



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 2021, 11:6

<https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/5377>

ISSN: 1927-6303

## FIXED POINT RESULTS IN GENERALIZED $b$ -FUZZY METRIC SPACES

M. JEYARAMAN<sup>1,\*</sup>, D. POOVARAGAVAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai,

Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College for Women, Sivagangai, Tamil Nadu, India

Copyright © 2021 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** This paper comprises of a few fixed point theorems in the generalized  $b$ -fuzzy metric spaces. As a significant outcome, we give an adequate condition for a sequence to be Cauchy in the generalized  $b$ -fuzzy metric spaces. In this manner, we proved several fixed point theorems in generalized  $b$ -fuzzy metric spaces.

**Keywords:** fixed point; generalized  $b$ - fuzzy metric spaces; symmetric.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54A40.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The fuzzy set was characterized by Zadeh [18] in 1965 which is a numerical edge to dubiousness or vulnerability in a day by day life. Kramosil and Michalek [8] presented fuzzy metric spaces and this idea was adjusted by George and Veeramani in 1994 [5]. In 2006, S. Sedghi and N. Shobe [14] demonstrated a common fixed point theorem in  $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric spaces. Then again, the idea of  $b$ -metric was initiated from the works of Bakhtin [2]. Czerwik [3] gave an axiom which was weaker than the triangular inequality and formally defined a  $b$ - metric space

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: [jeya.math@gmail.com](mailto:jeya.math@gmail.com)

Received January 02, 2021

with a view of generalizing the Banach contraction mapping theorem. Sedghi and Shobe [12] joined the ideas of fuzzy set and b– metric space to present a b– fuzzy metric space.

In this paper, we deal the notation of a countable expansion of the t–norm, we demonstrate a valuable lemma in the generalized b– fuzzy metric space setting that guarantee that a sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Utilizing this lemma, we improve the evidences of some understand fixed point theorem. We present some of them in the principle part of the paper.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition: 2.1** A binary operation  $* : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous t–norm on the off chance that it fulfills the accompanying conditions:

- (i)  $*$  is associative and commutative,
- (ii)  $*$  is continuous,
- (iii)  $\sigma * 1 = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ ,
- (iv)  $\sigma * \zeta \leq \alpha * \beta$  for  $\sigma, \zeta, \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$  with the end goal that  $\sigma \leq \alpha$  and  $\zeta \leq \beta$ .

Basic example of a continuous t– norm are  $\sigma * \zeta = \min\{\sigma, \zeta\}$ ,  $\sigma * \zeta = \sigma \cdot \zeta$  and  $\sigma * \zeta = \max\{\sigma + \zeta - 1, 0\}$ .

**Definition: 2.2** Let  $*$  be a t– norm, and let  $*_p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  be deined in the following way

$$*_1(\sigma) = \sigma * \sigma, *_p = *_p(\sigma) * \sigma, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in [0, 1].$$

t– norm  $*$  is said to be  $\mathcal{H}$ – type if the family  $\{*_p(\sigma)\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  is equicontinuous at  $\sigma = 1$ .

A trivial example of t– norm of  $\mathcal{H}$ – type is  $\sigma * \zeta = \min\{\sigma, \zeta\}$ .

Each t– norm  $*$  can be extended by associativity in a unique way to an  $p$ – ary operation taking for  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_p) \in [0, 1]^p$  the values

$$*_1 \sigma_i = \sigma_i, *_p \sigma_i = *_p \sigma_i * \sigma_p = \sigma_1 * \sigma_2 * \dots * \sigma_p.$$

A t– norm  $*$  can be extended to a countable infinite operation taking for any sequence  $(\sigma_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  from  $[0, 1]$  the value

$$*_1 \sigma_i = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} *_p \sigma_i.$$

The sequence  $(\ast_{t=1}^{\infty} \sigma_t)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nonincreasing and bounded from below and hence the limit  $\ast_{t=1}^{\infty} \sigma_t$  exists.

In the fixed point theory it is of interest to investigate the classes of  $t$ -norms  $\ast$  and sequences  $(\sigma_p)$  from the interval  $[0,1]$  with the end goal that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p = 1$  and  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \ast_{t=p}^{\infty} \sigma_t = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \ast_{t=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{p+t} = 1$ .

**Definition: 2.3** [6] A quadruple  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, \ast, b)$  is called a generalized  $b$ -fuzzy metric spaces  $(Gb - \mathcal{FMS})$  with  $b \geq 1$  if  $\mathcal{G}$  is an arbitrary non-empty set,  $\ast$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a fuzzy set on  $\mathcal{G}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ , fulfill the accompanying conditions for each  $\sigma, \zeta, \eta, a \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $t, s > 0$ ,

$$(\mathcal{M}-1) \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, t) > 0,$$

$$(\mathcal{M}-2) \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, t) = 1 \text{ if and only if } \sigma = \zeta = \eta,$$

$$(\mathcal{M}-3) \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, t) = \mathcal{M}(p(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, \cdot), t), \text{ where } p \text{ is a permutation function,}$$

$$(\mathcal{M}-4) \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, t+s) \geq \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, a, \frac{t}{b}) \ast \mathcal{M}(a, \eta, \eta, \frac{s}{b}),$$

$$(\mathcal{M}-5) \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is continuous.}$$

Note that generalized  $b$ -fuzzy metric spaces are a generalized fuzzy metric spaces if  $b = 1$ , but the converse does not hold in general.

**Definition: 2.4** A function  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  is called  $b$ -non-decreasing if  $\alpha > b\beta \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\alpha) \geq \mathcal{J}(\beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition: 2.5** [6] Let  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, \ast, b)$  be a  $Gb - \mathcal{FMS}$ , then

- (i) A sequence  $\{\sigma_p\}$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be convergent to  $\sigma$  if for each  $t > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_p, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{\sigma_p\}$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for each  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with the end goal that  $\mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_q, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for each  $p, q \geq p_0$ .
- (iii) A generalized  $b$ -fuzzy metric spaces are said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Definition: 2.6** A  $Gb - \mathcal{FMS}$   $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, \ast, b)$  is said to be symmetric if  $\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \zeta, t) = \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \zeta, t)$  for all  $\sigma, \zeta \in \mathcal{G}$  and for each  $t > 0$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $\{\sigma_p\}$  be a sequence in a symmetric  $Gb - \mathcal{FMS}$   $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, *, b)$ . Let's keep that there are  $\tau \in (0, \frac{1}{b})$  with the end goal that

$$(3.1.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+2}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0,$$

and there exists  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  with the end goal that

$$(3.1.2) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} *_{i=p}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_1, \frac{t}{\rho^i}\right) = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

Then  $\{\sigma_p\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $\vartheta \in (\tau b, 1)$ . At that point the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \vartheta^i$  is convergent, and there exists  $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with the end goal that  $\sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \vartheta^i < 1$  for every  $p > p_0$ . Let  $p > s > p_0$ . Because of being  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $b$ -non-decreasing, for each  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+s}, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+s}, \frac{t \sum_{i=p}^{p+s-1} \vartheta^i}{b}\right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t \vartheta^p}{b^2}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+s}, \sigma_{p+s}, \frac{t \sum_{i=p+1}^{p+s-1} \vartheta^i}{b^2}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t \vartheta^p}{b^2}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+s}, \frac{t \sum_{i=p+1}^{p+s-1} \vartheta^i}{b^2}\right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t \vartheta^p}{b^2}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+2}, \frac{t \vartheta^{p+1}}{b^3}\right) \\ &\quad * \cdots * \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p+s-1}, \sigma_{p+s-1}, \sigma_{p+s}, \frac{t \vartheta^{p+s-1}}{b^{s+1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1.1) we get,

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+2}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \frac{t}{\tau^p}\right), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0.$$

Because of being  $p > s$  and  $b > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+s}, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t\vartheta^p}{b^2\tau^p}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t\vartheta^{p+1}}{b^3\tau^{p+1}}\right) \\
&\quad * \cdots * \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t\vartheta^{p+s-1}}{b^{s+1}\tau^{p+s-1}}\right) \\
&\geq *_{i=p}^{p+s-1} \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t\vartheta^i}{b^{i-p+2}\tau^i}\right) \\
&\geq *_{i=p}^{p+s-1} \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t\vartheta^i}{b^i\tau^i}\right) \\
\mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+s}, t) &\geq *_{i=p}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t}{\rho^i}\right), \quad \text{where } \rho = \frac{b\tau}{\vartheta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because of being  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , by (3.1.2) for this reason  $\{\sigma_p\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** Let  $\{\sigma_p\}$  be a sequence in a  $Gb - \mathcal{FMS}$  and let  $*$  be of  $\mathcal{H}$ -type. If there are  $\tau \in (0, \frac{1}{b})$  with the end goal that

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+2}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0.$$

Then  $\{\sigma_p\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Lemma 3.3** If for few  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  with  $\sigma, \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad t > 0.$$

Then  $\sigma = \zeta = \eta$ .

*Proof.* By(3.3.1) we get,

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, \frac{t}{\tau^p}\right), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0.$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, t) \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, \frac{t}{\tau^p}\right) = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

Hence  $\sigma = \zeta = \eta$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, *, b)$  be a symmetric complete  $Gb - \mathcal{FMS}$  with let  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .

Let's keep that there are  $\tau \in (0, \frac{1}{b})$  with the end goal that

$$(3.4.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}\zeta, \mathcal{J}\eta, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad \sigma, \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{G}, t > 0,$$

and there exists  $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  with the end goal that

$$(3.4.2) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} *_{i=p}^{\infty} \mathcal{M} \left( \sigma_0, \sigma_0, \mathcal{J} \sigma_0, \frac{t}{\rho^i} \right) = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

Then  $\mathcal{J}$  has a unique fixed point on  $\mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $\sigma_{p+1} = \mathcal{J} \sigma_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $\sigma = \sigma_{p-1}$ ,  $\zeta = \sigma_{p-1}$  and  $\eta = \sigma_p$  for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  with each  $t > 0$  we have

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M} \left( \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau} \right).$$

Using Lemma (3.1) we get  $\{\sigma_p\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Because of being  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, *, b)$  is complete, there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$  with the end goal that

$$(3.4.3) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p = \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_p, t) = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J} \sigma, \mathcal{J} \sigma, \sigma, t) &\geq \mathcal{M} \left( \mathcal{J} \sigma, \mathcal{J} \sigma, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{2b} \right) * \mathcal{M} \left( \sigma_p, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{t}{2b} \right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M} \left( \sigma, \sigma, \sigma_{p-1}, \frac{t}{2b\tau} \right) * \mathcal{M} \left( \sigma_p, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{t}{2b} \right) \\ &\geq 1 * 1 \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J} \sigma, \mathcal{J} \sigma, \sigma, t) &\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{J} \sigma = \sigma$ .

Let's keep that  $\sigma$  and  $\upsilon$  are fixed point for  $\mathcal{J}$ . Now,

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \upsilon, t) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J} \sigma, \mathcal{J} \sigma, \mathcal{J} \upsilon, t) \geq \mathcal{M} \left( \sigma, \sigma, \upsilon, \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \quad \text{by (3.4.1)}$$

Using Lemma (3.3) implies that  $\sigma = \upsilon$ . □

**Example 3.5** Let  $\mathcal{G} = [0, 1]$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, t) = e^{-\frac{[(\sigma-\zeta)^2 + (\zeta-\eta)^2 + (\eta-\sigma)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t}}$ ,  $\sigma, \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $t > 0$  is symmetric  $G_b - \mathcal{FMS}$  with  $b = 2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{J}\sigma = k^2\sigma$ ,  $k < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}\zeta, \mathcal{J}\eta, t) &= e^{-\frac{[k^2(\sigma-\zeta)^2 + k^2(\zeta-\eta)^2 + k^2(\eta-\sigma)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{[\tau(\sigma-\zeta)^2 + \tau(\zeta-\eta)^2 + \tau(\eta-\sigma)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t}} \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}\zeta, \mathcal{J}\eta, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad \sigma, \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{G}, t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\frac{1}{b} > \tau > k^2$ . So condition (3.4.1) of Theorem 3.4 is satisfied. Therefore  $\mathcal{J}$  has a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, *, b)$  be a symmetric complete  $Gb - \mathcal{F.M.S}$  and let  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . Let's keep that there are  $\tau \in (0, \frac{1}{b})$  with the end goal that

(3.6.1)

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}\zeta, \mathcal{J}\eta, t) \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \zeta, \eta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\zeta, \zeta, \mathcal{J}\zeta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\eta, \eta, \mathcal{J}\eta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\}$$

for all  $\sigma, \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $t > 0$ , and there exists  $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  with the end goal that

$$(3.6.2) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} *_{i=p}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \mathcal{J}\sigma_0, \frac{t}{\rho^i}\right) = 1, t > 0.$$

Then  $\mathcal{J}$  has a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $\sigma_{p+1} = \mathcal{J}\sigma_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $\sigma = \sigma_{p-1}$ ,  $\zeta = \sigma_{p-1}$  and  $\eta = \sigma_p$  for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $t > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, t) &= \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_p, t) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \mathcal{J}\sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, t) &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Case (i)} \quad \mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right)$$

By Lemma (3.1) we get  $\sigma_p = \sigma_{p+1}$ .

$$\text{Case (ii)} \quad \mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right)$$

Using Lemma (3.1) we get  $\{\sigma_p\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Because of being  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, *)$  is complete, there are  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$  with the end goal that

$$(3.6.3) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p = \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_p, t) = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

Let  $\vartheta_1 \in (\tau b, 1)$  and  $\vartheta_2 = 1 - \vartheta_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_1 t}{b}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{J}\sigma_p, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b}\right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_1 t}{b}\right) * \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left.\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \mathcal{J}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left.\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq 1 * \min\left\{1, 1, \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right)\right\}, \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right) \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{t}{\rho}\right), \quad \text{where } \rho = \frac{b\tau}{\vartheta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma (3.3) we get,  $\sigma$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Let's keep that  $\sigma$  and  $v$  are fixed point of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, v, t) &= \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}v, t) \\ &\geq \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left.\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(v, v, \mathcal{J}v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), 1, 1, 1\right\} \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, v, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma (3.3) we get,  $\sigma = v$ . □



**Theorem 3.7** Let  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}, *, b)$  be a symmetric complete  $Gb - \mathcal{FM}\mathcal{S}$  with let  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . Let's keep that there are  $\tau \in \left(0, \frac{1}{b^2}\right)$  with the end goal that

$$(3.7.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}\varsigma, \mathcal{J}\eta, t) \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \varsigma, \eta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{J}\sigma, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\varsigma, \varsigma, \mathcal{J}\varsigma, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\eta, \eta, \mathcal{J}\eta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \varsigma, \mathcal{J}\eta, \frac{2t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{J}\sigma, \mathcal{J}\varsigma, \eta, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\}$$

for all  $\sigma, \varsigma, \eta \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $t > 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}$  has a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $\sigma_{p+1} = \mathcal{J}\sigma_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma_{p-1}$ ,  $\varsigma = \sigma_{p-1}$  and  $\eta = \sigma_p$ . in (3.7.1) and assume that  $\alpha * \beta = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, t) &= \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_p, t) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \mathcal{J}\sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_p, \frac{2t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \mathcal{J}\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{2t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{2t}{\tau}\right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right) \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, t) &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$p \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0.$$

As in the proof of Theorem (3.6) by Lemma (3.3) and Corollary (3.2) we get,

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \sigma_{p+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0$$

and  $\{\sigma_p\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. So there are  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$  with the end goal that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p = \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma_p, t) = 1, \quad t > 0. \quad \text{Let } \vartheta_1 \in (\tau b^2, 1) \text{ and } \vartheta_2 = 1 - \vartheta_1.$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_1 t}{b}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b}\right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_1 t}{b}\right) * \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{2\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_1 t}{b}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{2\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_1 t}{b}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma_p, \mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma_p, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b^2\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b^2\tau}\right)\right\} \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{I}\sigma_p, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right)\left\} \right. \\ &\geq \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_1 t}{b}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \min\left\{\mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b^2\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b^2\tau}\right)\right\} \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right)\left\} \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty \\ &\geq \min\left\{1, 1, 1, \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \min\left\{1, \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b^2\tau}\right)\right\} \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b\tau}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{\vartheta_2 t}{b^2\tau}\right) = \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{t}{\rho}\right), \quad \text{where } \rho = \frac{b^2\tau}{\vartheta_2} \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma (3.3) we get  $\mathcal{I}\sigma = \sigma$ .

Let's keep that  $\sigma$  and  $v$  are fixed point of  $\mathcal{I}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, v, t) &= \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \mathcal{I}v, t) \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(v, v, \mathcal{I}v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \mathcal{I}v, \frac{2t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{I}\sigma, \mathcal{I}\sigma, v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right), 1, 1, 1, \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{2t}{\tau}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right\} \\
 &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{2t}{\tau}\right) \\
 &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, v, v, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right) \\
 &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, v, v, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right) \\
 \mathcal{M}(\sigma, \sigma, v, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(\sigma, \sigma, v, \frac{t}{b\tau}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma (3.3) we get,  $\sigma = v$ . □

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we utilize a countable extension of the  $t$ -norm. Utilizing this development and contraction condition to demonstrate the sequence in generalized fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces is a Cauchy sequence. Some fixed point theorems are additionally demonstrated by applying our outcomes.

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author(s) declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered  $b$ -metric spaces, *Math. Slovaca* 64(4) (2014), 941-960.
- [2] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, *Funct. Anal.*, 30, 1989, 26-37.
- [3] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostra.* 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [4] T. Dosenovic, A. Javaheri, S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, Coupled fixed point theorem in  $b$ -fuzzy metric spaces, *Novi Sad J. Math.* 47(1) (2017), 77-88.

- [5] A. George, P. Veeramani, On some result in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 64, 1994, 395-399.
- [6] M. Jeyaraman, D. Poovaragavan, Fixed point theorems in generalized b-fuzzy metric spaces for proximal contraction, *Adv. Math. Sci. J.* 8(3) (2019), 43-47.
- [7] M. Jeyaraman, S. Sowndrarajan, Common Fixed Point Theorems In Partial Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Contractive Condition, *Adv. Math.: Sci. J.* 3 (2019), 651-660.
- [8] I. Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, 11 (1975), 326-334.
- [9] V. Lakshmikantham, Lj. B. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Meth. Appl.* 70 (2009), 4341-4349.
- [10] M.S. Ashraf, R. Ali, N. Hussain, New Fuzzy Fixed Point Results in Generalized Fuzzy Metric Spaces with Application to Integral Equations, *IEEE Access*, 8 (2020), 91653-91660.
- [11] R. Muthuraj, R. Pandiselvi, S. Manro, Common Fixed point Results for R-Weakly Commuting Mappings in Generalized Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *J. Math. Computer Sci.* 9(2) (2014), 89-102.
- [12] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, Common fixed point Theorem in b-fuzzy metric space, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* 17(3) (2012), 349-359.
- [13] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, Common fixed point Theorem for R-Weakly commuting Maps in b-fuzzy Metric Space, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* 19(2) (2014), 285-295.
- [14] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, Fixed point Theorem in M-Fuzzy metric spaces with property (E), *Adv. Fuzzy Math.* 1(1) (2006), 55-65.
- [15] S. Sedghi, D. Turkoglu, N. Shobe, Generalization common fixed point theorem in complete fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 3(9) (2007), 337-348.
- [16] V. Vinoba, M. Jeyaraman, V. Pazhani, Results on fixed point theorems in generalized fuzzy metric spaces, *Malaya J. Math.* S(1) 2019, 167-170.
- [17] V. Vinoba, M. Jeyaraman, V. Pazhani, Common Fixed Point Theorems for weakly Compatible Mappings in M-Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 119 (2018), 3133-3141.
- [18] L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy Sets*, *Inform. Control*, 8 (1965), 338-358.