



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Advances in Fixed Point Theory, 3 (2013), No. 1, 105-125

ISSN: 1927-6303

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR SIX MAPPINGS IN ORDERED G -METRIC SPACES

R. A. RASHWAN AND S. M. SALEH*

Department of Mathematics, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

Abstract: In this paper, we establish some common fixed point theorems for six mappings in the framework of ordered G -metric space satisfying some generalized contractive conditions which improve and generalize the results of Abbas et.al. [3] for three mappings in a complete G -metric space . Examples are presented to support our results.

Keywords: Common fixed point, partially ordered set, dominating maps, weakly annihilator maps, G -metric space.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The notion of G -metric space was introduced by Mustafa and Sims [5], [6] as a generalization of metric spaces. Afterwards Mustafa and Sims [7] proved fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions in this space. The study of unique common fixed points of mappings satisfying strict contractive conditions has been at the center of rigorous research activity. In [1] Abbas and Rhoades studied common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings without continuity in G -metric spaces. Moreover, existence of fixed points in ordered metric spaces has been initiated by Ran and Reurings [9] and further studied by Nieto and

*Corresponding author

Received November 26, 2012

Lopez [8]. Recently, Abbas et.al. [3] extended and generalized the results in [7] and proved common fixed point theorems for three mappings in complete G -metric space. The purpose of this article is to study common fixed point theorems for six mappings in ordered G -metric spaces without using weakly compatible. Our result generalize various results of Abbas et.al. [3]. Here we present the necessary definitions and results in G -metric spaces which will be useful for the rest of the paper. However, for details we refer to [5], [6].

Definition 1.1. [6] Let X be a nonempty set, and let $G : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, be a function satisfying:

$$(G_1) \quad G(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ if } x = y = z,$$

$$(G_2) \quad 0 < G(x, x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \text{ with } x \neq y,$$

$$(G_3) \quad G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z), \forall x, y, z \in X, \text{ with } z \neq y,$$

$$(G_4) \quad G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) \dots, \text{ (symmetry in all three variables),}$$

$$(G_5) \quad G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z), \forall x, y, z, a \in X, \quad \text{(rectangle inequality).}$$

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or more specifically a G -metric on X , and the pair (X, G) is called a G -metric space.

Definition 1.2.[6] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, a sequence (x_n) is said to be

(i) G -convergent if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $x \in X$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq k$, $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

(ii) G -Cauchy if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for all $m, n, p \geq k$, $G(x_m, x_n, x_p) < \varepsilon$, that is $G(x_m, x_n, x_p) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n, p \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii) A space (X, G) is said to be G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G -convergent.

Definition 1.3.[6] A G -metric space X is symmetric if $G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 1.4.[6] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) (x_n) is convergent to x ,

(ii) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

(iii) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

(iv) $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1.5.[6] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) The sequence (x_n) is G -Cauchy,

(ii) for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for $m, n \geq k$.

Lemma 1.6.[6] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then the function $G(x, y, z)$ is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Proposition 1.7.[6] every G -metric space (X, G) will define a metric space (X, d_G) by

$$d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x), \forall x, y \in X.$$

Proposition 1.8.[6] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then for any $x, y, z,$ and $a \in X$, it follows that

(i) if $G(x, y, z) = 0$ then $x = y = z$,

(ii) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$,

(iii) $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(x, x, y)$,

(iv) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$,

(v) $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3}(G(x, y, a) + G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z))$,

(vi) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)$,

Definition 1.9. [4] Let X be a nonempty set. Then (X, \preceq, G) is called an ordered G -metric space if (X, G) is a G -metric space and (X, \preceq) is a partial order set.

Definition 1.10. Let (X, \preceq) be a partial ordered set. Then two points $x, y \in X$ are said to be comparable if $x \preceq y$ or $y \preceq x$.

In [2] Abbas et al. introduced the following definitions:

Definition 1.11. [2] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. A mapping f is called weak annihilator of g if $f g x \preceq x$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.12. [2] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. A mapping f on X is called dominating if $x \preceq f x$ for all $x \in X$.

For examples illustrating the above definitions are given in [2].

Definition 1.13. A subset W of a partially ordered set X is said to be well ordered if every two elements of W are comparable.

2. Common fixed point theorems

In this section, we establish common fixed point theorems for six mappings defined on an ordered G -metric space. We begin with the following theorem which generalize (Theorem 2.1, [3]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, \preceq, G) be an ordered G -metric space and let f, g, h, S, T and R be self-maps on X satisfying the following condition*

$$G(fx, gy, hz) \leq kM(x, y, z), \quad (2.1)$$

where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and

$$M(x, y, z) = \max\{G(Sx, Ty, Rz), G(fx, fx, Sx), G(gy, gy, Ty), G(hz, hz, Rz), \\ (gy, gy, Sx), G(Ty, hz, hz), G(Rz, fx, fx)\}$$

for all comparable elements $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that

(i) $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $g(X) \subseteq R(X)$, $h(X) \subseteq S(X)$,

(ii) dominating maps f, g, h are weak annihilators of T, R, S respectively,

(iii) one of $S(X)$, $T(X)$ or $R(X)$ is a G -complete subspace of X .

If, for a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \preceq y_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $y_n \rightarrow q$ implies that $x_n \preceq q$, then f, g, h, S, T and R have a common fixed point. Moreover, the set of common fixed points of f, g, h, S, T and R is well ordered if and only if f, g, h, S, T and R have one and only one common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Since $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $g(X) \subseteq R(X)$, $h(X) \subseteq S(X)$, we can choose $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ such that $y_0 = fx_0 = Tx_1$, $y_1 = gx_1 = Rx_2$, and $y_2 = hx_2 = Sx_3$. Continuing this process, we define the sequences x_n and y_n in X by $y_{3n} = fx_{3n} = Tx_{3n+1}$, $y_{3n+1} = gx_{3n+1} = Rx_{3n+2}$, $y_{3n+2} = hx_{3n+2} = Sx_{3n+3}$, for $n \geq 0$. By given assumptions, we get

$$x_{3n} \preceq fx_{3n} = Tx_{3n+1} \preceq fTx_{3n+1} \preceq x_{3n+1}, \\ x_{3n+1} \preceq gx_{3n+1} = Rx_{3n+2} \preceq gRx_{3n+2} \preceq x_{3n+2}, \\ x_{3n+2} \preceq hx_{3n+2} = Sx_{3n+3} \preceq hSx_{3n+3} \preceq x_{3n+3}.$$

So, for all $n \geq 0$ we have $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$. Suppose that $G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. If not, then for some $m \geq 0$, $y_m = y_{m+1} = y_{m+2}$ and the sequence $\{y_n\}$ becomes constant for $n \geq m$.

Indeed, let $m = 3k$ then $y_{3k} = y_{3k+1} = y_{3k+2}$ and from (2.1) we obtain

$$G(y_{3k+3}, y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}) = G(fx_{3k+3}, gx_{3k+1}, hx_{3k+2}) \leq kM(x_{3k+3}, x_{3k+1}, x_{3k+2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M(x_{3k+3}, x_{3k+1}, x_{3k+2}) \\ &= \max\{G(Sx_{3k+3}, Tx_{3k+1}, Rx_{3k+2}), G(fx_{3k+3}, fx_{3k+3}, Sx_{3k+3}), \\ & G(gx_{3k+1}, gx_{3k+1}, Tx_{3k+1}), G(hx_{3k+2}, hx_{3k+2}, Rx_{3k+2}), G(gx_{3k+1}, gx_{3k+1}, Sx_{3k+3}), \\ & G(Tx_{3k+1}, hx_{3k+2}, hx_{3k+2}), G(Rx_{3k+2}, fx_{3k+3}, fx_{3k+3})\} \\ &= \max\{G(y_{3k+2}, y_{3k}, y_{3k+1}), G(y_{3k+3}, y_{3k+3}, y_{3k+2}), G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+1}, y_{3k}), \\ & G(y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+1}), G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}), G(y_{3k}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+2}), G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+3}, y_{3k+3})\} \\ &\leq \max\{0, G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}), 0, 0, 0, 0, G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3})\} \\ &= G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}) \leq kG(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}).$$

Therefore $G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}) = 0$, that is $y_{3k+1} = y_{3k+2} = y_{3k+3}$. Similarly, if $m = 3k + 1$ one obtain that $y_{3k+2} = y_{3k+3} = y_{3k+4}$ and if $m = 3k + 2$ we have $y_{3k+3} = y_{3k+4} = y_{3k+5}$. Thus $\{y_n\}$ becomes a constant sequence and y_{3n} is the common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R . Now, suppose that $G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$, then by (2.1) we have

$$G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) = G(fx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}) \leq kM(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$\begin{aligned} & M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) \\ &= \max\{G(Sx_{3n}, Tx_{3n+1}, Rx_{3n+2}), G(fx_{3n}, fx_{3n}, Sx_{3n}), G(gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}, Tx_{3n+1}), \\ & G(hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}, Rx_{3n+2}), G(gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}, Sx_{3n}), \\ & G(Tx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}), G(Rx_{3n+2}, fx_{3n}, fx_{3n})\} \\ &= \max\{G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n}, y_{3n-1}), G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}), \\ & G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n-1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}), G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n})\} \\ &\leq \max\{G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), \\ & G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}), G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}), G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1})\} \\ &= \max\{G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\max\{G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})\} = G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})$ then we get

$$G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) \leq kG(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}),$$

which implies that $G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence

$$\max\{G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})\} = G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1})$$

and

$$G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) \leq kG(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}).$$

Similarly by replacing $x = x_{3n+3}$, $y = x_{3n+1}$, $z = x_{3n+2}$, in (2.1) we obtain

$$G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}) \leq kG(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}).$$

Also, replacing $x = x_{3n+3}$, $y = x_{3n+4}$, $z = x_{3n+2}$, in (2.1) we have

$$G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+4}) \leq kG(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}).$$

Therefore for all n we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) &\leq kG(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \dots \leq k^n G(y_0, y_1, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for all l, m, n with $l > m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned} G(y_n, y_m, y_l) &\leq G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \dots + G(y_{l-1}, y_{l-1}, y_l) \\ &\leq G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + \dots + G(y_{l-2}, y_{l-1}, y_l) \\ &\leq (k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{l-2})G(y_0, y_1, y_2) \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} G(y_0, y_1, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $l = m > n$ and $l > m = n$ we obtain

$$G(y_n, y_m, y_l) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} G(y_0, y_1, y_2).$$

Hence $G(y_n, y_m, y_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence.

Suppose that $S(X)$ is a G -complete subspace of X , then there exists a point $q \in S(X)$

such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{3n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{3n+3} = q$. Also, we can find a point $p \in X$ such that

$Sp = q$. Since $\{y_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{3n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{3n+1} = q$. We claim

that $fp = q$. Since

$$x_{3n+2} \preceq hx_{3n+2} = y_{3n+2} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{3n+2} = q \text{ then } x_{3n+2} \preceq q,$$

and since dominating map h is weak annihilators of S we have

$$x_{3n+2} \preceq q = Sp \preceq hSp \preceq p, \quad (2.2)$$

we conclude that $x_{3n+1} \preceq x_{3n+2} \preceq p$, hence from (2.1) we get

$$G(fp, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) = G(fp, gx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}) \leq kM(p, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M(p, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) \\ &= \max\{G(Sp, Tx_{3n+1}, Rx_{3n+2}), G(fp, fp, Sp), G(gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}, Tx_{3n+1}), \\ & G(hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}, Rx_{3n+2}), G(gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}, Sp), \\ & G(Tx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}), G(Rx_{3n+2}, fp, fp)\} \\ &= \max\{G(q, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(fp, fp, q), G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}), \\ & G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}, q), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}), G(y_{3n+1}, fp, fp)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(p, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) = \max\{0, G(fp, fp, q), 0, 0, 0, 0, G(q, fp, fp)\} = G(fp, fp, q).$$

Hence

$$G(fp, q, q) \leq kG(fp, fp, q) \leq 2kG(fp, q, q).$$

Then $G(fp, q, q) \leq 0$. Hence $fp = q = Sp$. Since f is dominating map, $p \preceq fp = q$, and from (2.2) we have $p = q$. Therefore $fq = q = Sq$. Since $fq = q$ and $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists $u \in X$ such that $Tu = q$. We claim that $gu = q$. Since $x_{3n+2} \preceq q$, and since dominating map f is weak annihilators of T we obtain

$$x_{3n+2} \preceq q = Tu \preceq fTu \preceq u, \quad \text{implies } x_{3n+2} \preceq q \preceq u, \quad (2.3)$$

so using (2.1) we get

$$G(q, gu, y_{3n+2}) = G(fq, gu, hx_{3n+2}) \leq kM(q, u, x_{3n+2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M(q, u, x_{3n+2}) \\ &= \max\{G(Sq, Tu, Rx_{3n+2}), G(fq, fq, Sq), G(gu, gu, Tu), G(hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}, Rx_{3n+2}), \\ & G(gu, gu, Sq), G(Tu, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}), G(Rx_{3n+2}, fq, fq)\} \\ &= \max\{G(q, q, y_{3n+1}), 0, G(gu, gu, q), G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+1}), G(gu, gu, q), \\ & G(q, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}), G(y_{3n+1}, q, q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(q, u, x_{3n+2}) = \max\{0, 0, G(gu, gu, q), 0, G(gu, gu, q), 0, 0\} = G(gu, gu, q).$$

Hence

$$G(q, gu, q) \leq kG(gu, gu, q) \leq 2kG(q, gu, q).$$

We get $G(q, gu, q) \leq 0$. Thus $gu = q = Tu$. Also, Since g is dominating map, $u \preceq gu = q$, and from (2.3) we have $u = q$. Therefore $gq = q = Tq$. Further, since $gq = q$ and $g(X) \subseteq R(X)$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $Rv = q$. We claim that $hv = q$. Since dominating map g is weak annihilators of R ones gets

$$q = Rv \preceq gRv \preceq v \text{ implies } q \preceq v, \quad (2.4)$$

by (2.1) we obtain

$$G(q, q, hv) = G(fq, gq, hv) \leq kM(q, q, v)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(q, q, v) &= \max\{G(Sq, Tq, Rv), G(fq, fq, Sq), G(gq, gq, Tq), G(hv, hv, Rv), \\ &G(gq, gq, Sq), G(Tq, hv, hv), G(Rv, fq, fq)\} \\ &= \max\{0, 0, 0, G(hv, hv, q), 0, G(q, hv, hv), 0\} = G(q, hv, hv). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(q, q, hv) = G(fq, gq, hv) \leq kG(q, hv, hv) \leq 2kG(q, q, hv),$$

which gives that $G(q, q, hv) = 0$, and $hv = q = Rv$. Since h is dominating map, $v \preceq hv = q$, and from (2.4) we have $v = q$. Therefore $hq = q = Rq$. We conclude that q is a common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R .

Now, suppose that the set of common fixed points of f, g, h, S, T and R is well ordered. We show that a common fixed points of f, g, h, S, T and R is unique. Let w is another common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R . Thus from (2.1) it follows that

$$G(q, q, w) = G(fq, gq, hw) \leq kM(q, q, w)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(q, q, w) &= \max\{G(Sq, Tq, Rw), G(fq, fq, Sq), G(gq, gq, Tq), G(hw, hw, Rw), \\ &G(gq, gq, Sq), G(Tq, hw, hw), G(Rw, fq, fq)\} \\ &= \max\{G(q, q, w), 0, 0, 0, 0, G(q, w, w), G(w, q, q)\} \\ &\leq \max\{G(q, q, w), 0, 2G(q, q, w)\} = 2G(q, q, w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(q, q, w) \leq 2kG(q, q, w),$$

so we have $G(q, q, w) = 0$ and $q = w$. Therefore, q is a unique common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R . Conversely, if f, g, h, S, T and R have one and only

one common fixed point then it is singleton set, so it is well ordered. The proof is similar when $T(X)$ or $R(X)$ is a G -complete subspace of X .

If we put $S = T = R = I$ (where I is the identity mapping) we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.2 *Let (X, \preceq, G) be a complete ordered G -metric space and let f, g and h be self-maps on X satisfying the following condition*

$$G(fx, gy, hz) \leq kM(x, y, z),$$

where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and

$$M(x, y, z) = \max\{G(x, y, z), G(fx, fx, x), G(gy, gy, y), G(hz, hz, z), \\ (gy, gy, x), G(y, hz, hz), G(z, fx, fx)\}$$

for all comparable elements $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that f, g and h are dominating maps. If, for a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow q$ implies that $x_n \preceq q$ for all n . Then f, g and h have a common fixed point. Moreover, the set of common fixed points of f, g and h is well ordered if and only if f, g and h have one and only one common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . We define the sequence x_n by

$$fx_{3n} = x_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1} = x_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2} = x_{3n+3} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

By given assumptions, we get

$$x_{3n} \preceq fx_{3n} = x_{3n+1} \preceq gx_{3n+1} = x_{3n+2} \preceq hx_{3n+2} = x_{3n+3}.$$

So, for all $n \geq 0$ we have $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$. Return the same proof of Theorem 2.1 in [3] we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence and $x_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $x_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $x_n \preceq q$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence from the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [3] we conclude that q is a common fixed of f, g and h . Also, similarly as the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have the set of common fixed points of f, g and h is well ordered if and only if f, g and h have one and only one common fixed point.

Example 2.3 *Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the G -metric defined by*

$$G(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\},$$

and suppose that \leq be the usual ordering on X . We define a new ordering \preceq on X as follows

$$x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow y \leq x, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

It is clearly that (X, \preceq, G) is an ordered G -metric space. Let $f, g, h, S, T, R: X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$fx = \ln(1 + x), \quad gx = \ln(1 + \frac{x}{4}), \quad hx = \ln(1 + \frac{x}{2}),$$

$$Tx = e^x - 1, \quad Rx = e^{2x} - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad Sx = e^{4x} - 1.$$

It is obvious that $f(X) = T(X) = g(X) = R(X) = h(X) = S(X) = X$. For each $x \in X$, we have

$$1 + x \leq e^x, \quad 1 + \frac{x}{4} \leq e^x, \quad 1 + \frac{x}{2} \leq e^x.$$

Hence

$$fx = \ln(1 + x) \leq x, \quad gx = \ln(1 + \frac{x}{4}) \leq x, \quad hx = \ln(1 + \frac{x}{2}) \leq x.$$

Then $x \preceq fx, x \preceq gx$, and $x \preceq hx$. Therefore f, g and h are dominating mappings. Also, for each $x \in X$ we obtain

$$fT(x) = f(e^x - 1) = \ln e^x = x \geq x,$$

$$gR(x) = g(e^{2x} - 1) = \ln(\frac{3+e^{2x}}{4}) = \ln(e^x \frac{3e^{-x}+e^x}{4}) = x + \ln(\frac{3e^{-x}+e^x}{4}) \geq x,$$

$$hS(x) = h(e^{4x} - 1) = \ln(\frac{1+e^{4x}}{2}) = \ln(e^x \frac{e^{-x}+e^{3x}}{2}) = x + \ln(\frac{e^{-x}+e^{3x}}{2}) \geq x.$$

We conclude that $fT(x) \preceq x, gR(x) \preceq x$ and $hS(x) \preceq x$. Thus f, g, h are weak annihilators of T, R, S respectively. Moreover, for all $x, y, z \in X$ one obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx, gy, hz) &= \max\{|fx - gy|, |gy - hz|, |hz - fx|\} \\ &= \max\{|\ln(1 + x) - \ln(1 + \frac{y}{4})|, |\ln(1 + \frac{y}{4}) - \ln(1 + \frac{z}{2})|, \\ &\quad |\ln(1 + \frac{z}{2}) - \ln(1 + x)|\} \\ &\leq \max\{|x - \frac{y}{4}|, |\frac{y}{4} - \frac{z}{2}|, |\frac{z}{2} - x|\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \max\{|4x - y|, |y - 2z|, |2z - 4x|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \max\{|e^{4x} - e^y|, |e^y - e^{2z}|, |e^{2z} - e^{4x}|\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \max\{|Sx - Ty|, |Ty - Rz|, |Rz - Sx|\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} G(Sx, Ty, Rz) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}M(x, y, z),$$

where

$$M(x, y, z) = \max\{G(Sx, Ty, Rz), G(fx, fx, Sx), G(gy, gy, Ty), G(hz, hz, Rz), \\ (gy, gy, Sx), G(Ty, hz, hz), G(Rz, fx, fx)\}.$$

The hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are holds with contractive factor equal to $\frac{1}{4}$. Also, 0 is a unique common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R .

Theorem 2.4 Let (X, \preceq, G) be an ordered G -metric space and let f, g, h, S, T and R be self-maps on X satisfying the following condition

$$G(fx, gy, hz) \leq kM(x, y, z), \quad (2.5)$$

where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$ and

$$M(x, y, z) = \max\{G(Ty, fx, fx) + G(Sx, gy, gy), G(Rz, gy, gy) + G(Ty, hz, hz), \\ G(Rz, fx, fx) + G(Sx, hz, hz)\}$$

for all comparable elements $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that

- (i) $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $g(X) \subseteq R(X)$, $h(X) \subseteq S(X)$,
- (ii) dominating maps f, g, h are weak annihilators of T, R, S respectively,
- (iii) one of $S(X)$, $T(X)$ or $R(X)$ is a G -complete subspace of X .

If for a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \preceq y_n$ for all n and $y_n \rightarrow q$ implies that $x_n \preceq q$, then f, g, h, S, T and R have a common fixed point. Moreover, the set of common fixed points of f, g, h, S, T and R is well ordered if and only if f, g, h, S, T and R have one and only one common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Since $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $g(X) \subseteq R(X)$, $h(X) \subseteq S(X)$, we can choose $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ such that $y_0 = fx_0 = Tx_1$, $y_1 = gx_1 = Rx_2$, and $y_2 = hx_2 = Sx_3$. Continuing this process, we define the sequences x_n and y_n in X by $y_{3n} = fx_{3n} = Tx_{3n+1}$, $y_{3n+1} = gx_{3n+1} = Rx_{3n+2}$, $y_{3n+2} = hx_{3n+2} = Sx_{3n+3}$ for $n \geq 0$.

By given assumptions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_{3n} &\preceq fx_{3n} = Tx_{3n+1} \preceq fTx_{3n+1} \preceq x_{3n+1}, \\ x_{3n+1} &\preceq gx_{3n+1} = Rx_{3n+2} \preceq gRx_{3n+2} \preceq x_{3n+2}, \\ x_{3n+2} &\preceq hx_{3n+2} = Sx_{3n+3} \preceq hSx_{3n+3} \preceq x_{3n+3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $n \geq 0$ we have $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$. Suppose that $G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. If not, then for some $m \geq 0$, $y_m = y_{m+1} = y_{m+2}$ the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is constant for $n \geq m$. Indeed, let $m = 3k$ then $y_{3k} = y_{3k+1} = y_{3k+2}$ and from (2.5) we obtain

$$G(y_{3k+3}, y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}) = G(fx_{3k+3}, gx_{3k+1}, hx_{3k+2}) \leq kM(x_{3k+3}, x_{3k+1}, x_{3k+2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M(x_{3k+3}, x_{3k+1}, x_{3k+2}) \\ &= \max\{G(Tx_{3k+1}, fx_{3k+3}, fx_{3k+3}) + G(Sx_{3k+3}, gx_{3k+1}, gx_{3k+1}), \\ & G(Rx_{3k+2}, gx_{3k+1}, gx_{3k+1}) + G(Tx_{3k+1}, hx_{3k+2}, hx_{3k+2}), \\ & G(Rx_{3k+2}, fx_{3k+3}, fx_{3k+3}) + G(Sx_{3k+3}, hx_{3k+2}, hx_{3k+2})\} \\ &= \max\{G(y_{3k}, y_{3k+3}, y_{3k+3}) + G(y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+1}), \\ & G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+1}) + G(y_{3k}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+2}), \\ & G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+3}, y_{3k+3}) + G(y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+2})\} \\ &= \max\{G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+3}, y_{3k+3}), 0\} \\ &\leq \max\{G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}), 0\} = G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}) \leq kG(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}).$$

Therefore $G(y_{3k+1}, y_{3k+2}, y_{3k+3}) = 0$, that is $y_{3k+1} = y_{3k+2} = y_{3k+3}$. Similarly, if $m = 3k + 1$ one obtain that $y_{3k+2} = y_{3k+3} = y_{3k+4}$ and if $m = 3k + 2$ we have $y_{3k+3} = y_{3k+4} = y_{3k+5}$. Thus, $\{y_n\}$ becomes a constant sequence and y_{3n} is the common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R . Now, suppose that $G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$, from (2.5) we have

$$G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) = G(fx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}) \leq kM(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(x_{3n}, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) \\
&= \max\{G(Tx_{3n+1}, fx_{3n}, fx_{3n}) + G(Sx_{3n}, gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}), \\
&G(Rx_{3n+2}, gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}) + G(Tx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}), \\
&G(Rx_{3n+2}, fx_{3n}, fx_{3n}) + G(Sx_{3n}, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2})\} \\
&= \max\{G(y_{3n}, y_{3n}, y_{3n}) + G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}), \\
&G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}), \\
&G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n}) + G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2})\} \\
&\leq \max\{G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}), \\
&G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n}) + G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2})\} \\
&\leq \max\{G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}), \\
&G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})\} \\
&= \max\{G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}), \\
&2G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})\} \\
&= 2G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) \leq k(2G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2})).$$

Hence

$$G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) \leq \frac{2k}{1-k} G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}).$$

Put $\lambda = \frac{2k}{1-k}$, clear $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Therefore

$$G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) \leq \lambda G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}) \leq \lambda G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}).$$

Also, we have

$$G(y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}, y_{3n+4}) \leq \lambda G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+3}).$$

Therefore, for all n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) &\leq \lambda G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) \\
&\leq \dots \leq \lambda^n G(y_0, y_1, y_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Following similar arguments to those given in Theorem 2.1, $G(y_n, y_m, y_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $S(X)$ is a G -complete subspace of X , then there exists a point $q \in S(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{3n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{3n+3} = q$. Also, we can find a point $p \in X$ such that $Sp = q$.

We claim that $fp = q$. Since

$$x_{3n+2} \preceq hx_{3n+2} = y_{3n+2} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{3n+2} = q \text{ then } x_{3n+2} \preceq q,$$

and since dominating map h is weak annihilators of S we have

$$x_{3n+2} \preceq q = Sp \preceq hSp \preceq p, \quad (2.6)$$

we conclude that $x_{3n+1} \preceq x_{3n+2} \preceq p$, thus by (2.5) we obtain

$$G(fp, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) = G(fp, gx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}) \leq kM(p, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(p, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) &= \max\{G(Tx_{3n+1}, fp, fp) + G(Sp, gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}), \\ &G(Rx_{3n+2}, gx_{3n+1}, gx_{3n+1}) + G(Tx_{3n+1}, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}), \\ &G(Rx_{3n+2}, fp, fp) + G(Sp, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2})\} \\ &= \max\{G(y_{3n}, fp, fp) + G(q, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}), \\ &G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}) + G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}), \\ &G(y_{3n+1}, fp, fp) + G(q, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(p, x_{3n+1}, x_{3n+2}) &= \max\{G(q, fp, fp), 0, G(q, fp, fp)\} \\ &= G(fp, fp, q). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(fp, q, q) \leq kG(fp, fp, q) \leq 2kG(fp, q, q).$$

That is $G(fp, q, q) = 0$. Hence $fp = q = Sp$. Since f is dominating map, $p \preceq fp = q$, and from (2.6) we have $p = q$. Therefore $fq = q = Sq$. Since $fq = q$ and $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists $u \in X$ such that $Tu = q$. We claim that $gu = q$. Since $x_{3n+2} \preceq q$, and since dominating map f is weak annihilators of T we obtain

$$x_{3n+2} \preceq q = Tu \preceq fTu \preceq u, \text{ implies } x_{3n+2} \preceq q \preceq u. \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.5) we have

$$G(q, gu, y_{3n+2}) = G(fq, gu, hx_{3n+2}) \leq kM(q, u, x_{3n+2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(q, u, x_{3n+2}) &= \max\{G(Tu, fq, fq) + G(Sq, gu, gu), \\ &G(Rx_{3n+2}, gu, gu) + G(Tu, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2}), \\ &G(Rx_{3n+2}, fq, fq) + G(Sq, hx_{3n+2}, hx_{3n+2})\} \\ &= \max\{G(q, gu, gu), G(y_{3n+1}, gu, gu) + G(q, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2}), \\ &G(Rx_{3n+2}, q, q) + G(q, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n+2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(q, u, x_{3n+2}) = \max\{G(q, gu, gu), G(q, gu, gu), 0\} = G(gu, gu, q).$$

Hence

$$G(q, gu, q) \leq kG(gu, gu, q) \leq 2kG(q, gu, q).$$

Thus $gu = q = Tu$. Also, Since g is dominating map, $u \leq gu = q$, and from (2.7) we have $u = q$. Therefore $gq = q = Tq$. Further, since $gq = q$ and $g(X) \subseteq R(X)$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $Rv = q$. We claim that $hv = q$. Since dominating map g is weak annihilators of R ones gets

$$q = Rv \leq gRv \leq v, \text{ implies } q \leq v. \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.5) we have

$$G(q, q, hv) = G(fq, gq, hv) \leq kM(q, q, v)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(q, q, v) &= \max\{G(Tq, fq, fq) + G(Sq, gq, gq), G(Rv, gq, gq) + G(Tq, hv, hv), \\ &G(Rv, fq, fq) + G(Sq, hv, hv)\} \\ &= \max\{0, G(q, hv, hv), G(q, hv, hv)\} = G(q, hv, hv). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(q, q, hv) = G(fq, gq, hv) \leq kG(q, hv, hv) \leq 2kG(q, q, hv),$$

which gives that $G(q, q, hv) = 0$, and $hv = q = Rv$. Since h is dominating map, $v \leq hv = q$, and from (2.8) we have $v = q$. Therefore $hq = q = Rq$. We conclude that q is a common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R .

Now, suppose that the set of common fixed points of f, g, h, S, T and R is well ordered. We show that a common fixed points of f, g, h, S, T and R is unique. Let w is another common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R . Thus from (2.5) one obtain

$$G(q, q, w) = G(fq, gq, hw) \leq kM(q, q, w)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(q, q, w) &= \max\{G(Tq, fq, fq) + G(Sq, gq, gq), G(Rw, gq, gq) + G(Tq, hw, hw), \\ &G(Rw, fq, fq) + G(Sq, hw, hw)\} \\ &= \max\{0, G(w, q, q) + G(q, w, w), G(w, q, q) + G(q, w, w)\} \\ &= G(w, q, q) + G(q, w, w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(q, q, w) \leq k(G(w, q, q) + G(q, w, w)) \leq 3kG(q, q, w).$$

Thus we have $G(q, q, w) = 0$ and $q = w$. Therefore, q is a unique common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R . Conversely, if f, g, h, S, T and R have one and only one common fixed point then it is singleton set, so it is well ordered. The proof is similar when $T(X)$ or $R(X)$ is a G -complete subspace of X .

If we put $S = T = R = I$ (where I is the identity mapping) we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5 *Let (X, \leq, G) be a complete ordered G -metric space and let f, g and h be self-maps on X satisfying the following condition*

$$G(fx, gy, hz) \leq kM(x, y, z),$$

where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$ and

$$M(x, y, z) = \max\{G(y, fx, fx) + G(x, gy, gy), G(z, gy, gy) + G(y, hz, hz), \\ G(z, fx, fx) + G(x, hz, hz)\}$$

for all comparable elements $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that f, g and h are dominating maps. If, for a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow q$ implies that $x_n \leq q$ for all n . Then f, g and h have a common fixed point. Moreover, the set of common fixed points of f, g and h is well ordered if and only if f, g and h have one and only one common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . We define the sequence x_n by

$$fx_{3n} = x_{3n+1}, \quad gx_{3n+1} = x_{3n+2}, \quad hx_{3n+2} = x_{3n+3} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

By given assumptions, we get

$$x_{3n} \leq fx_{3n} = x_{3n+1} \leq gx_{3n+1} = x_{3n+2} \leq hx_{3n+2} = x_{3n+3} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

So, for all $n \geq 0$ we have $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$. Return the same proof of Theorem 2.4 in [3] we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence and $x_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $x_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $x_n \leq q$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence from the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [3] we conclude that q is a common fixed of f, g and h . Also, similarly as the proof of Theorem 2.4 we have the set of common fixed points of f, g and h is well ordered if and only if f, g and h have one and only one common fixed point.

Example 2.6 *Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the G -metric defined by*

$$G(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\},$$

and suppose that \leq be the usual ordering on X . We define an ordering \preceq on X as follows

$$x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow y \leq x, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

It is clearly that (X, \preceq, G) is an ordered G -metric space. Let $f, g, h, S, T, R: X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$fx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{12} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{x}{8} & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad gx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{x}{6} & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad hx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ x & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases},$$

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 4x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ 6x & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 12x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ 8x & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad Rx = \begin{cases} 24x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ 48x & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}.$$

We see that f, g, h, S, T and R are discontinuous maps. It is obvious that $f(X) = T(X) = g(X) = R(X) = h(X) = S(X) = X$. For each $x \in X$, we have

$$fx \leq x, \quad gx \leq x, \quad hx \leq x.$$

Then $x \preceq fx, x \preceq gx$, and $x \preceq hx$. Therefore f, g and h are dominating mappings. Also, for each $x \in X$ we obtain

$$fT(x) = x \geq x, \quad gR(x) = \begin{cases} 6x \geq x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ 8x \geq x & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases},$$

$$hS(x) = \begin{cases} 2x \geq x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ 6x \geq x & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}.$$

We conclude that $fT(x) \preceq x, gR(x) \preceq x$ and $hS(x) \preceq x$. Thus f, g, h are weak annihilators of T, R, S respectively. Now, for all $x, y, z \in X$ we check the following cases:

(1) If $x, y, z \in [0, 1)$ we have

$$G(fx, gy, hz) = \max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{12} - \frac{y}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{4} - \frac{z}{2}\right|, \left|\frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{12}\right|\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{48} \max\{|4x - 12y|, |12y - 24z|, |24z - 4x|\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{48} \max\left\{\left|4x - \frac{y}{4}\right| + \left|12y - \frac{x}{12}\right| + \left|\frac{x}{12} - \frac{y}{4}\right|, \right.$$

$$\left. \left|12y - \frac{z}{2}\right| + \left|24z - \frac{y}{4}\right| + \left|\frac{y}{4} - \frac{z}{2}\right|, \left|24z - \frac{x}{12}\right| + \left|4x - \frac{z}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{12}\right|\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{48} \max\{G(Sx, gy, gy) + G(Ty, fx, fx) + \left|\frac{x}{12} - \frac{y}{4}\right|, \right.$$

$$\left. G(Ty, hz, hz) + G(Rz, gy, gy) + \left|\frac{y}{4} - \frac{z}{2}\right|, \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G(Rz, fx, fx) + G(Sx, hz, hz) + \left| \frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{12} \right\} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{48} \max\{G(Sx, gy, gy) + G(Ty, fx, fx) + G(fx, gy, hz), \\
& G(Ty, hz, hz) + G(Rz, gy, gy) + G(fx, gy, hz), \\
& G(Rz, fx, fx) + G(Sx, hz, hz) + G(fx, gy, hz)\} \\
= & \frac{1}{48} (M(x, y, z) + G(fx, gy, hz)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \frac{1}{47} M(x, y, z)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x, y, z) = & \max\{G(Sx, gy, gy) + G(Ty, fx, fx), \\
& G(Ty, hz, hz) + G(Rz, gy, gy), G(Rz, fx, fx) + G(Sx, hz, hz)\}
\end{aligned}$$

(2) If $x, y, z \in [1, \infty)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(fx, gy, hz) &= \max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{8} - \frac{y}{6}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{6} - z\right|, \left|z - \frac{x}{8}\right|\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{48} \max\{|6x - 8y|, |8y - 48z|, |48z - 6x|\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{48} \max\{G(Sx, gy, gy) + G(Ty, fx, fx) + \left|\frac{x}{8} - \frac{y}{6}\right|, \\
&G(Ty, hz, hz) + G(Rz, gy, gy) + \left|\frac{y}{6} - z\right|, \\
&G(Rz, fx, fx) + G(Sx, hz, hz) + \left|z - \frac{x}{8}\right|\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{48} (M(x, y, z) + G(fx, gy, hz)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \frac{1}{47} M(x, y, z)$.

(3) If $x, y \in [0, 1)$ and $z \in [1, \infty)$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
G(fx, gy, hz) &= \max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{12} - \frac{y}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{4} - z\right|, \left|z - \frac{x}{12}\right|\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{48} \max\{|4x - 12y|, |12y - 48z|, |48z - 4x|\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{48} \max\{G(Sx, gy, gy) + G(Ty, fx, fx) + \left|\frac{x}{12} - \frac{y}{4}\right|, \\
&G(Ty, hz, hz) + G(Rz, gy, gy) + \left|\frac{y}{4} - z\right|, \\
&G(Rz, fx, fx) + G(Sx, hz, hz) + \left|z - \frac{x}{12}\right|\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{48} (M(x, y, z) + G(fx, gy, hz)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \frac{1}{47} M(x, y, z)$.

(4) If $x, z \in [0,1)$ and $y \in [1, \infty)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx, gy, hz) &= \max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{12} - \frac{y}{6}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{6} - \frac{z}{2}\right|, \left|\frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{12}\right|\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \max\{|4x - 8y|, |8y - 24z|, |24z - 4x|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} (M(x, y, z) + G(fx, gy, hz)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \frac{1}{47} M(x, y, z)$.

(5) If $y, z \in [0,1)$ and $x \in [1, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx, gy, hz) &= \max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{8} - \frac{y}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{4} - \frac{z}{2}\right|, \left|\frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{8}\right|\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \max\{|6x - 12y|, |12y - 24z|, |24z - 6x|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} (M(x, y, z) + G(fx, gy, hz)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \frac{1}{47} M(x, y, z)$.

(6) If $x \in [0,1)$ and $y, z \in [1, \infty)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx, gy, hz) &= \max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{12} - \frac{y}{6}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{6} - z\right|, \left|z - \frac{x}{12}\right|\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \max\{|4x - 8y|, |8y - 48z|, |48z - 4x|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} \max\{G(Sx, gy, gy) + G(Ty, fx, fx) + \left|\frac{x}{12} - \frac{y}{6}\right|, \\ &\quad G(Ty, hz, hz) + G(Rz, gy, gy) + \left|\frac{y}{6} - z\right|, \\ &\quad G(Rz, fx, fx) + G(Sx, hz, hz) + \left|z - \frac{x}{12}\right|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} (M(x, y, z) + G(fx, gy, hz)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \frac{1}{47} M(x, y, z)$.

(7) If $y \in [0,1)$ and $x, z \in [1, \infty)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx, gy, hz) &= \max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{8} - \frac{y}{4}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{4} - z\right|, \left|z - \frac{x}{8}\right|\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \max\{|6x - 12y|, |12y - 48z|, |48z - 6x|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} (M(x, y, z) + G(fx, gy, hz)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \frac{1}{47} M(x, y, z)$.

(8) If $z \in [0,1)$ and $x, y \in [1, \infty)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx, gy, hz) &= \max\left\{\left|\frac{x}{8} - \frac{y}{6}\right|, \left|\frac{y}{6} - \frac{z}{2}\right|, \left|\frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{8}\right|\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \max\{|6x - 8y|, |8y - 24z|, |24z - 6x|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} \max\{G(Sx, gy, gy) + G(Ty, fx, fx) + \left|\frac{x}{8} - \frac{y}{6}\right|, \\ &\quad G(Ty, hz, hz) + G(Rz, gy, gy) + \left|\frac{y}{6} - \frac{z}{2}\right|, \\ &\quad G(Rz, fx, fx) + G(Sx, hz, hz) + \left|\frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{8}\right|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} (M(x, y, z) + G(fx, gy, hz)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } G(fx, gy, hz) \leq \frac{1}{47} M(x, y, z).$$

The hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 are holds with constant $k = \frac{1}{47}$. Also, 0 is a unique common fixed point of f, g, h, S, T and R .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, B. E. Rhoades, Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces, *Appl. Math. and Computation*, 215 (2009) 262–269.
- [2] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and S. Radenovic', Common fixed points of four maps in partially ordered metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011) 1520–1526.
- [3] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and R. Saadati, Common fixed point results for three maps in generalized metric space, *Advances in Difference Equations* 2011, 49 (2011).
- [4] M. Abbas, Y.J. Cho and T. Nazir, Common Fixed Points of Ciric-type Contractive Mappings in Two Ordered Generalized Metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 139 (2012).
- [5] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D -metric spaces, *Intern. Conf. Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Yokohama (2004) 189–198.
- [6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Analysis*, 7 (2006) 289–297.
- [7] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2009) Article ID 917175, 2009, 10 pages.
- [8] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodriguez-Lopez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and

applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order*, 22 (2005), 223–239.

[9] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc Am Math Soc.*, 132 (2004), 1435–1443.