



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 5 (2015), No. 4, 420-432

ISSN: 1927-6303

NEW UNIQUE COMMON FIXED POINTS FOR AN INFINITE FAMILY OF MAPPINGS WITH ϕ -CONTRACTIVE OR ψ - ϕ -CONTRACTIVE CONDITIONS ON 2-METRIC SPACES

YONG-JIE PIAO

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Yanbian University, Yanji 133002, China

Copyright © 2015 Yong-Jie Piao. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, Some new unique common fixed point results for an infinite family of self-mappings satisfying ϕ -contractive condition or ψ - ϕ -contractive condition on complete 2-metric spaces are obtained, in which the mappings satisfy some contractive condition determined by semi-continuous functions, but do not satisfy continuity and commutation. The main results generalize and improve many well-known and corresponding conclusions.

Keywords: 2-metric space; Common fixed point; ϕ -contractive condition; ψ - ϕ -contractive condition; Altering distance function.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H05, 47H10.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

There have appeared many common fixed point theorems of mappings with some contractive conditions on 2-metric spaces. But most of them held under subsidiary conditions [1-2], for examples; commutativity of mappings or uniform boundness of mappings at some point, and so on. In [3-9], the author obtained some generalized results for infinite or finite family of

mappings satisfying generalized linear or non-linear contractive or quasi-contractive conditions and expansive conditions under removing the above subsidiary conditions.

In this paper, using real continuous functions, we establish contractive conditions of an infinite family of self-mappings on 2-metric spaces, and discuss the existence problems of common fixed points for the given mappings and obtain unique common fixed point theorems.

Definition 1.1. [2-5] A 2-metric space (X, d) consists of a nonempty set X and a function $d : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

- (i) for distant elements $x, y \in X$, there exists an $u \in X$ such that $d(x, y, u) \neq 0$;
- (ii) $d(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if at least two elements in $\{x, y, z\}$ are equal;
- (iii) $d(x, y, z) = d(u, v, w)$, where $\{u, v, w\}$ is any permutation of $\{x, y, z\}$;
- (iv) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, u) + d(x, u, z) + d(u, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, u \in X$.

Definition 1.2. [2-5] A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be Cauchy, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x_m, a) < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in X$ and $n, m > N$.

Definition 1.3. [2-5] A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be convergent to $x \in X$, if for each $a \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x, a) = 0$. And we write that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and call x the limit of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 1.4. [2-5] A 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be complete, if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Lemma 1.5. [10] Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in 2-metric space (X, d) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}, a) = 0$ for all $a \in X$. If $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exist $a \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m(i), n(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m(i), n(i) > i$ such that

- (i) $m(i) > n(i)$ and $n(i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) $d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, a) > \varepsilon$, but $d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a) \leq \varepsilon$.

Lemma 1.6. [6-8] If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-metric space (X, d) converges to $x \in X$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, b, c) = d(x, b, c), \forall b, c \in X$.

2. Common fixed point theorems

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space, $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family of self mappings on X . Suppose that for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j$ and $x, y, a \in X$,

$$d(f_i x, f_j y, a) \leq \phi(\max\{d(x, y, a), d(x, f_i x, a), d(y, f_j y, a), d(x, f_j y, a), d(y, f_i x, a)\}), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a upper semi-continuous and non-decreasing real function satisfying $\phi(t) < \frac{t}{2}$ for all $t > 0$. Then $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Take an $x_0 \in X$. We construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows $x_{n+1} = f_{n+1}x_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

For fixed n , by (2.1), for any $a \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \\ &= d(f_{n+1}x_n, f_{n+2}x_{n+1}, a) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a), d(x_n, x_{n+2}, a), 0\}) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a), [d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)]\}) \\ &= \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Take $a = x_n$ in (2.2), then we obtain

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_n) \leq \phi(2d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})).$$

If $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_n) > 0$, then $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_n) < \frac{1}{2}2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_n) = d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_n)$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have the following fact

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_n) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $d(x_k, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$, where $n > k + 2$. Then by (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_k) \\ &= d(f_n x_{n-1}, f_{n+1} x_n, x_k) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_k), d(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_k), d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_k), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_k), 0\}) \\ &\leq \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_k) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_k)) \\ &= \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_k)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence using the property of ϕ , we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_k) = 0,$$

therefore, combining the above result with (2.3), we have

$$d(x_k, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0, \forall n \geq k \geq 1. \tag{2.4}$$

For all $k > n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x_m, x_n, x_k) \\ & \leq d(x_m, x_n, x_{k-1}) + d(x_m, x_{k-1}, x_k) + d(x_n, x_{k-1}, x_k) = d(x_m, x_n, x_{k-1}) \\ & \leq \dots \leq d(x_m, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the following fact

$$d(x_m, x_n, x_k) = 0, \forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \leq \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)), \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, a \in X. \tag{2.6}$$

If there exists $a \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) < d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)$, then

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \leq \phi(2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)) < d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a), \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, a \in X. \tag{2.7}$$

So, for any fixed $a \in X$, $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)\}$ is a decreasing sequence, hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) = r(a) \geq 0$ for some $r(a) \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $r(a) > 0$. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, then from (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r(a) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)) \\ &\leq \phi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a)]\right) \\ &= \phi(2r(a)) < r(a), \end{aligned}$$

this is a contradiction. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) = 0, \forall a \in X. \quad (2.8)$$

Next, we will prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Otherwise, by Lemma 1.5, there exist $a \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m(i), n(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m(i), n(i) > i$ satisfying

- (i) $m(i) > n(i)$ and $n(i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) $d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, a) > \varepsilon$, but $d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a) \leq \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Using (2.5) and (2.8) and the following fact

$$d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, a) \leq d(x_{m(i)}, x_{m(i)-1}, a) + d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a) + d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, x_{m(i)-1}),$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, a) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a) = \varepsilon. \quad (2.9)$$

The following two inequalities hold

$$|d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, a) - d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1}, a)| \leq d(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a) + d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, x_{n(i)-1}),$$

$$|d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}, a) - d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1}, a)| \leq d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}, a) + d(x_{m(i)}, x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}),$$

hence using (2.5), (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)-1}, a) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}, a) = \varepsilon. \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore by (2.1) and (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &< \varepsilon \\
 &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, a) \\
 &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(f_{m(i)}x_{m(i)-1}, f_{n(i)}x_{n(i)-1}, a) \\
 &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi \left(\max \{ d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}, a), d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}, a), d(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a), \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a), d(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}, a) \} \right) \\
 &\leq \phi \left(\limmax_{i \rightarrow \infty} \{ d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)-1}, a), d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}, a), d(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a), \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{n(i)}, a), d(x_{n(i)-1}, x_{m(i)}, a) \} \right) \\
 &= \phi \left(\max \{ \varepsilon, 0, 0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon \} \right) \\
 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, and there is $u \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by the completeness of X . For each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i > n$. By (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d(f_n u, u, a) \\
 &\leq d(f_n u, x_{i+1}, a) + d(f_n u, u, x_{i+1}) + d(x_{i+1}, u, a) \\
 &= d(f_n u, f_{i+1} x_i, a) + d(f_n u, u, x_{i+1}) + d(x_{i+1}, u, a) \\
 &\leq \phi \left(\max \{ d(u, x_i, a), d(u, f_n u, a), d(x_i, x_{i+1}, a), d(u, x_{i+1}, a), d(f_n u, x_i, a) \} \right) \\
 &\quad + d(f_n u, u, x_{i+1}) + d(x_{i+1}, u, a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $i \rightarrow \infty$, then by Lemma 1.6, the above deduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d(f_n u, u, a) \\
 &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi \left(\max \{ d(u, x_i, a), d(u, f_n u, a), d(x_i, x_{i+1}, a), d(u, x_{i+1}, a), d(f_n u, x_i, a) \} \right) \\
 &\leq \phi \left(\limmax_{i \rightarrow \infty} \{ d(u, x_i, a), d(u, f_n u, a), d(x_i, x_{i+1}, a), d(u, x_{i+1}, a), d(f_n u, x_i, a) \} \right) \\
 &= \phi(d(f_n u, u, a)), \forall a \in X,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$d(f_n u, u, a) = 0, \forall a \in X,$$

hence

$$f_n u = u, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore u is a common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Suppose that $v \in X$ is another common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then there exists $b \in X$ such that $d(u, v, b) > 0$, hence by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v, b) &= d(f_1 u, f_2 v, b) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{d(u, v, b), d(u, f_1 u, b), d(v, f_2 v, b), d(u, f_2 v, b), d(f_1 u, v, b)\}) \\ &= \phi(d(u, v, b)), \end{aligned}$$

hence by the property of ϕ ,

$$0 < d(u, v, b) < \frac{d(u, v, b)}{2}.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence u is the unique common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

From Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space, $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family of self mappings on X and $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j$ and $x, y, a \in X$,*

$$d(f_i^{m_i} x, f_j^{m_j} y, a) \leq \phi(\max\{d(x, y, a), d(x, f_i^{m_i} x, a), d(y, f_j^{m_j} y, a), d(x, f_j^{m_j} y, a), d(y, f_i^{m_i} x, a)\}),$$

where ϕ is the function in Theorem 2.1. Then $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $F_i = f_i^{m_i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{F_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the all conditions of Theorem 2.1. Hence $\{F_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique common fixed point $u \in X$. Fix any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $F_i(f_i(u)) = f_i(F_i(u)) = f_i(u)$, so $f_i(u)$ is a fixed point of F_i . Fix any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \neq i$, then for any $a \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} &d(f_i(u), F_j(f_i(u)), a) \\ &= d(F_i(f_i(u)), F_j(f_i(u)), a) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{d(f_i(u), f_i(u), a), d(f_i(u), F_i(f_i(u)), a), d(f_i(u), F_j(f_i(u)), a), \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad d(f_i(u), F_j(f_i(u)), a), d(f_i(u), F_i(f_i(u)), a)\}) \\ &= \phi(d(f_i(u), F_j(f_i(u)), a)). \end{aligned}$$

If $f_i(u) \neq F_j(f_i(u))$, then $d(f_i(u), F_j(f_i(u)), a) > 0$ for some $a \in X$, hence from the above formula,

$$d(f_i(u), F_j(f_i(u)), a) < \frac{d(f_i(u), F_j(f_i(u)), a)}{2},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence

$$F_j(f_i(u)) = f_i(u), \forall j \neq i.$$

That is, $f_i(u)$ is a common fixed point of $\{F_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. So $f_i(u) = u$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by uniqueness of common fixed points of $\{F_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, hence u is a common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. If v is also common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then v is also a common fixed point of $\{F_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, hence $u = v$ by the uniqueness. Therefore u is the unique common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Now, we give more general result than Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space, $\{f_{i,k}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family of self mappings on X and $m_{i,k} \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that for each $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j$ and $x, y, a \in X$,*

$$d(f_{i,k}^{m_{i,k}} x, f_{j,k}^{m_{j,k}} y, a) \leq \phi_k(\max\{d(x, y, a), d(x, f_{i,k}^{m_{i,k}} x, a), d(y, f_{j,k}^{m_{j,k}} y, a), d(x, f_{j,k}^{m_{j,k}} y, a), d(y, f_{i,k}^{m_{i,k}} x, a)\}),$$

where $\phi_k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a mapping satisfying the property of ϕ in Theorem 2.1. If $f_{i_1, j_1} f_{i_2, j_2} = f_{i_2, j_2} f_{i_1, j_1}$ for all $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j_1 \neq j_2$, then $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{f_{i,k}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique common fixed point u_k by Theorem 2.2. Now, we will prove that $u_\mu = u_\nu$ for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, for each $i, j, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mu \neq \nu$, since $f_{i,\mu}(u_\mu) = u_\mu$ and $f_{j,\nu}(u_\nu) = u_\nu$. Hence $f_{i,\mu}(f_{j,\nu}(u_\nu)) = f_{i,\mu}(u_\nu)$, therefore $f_{j,\nu}(f_{i,\mu}(u_\nu)) = f_{i,\mu}(u_\nu)$, i.e., $f_{i,\mu}(u_\nu)$ is a common fixed point of $\{f_{j,\nu}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. So $f_{i,\mu}(u_\nu) = u_\nu$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by the uniqueness of common fixed point of $\{f_{j,\nu}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. This means that u_ν is a common fixed point of $\{f_{i,\mu}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, hence $u_\nu = u_\mu$ by the uniqueness of common fixed point of $\{f_{i,\mu}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $u^* = u_\mu$, then obviously, u^* is the unique common fixed point of $\{f_{i,k}\}_{i,k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

A mapping $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an altering distance function if ψ is continuous and non-decreasing and $\psi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$.

Next, we will give another common fixed point theorem under another contractive condition.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of self mappings on a complete 2-metric space (X, d) satisfying $f_i(X) \subset f_{i+1}(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that for each $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k$ and $x, y, z, a \in X$,

$$\psi(d(f_i x, f_j y, a)) \leq \psi(d(f_j y, f_k z, a)) - \varphi(d(f_j y, f_k z, a)), \quad (2.11)$$

where ψ is an altering distance function and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a lower semi-continuous function such that $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$. Then $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Take any $x_0 \in X$. By the condition $f_i(X) \subset f_{i+1}(X)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ as follows $f_n x_{n-1} = f_{n+1} x_n = y_n, \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Take $i = n + 2, j = n + 1, k = n, x = x_{n+1}, y = x_n, z = x_{n-1}$, then by (2.11), for any $a \in X$,

$$\psi(d(f_{n+2} x_{n+1}, f_{n+1} x_n, a)) \leq \psi(d(f_{n+1} x_n, f_n x_{n-1}, a)) - \varphi(d(f_{n+1} x_n, f_n x_{n-1}, a)),$$

that is,

$$\psi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n, a)) \leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n-1}, a)) - \varphi(d(y_n, y_{n-1}, a)) \leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n-1}, a)), \quad (2.12)$$

hence using the non-decreasing property of ψ , we obtain

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_n, a) \leq d(y_n, y_{n-1}, a), \forall a \in X, n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.13)$$

So for any fixed $a \in X$, $\{d(y_n, y_{n-1}, a)\}$ is non-increasing, hence there is $r(a) \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n-1}, a) = r(a)$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the both sides of the first inequality in (2.12), then

$$\psi(r(a)) \leq \psi(r(a)) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_n, y_{n+1}, a)) \leq \psi(r(a)) - \varphi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}, a)) = \psi(r(a)) - \varphi(r(a)),$$

hence $\varphi(r(a)) = 0$, which implies that $r(a) = 0$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n-1}, a) = 0, \forall a \in X. \quad (2.14)$$

Take $a = y_{n-1}$ in (2.12), then we obtain

$$\psi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_{n-1})) \leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) = \psi(0) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

hence

$$d(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, y_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.15}$$

Fix any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, then $d(y_\alpha, y_{\alpha+1}, y_{\alpha+2}) = 0$ by (2.15). Suppose that $d(y_\alpha, y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$, where $n > \alpha + 1$. Take $i = n + 3, j = n + 2, k = n + 1, x = x_{n+2}, y = x_{n+1}, z = x_n, a = y_\alpha$, then by (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, y_\alpha)) &= \psi(d(f_{n+3}x_{n+2}, f_{n+2}x_{n+1}, y_\alpha)) \\ &\leq \psi(d(f_{n+2}x_{n+1}, f_{n+1}x_n, y_\alpha)) - \varphi(d(f_{n+2}x_{n+1}, f_{n+1}x_n, y_\alpha)) \\ &= \psi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_\alpha)) - \varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n, y_\alpha)) \\ &= \psi(0) - \varphi(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence using the property of ψ and (2.15), we have

$$d(y_\alpha, y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0, \forall n \geq \alpha \geq 1. \tag{2.16}$$

For all $k > n > m$, using (2.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &d(y_m, y_n, y_k) \\ &\leq d(y_m, y_n, y_{k-1}) + d(y_m, y_{k-1}, y_k) + d(y_n, y_{k-1}, y_k) = d(y_m, y_n, y_{k-1}) \\ &\leq \dots \leq d(y_m, y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the following fact

$$d(y_m, y_n, y_k) = 0, \forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.17}$$

Suppose that $\{y_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exist $a \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m(i), n(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m(i), n(i) > i$ satisfying

- (i) $m(i) > n(i) + 1$ and $n(i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) $d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)}, a) > \varepsilon$, but $d(y_{m(i)-1}, y_{n(i)}, a) \leq \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots$

Using (2.14) and (2.17) and the following fact

$$d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)}, a) \leq d(y_{m(i)}, y_{m(i)-1}, a) + d(y_{m(i)-1}, y_{n(i)}, a) + d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)}, y_{m(i)-1}),$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)}, a) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m(i)-1}, y_{n(i)}, a) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.18}$$

Since the following two inequalities hold

$$|d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)}, a) - d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)-1}, a)| \leq d(y_{n(i)-1}, y_{n(i)}, a) + d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)}, y_{n(i)-1})$$

and

$$|d(y_{m(i)-1}, y_{n(i)-1}, a) - d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)-1}, a)| \leq d(y_{m(i)-1}, y_{m(i)}, a) + d(y_{m(i)}, y_{m(i)-1}, y_{n(i)-1}),$$

so by (2.14), (2.17) and (2.18), for each $a \in X$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)}, a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m(i)-1}, y_{n(i)}, a) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)-1}, a) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m(i)-1}, y_{n(i)-1}, a) = \varepsilon. \quad (2.19)$$

Take $i = m(i) + 1, j = n(i) + 1, k = m(i), x = x_{m(i)}, y = x_{n(i)}, z = x_{m(i)-1}$, then by (2.11), for each $a \in X$,

$$\psi(d(f_{m(i)+1}x_{m(i)}, f_{n(i)+1}x_{n(i)}, a)) \leq \psi(d(f_{n(i)+1}x_{n(i)}, f_{m(i)}x_{m(i)-1}, a)) - \varphi(d(f_{n(i)+1}x_{n(i)}, f_{m(i)}x_{m(i)-1}, a)),$$

that is,

$$\psi(d(y_{m(i)}, y_{n(i)}, a)) \leq \psi(d(y_{n(i)}, y_{m(i)-1}, a)) - \varphi(d(y_{n(i)}, y_{m(i)-1}, a)).$$

Let $i \rightarrow \infty$, then by (2.19) and the above formula,

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \psi(\varepsilon) - \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_{n(i)}, y_{m(i)-1}, a)) \leq \psi(\varepsilon) - \varphi(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n(i)}, y_{m(i)-1}, a)) = \psi(\varepsilon) - \varphi(\varepsilon),$$

hence $\varphi(\varepsilon) = 0$, which implies that $\varepsilon = 0$. This is a contradiction, hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists $u \in X$ such that $y_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and take $l \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $l > n + 1$. Let $i = n, j = l + 1, k = l, x = u, y = x_l, z = x_{l-1}$, then by (2.11),

$$\psi(d(f_n u, f_{l+1} x_l, a)) \leq \psi(d(f_{l+1} x_l, f_l x_{l-1}, a)) - \varphi(d(f_{l+1} x_l, f_l x_{l-1}, a)), \forall a \in X,$$

that is,

$$\psi(d(f_n u, y_l, a)) \leq \psi(d(y_l, y_{l-1}, a)) - \varphi(d(y_l, y_{l-1}, a)), \forall a \in X$$

Let $l \rightarrow \infty$, then the above formula deduces to

$$\psi(d(f_n u, u, a)) \leq \psi(0) - \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_l, y_{l-1}, a)) \leq \psi(0) - \varphi(\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} d(y_l, y_{l-1}, a)) = \psi(0) - \varphi(0) = 0.$$

Hence $f_n u = u$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, so u is a common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Suppose that v is also a common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Take $i = 1, j = 2, k = 3, x = u, y = z = v$, then by (2.11), for each $a \in X$,

$$\psi(d(f_1 u, f_2 v, a)) \leq \psi(d(f_2 v, f_3 v, a)) - \varphi(d(f_2 v, f_3 v, a)),$$

that is,

$$\psi(d(u, v, a)) \leq \psi(d(v, v, a)) - \varphi(d(v, v, a)) = \psi(0) - \varphi(0) = 0,$$

so $u = v$. Hence u is the unique common fixed point of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

From Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following particular forms.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space, $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family of self mappings on X satisfying $f_i(X) \subset f_{i+1}(X)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that for each $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k$ and $x, y, z, a \in X$,*

$$d(f_i x, f_j y, a) \leq d(f_j y, f_k z, a) - \varphi(d(f_j y, f_k z, a)),$$

where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a lower semi-continuous function such that $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$. Then $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $\psi = 1_X$, then the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.6. *Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space, $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family of self mappings on X satisfying $f_i(X) \subset f_{i+1}(X)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that for each $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k$ and $x, y, z, a \in X$,*

$$d(f_i x, f_j y, a) \leq h d(f_j y, f_k z, a),$$

where $h \in [0, 1)$. Then $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $\varphi(t) = (1 - h)t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.5.

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. S. Yang and D. S. Xiong, A common fixed point theorem on p -metric spaces, *J. Yunnan Normal Univ. (Science Edition)*, 21 (2001), 9-12.
- [2] S. L. Singh, Some contractive type principles on 2-metric spaces and applications, *Math. Seminar Notes (Kobe University)*, 7 (1979), 1-11.
- [3] Y. J. Piao, Unique common fixed point for a family of self-maps with same type contractive condition in 2-metric spaces, *Anal. Theory Appl.* 24 (2008), 316-320.
- [4] Y. J. Piao, Uniqueness of common fixed point for a family of mappings with ϕ -contractive condition in 2-metric space, *Appl. Math.* 3 (2012), 73-77.
- [5] Y. J. Piao, Common fixed points for two mappings satisfying some expansive conditions on 2-metric spaces, *J. Sys. Sci. & Math. Sci.* 33 (2013), 1370-1379.(In Chinese)
- [6] Y. J. Piao, Uniqueness of common fixed points for a family of maps with ϕ_j -quasi-contractive type in 2-metric space, *Acta Math. Sci.* 32A (2012), 1079-1085.(In Chinese)
- [7] S L Singh, S N Sishira and S Stofile, Suzuki contraction theorem on a 2-metric space, *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* 5 (2012), 71-76.
- [8] B K Lahiri, Pratulananda Das and Lakshmi Kanta Dey, Cantor's theorem in 2-metric spaces and its applications to fixed point theorems, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 15 (2011), 337-352.
- [9] Nguyen V Dung, Nguyen T Hieu, Nguyen T Thanh Ly and Vo D Thinh, Remarks on the fixed point problem of 2-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 167.
- [10] D. Zhang and F. Gu, The common fixed point theorems for a class of Φ -contraction conditions mappings in 2-metric spaces, *J. Jiangxi Normal Univ. (Natural Science)*, 35 (2011), 595-600.(In Chinese)