y, x))] \\
&\quad + k_5[qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy)] \\
&= k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_4[qp_{b_1}(gx_n, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_n, F(y, x))].
\end{aligned}$$

By using (14)-(17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [qp_{b_1}(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x))] \\
&\leq k_3[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] + k_4 \cdot s_1[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] \\
&= (k_3 + s_1 k_4)[qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))].
\end{aligned}$$

And also

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s_1} [qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] \\ & \leq (k_3 + s_1k_4) [qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] \tag{18} \\ \Rightarrow & \left[ \frac{1}{s_1} - k_3 - s_1k_4 \right] [qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x))] \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also  $k_3 + s_1k_4 < k_3 + s_2k_4$  since  $s_2 > s_1$ . Further it follows from (4) that  $k_3 + s_2k_4 < \frac{1}{s_1}$ . Hence  $k_3 + s_1k_4 < \frac{1}{s_1}$ . Thus it follows from (18) that

$$qp_{b_1}(gx, F(x, y)) = qp_{b_1}(gy, F(y, x)) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get  $F(x, y) = gx$  and  $F(y, x) = gy$ . Hence,  $(gx, gy)$  is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings  $F$  and  $g$ .

Next, we will show that the coupled point of coincidence is unique. Suppose that  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  with  $F(x^*, y^*) = gx^*$  and  $F(y^*, x^*) = gy^*$ . Using (5), (14), (15), and  $(QP_{b_3})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy^*) \\ & = qp_{b_1}(F(x, y), F(x^*, y^*)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, x), F(y^*, x^*)) \\ & \leq k_1 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy^*)] + k_2 [qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x))] \\ & \quad + k_3 [qp_{b_2}(gx^*, F(x^*, y^*)) + qp_{b_2}(gy^*, F(y^*, x^*))] + k_4 [qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x^*, y^*)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y^*, x^*))] \\ & \quad + k_5 [qp_{b_2}(gx^*, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy^*, F(y, x))] \\ & = k_1 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy^*)] + k_2 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy)] \\ & \quad + k_3 [qp_{b_2}(gx^*, gx^*) + qp_{b_2}(gy^*, gy^*)] + k_4 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy^*)] \\ & \quad + k_5 [qp_{b_2}(gx^*, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy^*, gy)] \\ & \leq (k_1 + k_4) [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy^*)] + k_2 [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy)] \\ & \quad + k_3 [qp_{b_1}(gx^*, gx^*) + qp_{b_1}(gy^*, gy^*)] + k_5 [qp_{b_1}(gx^*, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy^*, gy)] \\ & \leq (k_1 + k_3 + k_4) [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy^*)] + k_5 [qp_{b_1}(gx^*, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy^*, gy)]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$qp_{b_1}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy^*) \leq \frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} [qp_{b_1}(gx^*, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy^*, gy)]. \quad (19)$$

Similarly, we have

$$qp_{b_1}(gx^*, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy^*, gy) \leq \frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy^*)]. \quad (20)$$

Substituting (20) into (19), we obtain

$$qp_{b_1}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy^*) \leq \left( \frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} \right)^2 [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx^*) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy^*)]. \quad (21)$$

Since  $\frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} < 1$ , from (21), we must have

$$qp_{b_1}(gx, gx^*) = qp_{b_1}(gy, gy^*) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get  $gx = gx^*$  and  $gy = gy^*$ , which implies the uniqueness of the coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $g$ , that is,  $(gx, gy)$ .

Next, we will show that  $gx = gy$ . Infact, from (5), (14) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gx) \\ &= qp_{b_1}(F(x, y), F(y, x)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, x), F(x, y)) \\ &\leq k_1 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gx)] + k_2 [qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x))] \\ &\quad + k_3 [qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y))] + k_4 [qp_{b_2}(gx, F(y, x)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(x, y))] \\ &\quad + k_5 [qp_{b_2}(gy, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gx, F(y, x))] \\ &= k_1 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gx)] + k_2 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gy)] \\ &\quad + k_3 [qp_{b_2}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gx, gx)] + k_4 [qp_{b_2}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gx)] \\ &\quad + k_5 [qp_{b_2}(gy, gx) + qp_{b_2}(gx, gy)] \\ &\leq k_1 [qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gx)] + k_2 [qp_{b_1}(gx, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gy)] \\ &\quad + k_3 [qp_{b_1}(gy, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gx, gx)] + k_4 [qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gx)] \\ &\quad + k_5 [qp_{b_1}(gy, gx) + qp_{b_1}(gx, gy)] \\ &= (k_1 + k_4 + k_5) [qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) + qp_{b_1}(gy, gx)]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Since  $k_1 + k_4 + k_5 < 1$  from (22) we have

$$qp_{b_1}(gx, gy) = qp_{b_1}(gy, gx) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get  $gx = gy$ .

Finally, assume that  $g$  and  $F$  are  $w$ -compatible. Let  $u = gx$ , then we have  $u = gx = F(x, y) = gy = F(y, x)$ , so that

$$gu = ggx = g(F(x, y)) = F(gx, gy) = F(u, u). \quad (23)$$

Consequently,  $(u, u)$  is a coupled coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ , and therefore  $(gu, gu)$  is a coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $g$ , and by its uniqueness, we get  $gu = gx$ . Thus, we obtain  $F(u, u) = gu = u$ . Therefore,  $(u, u)$  is the unique common coupled fixed point of  $F$  and  $g$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $qp_{b_1}$  and  $qp_{b_2}$  be two quasi-partial  $b$ -metrics on  $X$  with different coefficients  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  respectively such that  $s_2 > s_1$  and  $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. Suppose that there exist  $a_i \in [0, 1)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10$ ) with*

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + 2s_2(a_7 + a_8) + a_9 + a_{10} < \frac{1}{s_1}$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \\ & \leq a_1 qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + a_2 qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + a_3 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) \\ & \quad + a_4 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x)) + a_5 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v)) + a_6 qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, u)) \\ & \quad + a_7 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v)) + a_8 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, u)) + a_9 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y)) \\ & \quad + a_{10} qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, x)) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

holds for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Also suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$
- (ii)  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$  with respect to the quasi-partial  $b$ -metric  $qp_{b_1}$ .

Then the mappings  $F$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point  $(x, y)$  satisfying  $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$ . Moreover, if  $F$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -compatible, then  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form  $(u, u)$ .

**Proof.** Given  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , it follows from (24) that

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \\ & \leq a_1 qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + a_2 qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + a_3 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) \\ & \quad + a_4 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x)) + a_5 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v)) + a_6 qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, u)) \\ & \quad + a_7 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v)) + a_8 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, u)) + a_9 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y)) \\ & \quad + a_{10} qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, x)) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\ & \leq a_1 qp_{b_2}(gy, gv) + a_2 qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + a_3 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x)) \\ & \quad + a_4 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) + a_5 qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, u)) + a_6 qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v)) \\ & \quad + a_7 qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, u)) + a_8 qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v)) + a_9 qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, x)) \\ & \quad + a_{10} qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y)). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Adding inequality (25) to inequality (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & qp_{b_1}(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\ & \leq (a_1 + a_2)[qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv)] + (a_3 + a_4)[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(y, x))] \\ & \quad + (a_5 + a_6)[qp_{b_2}(gu, F(u, v)) + qp_{b_2}(gv, F(v, u))] \\ & \quad + (a_7 + a_8)[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u, v)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v, u))] \\ & \quad + (a_9 + a_{10})[qp_{b_2}(gu, F(x, y)) + qp_{b_2}(gv, F(y, x))]. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Therefore, letting  $a_1 + a_2 = k_1$ ,  $a_3 + a_4 = k_2$ ,  $a_5 + a_6 = k_3$ ,  $a_7 + a_8 = k_4$ ,  $a_9 + a_{10} = k_5$ , the result follows from Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $qp_{b_1}$  and  $qp_{b_2}$  be two quasi-partial  $b$ -metrics on  $X$  with different coefficients  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  respectively such that  $s_2 > s_1$  and  $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let

$F : X \times X \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. Suppose that there exists  $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s_1}\right)$  such that the condition

$$qp_{b_1}(F(x,y), F(u,v)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y,x), F(v,u)) \leq k[qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv)]$$

holds for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$
- (ii)  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$  with respect to the quasi-partial  $b$ -metric  $qp_{b_1}$ .

Then the mappings  $F$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point  $(x, y)$  satisfying  $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$ . Moreover, if  $F$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -compatible, then  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form  $(u, u)$ .

**Proof.** By putting  $k_1 = k$  and  $k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_5 = 0$  in Theorem 3.1 we get the result.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $qp_{b_1}$  and  $qp_{b_2}$  be two quasi-partial  $b$ -metrics on  $X$  with different coefficients  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  respectively such that  $s_2 > s_1$  and  $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. Suppose that there exists  $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2s_1s_2}\right)$  such that the condition

$$qp_{b_1}(F(x,y), F(u,v)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y,x), F(v,u)) \leq k[qp_{b_2}(gx, F(u,v)) + qp_{b_2}(gy, F(v,u))]$$

holds for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$
- (ii)  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$  with respect to the quasi-partial  $b$ -metric  $qp_{b_1}$ .

Then the mappings  $F$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point  $(x, y)$  satisfying  $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$ . Moreover, if  $F$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -compatible, then  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form  $(u, u)$ .

**Proof.** By putting  $k_4 = k$  and  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_5 = 0$  in Theorem 3.1 we get the result.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and two quasi-partial  $b$ -metrics  $qp_{b_1}$  and  $qp_{b_2}$  on  $X$  be given as

$$qp_{b_1}(x, y) = |x - y| + x \quad \text{and} \quad qp_{b_2}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(|x - y| + x)$$



for all  $x, y \in X$  with different coefficients  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  respectively. Also, define  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  as  $F(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{32}$  and  $g(x) = \frac{x}{4}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then

- (i)  $(X, qp_{b_1})$  is a complete quasi-partial  $b$ -metric space.
- (ii)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$
- (iii)  $F$  and  $g$  is  $w$ -compatible.
- (iv) For any  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , we have

$$qp_{b_1}(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv))$$

**Proof.** Here  $qp_{b_1}$  and  $qp_{b_2}$  are quasi-partial  $b$ -metrics with coefficients  $s_1 = 1$  and  $s_2 = 2$ , respectively. Also  $qp_{b_2}(x, y) \leq qp_{b_1}(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . To prove (i) we proceed by observing that  $qp_{b_1}(x, y) = |x - y| + x$  is a quasi-partial  $b$ -metric with  $s = 1$ . Hence a quasi-partial metric.

By Lemma 1.2,  $(g(X), qp_{b_1})$  is complete if and only if  $(g(X), p_{qp_{b_1}})$  is complete if and only if  $(g(X), d_{p_{qp_{b_1}}})$  is complete. Here

$$p_{qp_{b_1}}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}[qp_{b_1}(x, y) + qp_{b_1}(y, x)] = |x - y| + \frac{x + y}{2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{p_{qp_{b_1}}}(x, y) &= 2p_{qp_{b_1}}(x, y) - p_{qp_{b_1}}(x, x) - p_{qp_{b_1}}(y, y) \\ &= 2|x - y| + x + y - x - y \\ &= 2|x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $(g(X), d_{p_{qp_{b_1}}})$  is a complete metric space being a compact space.

The proof of (ii) and (iii) are clear.

Next, we prove (iv). In fact, for  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &qp_{b_1}(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + qp_{b_1}(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\ &= qp_{b_1}\left(\frac{x+y}{16}, \frac{u+v}{16}\right) + qp_{b_1}\left(\frac{y+x}{16}, \frac{v+u}{16}\right) \\ &= \left|\frac{x+y}{16} - \frac{u+v}{16}\right| + \frac{x+y}{16} + \left|\frac{y+x}{16} - \frac{v+u}{16}\right| + \frac{y+x}{16} \\ &= \frac{1}{16}[2|(x+y) - (u+v)| + 2(x+y)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{8} [|x - u| + |y - v| + (x + y)] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |x - u| + \frac{1}{2} |y - v| + \frac{x}{4} + \frac{y}{4} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( qp_{b_2} \left( \frac{x}{2}, \frac{u}{2} \right) + qp_{b_2} \left( \frac{y}{2}, \frac{v}{2} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (qp_{b_2}(gx, gu) + qp_{b_2}(gy, gv)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $F$  and  $g$  satisfy all the hypotheses of Corollary 3.4. So,  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique common coupled fixed point. Here  $(0, 0)$  is the unique common coupled fixed point of  $F$  and  $g$ .

### Competing Interests

The authors declare that there is no competing interests.

### References

- [1] M. Abbas, M.A. Khan, S. Radenović, Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric space for  $w$ -compatible mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2010), 195-202.
- [2] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar, K. Tas, A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 63 (2012), 716-719.
- [3] I. Altun, Ö. Acar, Fixed point theorems for weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces, *Topo. Appl.* 159 (2012), 2642-2648.
- [4] H. Aydi, Some fixed point results in ordered partial metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 4 (2011), 1-12.
- [5] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces. *It. Funct. Anal.* 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [6] T.G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006), 1379-1393.
- [7] B.S. Chaudhary, P. Maity, Coupled fixed point results in generalized partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Model.* 54 (2011), 73-79.
- [8] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [9] F. Gu, L. Wang, Some coupled fixed-point theorems in two quasi-partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Appl.* doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2014-19.
- [10] A. Gupta, P. Gautam, Quasi-Partial  $b$ -metric spaces and some related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015: doi: 10.1186/13663-015-0260-2.
- [11] A. Gupta, P. Gautam, Some coupled fixed point theorems on quasi-partial  $b$ -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 9 (2015), 293-306.

- [12] E. Karapinar, I. Erhan, A. Öztürk, Fixed point theorems on quasi-partial metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Model.* 57 (2013), 2442-2448.
- [13] V. Lakshmikantham, L. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009), 4341-4349.
- [14] S.G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, *General Topology and its Applications*, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [15] W. Shatanawi, A. Pitea, Some coupled fixed point theorems in quasi-partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2013-153.
- [16] Satish Shukla, Partial  $b$ -metric spaces and fixed point theorems, *Mediterranean J. Math.* 11 (2014), 703-711.