



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 6 (2016), No. 1, 67-93

ISSN: 1927-6303

AN ALGORITHM FOR APPROXIMATING A COMMON FIXED POINT OF A FINITE FAMILY OF LIPSCHITZ PSEUDOCONTRACTIVE MULTI-VALUED MAPPINGS

SEBSIBE TEFERI WOLDEAMANUEL¹, MENGISTU GOA SANGAGO², HABTU ZEGEYE^{3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences,
Addis Ababa University, P.O. Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

²Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences,
Addis Ababa University, P.O. Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Botswana, Private Bag 00704, Botswana

Copyright © 2016 Woldeamanuel, Sangago, and Zegeye. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is twofold. We first give erratum to a proof given by Woldeamanuel *et al.* [Strong convergence theorems for a common fixed point of a finite family of Lipschitz hemicontractive-type multivalued mappings, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 5 (2015), No. 2, 228-253]. In addition, we study an algorithm which approximates a common fixed point of a finite family of Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings under appropriate conditions.

Keywords: Demiclosed, Hausdorff metric; k -strictly pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping; Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping; Monotone multi-valued mapping; Strong convergence.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 65J15.

1. Introduction

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: habtuzh@yahoo.com (H. Zegeye)

Received October 11, 2015

Let E be a nonempty real normed linear space. A subset K of E is called proximal if for each $x \in E$ there exists $k \in K$ such that

$$\|x - k\| = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in K\} = d(x, K).$$

It is known that every closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space is proximal. In fact, if K is a closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E , then for any $x \in E$ there exists a unique point $u_x \in K$ such that (see, e.g., [26], [25], [15] and [8])

$$\|x - u_x\| = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in K\} = d(x, K).$$

Let E be a nonempty real normed space. We denote the family of all nonempty proximal subsets of E by $P(E)$, the family of all nonempty closed, convex and bounded subsets of E by $CBC(E)$, the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of E by $CB(E)$ and the family of all nonempty subsets of E by 2^E for a nonempty normed space E .

Let D be the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric d on E , that is, for every $A, B \in CB(E)$,

$$D(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\}.$$

A multi-valued mapping $T : D(T) \subseteq E \rightarrow CB(E)$ is called L -Lipschitzian if there exists $L \geq 0$ such that,

$$(1) \quad \forall x, y \in D(T), D(Tx, Ty) \leq L\|x - y\|.$$

In (1), if $L \in [0, 1)$, T is said to be a contraction, while T is nonexpansive if $L = 1$. A point $x \in C$ is a *fixed point of T* if $x \in Tx$ and we denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of T ; that is, $F(T) = \{x \in C : x \in Tx\}$.

A mapping $T : D(T) \subset E \rightarrow CB(E)$ is said to be *hemiccontractive-type* in the terminology of Hicks and Cubicek [17], if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and for all $p \in F(T), x \in D(T)$

$$(2) \quad D^2(Tx, Tp) \leq \|x - p\|^2 + \|x - u\|^2, \forall u \in Tx,$$

while, a mapping $T : D(T) \subset E \rightarrow CB(E)$ is said to be *demicontractive-type*, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and for all $p \in F(T), x \in D(T)$ there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(3) \quad D^2(Tx, Tp) \leq \|x - p\|^2 + k\|x - u\|^2, \forall u \in Tx.$$

For the definitions of k -strictly pseudocontractive-type, quasi-nonexpansive-type, pseudocontractive-type and nonexpansive-type multivalued mappings we refer the reader to the paper [31].

Recently, Woldeamanuel *et. al.* [31] introduced an iteration scheme $x_1 = w \in K$ by

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, & u_n \in T_n x_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, & w_n \in T_n y_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, & n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $T_n := T_n(\text{mod } N)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy some conditions.

They stated a theorem (Theorem 3.1 [31]) and proved strong convergence of the scheme to a common fixed point p , which is nearest to w , of $T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$. The proof depends on the argument that $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ satisfies $\|u - v\| \leq 2D(Tx, Ty), \forall x, y \in K, u \in Tx, v \in Ty$.

Remark 1.1. A close look at the property of T shows that the argument considered may not be in general true. To see this, one may consider the following example.

Example 1.1. Let $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ be given by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} [-\sqrt{2}x, 0] & x \in [0, \infty), \\ [0, -\sqrt{2}x] & x \in [-\infty, 0]. \end{cases}$$

It can be shown that T is hemicontractive-type. Now, for $x = 3$ and $y = 2$, we have $Tx = [-3\sqrt{2}, 0]$ and $Ty = [-5\sqrt{2}, 0]$, so that

$$D(Tx, Ty) = D([-3\sqrt{2}, 0], [-5\sqrt{2}, 0]) = 2\sqrt{2}.$$

Now for $u = 0 \in Tx$ and $v = -5\sqrt{2} \in Ty$, we have

$$\|u - v\| = 5\sqrt{2} > 4\sqrt{2} = 2D(Tx, Ty).$$

A mapping $T : K \rightarrow CB(H)$ is said to be *pseudocontractive* (see [19, 20, 24]), if the inequality

$$(5) \quad \langle u - v, x - y \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2,$$

holds for each $x, y \in K, u \in Tx, v \in Ty$. In this case,

$$\|x - y - (u - v)\|^2 + 2\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \leq 2\|x - y\|^2 + \|x - y - (u - v)\|^2,$$

which implies that

$$\|u - v\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|x - y - (u - v)\|^2.$$

Hence, $T : K \rightarrow CB(H)$ is said to be *pseudocontractive* multi-valued mapping, if $\forall x, y \in K$

$$(6) \quad \|u - v\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|x - y - (u - v)\|^2, \quad \forall u \in Tx, v \in Ty.$$

We observe that (6) implies that $\forall x, y \in K$,

$$(7) \quad D^2(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|x - y - (u - v)\|^2, \quad \forall u \in Tx, v \in Ty,$$

known as *pseudocontractive-type multi-valued* mapping (see, [31]).

For an example of pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping, see [32].

A mapping $T : K \rightarrow CB(H)$ is said to be *k-strongly pseudocontractive* (see [19, 20]), if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that the inequality

$$(8) \quad \langle u - v, x - y \rangle \leq k\|x - y\|^2,$$

holds for each $x, y \in K, u \in Tx, v \in Ty$.

Again we refer the reader to [32] for an example of *k-strongly pseudocontractive* multi-valued mapping.

Remark 1.2. Note that the class of pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings properly includes the class of *k-strongly pseudocontractive* multi-valued mappings.

Multi-valued pseudocontractive mappings are also related with the important class of nonlinear monotone mappings, where $A : K \rightarrow CB(H)$ is called *monotone*, if for any $x, y \in K$,

$$(9) \quad \langle u - v, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in Ax, v \in Ay.$$

A mapping $A : K \rightarrow CB(H)$ is said to be *k-strongly monotone* mapping if for all $x, y \in K$, there exists $k \in [0, 1)$, such that

$$(10) \quad \langle u - v, x - y \rangle \geq k\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall u \in Ax, v \in Ay.$$

We note that T is pseudocontractive if and only if $A := I - T$ is monotone and hence $x \in F(T)$ if and only if $x \in N(A) := \{x \in K : 0 \in Ax\}$.

Recently, Woldeamanuel *et al.* [32] introduced an iteration scheme $x_1 = w \in K$ by

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in Tx_n, w_n \in Ty_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(Tx_n, Ty_n)$, and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy certain mild conditions.

They proved the strong convergence of the Scheme (11) to the fixed point of Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping T . This brings us to the next question.

Question: *Can we extend the results of [32] to a common fixed point of a finite family of Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings?*

The purpose of this paper is twofold. In section three, motivated by the result of Woldeamanuel *et al.* [31] and Remark 1.1, we consider the scheme studied in [31] with appropriate assumptions on T and give a modified proof which will enable us to correct the anomalies pointed out in Remark 1.1. In section four, we extend the work of Woldeamanuel *et al.* [32] to a finite family of Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings under appropriate conditions.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 Let E be a Banach space. Let $T : D(T) \subseteq E \rightarrow 2^E$ be a multi-valued mapping. $(I - T)$ is said to be demiclosed at zero, if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq D(T)$ such that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to p and $D(\{x_n\}, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $p \in Tp$.

Lemma 2.1. [30] *Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then, the following equations hold:*

- (1) $\|tx + (1 - t)y\|^2 = t\|x\|^2 + (1 - t)\|y\|^2 - t(1 - t)\|x - y\|^2, \forall t \in [0, 1]$,
- (2) *Given any x, y in H , $\|x - y\|^2 = \|x - z\|^2 + \|z - y\|^2 + 2\langle x - z, z - y \rangle$.*

Lemma 2.2. [11] *Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then, the following equation holds: If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in H such that $x_n \rightharpoonup z \in H$, then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|^2 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z\|^2 + \|z - y\|^2, \forall y \in H.$$

Lemma 2.3. [1] *Let K be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $x \in H$. Then, $x_0 = P_K(x)$ if and only if $\langle z - x_0, x - x_0 \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in K$.*

Lemma 2.4. [4] *Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : K \rightarrow CBC(K)$ be a multivalued mapping and $P_T(x) = \{y \in Tx : \|x - y\| = d(x, Tx)\}$. Then, for any $x \in K, x_0 \in P_T(x)$ if and only if $\langle z - x_0, x - x_0 \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in Tx$.*

Lemma 2.5. [17] *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that there exists a subsequence $\{n_i\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $a_{n_i} < a_{n_i+1}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{m_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_k \rightarrow \infty$ and the following properties are satisfied by all (sufficiently large) numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}$: $a_{m_k} \leq a_{m_k+1}$ and $a_k \leq a_{m_k+1}$. In fact, $m_k := \max\{j \leq k : a_j < a_{j+1}\}$.*

Lemma 2.6. [28] *Let K be a metric space. Let $T : K \rightarrow P(K)$ be a multivalued mapping. Then, the following are equivalent:*

(1) $x \in Tx$, (2) $P_T x = \{x\}$, (3) $x \in F(P_T)$. Moreover, $F(T) = F(P_T)$.

Lemma 2.7. [33] *Let H be a real Hilbert space, C a closed convex subset of H and $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a continuous pseudo-contractive mapping, then $(I - T)$ is demiclosed at zero, i.e., if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in C such that $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ and $Tx_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x = Tx$.*

Lemma 2.8. *Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then,*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

Proposition 2.1. [3] *Let H be a Hilbert space. Let K be a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be k -strictly pseudocontractive-type multivalued mapping. Then T is L -Lipschitz mapping.*

Lemma 2.9. [34] *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following relation: $a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \alpha_n\delta_n, n \geq n_0$, where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n \leq 0. \text{ Then, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0.$$

Lemma 2.10. [21] *Let E be a complete metric space. Let $A, B \in CB(E)$ and $a \in A$.*

- (1) *If $\gamma > 0$, then there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + \gamma$.*
- (2) *If $x \in E$, then $d(x, A) \leq d(x, B) + D(A, B)$.*

The proof of the following are given in [32].

Lemma 2.11. *Let H be a real Hilbert space. Suppose K is a closed, convex, nonempty subset of H . Assume that $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ is pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then, $F(T)$ is closed and convex.*

Lemma 2.12. *Let H be a real Hilbert space. Suppose K is a closed, convex, nonempty subset of H . Assume that $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ is Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping. Then, there is a single-valued nonexpansive mapping $S : K \rightarrow K$, such that for some $\lambda > 0$ and for any $y \in K$, $S(y)$ is a fixed point of $T_y(x) := (1 - \lambda)y + \lambda Tx$.*

Lemma 2.13. *Let H be a real Hilbert space. Suppose K is a closed, convex, nonempty subset of H . Assume that $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ is Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping. Then $(I - T)$ is demiclosed at zero.*

3. Convergence results for a finite family of lipschitz hemicontractive-type mappings

Now, we give the modification of the statement and proof of Theorem 3.1 of [31].

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a finite family of Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, respectively. Assume that $(I - T_i), i = 1, \dots, N$ are demiclosed at zero and $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$ is non-empty, closed and convex with $T_i(p) = \{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 =$*

$w \in K$ by

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where, $u_n \in T_n x_n, w_n \in T_n y_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(T_n x_n, T_n y_n)$ and $T_n := T_{n(\bmod N)+1}$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,

ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L := \max\{L_i : 1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

Proof. Let $p = P_{\mathcal{F}}(w)$. Now, using (1) of Lemma 2.1,

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n(w - p) + (1 - \alpha_n)(z_n - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|\gamma_n(w_n - p) + (1 - \gamma_n)(x_n - p)\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \|w_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2, \\ &= \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \|w_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n D(T_n y_n, T_n p)^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \\ &\quad [\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - w_n\|^2] - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(14) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \\ \times \gamma_n \|y_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n \|y_n - w_n\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2.$$

On the other hand, using (12) and using the assumption that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(T_n x_n, T_n y_n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - w_n\|^2 &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(x_n - w_n) + \beta_n(u_n - w_n)\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + \beta_n\|u_n - w_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + \beta_n 4D^2(T_n x_n, T_n y_n) - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + \beta_n 4L^2\|x_n - y_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + 4L^2\beta_n^3\|x_n - u_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(15) \quad \|y_n - w_n\|^2 \leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2.$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(x_n - p) + \beta_n(u_n - p)\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|u_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n D^2(T_n x_n, T_n p) - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - u_n\|^2] \\ &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(16) \quad \|y_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta^2 \|x_n - u_n\|^2.$$

Now substituting (16), (15) into (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&+ (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n \beta_n^2 \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - w_n\|^2 \\
&- \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2) \|u_n - x_n\|^2 \\
&- (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
(17) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) \\
&\times \gamma_n(1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2) \|u_n - x_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(\gamma_n - \beta_n) \|x_n - w_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From hypothesis (ii) in (12) we have that

$$(18) \quad 1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2 \geq 1 - 2\beta - 4L^2\beta^2 \text{ and } \gamma_n \leq \beta_n.$$

Using (18) in (17), we get that

$$(19) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2.$$

Thus, by induction

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \max\{\|x_1 - p\|^2, \|w - p\|^2\}, \forall n \geq 1.$$

This implies that $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are all bounded. Furthermore, from (12), Lemma 2.8 and (17), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(\gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n) + \alpha_n w - p\|^2 \\
&= \|(1 - \alpha_n)((\gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n) - p) + \alpha_n(w - p)\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|\gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&= (1 - \alpha_n)\left[\gamma_n\|w_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2\right] + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\left[\gamma_n D(T_n y_n, T_n p)^2 + (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2\right] + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\left[\gamma_n(\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - w_n\|^2) + (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2\right] + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&= (1 - \alpha_n)\left[\gamma_n(\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - w_n\|^2) + (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2\right] \\
&\quad - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n^2\|x_n - u_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n \\
&\quad \times \left[(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2\right] \\
&\quad - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|w_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&= (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n(1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(\gamma_n - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n(1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
(20) \quad &+ 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(\gamma_n - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n(1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2) \\ &\quad \times \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - c)\alpha^2(1 - 2\beta - 4L^2\beta^2) \\ &\quad \times \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the following two cases:

Case 1. Suppose that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ is non-increasing, $\forall n \geq n_0$. Then, we get that $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ is convergent. So, from (22) and the fact that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, we have that

$$(1 - c)\alpha^2(1 - 2\beta - 4L^2\beta^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2,$$

which gives that

$$(23) \quad x_n - u_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, from (12) and (23) we get

$$y_n - x_n = \beta_n(u_n - x_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

and hence we get that

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \|z_n - x_n\| &= \gamma_n\|w_n - x_n\| = \gamma_n\|w_n - u_n + u_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n\|w_n - u_n\| + \gamma_n\|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n 2D(T_n y_n, T_n x_n) + \gamma_n\|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n 2L\|y_n - x_n\| + \gamma_n\|u_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (12), (24), the fact that $\|w - z_n\|$ is bounded and $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &= \|x_{n+1} - z_n + z_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - z_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \\ &= \alpha_n\|w - z_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

But then, since, $\|x_{n+i} - x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+i} - x_{n+i-1}\| + \dots + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|$, we get that

$$(26) \quad \|x_{n+i} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Thus, from (23) and (26), we obtain that

$$(27) \quad \|u_{n+i} - x_n\| \leq \|u_{n+i} - x_{n+i}\| + \|x_{n+i} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Now we show that for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_{n+i}x_n) = 0$. But from Lemma 2.10, (23), (26) and Lipschitz property of T_i for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ we get that

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} d(x_n, T_{n+i}x_n) &= d(x_n, T_{n+i}x_{n+i}) + D(T_{n+i}x_n, T_{n+i}x_{n+i}) \\ &\leq \|x_n - u_{n+i}\| + L\|x_n - x_{n+i}\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is the required result. The rest of the proof is the same as Theorem 3.1 of [31]

If, in Theorem 3.1, we consider a single hemicontractive-type mapping we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$, be Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mapping with Lipschitz constant L . Assume that $I - T$ is demiclosed at zero and $F(T)$ is non-empty with $T(p) = \{p\}$, $\forall p \in F(T)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in K$ by*

$$(29) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, u_n \in Tx_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, w_n \in Ty_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in Tx_n, w_n \in Ty_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(Tx_n, Ty_n)$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1$, $\forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

If, in Theorem 3.1 we assume that $P_{T_i}, i = 1, \dots, N$ are Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mappings, then by Lemma 2.6, the requirement that $T_i(p) = \{p\}$ may not be needed. Thus, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H . Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a finite family of multivalued mappings. Let $P_{T_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be Lipschitz hemicontractive-type mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, respectively. Assume that $I - P_{T_i}, i = 1, \dots, N$ are demiclosed and $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$ is non-empty. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in K$ by*

$$(30) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, & u_n \in P_{T_n}x_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, & w_n \in P_{T_n}y_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, & n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in P_{T_n}x_n, w_n \in P_{T_n}y_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(P_{T_n}x_n, P_{T_n}y_n)$ and $T_n := T_{n(\text{mod } N)+1}$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L := \max\{L_i : 1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

If, in Theorem 3.1, we assume that $T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, are k -strictly pseudocontractive-type mappings then by Proposition 2.1, T_i are Lipschitz with $L_i = \frac{1 + \sqrt{k_i}}{1 - \sqrt{k_i}}, i = 1, \dots, N$. Hence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H . Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a finite family of k -strictly pseudocontractive-type mappings. Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$ is non-empty with $T_i(p) = \{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in K$ by*

$$(31) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, & u_n \in T_n x_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, & w_n \in T_n y_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, & n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in T_n x_n, w_n \in T_n y_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(T_n x_n, T_n y_n)$ and $T_n := T_{n(\text{mod } N)+1}$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L := \max\{\frac{1 + \sqrt{k_i}}{1 - \sqrt{k_i}}, i = 1, \dots, N\}$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

The following follows from Theorem 3.2. For the detail we refer the reader to [31]

Corollary 3.3. *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H . Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a finite family of nonexpansive-type mappings. Assume that $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$ is non-empty with $T_i(p) = \{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in K$ by*

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, u_n \in T_n x_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, w_n \in T_n y_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in T_n x_n, w_n \in T_n y_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(T_n x_n, T_n y_n)$ and $T_n := T_{n(\text{mod } N)+1}$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

4. Convergence results for finite family of lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings

Theorem 4.1 *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H . Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a finite family of Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, respectively. Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$ is non-empty. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in K$*

by

$$(33) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in T_n x_n, w_n \in T_n y_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(T_n x_n, T_n y_n)$ and $T_n := T_{n(\text{mod } N)+1}$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,

(ii) $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L := \max\{L_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

Proof. Let $p = P_{\mathcal{F}}(w)$. Now, using Lemma 2.1 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n(w - p) + (1 - \alpha_n)(z_n - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|\gamma_n(w_n - p) + (1 - \gamma_n)(x_n - p)\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \|w_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \\ &\quad \times \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \\ &\quad [\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - p - (w_n - p)\|^2] \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \\ &\quad [\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - w_n\|^2] - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(34) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \\ \times \gamma_n \|y_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \|y_n - w_n\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - x_n\|^2.$$

On the other hand, using (33), the assumption that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(T_n x_n, T_n y_n)$, Lemma 2.1 and T_n is Lipschitz ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - w_n\|^2 &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(x_n - w_n) + \beta_n(u_n - w_n)\|^2 \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + \beta_n\|u_n - w_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + \beta_n 4D(T_n x_n, T_n y_n)^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + \beta_n 4L^2\|x_n - y_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + 4\beta_n^3 L^2\|x_n - u_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(35) \quad \|y_n - w_n\|^2 \leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2$$

Again, using the assumption that T_n is pseudocontractive,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n - p\|^2 \\
&= \|(1 - \beta_n)(x_n - p) + \beta_n(u_n - p)\|^2 \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|u_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - u_n\|^2] \\
&\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
&= \|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n^2\|x_n - u_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(36) \quad \|y_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n^2\|x_n - u_n\|^2.$$

Now, substituting (35), (36) into (34),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n\|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n^2\|x_n - u_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2)\|u_n - x_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|w_n - x_n\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$(37) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n (1 - \alpha_n) \\ \times \gamma_n (1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2 \beta_n^2) \|u_n - x_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (\gamma_n - \beta_n) \|x_n - w_n\|^2.$$

From hypothesis (ii) in (33) we have that

$$(38) \quad 1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2 \beta_n^2 \geq 1 - 2\beta - 4L^2 \beta^2$$

$$(39) \quad \gamma_n \leq \beta_n.$$

Using (38) and (39) in (37) we get that

$$(40) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|w - p\|^2.$$

Thus, by induction, we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq \max\{\|x_1 - p\|^2, \|w - p\|^2\}, \forall n \geq 1.$$

This implies that $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are all bounded. Furthermore, from (33), Lemma 2.8 and (37) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(\gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n) + \alpha_n w - p\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)((\gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n) - p) + \alpha_n(w - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|\gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n) \left[\gamma_n \|w_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - w_n\|^2 \right] + 2\alpha_n \langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) [\gamma_n (\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|y_n - w_n\|^2) + (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - w_n\|^2] + 2\alpha_n \langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \|y_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n \|y_n - w_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - w_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n^2\|x_n - u_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n \\
&\quad \times [(1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2] \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|w_n - x_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n(1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle + (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n(\gamma_n - \beta_n)\|x_n - w_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n(1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2) \\
(41) \quad &\quad \times \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence by (i) and (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - c)\alpha^2(1 - 2\beta - 4L^2\beta^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\
(42) \quad &\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the following two cases:

Case I. Suppose that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ is non-increasing, $\forall n \geq n_0$. Then, we get that $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ is convergent. So, from (42) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - c)\alpha^2(1 - 2\beta - 4L^2\beta^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the fact that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$(43) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0.$$

Now, from (33) we obtain that

$$y_n - x_n = \beta_n(u_n - x_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

and hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n - x_n\| &= \gamma_n \|w_n - x_n\| = \gamma_n \|w_n - u_n + u_n - x_n\| \\
&\leq \gamma_n \|w_n - u_n\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_n\| \\
&\leq 2\gamma_n D(T_n y_n, T_n x_n) + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_n\| \\
(44) \quad &\leq 2\gamma_n L \|y_n - x_n\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from (33), (44), the fact that $\|w - z_n\|$ is bounded and $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &= \|x_{n+1} - z_n + z_n - x_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - z_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \\
(45) \quad &= \alpha_n \|w - z_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

But then, since, $\|x_{n+i} - x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+i} - x_{n+i-1}\| + \dots + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|$, we get that

$$(46) \quad \|x_{n+i} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Thus, from (43) and (46) we obtain that

$$(47) \quad \|u_{n+i} - x_n\| \leq \|u_{n+i} - x_{n+i}\| + \|x_{n+i} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Now we show that for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_{n+i}x_n) = 0$. But from (46), Lemma 2.10, (47) and Lipschitz property of T_i for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, T_{n+i}x_n) &= d(x_n, T_{n+i}x_{n+i}) + D(T_{n+i}x_n, T_{n+i}x_{n+i}) \\
(48) \quad &\leq \|x_n - u_{n+i}\| + L\|x_n - x_{n+i}\| \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the required result. Now, since $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ converges, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_j+1}\}$ of $\{x_{n+1}\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle w - p, x_{n_j+1} - p \rangle,$$

and $x_{n_j+1} \rightharpoonup z$, for some $z \in K$. Now, from (45) we get $x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup z$. Hence, from (48) and the fact that $T_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, N$ are demiclosed by Lemma 2.13, we get that $z \in F(T_i), \forall i = 1, \dots, N$. i.e.,

$z \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 we obtain that

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle w - p, x_{n_{j+1}} - p \rangle \\ &= \langle w - p, z - p \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (42) we have that

$$(50) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle.$$

It then follows from (50), (49) and Lemma 2.9 that $\|x_n - p\| \rightarrow 0$ i.e., $x_n \rightarrow p$.

Case 2. Suppose there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$\|x_{n_k} - p\| < \|x_{n_{k+1}} - p\|, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.5, there is a nondecreasing sequence $\{m_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_k \rightarrow \infty$, $\|x_{m_k} - p\| \leq \|x_{m_{k+1}} - p\|$ and $\|x_k - p\| \leq \|x_{m_{k+1}} - p\|$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, from (42) and the fact that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ we get that $x_{m_k} - u_{m_k} \rightarrow 0$, when $u_{m_k} \in T_i x_{m_k}$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, N$. Hence as in Case 1, $x_{m_{k+1}} - x_{m_k} \rightarrow 0$ and that

$$(51) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle w - p, x_{m_{k+1}} - p \rangle \leq 0.$$

From (42) we have that

$$(52) \quad \|x_{m_{k+1}} - p\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_{m_k}) \|x_{m_k} - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_{m_k} \langle w - p, x_{m_{k+1}} - p \rangle$$

and since $\|x_{m_k} - p\| \leq \|x_{m_{k+1}} - p\|$, (52) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{m_k} \|x_{m_k} - p\|^2 &\leq \|x_{m_k} - p\|^2 - \|x_{m_{k+1}} - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_{m_k} \langle w - p, x_{m_{k+1}} - p \rangle \\ &\leq 2\alpha_{m_k} \langle w - p, x_{m_{k+1}} - p \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|x_{m_k} - p\|^2 \leq 2 \langle w - p, x_{m_{k+1}} - p \rangle.$$

So, from (51) we get that $\|x_{m_k} - p\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ and hence this with (52) give that $\|x_{m_{k+1}} - p\| \rightarrow 0$.

But, $\|x_k - p\| \leq \|x_{m_{k+1}} - p\|$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $x_k \rightarrow p$. Therefore, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

Remark 4.1. We note that, since every Lipschitz k -strongly pseudocontractive mapping is Lipschitz pseudocontractive mapping the above theorem holds for a finite family of Lipschitz k -strongly pseudocontractive mappings.

If, in Theorem 4.1 we consider a single Lipschitz pseudocontractive mapping we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$, be Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping with Lipschitz constant L . Assume that $F(T)$ is non-empty and that $T(p) = \{p\}$, $\forall p \in F(T)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in K$ by*

$$(53) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in Tx_n, w_n \in Ty_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(Tx_n, Ty_n)$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1$, $\forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}$, $\forall n \geq 1$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

Proof. Put $T_i := T$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, N$ in (33) and the scheme reduces to (53). Now, as in (41) and (42),

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\beta_n(1 - 2\beta_n - 4L^2\beta_n^2) \\ &\quad \times \|x_n - u_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle, \quad u_n \in Tx_n \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - c)\alpha^2(1 - 2\beta - 4L^2\beta^2)\|x_n - u_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle w - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is as in Theorem 4.1.

If, in Theorem 4.1 we assume that $P_{T_i}, i = 1, \dots, N$ are Lipschitz pseudocontractive mappings, then by Lemma 2.6, the requirement that $T_i(p) = \{p\}$ may not be needed. Thus, we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H . Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CB(K), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a finite family of multi-valued mappings. Let $P_{T_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be Lipschitz pseudocontractive mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, respectively. Suppose also that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$ is non-empty. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in K$ by*

$$(54) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n u_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n w_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in P_{T_n}x_n, w_n \in P_{T_n}y_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(P_{T_n}x_n, P_{T_n}y_n)$, and $T_n := T_{n(\text{mod } N)+1}$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L := \max\{L_i : 1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

If, in Theorem 4.1 we assume that $P_{T_i} : K \rightarrow CBC(K), i = 1, \dots, N$ are Lipschitz pseudocontractive mappings, then $P_{T_i}(x)$ is singleton and hence the following corollary follows.

Corollary 4.3 *Let H be a real Hilbert space and K be a non-empty, closed and convex subset of H . Let $T_i : K \rightarrow CBC(K), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a finite family of multi-valued mappings. Let $P_{T_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be Lipschitz pseudocontractive mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, respectively. Suppose also that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(P_{T_i})$ is non-empty. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in K$ by*

$$(55) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n P_{T_n}x_n, \\ z_n = \gamma_n P_{T_n}y_n + (1 - \gamma_n)x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $T_n := T_{n(\text{mod } N)+1}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L := \max\{L_i : 1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to some point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ nearest to w .

Next, we state and prove a convergence theorem for a common zero of a finite family of monotone mappings.

Theorem 4.2 *Let H be a real Hilbert space. Let $A_i : H \rightarrow CB(H), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ be a family of Lipschitz monotone mappings with Lipschitz constants, $1 + L_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, respectively. Assume $\mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{i=1}^N N(A_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in H$ by*

$$(56) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = x_n - \beta_n u_n, \\ z_n = x_n - \gamma_n w_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n) z_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u_n \in A_n x_n, w_n \in A_n y_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(x_n - A_n x_n, y_n - A_n y_n) + \|x_n - y_n\|$, and $A_n := A_{n(\text{mod } N)+1}, \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L := \max\{L_i, i = 1, \dots, N\}$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common zero point of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n nearest to w .

Proof. Let $T_i x := (I - A_i)x, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then $T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are Lipschitz pseudocontractive mappings with Lipschitz constants $L_i := (1 + L_i)$ and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N N(A_i) \neq \emptyset.$$

Now replacing A_i with $(I - T_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ in (56) we get the Scheme (33). Hence the result follows from Theorem 4.1 .

In Theorem 4.2 , if we consider a single Lipschitz monotone mapping we obtain,

Corollary 4.4. *Let H be a real Hilbert space. Let $A : H \rightarrow CB(H)$ be a Lipschitz monotone mapping with Lipschitz constant, L . Assume $N(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated*

from an arbitrary $x_1 = w \in H$ by

$$(57) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = x_n - \beta_n u_n, \\ z_n = x_n - \gamma_n w_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n w + (1 - \alpha_n) z_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where, $u_n \in Ax_n, w_n \in Ay_n$ such that $\|u_n - w_n\| \leq 2D(x_n - Ax_n, y_n - Ay_n) + \|x_n - y_n\|$, and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq c < 1, \forall n \geq 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- ii. $0 < \alpha \leq \gamma_n \leq \beta_n \leq \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L'^2 + 1} + 1}, \forall n \geq 1$ for $L' := 1 + L$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a zero of A , nearest to w .

Remark 4.2. Our work improves Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 of Song and Wang [29] and Theorem 2.7 of Shahzad and Zegeye [27] and extends the work of Woldeamanuel *et. al.* [32] for Lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued case. It also extends the work of Daman and Zegeye [6] for the multivalued case.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Simon's Foundation based at University of Botswana for its financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. I. Alber, Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces: properties and applications, Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type, volume 178 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, pp. 15C-50, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1996.
- [2] L. E. J. Brouwer; Uber Abbildung von Mannigfaltigkeiten, Mathematische, Annalen, 71 (1912), 598.
- [3] C.E. Chidume, C.O. Chidume, N. Djitte and M.S. Minjibir, Convergence theorems for fixed points of multi-valued strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert Spaces, to appear.
- [4] P. Cholamjiak, W. Cholamjiak, Y. J. Cho and S. Suantai, Weak and strong convergence to common fixed points of a countable family of multi-valued mappings in Banach spaces, Thai J. Math. 9 (2011), 505–520.

- [5] P.Z. Daffer, H. Kaneko, Fixed points of generalized contractive multi-valued mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 192 (1995), 655-666.
- [6] O. A. Daman and H. Zegeye: Strong convergence theorems for a common fixed point of a finite family of pseudocontractive mappings, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2012 (2012), Article ID 405315.
- [7] D. Downing and W. A. Kirk, Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces, *Math. Japon.* 22 (1977), 99-112.
- [8] S. Fitzpatrick, Metric projections and the differentiability of distance functions, *Bull. Austral Math. Soc.* 22 (1980), 291-312.
- [9] J. Geanakoplos, Nash and Walras equilibrium via Brouwer, *Economic Theory*, 21 (2003), 585-603.
- [10] L. Gorniewicz, *Topological fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings*, Klumer Academic Pub., Dordrecht, Netherland, 1999.
- [11] F. O. Isiogugu, Demiclosedness principle and approximation theorems for certain classes of multi-valued mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 61.
- [12] J. S. Jung and C. H. Morales, The Mann process for perturbed m -accretive operators in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 46 (2001) 231-243.
- [13] S. Kakutani, A generalization of Brouwer's fixed point theorem, *Duke Math. J.* 8 (1941), 457-459.
- [14] S. H. Khan, I Yildirim and B. E. Rhoades, A one-step iterative scheme for two multi-valued nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61 (2011), 3172-3178.
- [15] D. Landers and L. Rogge, Martingale representation in Uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 75 (1979), 108-110.
- [16] T. C. Lim, A fixed point theorem for multi-valued nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex banach space, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80 (1974), 1123-1126.
- [17] P. E. Mainge. Strong convergence of projected subgradient methods for nonsmooth and non-strictly convex minimization, *Set-Valued Anal.* 16 (2008), 899-912.
- [18] J. T. Markin, Continuous dependence of fixed point sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 38 (1973), 545-547.
- [19] C. H. Morales, Multi-valued pseudocontractive mappings defined on unbounded sets in Banach spaces, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 33 (1992), 625-630.
- [20] C. H. Morales, Variational inequalities for Φ -pseudo-contractive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012) 477-484.
- [21] S. B. Nadler, Multi-valued contracting mappings, *Pacific J. of Math.* 30 (1969), 475-488.
- [22] J. F. Nash, Equilibrium points in n -person games, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 36 (1950), 48-49.
- [23] J. F. Nash, Non-cooperative games, *Ann. Math.* 54 (1951), 286-295.
- [24] E. U. Ofoedu and H. Zegeye, Iterative algorithm for multi-valued pseudocontractive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 372 (2010) 68C76.

- [25] B. Panyanak, Mann and Ishikawa iteration processes for multi-valued mappings in Banach Spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 54 (2007),872–877.
- [26] K.P.R. Sastry and G.V.R. Babu, convergence of Ishikawa iterates for a multi-valued mapping with a fixed point, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 55 (2005), 817–826.
- [27] N. Shahzad and H. Zegeye; On Mann and Ishikawa iteration schemes for multi-valued maps in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009) 838-844.
- [28] Y. Song and Y. J. Cho: Some notes on Ishikawa iteration for multi-valued mappings, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 48 575–584 (2011).
- [29] Y.Song and H. Wang, Erratum to Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multi-valued mappings in Banach Spaces [*Comput. Math. Appl.*54 (2007),872-877], *Comput. Math. Appl.* 55 (2008), 2999-3002.
- [30] 43 (2000), 87–108.
- [31] S. T. Woldeamanuel, M. G. Sangago and H. Zegeye, Strong convergence theorems for a common fixed point of a finite family of lipschitz hemicontractive-type multivalued mappings, *Adv. Fixed Point Theory*, 5 (2015), 228-253.
- [32] S. T. Woldeamanuel, M. G. Sangago and H. Zegeye, Strong convergence theorems for a fixed point of a lipschitz pseudocontractive multi-valued mapping, *Linear Nonlinear Anal.* (accepted).
- [33] Q.B. Zhang, C.Z. Cheng, Strong convergence theorem for a family of Lipschitz pseudocontractive mappings in a Hilbert space, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 48 (2008) 480C485.
- [34] H. K. Xu. Another control condition in an iterative method for nonexpansive mappings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 65 (2002), 109-113.
- [35] E., Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications pt. 3.*, Springer- Verlag, New York Inc., 1985.