

Available online at http://scik.org Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 9 (2019), No. 4, 381-394 https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/4228 ISSN: 1927-6303

EKELAND'S VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN PARTIAL B-METRIC SPACES

JUNTAO XIE, YUQIANG FENG*, XIAOYAN LV

School of Science, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430065, China

Copyright © 2019 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. **Abstract.** In this paper, a new version of Ekeland's variational principle is presented in partial b-metric spaces via Cantor's intersection theorem. As a consequence, some Caristi type fixed point theorems are proved in partial

b-metric spaces. Furthermore, the construction and number of fixed points are discussed.

Keywords: Ekeland's variational principle; partial b-metric space; fixed point; existence.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 58E30; 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1974, Ekeland proposed a variational principle, which is the basis of modern variational calculus and has applications in many branches of mathematics, including optimization and fixed point theory etc.(see [1,2]). Since then, Bota [3] and Aydi [4] have applied Ekeland's variational principle to various generalized metric spaces, such as b-metric spaces, partial metric spaces(see [5,6]). Satish [7] compares the concepts of b-metric space and partial metric space and generates partial b-metric space. In his paper, an analog of the Banach contraction principle

^{*}Corresponding author

E-mail address: fengyuqiang@wust.edu.cn

Received July 21, 2019

as well as the Kannan type fixed point theorem was proved, and some examples were put forward to illustrate this new space. (More papers concerning the fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces and partial metric spaces can be seen in [8-19] and references therein).

Motivated by above research, in this paper, we'll establish a new version of Ekeland's variational principle in partial b-metric space. Then, the principle is applied to derive some fixed point theorems in partial b-metric space.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, some definitions and notations are given. In section 3, Cantor's intersection theorem in partial b-metric spaces is proved, and a new version of Ekeland's variational principle is established. In section 4, some Caristi type fixed point theorems are prove in partial b-metric spaces. Furthermore, the construction and numbers of fixed points are also discussed.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, some definitions and notations are presented.

Definition 2.1 [3] Let *X* be a nonempty set and let $s \ge 1$ be a given real number. A functional $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a b-metric if and only if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:

(bM1) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y for all $x, y \in X$

(bM2) d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all $x, y \in X$

(bM3) there exists a real number $s \ge 1$, such that $d(x, y) \le s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The pair (X,d) is called a b-metric space.

Definition 2.2 [4] Let \mathbb{R}^+ denote the set of all non-negative real numbers. A partial metric space is a pair(*X*, *p*) where *X* is a non-empty set and $p: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is such that

(P1) p(x, y) = p(y, x) (symmetry);

(P2) if p(x,x) = p(x,y) = p(y,y), then x = y (equality);

(P3) $p(x,x) \le p(x,y)$ (small self-distances);

(P4) $p(x,y) + p(z,z) \le p(x,z) + p(y,z)$ (triangle inequality)

for all $x, y, z \in X$. We will use the abbreviation PMS for the partial metric space(X, p).

Remark 2.3 In a partial metric space (X, p), if $x, y \in X$ and p(x, y) = 0, then x = y, but the converse may not be true.

Example 2.4 Let $X = \mathbb{R}, 0 < r < 1$ a constant and $b : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be defined by

$$p(x,y) = \max\{|x|^r, |y|^r\} \quad for \ all \ x, y \in X$$

Then (X, p) is a partial metric space.

Definition 2.5 [7] A partial *b*-metric on a nonempty set *X* is a function $b : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (1) b(x,y) = b(y,x);
- (2) if b(x,x) = b(x,y) = b(y,y), then x = y;
- (3) $b(x,x) \le b(x,y);$
- (4) there exists a real number $s \ge 1$, such that $b(x, y) \le s[b(x, z) + b(y, z)] b(z, z)$.

The partial b-metric space is a pair (X,b) such that X is a nonempty set and b is a b-metric on X. The number s is called the coefficient of (X,b). We will use the abbreviation b - PMS for the partial b-metric space(X,b).

Remark 2.6 Let (X,b) be a b-PMS,

- (i) if b(x, y) = 0, then x = y;
- (ii) if $x \neq y$, then b(x, y) > 0.

Remark 2.7 It is obvious that every partial metric space is a partial b-metric space with coefficient s = 1 and every b-metric space is a partial b-metric space with the same coefficient and zero self-distance. However, the converse of this fact need not hold.

Example 2.8 [7] Let $X = \mathbb{R}^+$, p > 1 a constant and $b : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be defined by

$$b(x,y) = [\max\{x,y\}]^p + |x-y|^p$$
 for all $x, y \in X$

Then (X,b) is a partial b-metric space with coefficient $s = 2^p > 1$, but it is neither a b-metric nor a partial metric space. Indeed, for any x > 0, we have $b(x,x) = x^p \neq 0$; therefore, b is not a b-metric on X. Also, for x = 5, y = 1, z = 4 we have

$$b(x,y) = 5^p + 4^p$$

and

$$b(x,z) + b(z,y) - b(z,z) = 5^{p} + 1 + 4^{p} + 3^{p} - 4^{p} = 5^{p} + 1 + 3^{p}$$
$$b(x,y) > b(x,z) + b(z,y) - b(z,z) \quad for \ all \ p > 1,$$

therefore, b is not a partial metric on X.

We have the following diagram where arrows stand for inclusions. The inverse inclusions do not hold.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} metric \ space & \longrightarrow & b-metric \ space \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ partial \ metric \ space & \longrightarrow & partial \ b-metric \ space \end{array}$$

Every partial b-metric "*b*" on a nonempty set *X* generates a topology τ_b on *X* whose base is the family of open b-balls $B_b(x, \varepsilon)$ where $\tau_b = \{B_b(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$ and $B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : b(x, y) < \varepsilon + b(x, x)\}$. It is clear that the topological space (X, τ_b) is T_0 , but need not be T_1 .

Now, we recall the definitions of convergent sequence and Cauchy sequence in b-PMS.

Definition 2.9 [7] Let (X, b) be a b-PMS, let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then

- (1) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent with respect to τ_b and converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $\lim_{n \to \infty} b(x_n, x) = b(x, x)$;
- (2) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called Cauchy if and only if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} b(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite;
- (3) (X,b) is said to be complete b-PMS if every Cauchy sequence {x_n} in X there exists x ∈ X, such that

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}b(x_n,x_m)=\lim_{n\to\infty}b(x_n,x)=b(x,x).$$

Definition 2.10 [20] Let(*X*,*b*) be a b-PMS. For a subset $A \subset X$, if $diam_r(A) = \sup\{p(x,y) - p(x,x) : x, y \in A\}$ is finite, then we call *A* a radii-bounded set and $diam_r(A)$ the radii-diameter of *A*.

As an extension of strong b-metric space [21], we give the concept of partial strong b-metric space as follows.

Definition 2.11 Let *X* be a nonempty set and let $s \ge 1$ be a given real number. A functional $b: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a strong partial b-metric if and only if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied:

384

- (1) b(x,y) = b(y,x);
- (2) if b(x,x) = b(x,y) = b(y,y), then x = y;
- (3) $b(x,x) \leq b(x,y);$

(4) there exists a real number $s \ge 1$, such that $b(x,y) \le sb(x,z) + b(y,z) - b(z,z)$.

The pair (X,b) is called a strong partial b-metric space. The number *s* is called the coefficient of (X,b). We will use the abbreviation sb-PMS for the strong partial b-metric (X,b).

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we'll present a new version of Ekeland's variational principle in b-PMS.

To prove the main results, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (Cantor's intersection theorem in b-PMS) Let (X,b) be a complete b-PMS, then for every descending nested sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in N^+}$ of nonempty bounded closed subsets of X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} diam_r(A_n) = 0$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} A_n = \{x\}$.

Proof. Firstly, let us show $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} A_n \neq \emptyset$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, take $x_n \in A_n$. Since $\{A_n\}_{n \in N^+}$ is a descending nested sequence, we get $\{x_n\} \subset A_1$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $b(x_n, x_n) - b(x_1, x_1) \leq b(x_n, x_1) - b(x_1, x_1) \leq diam_r(A_1)$. Thus, $\{b(x_n, x_n)\}$ is bounded, and then there exists a convergent subsequence. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{b(x_n, x_n)\}$ is convergent.

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} diam_r(A_n) = 0$, we get $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \{b(x_m,x_n) - b(x_m,x_m)\} = 0$, then

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}b(x_m,x_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}b(x_n,x_n)$$

i.e., $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ is Cauchy. Thus, there is some $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}b(x_m,x_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}b(x,x_n)=b(x,x)$$

Since $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}^+, x_{n+i} \in A_n \text{ and } \lim_{i \to \infty} b(x, x_{n+i}) = b(x, x)$, we get $x \in \overline{A_n} = A_n$. Thus, $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} A_n$, and hence, $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} A_n \neq \emptyset$.

Secondly, let us prove $\{A_n\}_{n \in N^+}$ is a singleton.

Conversely, suppose there is $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} A_n$ and $y \neq x$. From (Pb1), we have that b(x, y), b(x, x), b(y, y) are not equal. We draw contradictions in two cases.

Case 1. If b(x,y), b(x,x), b(y,y) are not equal to each other, then $b(x,y) - b(x,x) = \alpha > 0$. Since $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} A_n$, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} diam_r(A_n) = \sup\{b(x,y) - b(x,x) | x, y \in A_n\} = 0$. i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} diam_r(A_n) < \alpha = b(x,y) - b(x,x)$, which ensures that $y \notin A_n$. Hence, y cannot be in $\bigcap_{n \in N^+} A_n$, a contradiction.

Case 2. If $b(x,x) = b(y,y) \neq b(x,y)$, then $b(x,y) - b(x,x) = \alpha > 0$. Similar to case 1, a contradiction can be deduced. As for $b(x,x) = b(x,y) \neq b(y,y)$ or $b(x,y) = b(y,y) \neq b(x,x)$, a similar method can be used to draw contradictions

Finally, we have
$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} A_n = \{x\}.$$

Ekeland's variational principle in b-PMS is presented as follows.

Theorem 3.2 Let (X, b) be a complete b-PMS (with s > 1), such that the partial b-metric *b* is continuous and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a lower semicontinuous, proper and lower bounded function. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ be such that

$$f(x_0) \le \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon,$$

then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}^+}\subset X$ and $x_{\varepsilon}\in X$ such that

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_{\varepsilon};$
- (ii) $b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n) b(x_n, x_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^+;$
- (iii) $f(x_{\varepsilon}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n) \le f(x_0) + b(x_0, x_0);$

(iv) $f(x_{\varepsilon}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n) < f(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x, x_n)$, for every $x \neq x_{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. Consider the set

(1)
$$T(x_0) = \{x \in X | f(x) + b(x, x_0) \le f(x_0) + b(x_0, x_0)\}.$$

Noting that *f* is lower semicontinuous and $x_0 \in T(x_0)$, we have $T(x_0)$ is nonempty and closed in (X, b) and for every $y \in T(x_0)$

$$b(y,x_0) - b(x_0,x_0) \le f(x_0) - f(y) \le f(x_0) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x) \le \varepsilon$$

Choose $x_1 \in T(x_0)$ such that

(2)
$$f(x_1) + b(x_1, x_0) \le \inf_{x \in T(x_0)} \{f(x) + b(x, x_0)\} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2s}$$

and let

$$T(x_1) = \{x \in T(x_0) | f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x, x_i) \le f(x_1) + b(x_0, x_1) + b(x_1, x_1)\}$$

Inductively, we can suppose that $x_{n-1} \in T(x_{n-2})$ was already chosen and we consider

(3)

$$T(x_{n-1}) = \{x \in T(x_{n-2}) | f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x, x_i) \\ \leq f(x_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x_i, x_{n-1}) \}$$

Let us choose $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$ such that

(4)
$$f(x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x_n, x_i) \le \inf_{x \in T(x_{n-1})} \{ f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x, x_i) \} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n s^n}$$

and define the set

(5)

$$T(x_{n}) = \{x \in T(x_{n-1}) | f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{s^{i}} b(x, x_{i}) \\ \leq f(x_{n}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{s^{i}} b(x_{i}, x_{n}) \}$$

 $T(x_n)$ is nonempty and closed. From (4) and (5), it follows that for each $y \in T(x_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s^n} b(y, x_n) - \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_n, x_n) &\leq [f(x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x_i, x_n)] - [f(y) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(y, x_i)] \\ &\leq [f(x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x_i, x_n)] \\ &- \inf_{x \in T(x_{n-1})} \{f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x, x_i)\} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n s^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $y \in T(x_n)$

(7)
$$\frac{1}{s^n}b(y,x_n) - \frac{1}{s^n}b(x_n,x_n) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2^ns^n}.$$

Noting that

$$\frac{1}{s^n}b(y,x_n) - \frac{1}{s^n}b(x_n,x_n) \to 0 \ (n \to \infty),$$

we have $diamT(x_n) \to 0$. Since (X,b) is a complete partial b-metric space, by Lemma 3.1, there exists $x_{\varepsilon} \in X$ such that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T(x_n) = \{x_{\varepsilon}\}$. By (2) and (6), we know that $x_{\varepsilon} \in X$ satisfies (ii). Thus $x_n \to x_{\varepsilon}$ as $n \to \infty$.

Moreover, for all $x \neq x_{\varepsilon}$, we have $x \neq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T(x_n)$, so there exists $m \in N^+$ such that

$$f(x_m) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s^i} b(x_m, x_i) < f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{1}{s^i} b(x, x_i)$$

By (1), (3) and (4), for every $q \ge m$, we obtain

$$f(x_{\varepsilon}) + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s^{i}} b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_{i}) \leq f(x_{q}) + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s^{i}} b(x_{q}, x_{i})$$
$$\leq f(x_{m}) + \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{1}{s^{i}} b(x_{m}, x_{i})$$
$$\leq f(x_{0}) + b(x_{0}, x_{0}).$$

Thus (iii) and (iv) hold.

This ends the proof.

388

(6)

Corollary 3.3 Let (X,b) be a complete partial b-metric spaces (with s > 1), such that the partial *b*-metric *b* is continuous and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a lower semicontinuous, proper and lower bounded mapping. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ and $x_{\varepsilon} \in X$ such that

- (i) $f(x_0) \leq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon$
- (ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_{\varepsilon}$

(iii)
$$f(x_{\varepsilon}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n) \le f(x_0)$$

(iv)
$$f(x_{\varepsilon}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n) < f(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x, x_n)$$
 for any $x \in X$ and $x \neq x_{\varepsilon}$

Now, we'll present some generalizations of the Caristi type fixed point theorem.

Theorem 3.4 Let (X,b) be a complete partial b-metric spaces (with s > 1), such that the partial b-metric *b* is continuous and let $T : X \to X$ be an operator for which there exists a lower semicontinuous mapping $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$, such that

(8)
$$b(T(u),v) \le sb(u,T(u)) + b(u,v) - b(u,u)$$

(9)
$$\frac{s^2}{s-1}b(u,T(u)) \le f(u) - f(T(u))$$

for any $u, v \in X$. Then T has at least one fixed point.

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$, such that $x_n \to x_{\varepsilon}$ as $n \to \infty$, $x_{\varepsilon} \in X$ and

$$f(x_{\varepsilon}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n) \le f(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x, x_n) \quad \forall x \in X$$

In what follows, we'll prove that x_{ε} is a fixed point of T.

Conversely, suppose that $x_{\varepsilon} \neq T(x_{\varepsilon})$. Let $x = T(x_{\varepsilon})$, we get that

$$f(x_{\varepsilon}) - f(T(x_{\varepsilon})) < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(T(x_{\varepsilon}), x_n) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n)$$

Using (8), for $u = x_{\varepsilon}, v = x_n$ we have

$$f(x_{\varepsilon}) - f(T(x_{\varepsilon})) < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(T(x_{\varepsilon}), x_n) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} [b(T(x_{\varepsilon}), x_n) - b(x_{\varepsilon}, x_n)]$$
$$< \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon}, T(x_{\varepsilon})) \quad (by \ condition \ (8))$$
$$= \frac{s^2}{s-1} b(x_{\varepsilon}, T(x_{\varepsilon})).$$

i.e.,

(10)
$$f(x_{\varepsilon}) - f(T(x_{\varepsilon})) < \frac{s^2}{s-1}b(x_{\varepsilon}, T(x_{\varepsilon})).$$

In (9), let $u = x_{\mathcal{E}}$, then

(11)
$$\frac{s^2}{s-1}b(x_{\varepsilon},T(x_{\varepsilon})) \le f(x_{\varepsilon}) - f(T(x_{\varepsilon})).$$

Combing the inequalities (10) with (11), we obtain that

$$\frac{s^2}{s-1}b(x_{\varepsilon},T(x_{\varepsilon})) \leq f(x_{\varepsilon}) - f(T(x_{\varepsilon})) < \frac{s^2}{s-1}b(x_{\varepsilon},T(x_{\varepsilon})).$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $x_{\varepsilon} = T(x_{\varepsilon})$, i.e., x_{ε} is a fixed point of *T*.

Corollary 3.5 Assume the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are all satisfied.

- (1) If there exists $\omega_0 \in X$, $f(\omega_0) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$, then ω_0 is fixed point of T;
- (2) If for arbitrary ω ∈ X, f(ω) > inf _{x∈X} f(x), i.e., the infinimum of f can not be attained, then T has infinite fixed points in X;
- (3) If T is continuous on X, then for any $u_0 \in X$, the iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$, (where $u_{n+1} = T(u_n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$) converges to a fixed point of T in X.

Proof. (1) Let $u = \omega_0$. By condition (9), we have

$$\frac{s^2}{s-1}b(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0, T(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0)) \le f(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0) - f(T(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0))$$

Thus

$$f(T(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0)) \leq f(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0) - \frac{s^2}{s-1}b(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0, T(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0))$$

Therefore, we must have

$$f(T(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0)) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x) = f(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0)$$

This implies that $b(\omega_0, T(\omega_0)) = 0$, that is, $\omega_0 = T(\omega_0)$. Hence, ω_0 is a fixed point of T.

(2) Let $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. By Corollary 3.3, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ and $x_{\varepsilon_1} \in X$ such that

$$f(x_{\varepsilon_1}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} b(x_{\varepsilon_1}, x_n) \le f(x_0) \le \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon_1$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$x_{\varepsilon_1} = T(x_{\varepsilon_1})$$
 and $f(x_{\varepsilon_1}) \le \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon_1$

Let $\varepsilon_2 = \min\{\frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{f(x_{\varepsilon_1}) - \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x)}{2^2}\}$. In a similar manner, we have a fixed point x_{ε_2} , which satisfies

$$x_{\varepsilon_2} = T(x_{\varepsilon_2})$$
 and $f(x_{\varepsilon_2}) \le \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon_2$

Then, we have

$$f(x_{\varepsilon_2}) \le \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \frac{f(x_{\varepsilon_1}) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x)}{2^2} = \frac{1}{4} f(x_{\varepsilon_1}) + \frac{3}{4} \inf_{x \in X} f(x) < f(x_{\varepsilon_1})$$

Let $\varepsilon_3 = \min\{\frac{1}{2^3}, \frac{f(x_{\varepsilon_2}) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x)}{2^3}\}$, we have $x_{\varepsilon_3} \in X$ such that $x_{\varepsilon_3} = T(x_{\varepsilon_3})$ and $f(x_{\varepsilon_3}) < f(x_{\varepsilon_2})$. Generally, when x_{ε_k} exists, let $\varepsilon_{k+1} = \min\{\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{f(x_{\varepsilon_k}) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x)}{2^{k+1}}\}$, there is $x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \in X$ such that $x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} = T(x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}})$ and $f(x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}) < f(x_{\varepsilon_k})$.

Continuing this process, we obtain a sequence $\{x_{\varepsilon_k}\} \subset X$ such that

$$x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}} = T(x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}})$$
$$f(x_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}) < f(x_{\varepsilon_k})$$

That is, *T* has infinite fixed points in *X*.

(3) For every $u_0 \in X$, $u_{n+1} = T(u_n)(n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$. By condition (9), we have

$$\frac{s^2}{s-1}b(u_n, u_{n+1}) \le f(u_n) - f(u_{n+1})$$

Then $\{f(u_n)\}$ is decreasing and lower bounded, $\{f(u_n)\}$ is convergent. Hence, for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists *N*, when n > N, we have $0 \le f(u_n) - f(u_{n+p}) < \varepsilon$. At this time, let $v = u_n$,

by assumptions (8) and (9), we have

$$b(u_{n}, u_{n+p}) = b(T(u_{n+p-1}), u_{n})$$

$$\leq sb(u_{n+p-1}, u_{n+p}) + b(u_{n+p-1}, u_{n})$$

$$\leq sb(u_{n+p-1}, u_{n+p}) + sb(u_{n+p-2}, u_{n+p-1}) + \cdots$$

$$+ sb(u_{n+2}, u_{n+1}) + b(u_{n+1}, u_{n})$$

$$\leq \frac{s-1}{s} [f(u_{n+p-1}) - f(u_{n+p})] + \frac{s-1}{s} [f(u_{n+p-2}) - f(u_{n+p-1})] + \cdots$$

$$+ \frac{s-1}{s} [f(u_{n+1}) - f(u_{n+2})] + \frac{s-1}{s^{2}} [f(u_{n}) - f(u_{n+1})]$$

$$\leq f(u_{n+p-1}) - f(u_{n+p}) + f(u_{n+p-2}) - f(u_{n+p-1}) + \cdots$$

$$+ f(u_{n+1}) - f(u_{n+2}) + f(u_{n}) - f(u_{n+1})$$
(12)
$$= f(u_{n}) - f(u_{n+p}).$$

That is

$$b(u_n, u_{n+p}) = f(u_n) - f(u_{n+p}) < \epsilon$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}b(u_n,u_{n+p})=0$$

Therefore, $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy, there exists $\omega \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = \omega$. Since $u_{n+1} = T(u_n)$ and *T* is continuous, we'll obtain that

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T(u_n) = T(\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n) = T(\boldsymbol{\omega})$$

Then, sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges to fixed point of *T*.

Corollary 3.6 Let (X,b) be a complete partial sb-metric spaces (with s > 1). Suppose $T : X \to X$ be a mapping such that

(13)
$$b(T(x), T(y)) \le \lambda b(x, y)$$
 for all $x, y \in X$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then *T* has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ and b(u, u) = 0

Proof. Let us first show the existence of fixed point. (X,b) is a complete partial sb-metric spaces implies

$$b(x,y) \le sb(x,z) + b(y,z) - b(z,z)$$
 for all $x, y, z \in X$

Taking x = T(u), y = v and z = u, we have

$$b(T(u),v) \le sb(u,T(u)) + b(u,v) - b(u,u) \quad for \ all \ u,v \in X$$

so (8) holds.

Define $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ as follows

$$f(x) = \frac{s^2}{s-1} \frac{1}{1-\lambda} b(T(x), x)$$

Then $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is lower semi-continuous, bounded below and

$$f(x) - f(T(x)) = \frac{s^2}{s-1} \frac{1}{1-\lambda} b(T(x), x) - \frac{s^2}{s-1} \frac{1}{1-\lambda} b(T^2(x), T(x))$$

$$\geq \frac{s^2}{s-1} \frac{1}{1-\lambda} [b(T(x), x) - \lambda b(T(x), x)]$$

$$= \frac{s^2}{s-1} b(T(x), x)$$

i.e.,

(14)

$$f(x) - f(T(x)) \ge \frac{s^2}{s-1}b(T(x), x)$$

Hence (9) holds. By Theorem 4.1, there exists $u \in X$ such that u = T(u).

Let $v \in X$ is a fixed point of *T*, then

$$b(u,v) = b(Tu,Tv) \le \lambda b(u,v)$$

Hence b(u, v) = 0, which means u = v. i.e., the fixed point of T is unique.

Finally, if *u* is a fixed point of *T* and $b(u, u) \neq 0$, then from (13), we have $b(u, u) = b(Tu, Tu) \leq \lambda b(u, u) < b(u, u)$, a contradiction. Therefore b(u, u) = 0.

Conflict of Interests

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 4(1974), 324-353.
- [2] I. Ekeland, Nonconvex minimization problems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 1(1979), 443-474.
- [3] A. M. Bota, C. Varga, On Ekeland's variational principle in b-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory* 12 (2011), 21-28.
- [4] E. H. Aydi, E. Karapinar and C.Vetro, On Ekeland's variational principle in partial metric spaces, *Appl. J. Math. Infor. Sci.* 9(2015), 257-262.
- [5] S. Aleksić, H. Huang, Z. D.Mitrović, S. Radenović, Remarks on some fixed point results in b-metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 20(2018), 147.
- [6] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [7] S. Shukla, Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Mediter. J. Math. 11(2014), 703-711.
- [8] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, Fixed point of generalized weakly contractive map-pings in ordered partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012 (2012), 1.
- [9] M. A. Khamsi, N. Hussain, KKM mappings in metric type spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 73 (2010), 3123-3129.
- [10] M. Jovanović, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Common fixed point results in metric-type spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2010(2010), 978121.
- [11] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* 46(1998), 263-276.
- [12] X. Ge, S. Lin, Completions of partial metric spaces, Topol. Appl. 182(2015), 16-23.
- [13] R. Miculescu, A. Mihail, New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in b-metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 19(2017), 2153-2163.
- [14] M. Bukatin, R. Kopperman, S. Matthews, H. Pajoohesh, Partial metric spaces, *Amer. Math. Mon.* 116(2009), 708-718.
- [15] H. Huang, G. Deng, S. Radenović, Fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces with applications to differential equations, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 20(2018), 52.
- [16] A. T. M. Lau, L. Yao, Common fixed point propertices for a family of set-valued mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 459(2018), 203-216.
- [17] S. Romaguera, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, *Topol. Appl.* 159(2012), 194-199.
- [18] S. J. O'Neill, Partial metrics, valuations, and domain theory, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 806(1996), 304-315.
- [19] R. Heckmann, Approximation of metric spaces by partial metric spaces, *Appl. Categor. Struct.* 7(1999), 71-83.
- [20] S. Han, J. Wu, Z. Dong, Properties and principles on partial metric spaces, Topol. Appl. 230(2017), 77-98.
- [21] W. Kirk, N. Shahzad, Fixed point theory in distance spaces. Cham: Springer, 2014.