Available online at http://scik.org

Advances in Fixed Point Theory, 2 (2012), No. 3, 273-285

ISSN: 1927-6303

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM OF COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS OF TYPE (A) IN MENGER SPACES

R. A. RASHWAN¹ AND AMIT SINGH^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut-71516 Egypt

²Department of Mathematics, Govt. Degree College Billawar, Jammu and Kashmir-184202, India

Abstract. In this paper, we establish a common fixed point theorem for four compatible mappings of

type (A) in Menger spaces under a new contraction condition. Also, an example is given to justify our

results.

Keywords: T-norm; Menger spaces; Common fixed points; Compatible maps.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 54H25; 47H10

1. Introduction

There have been a number of generalizations of metric space. One such generalization

is Menger space introduced in 1942 by Menger [6] who was use distribution functions in-

stead of non-negative real numbers as values of the metric. Schweizer and Sklar [11] and

[12] studied this concept and gave some fundamental results on this space. The important

development of fixed-point theory in Menger spaces was due to Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid

[13]. The theory of probabilistic metric spaces is of fundamental importance in probabilis-

tic functional analysis. For the detailed discussions of these spaces and their applications

*Corresponding author

Received December 14, 2011

273

274

we refer to [3],[16],[17],[18],[19].

Sessa [14] introduced weakly commuting maps in metric spaces. Jungck [4] enlarged this concept to compatible maps. The notion of compatible maps in Menger spaces has been introduced by Mishra [7]. In 1993, Jungck, Murthy and Cho [5] generalize the concept of compatible mappings into compatible mappings of type (A). Recently, some fixed point theorems in Menger spaces have been proved by many, authors, Radu [8]-[10], Stojakovic [20],[21], Dedeic and Sarapa [2], Cho, Murthy and Stojakovic [1] and others under various contractive conditions.

In this paper, a common fixed point theorem is proved for four compatible mappings of type (A) in Menger space, which satisfy a new contraction condition and a fixed point theorem in a metric space as a corollary.

2. Preliminaries

Let R denote the set of reals, R^+ the nonnegative reals and N denote the set of all natural numbers. A mappings $F: R^+ \to R^+$ is called a distribution function if it is nondecreasing and left continuous with $\inf F = 0$ and $\sup F = 1$. We will denote \triangle by the set of all distribution functions.

A probabilistic metric space (briefly, PM-space) is a pair (X, ξ) where X is a nonempty set and ξ is a mapping from $X \times X$ to Δ . For $(u, v) \in X \times X$, the distribution function F(u, v)is denoted by $F_{u,v}$. The function $F_{u,v}$ are assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

- (P1) $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$ for every x > 0 iff u = v,
- (P2) $F_{u,v}(0) = 0$ for every $u, v \in X$,
- (P3) $F_{u,v}(x) = F_{v,u}(x)$ for every $u, v \in X$,
- (P4) if $F_{u,w}(x) = 1$ and $F_{w,v}(y) = 1$ then $F_{u,v}(x+y) = 1$ for every $u, v, w \in X$.

In a metric space (X,d) the metric d induces a mapping $F: X \times X \to \Delta$ such that

$$F(u,v)(x) = F_{u,v}(x) = H(x - d(u,v)),$$

for every $u, v \in X$ and $x \in R$, where H is a specific distribution function defined by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \le 0, \\ 1, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

The following definitions and lemmas are needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1[11]. A T-norm is a function $t:[0,1]\times[0,1]\to[0,1]$ which satisfies:

- (T1) t(a,1) = a and t(0,0) = 0,
- (T2) t(a,b) = t(b,a),
- (T3) $t(c,d) \ge t(a,b), c \ge a, d \ge b,$
- (T_4) t(t(a,b),c) = t(a,t(b,c)).

Definition 2.2[11]. A Menger space is an order triple (X, ξ, t) where (X, ξ) is a probabilistic metric space and t is T-norm satisfying:

$$(P4)' \quad F_{u,v}(x+y) \ge t(F_{u,w}(x), F_{w,v}(y)) \text{ for all } u, v, w \in X \text{ and } x, y \ge 0.$$

B. Schweizer and A. Sklar [11] introduced particular spaces of PM-spaces which are called simple spaces.

Definition 2.3 An PM-space (X, ξ) is said to be a simple space if and only if there exists a metric d on X and a distribution function G satisfying G(0) = 0, such that for every x, y in X

$$F_{x,y}(u) = \begin{cases} G(\frac{u}{d(x,y)}), & x \neq y, \\ \\ H(u), & x = y. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we say that (X, ξ) is the simple space generated by the metric space (X, d) and the distribution function G.

Theorem 2.4[11]. A simple space is a Menger space under any choice of T satisfying (T1), (T2), (T3) and (T4).

Schweizer and Sklar [11] pointed out, if T-norm t of Menger space (X, F, t) is continuous, then there exists a topology on X such that X, τ is a Hausdorff topological space in the topology τ induced by the family of neighbourhoods $\{U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0, \lambda > 0\}$ where

$$U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{ y \in X; F_{x,y}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda \}.$$

Definition 2.5. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Menger space X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there is an integer $N(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that

$$F_{x_n,x}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$$
 for all $n \ge N(\epsilon, \lambda)$.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there is an integer $N(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_n, x_m}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n, m \geq N(\epsilon, \lambda)$.

An important T-norm is the T-norm $t(a,b) = \min\{a,b\}, a,b \in [0,1]$ and this is the unique T-norm such that $t(a,a) \ge a$ for every $a \in [0,1]$. Indeed if it satisfies this condition, we have

$$\min\{a, b\} \le t(\min\{a, b\}, \{a, b\}) \le t(a, b)$$
$$\le t(\min\{a, b\}, 1) = \min\{a, b\}$$

Therefore, $t = \min$.

Theorem 2.6 [8]. Let t be a T-norm defined by $t(a,b) = \min\{a,b\}$. Then an induced Menger space $\{X,\xi,t\}$ is complete if a metric space (X,d) is complete.

For complete topological preliminaries on Menger spaces see, for example [12].

G. Jungck [4] introduced more generalized commuting mappings, called compatible mappings, which are more general than those of weakly commuting mappings. In general, commuting mappings are weakly commuting mappings and weakly commuting mappings are compatible mappings but the converse is not true.

Recently, G. Jungck et al. [5] defined the concept of compatible mappings of type (A)

which is equivalent to the concept of compatible mappings under some conditions and proved a common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (A) in metric spaces.

Further, S. N. Mishra [7] and Y. J. Cho et al. [1] introduced the concept of compatible mappings and compatible mappings of type (A) respectively in Menger spaces as follows.

Definition 2.7 [7]. Two self mappings S and T of Menger space (X, ξ, t) , where t is continuous will be called compatible if and only if $F_{STx_n,TSx_n}(u) \to 1$ for all u > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Sx_n, Tx_n \to z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.8 [1]. Let (X, ξ, t) be a Menger space such that T-norm t is continuous and S, T be mappings from X into itself. S and T are said to be compatible of type (A) if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{TSx_n, SSx_n}(u) = 1 \quad and \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} F_{STx_n, TTx_n}(u) = 1, \quad for \quad u > 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

The following Proposition 2.9 and 2.10 show that the Definitions 2.7 and 2.8 are equivalent under some condition [1].

Proposition 2.9. Let (X, ξ, t) be a Menger space such that T-norm t is continuous and $t(x, x) \ge x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, and let $S, T : X \to X$ be continuous. If S and T are compatible, then they are compatible of type (A).

Proposition 2.10. Let (X, ξ, t) be a Menger space such that T-norm t is continuous and $t(x, x) \ge x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, and let $S, T : X \to X$ be compatible of type (A). If one of S and T is continuous, then S and T are compatible.

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.9 and 2.10 we have the following [1].

Proposition 2.11 Let (X, ξ, t) be a Menger space such that T-norm t is continuous and $t(u, u) \ge u$ for all $u \in [0, 1]$, and let $S, T : X \to X$ be mappings. If S and T are compatible mappings of type (A) and Sz = Tz for some $z \in X$, then STz = TTz = TSz = SSz.

Proposition 2.12.Let (X, ξ, t) be a Menger space such that T-norm t is continuous and $t(u, u) \ge u$ for all $u \in [0, 1]$, and $S, T : X \to X$ be mappings. Let S and T be compatible mappings of type (A) and $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$. Then we have

- (1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} TSx_n = Sz \text{ if } S \text{ is continuous at } z.$
- (2) STz = TSz and Sz = Tz if S and T are continuous at z.

3. A Common Fixed Point Theorem

Before proving our main theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 [16]. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in Menger space (X, ξ, t) , where t is continuous and

 $t(u,u) \ge u$ for all $u \in [0,1]$. If there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that

$$F_{x_n,x_{n+1}}(kx) \ge F_{x_{n-1},x_n}(x),$$

for all x > 0 and $n \in N$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Now, we are ready to give our main theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, ξ, t) be a complete Menger space with $t(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and A, B, S, T be mappings from X into itself such that

- (3.1) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,
- (3.2) the pair $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are compatible of type (A),
- (3.3) one of A, B, S and T is continuous,
- (3.4) there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that

$$(F_{Ax,By}(ku))^{2} \ge \min \{F_{Sx,Ax}(u)F_{Ty,By}(u), F_{Sx,By}(2u)F_{Ty,Ax}(u), F_{Sx,Ax}(u)F_{Sx,By}(2u), F_{Ty,Ax}(u)F_{Ty,By}(u), F_{Sx,Ax}(u)F_{Ty,Ax}(u), F_{Sx,By}(2u)F_{Ty,By}(u), (F_{Sx,Ax}(u))^{2}, (F_{Ty,By}(u))^{2}, (F_{Sx,Ty}(u))^{2}\},$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and u > 0. Then A, B, S and T Have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. By (3.1), since $A(X) \subset T(X)$, for any $x_0 \in X$, there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$. Since $B(X) \subset S(X)$, for this point x_1 , we can choose a point $x_2 \in X$

such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Inductively, one can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that

$$y_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}$$

 $y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1}, \quad n \ge 0.$

Now, we prove $F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku) \ge F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)$ for all u > 0, where $k \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that $F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku) < F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)$ for some u > 0. Then by using (3.4) and $F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku) \le F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)$, we have

$$\begin{split} (F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2 & \geq & (F_{Ax_{2n},Bx_{2n+1}}(ku))^2 \\ & > & \min\{F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Tx_{2n+1},Bx_{2n+1}}(u),F_{Sx_{2n},Bx_{2n+1}}(2u)F_{Tx_{2n+1},Ax_{2n}}(u), \\ & F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Sx_{2n},Bx_{2n+1}}(2u),F_{Tx_{2n+1},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Tx_{2n+1},Bx_{2n+1}}(u), \\ & F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Tx_{2n+1},Ax_{2n}}(u),F_{Sx_{2n},Bx_{2n+1}}(2u)F_{Tx_{2n+1},Bx_{2n+1}}(u), \\ & (F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u))^2, (F_{Tx_{2n+1},Bx_{2n+1}}(u))^2, (F_{Sx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}}(u))^2 \} \\ & = & \min\{F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u),F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2u)F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u), \\ & F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n+1}}(2u),F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u), \\ & (F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u))^2, (F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u))^2 \} \\ & > & \min\{F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u),t(F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u),F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u)), \\ & F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)t(F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u),F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u)),F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u), \\ & F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u)t(F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u),F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u)),F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u), \\ & (F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u))^2,(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u))^2,(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u))^2 \} \\ & > & \min\{(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2,(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku),(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2, \\ & F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku),F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku),(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2, \\ & F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku),F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku),(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2, \\ & (F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2,(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku),(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2, \\ & (F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2,(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2,(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku))^2, \\ & = & (F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u))^2,(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u))^2,(F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u))^2 \} \\ \\ &$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we have

$$F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(ku) \ge F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(u).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(ku) \ge F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(u).$$

Therefore

$$F_{y_n,y_{n+1}}(ku) \ge F_{y_{n-1},y_n}(u),$$

for all $n \in N$ and u > 0.

Hence by Lemma 3.1, it follows that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since the Menger space (X, ξ, t) is complete, $\{y_n\}$ converges to a point z in X and the subsequences $Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}$ and Tx_{2n-1} also converges to z.

Now suppose that T is continuous, Since B and T are compatible of type (A), then by Proposition 2.12, we have

$$BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1} \to Tz \ as \ n \to \infty.$$

Putting $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = Tx_{2n+1}$ in (3.4), we have

$$(F_{Ax_{2n},BTx_{2n+1}}(ku))^{2} \geq \min\{F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{TTx_{2n+1},BTx_{2n+1}}(u),F_{Sx_{2n},BTx_{2n+1}}(2u)F_{TTx_{2n+1},Ax_{2n}}(u),\\F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Sx_{2n},BTx_{2n+1}}(2u),F_{TTx_{2n+1},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{TTx_{2n+1},BTx_{2n+1}}(u),\\F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{TTx_{2n+1},Ax_{2n}}(u),F_{Sx_{2n},BTx_{2n+1}}(2u)F_{TTx_{2n+1},BTx_{2n+1}}(u),\\(F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u))^{2},(F_{TTx_{2n+1},BTx_{2n+1}}(u))^{2},(F_{Sx_{2n},TTx_{2n+1}}(u))^{2}\}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$(F_{z,Tz}(ku))^{2} \geq \min\{F_{z,z}(u)F_{Tz,Tz}(u), F_{z,Tz}(2u)F_{Tz,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,Tz}(2u), F_{Tz,z}(2u), F_{Tz,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{Tz,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{Tz,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}$$

which implies that Tz = z. Again replacing x by x_{2n} and y by z in (3.4), we have

$$(F_{Ax_{2n},Bz}(ku))^{2} \geq \min\{F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Tz,Bz}(u), F_{Sx_{2n},Bz}(2u)F_{Tz,Ax_{2n}}(u), F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Sx_{2n},Bz}(2u), F_{Tz,Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Tz,Bz}(u), F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)F_{Tz,Ax_{2n}}(u), F_{Sx_{2n},Bz}(2u)F_{Tz,Bz}(u), F_{Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}}(u)^{2}, (F_{Tz,Bz}(u))^{2}, (F_{Sx_{2n},Tz}(u))^{2}\}$$

Taking limit as $n\to\infty$ and using Tz=z, we have

$$(F_{z,Bz}(ku))^{2} \geq \min\{F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,Bz}(u), F_{z,Bz}(2u)F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,Bz}(2u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,Bz}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,Bz}(u), F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,z}(u)F_{z,z}(u)\}$$

$$= (F_{z,Bz}(u))^{2}, (F_{z,Bz}(u))^{2}, (F_{z,z}(u))^{2}\}$$

which implies that Bz = z. Since $B(X) \subset S(X)$, there exists a point w in X such that Bz = Sw = z.

Again by using (3.4), we have

$$(F_{Aw,z}(ku))^{2} = (F_{Aw,Bz}(ku))^{2}$$

$$\geq \min\{F_{Sw,Aw}(u)F_{Tz,Bz}(u), F_{Sw,Bz}(2u)F_{Tz,Aw}(u), F_{Sw,Aw}(u)F_{Sw,Bz}(2u), F_{Tz,Aw}(u)F_{Tz,Bz}(u), F_{Sw,Aw}(u)F_{Tz,Aw}(u), F_{Sw,Bz}(2u)F_{Tz,Bz}(u), (F_{Sw,Aw}(u))^{2}, (F_{Tz,Bz}(u))^{2}, (F_{Sw,Tz}(u))^{2}\}$$

$$= \min\{F_{z,Aw}(u)F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}(2u)F_{z,Aw}(u), F_{z,Aw}(u)F_{z,z}(2u), F_{z,Aw}(u)F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,Aw}(u)F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,Aw}(u)F_{z,z}(u), F_{z,z}(2u)F_{z,z}(u), (F_{z,Aw}(u))^{2}, (F_{z,z}(u))^{2}, (F_{z,z}(u))^{2}\}$$

$$= (F_{z,Aw}(u))^{2}, (F_{z,z}(u))^{2}, (F_{z,z}(u))^{2}\}$$

$$= (F_{z,Aw}(u))^{2}, (F_{z,z}(u))^{2}, (F_{z,z}(u))^{2}\}$$

which implies that Aw = z. Since A and S are compatible of type (A) and Aw = Sw = z by Proposition 2.12, we have

$$Az = ASw = SSw = Sz$$
.

By using (3.4) again, we have Az = z. Therefore Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z, that is z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. For uniqueness, let \dot{z} be another common fixed point such that $\dot{z} \neq z$. Then by (3.4), we have

$$(F_{z,\acute{z}}(ku))^{2} = (F_{Az,B\acute{z}}(ku))^{2}$$

$$\geq \min\{F_{Sz,Az}(u)F_{T\acute{z},B\acute{z}}(u), F_{Sz,B\acute{z}}(2u)F_{T\acute{z},Az}(u), F_{Sz,Az}(u)F_{Sz,B\acute{z}}(2u), F_{T\acute{z},Az}(u)F_{T\acute{z},B\acute{z}}(u), F_{Sz,Az}(u)F_{T\acute{z},Az}(u), F_{Sz,B\acute{z}}(2u)F_{T\acute{z},B\acute{z}}(u), (F_{Sz,Az}(u))^{2}, (F_{T\acute{z},B\acute{z}}(u))^{2}, (F_{Sz,T\acute{z}}(u))^{2}\}$$

$$= (F_{z,\acute{z}}(u))^{2},$$

which means that $z = \dot{z}$. Thus z is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T. As a consequence of Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.2, we have the following corollary in a metric space.

Corollary 3.3. Let A, B, S, T be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself such that

- (3.5) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,
- (3.6) the pair $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are compatible of type (A),
- (3.7) one of A, B, S and T is continuous,
- (3.8) there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that

$$(d(Ax, By))^{2} \leq k \max\{d(Sx, Ax)d(Ty, By), \frac{1}{2}d(Sx, By)d(Ty, Ax), \frac{1}{2}d(Sx, Ax)d(Sx, By), \\ d(Ty, Ax)d(Ty, By), d(Sx, Ax)d(Ty, Ax), \frac{1}{2}d(Sx, By)d(Ty, By) \\ (d(Sx, Ax))^{2}, (d(Ty, By))^{2}, (d(Sx, Ty))^{2}\},$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Now we give an example of a PM-space and two pairs of mappings which satisfy all axioms of Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.4. Let X = [0, 1] with the Euclidean metric d and let $\xi : X \times X \to D$ be defined as

$$F_{x,y}(u) = \begin{cases} G(\frac{u}{d(x,y)}), & x \neq y, \\ H(u), & x = y \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where G(X) is any distribution function such that G(0) = 0. Then, (X, ξ) is a simple space and using Theorem 2.4, the space (X, ξ, t) will be Menger space with 't = min'.

Define the mappings A, B, S and T as the following:

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le x < 1, \\ \frac{1}{12}, & x = 1, \end{cases} Sx = \frac{x}{4}, \quad 0 \le x \le 1$$

$$Bx = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le x < 1, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & x = 1, \end{cases} Tx = x, \quad 0 \le x \le 1.$$

These mappings satisfy the conditions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3). Moreover, for $k = \frac{3}{4}$ and $u \ge 0$, A, B, S and T satisfying (3.4) as follows:

$$\begin{split} (I) \ If \ x = y = 1, \ then \\ L.H.S. \ of \ (3.4) = (F_{\frac{1}{12},\frac{1}{4}}(\frac{3u}{4}))^2 &= (G(\frac{9u}{2}))^2. \\ R.H.S. \ of \ (3.4) = \min\{F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u)F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}}(u), F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}}(2u)F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u), F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u)F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}}(2u), \\ F_{1,\frac{1}{12}}(u)F_{1,\frac{1}{4}}(u), F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u)F_{1,\frac{1}{12}}(u), F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}}(2u)F_{1,\frac{1}{4}}(u), \\ (F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u))^2, (F_{1,\frac{1}{12}}(u))^2, (F_{\frac{1}{4},1}(u))^2 \} \\ &\leq (F_{1,\frac{1}{4}}(u))^2 = (G(\frac{4u}{2}))^2. \end{split}$$

Hence (3.4) is satisfied.

(II) If $0 \le x, y < 1$, then (3.4) is trivially satisfied.

$$\begin{split} (III) \ If \ x = 1, \ 0 &\leq y < 1, \ then \\ L.H.S. \ of \ (3.4) = (F_{\frac{1}{12},0}(\frac{3u}{4}))^2 = (G(9u))^2. \\ R.H.S. \ of \ (3.4) = \min\{F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u)F_{y,0}(u),F_{\frac{1}{4},0}(2u)F_{y,\frac{1}{12}}(u),F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u)F_{\frac{1}{4},0}(2u),\\ F_{y,\frac{1}{12}}(u)F_{y,0}(u),F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u)F_{y,\frac{1}{12}}(u),F_{\frac{1}{4},0}(2u)F_{y,0}(u),\\ (F_{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{12}}(u))^2,(F_{y,0}(u))^2,(F_{\frac{1}{4},y}(u))^2\} \\ &\leq (F_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(u))^2 = (G(6u))^2. \end{split}$$

Hence (3.4) is satisfied.

$$\begin{split} (IV) \ &I\!f\ 0 \leq x < 1,\ y = 1 then \\ L.H.S. \ of \ (3.4) = (F_{0,\frac{1}{4}}(\frac{3u}{4}))^2 = (G(4u))^2. \\ \\ R.H.S. \ of \ (3.4) &= \min\{F_{x,0}(u)F_{1,\frac{1}{4}}(u),F_{x,\frac{1}{4}}(2u)F_{1,0}(u),F_{x,0}(u)F_{x,\frac{1}{4}}(2u),F_{1,\frac{1}{4$$

Hence (3.4) is satisfied. Note that 0 is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T. **Acknowledgment**. The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her careful reading.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. J. Cho, P. P. Murthy and M. Stojakovic, Compatible mappings of Type (A) and common fixed point in Menger spaces, Comm. Korean Math. soc. 7 (2) (1992), 325-339.
- [2] R. Dedeic and N. Sarapa, A common fixed point theorem for three of mappings on Menger space, Math. Japonica 34(6)(1989), 919-923.
- [3] O. Hadzic, On the (ϵ, λ) -topology of LPC-spaces, Glasnik Metematicki 13(33), (1978).
- [4] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [5] G. Jungck, P. P. Murthy and Y. J. Cho, Compatible mappings of type(A) and common fixed points, Math. Japontica 38 (2) (1993), 381-390.

- [6] K. Menger, Statistical metrics, Proc. Nat. Acad. of sci. U. S. A 28 (1942),535-537.
- [7] S. N. Mishra, Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, Math Japonica 36 (2) (1991), 283-289.
- [8] V. Radu, On the contraction principle in Menger spaces, An. Univ. Timisoara, Stinte Math. 22 (1984), 83-88.
- [9] V. Radu, On t-norm of the Hadzic type and fixed points in probabilistic metric spaces, Review of research prirodxomatematickog fakulteta Novi Sad 13 (1983), 81-85.
- [10] V. Radu, On some contraction type mappings in Menger spaces, ihid 23 (1985), 61-65.
- [11] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10(1960), 313-334.
- [12] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Probabilistic metric spaces, North Holland series in probability and Applied Mathematics 5 (North Holland, Amsterdam1983).
- [13] V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharucha-Reid, Fixed point of contraction mapping on PM spaces, Math. Systems Theory 6 (1972), 97-100.
- [14] S. Sessa, On a weak commutative condition in fixed point consideration, Publ. Inst. Math. 32 (1982), 146-153.
- [15] H. Sherwood, On the completion of probabilistic metric spaces, Zwahrsch, Verw Geb 20(1971), 117-28.
- [16] S. L. singh and B. D. Pant, Common fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces and extension to uniform spaces, Honam Mathematical Journal 6 (1) (1984), 1-12.
- [17] S. L. singh and B. D. Pant, Fixed point theorems for commuting mappings in probabilistic spaces, Hanam Math. J. 5 (1985), 139-150.
- [18] S. L. Singh and B. D. Pant, Coincidence and fixed point teorems for a family of mappings in Menger spaces and extension to uniform spaces, Math. Japon. 33(6) (1988), 957-973.
- [19] M. Stojakovic, Common fixed point theorems for commuting mappings, India J. Pure Appl. math. 17(4) (1986), 466-475.
- [20] M. Stojakovic, Coincidence point theorems for multivalued mappings in Menger spaces, Jour. Naur. Phys. Sci. 1 (1987), 53-62.
- [21] M. Stojakovic, Nonexpansive and contration mappings in Menger space, Facta Univ. (Nis) Ser. Math. Inform 3 (1988), 43-50.