



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Advances in Fixed Point Theory, 3 (2013), No. 1, 70-76

ISSN: 1927-6303

A UNIQUE COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN CONE METRIC SPACES

K. PRUDHVI

Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Saifabad, Osmania University,
Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA

Abstract: In this paper we prove a unique common fixed point theorem in cone metric spaces which generalize and extend metric space into cone metric spaces. Our result generalizes and extends some recent results.

Keywords: Cone metric space; fixed point; asymptotically regular.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

In 2007 Huang and Zhang [5] have generalized the concept of a metric space, replacing the set of real numbers by an ordered Banach space and obtained some fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying different contractive conditions. Subsequently, Abbas and Jungck [1] and Abbas and Rhoades [2] have studied common fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces (see also [3,4] and the references mentioned therein). In this paper we extend the fixed point theorem of S.L.Singh et .al. [8] in metric space into cone metric spaces.

Throughout this paper, E is a real Banach space, $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ the set of all natural numbers. For the mappings $f, g: X \rightarrow X$, let $C(f, g)$ denotes set of coincidence

points of f, g , that is $C(f, g) := \{z \in X : fz = gz\}$.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some definitions of cone metric spaces and some of their properties [5].

Definition 1.1. Let E be a real Banach Space and P a subset of E . The set P is

Called a cone if and only if:

- (a) P is closed, nonempty and $P \neq \{0\}$;
- (b) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a, b \geq 0$, $x, y \in P$ implies $ax + by \in P$;
- (c) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P$ implies $x = 0$.

Definition 1.2. Let P be a cone in a Banach Space E , define partial ordering ' \leq ' on E with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We shall write $x < y$ to indicate $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$ while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y - x \in \text{Int } P$, where $\text{Int } P$ denotes the interior of the set P . This Cone P is called an order cone.

Definition 1.3. Let E be a Banach Space and $P \subset E$ be an order cone. The order cone P is called normal if there exists $L > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in E$,

$$0 \leq x \leq y \text{ implies } \|x\| \leq L \|y\|.$$

The least positive number L satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of P .

Definition 1.4. Let X be a nonempty set of E . Suppose that the map

$d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies :

$$(d1) \quad 0 \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and}$$

$$d(x, y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y;$$

$$(d2) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X ;$$

$$(d3) \quad d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X .$$

Then d is called a cone metric on X and (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

It is obvious that the cone metric spaces generalize metric spaces.

Example 1.1. ([5]). Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, $P = \{ (x, y) \in E \text{ such that } : x, y \geq 0 \} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$,

$X = \mathbb{R}$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ such that $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha |x - y|)$, where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a constant .Then (X, d) is a cone metric space.

Definition 1.5. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space .We say that $\{x_n\}$ is

(a) a Cauchy sequence if for every c in E with $0 \ll c$, there is N such that

$$\text{for all } n, m > N, d(x_n, x_m) \ll c ;$$

(b) a convergent sequence if for any $0 \ll c$, there is N such that for

$$\text{all } n > N, d(x_n, x) \ll c, \text{ for some fixed } x \in X.$$

A Cone metric space X is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X .

Lemma 1.1. ([5]) .Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, and let P be a normal cone with normal constant L .Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X .Then

(i). $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$.

(ii). $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0 (n, m \rightarrow \infty)$.

Definition 1.6. ([8]). Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$. Then the pair (f, g) is said to be (IT)-Commuting at $z \in X$ if $f(g(z)) = g(f(z))$ with $f(z) = g(z)$.

3. Main results

In this section we obtain a unique common fixed point theorem in cone metric spaces, which extend a metric space into cone metric spaces.

The following theorem is extend and improves the theorem 2.3. [8]

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space P be an order cone and $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be self-maps. Let

(f, g) be asymptotically regular at $x_0 \in X$ and the following conditions are satisfied :

(C1): $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$;

(C2): $d(fx, gy) \leq \varphi(m(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

$$\text{Where } m(x, y) = d(gx, gy) + \gamma[d(gx, fx) + d(gy, fy)], 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 .$$

If $f(X)$ or $g(X)$ is a complete sub space of X . Then

- (i). $C(f, g)$ is non-empty. Further,
- (ii). f and g have a unique common fixed point provided that f and g are (IT)-

Commuting at a point $u \in C(f, g)$.

Proof.

Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Since if (f, g) is asymptotically regular at $x_0 \in X$,

Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , such that

$$f x_n = g x_{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0.$$

First we shall show that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose $\{gx_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $\mu > 0$ and increasing sequences $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers such that m_k even and n_k odd and for all $k, m_k < n_k$,

$$d(gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_k}) \geq \mu \text{ and } d(gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_k-1}) < \mu \tag{2.1.}$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$d(gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_k}) \leq d(gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_{k-1}}) + d(gx_{n_{k-1}}, gx_{n_k}).$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_k}) < \mu + 0.$$

(Since, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0$, We get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_{n_{k-1}}, gx_{n_k}) = 0$.)

Therefore there exists k_0 such that

$$d(gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_k}) < \mu \quad \forall k \geq k_0 \quad . \quad (2.2)$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we get that

$$\mu \leq d(gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_k}) < \mu \quad \forall k \geq k_0 \quad .$$

$$\text{Implies} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) = \mu \quad .$$

By (C2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{m_{k+1}}, gx_{n_{k+1}}) &= d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) \leq \varphi(m(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})) \\ &= \varphi(d(gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_k}) + \gamma[d(fx_{m_k}, gx_{m_k}) + d(gx_{n_k}, fx_{n_k})]). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\mu \leq \varphi(\mu) \quad \text{and as per definition of } \varphi\text{-map, } \varphi(\mu) < \mu.$$

Hence $\mu \leq \varphi(\mu) < \mu$, a contradiction.

Thus $\{gx_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Suppose $g(X)$ is a complete sub space of X . Then

$\{gx_n\}$ being contained in $g(X)$ has a limit in $g(X)$. Call it z . Let $u = g^{-1}z$.

Thus $gu = z$ for some $u \in X$.

By using (C2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, fx_{n_k}) &\leq \varphi(m(u, x_{n_k})) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(gu, gx_{n_k}) + \gamma[d(fu, gu) + d(fx_{n_k}, gx_{n_k})]) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$d(fu, z) \leq \varphi(\gamma[d(fu, z)]) < d(fu, z), \quad \text{a contradiction.}$$

Therefore, $fu = z = gu$. (2.3)

Thus $C(f, g)$ is non-empty. This proves (i).

And the pair (f, g) is (IT) - Commuting at u , then

$fgu = gfu$ and $ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu$. In view of (C2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, ffu) &\leq \varphi(m(u, x_{n_k})) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(gu, gfu) + \gamma[d(fu, gu) + d(ffu, gfu)]) \\ &< d(fu, ffu), \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $ffu = fu$ and $fgu = ffu = fu = z$.

Therefore, f and g have a common fixed point.

Uniqueness, let w be another fixed point of f and g .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider, } d(z, w) = d(fz, fw) &\leq \varphi(m(z, w)) \\ &= \varphi(d(gz, gw) + \gamma[d(gz, fz) + d(gw, fw)]) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(z, w) + \gamma[d(z, z) + d(w, w)]) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(z, w) < d(z, w)) \quad (\text{Since } \varphi\text{-map, } \varphi(\omega) < \omega), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Therefore, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Abbas and G.Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341(2008) 416-420.
- [2] M.Abbas and B.E.Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces. Appl.Math. Lett. 22(2009), 511-515.

- [3] V.Berinde, A common fixed point theorem for compatible quasi contractive self mappings in metric spaces ,Appl.Math .Comput.,213(2009),348-354.
- [4] B.Fisher, Four mappings with a common fixed point J.Univ .Kuwait Sci., (1981), 131-139.
- [5] L.G.Huang, X.Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J.Math.Anal.Appl.332(2)(2007)1468-1476.
- [6] G.Jungck, Common fixed points for non continuous non self maps on non- metric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 4(1996) 199-215.
- [7] S.Rezapour and Halbarani, Some notes on the paper “cone metric spaces and fixed point theorem of contractive mappings “ ,J.Math. Anal. Appl., 345(2008), 719-724.
- [8] S.L.Singh, Apichai Hematulin and R.P.Pant, New coincidence and common fixed point theorem, Applied General Topology 10(2009), no.1, 121-130.