



Available online at <http://scik.org>
Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 2024, 14:21
<https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/8552>
ISSN: 1927-6303

CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS OF PICARD-ABBAS HYBRID ITERATIVE PROCESS

MANBHALANG CHYNE, NAVEEN KUMAR*

Department of Mathematics, Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India

Copyright © 2024 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Iterative methods play a crucial role in numerical analysis and optimization problems. This paper introduces a hybrid fixed-point iterative process and compares its convergence rate with the established Abbas iterative approach, using Berinde's criteria. Additionally, the stability and data dependency of the improved iterative process are established. This study's purpose is to demonstrate the superiority, in terms of convergence speed, of the hybrid approach and provide further insights into its stability and data dependency. Furthermore, we apply the iterative process to find solutions of delay differential equations.

Keywords: new iterative process; convergence; stability; data dependency; hybrid iterative process.

2020 AMS Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the several applications of the Banach contraction theorem is to ascertain that a unique fixed point exists. Consequently, it is commonly employed in the development of numerous iterative processes. Several well-known iterative processes include the Picard process [1], the Mann process [2], the Ishikawa process [3], the Noor process [4], the Abbas process [5], the Agarwal process [6], the SP process [7], the S^* process [8] and the CR process [9]. Several hybrid iterative processes were introduced, including the Picard-Mann [10], Picard-Ishikawa

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: imnaveenphd@gmail.com

Received March 19, 2024

[11] and Picard-Noor [12] hybrid iterative processes. In Berinde's sense [13], it was observed that these procedures exhibited faster convergence compared to some well-known iterative processes. This study presents a novel approach that combines the Picard and Abbas iterative processes to create a hybrid. We establish the superiority of this hybrid over the Abbas iteration, in terms of convergence rate, in Berinde's sense [13]. We shall call this hybrid process the *Picard-Abbas hybrid iterative process* or simply *P-A hybrid iterative process*. Furthermore, the data dependency and stability results of the process are established. We also present an application of the process.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let C be a non-empty closed convex subset of a Banach space X . A point $p \in C$ is a fixed point of $T : C \rightarrow C$ if $Tp = p$. Let $Fix(T) = \{p \in C | Tp = p\}$.

A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a contraction if $\exists \theta \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \theta \|x - y\| \text{ for all } x, y \in C$$

Definition 2.1. [13] *If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are real sequences with $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_n - x|}{|y_n - y|} = 0$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to x faster than $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ does to y .*

Definition 2.2. [13] *Let the fixed point iterative processes $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be such that $x_n \rightarrow p$ and $t_n \rightarrow p$. Suppose $\|x_n - p\| \leq a_n$ and $\|t_n - p\| \leq b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are two sequences with $a_n \rightarrow 0, b_n \rightarrow 0$. If $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges faster than $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges faster than $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ to p .*

Lemma 2.1. *Let a real sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($k_n \geq 0$) satisfy $k_{n+1} \leq (1 - \mu_n)k_n$. If $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let the real sequences $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($a_n \geq 0, b_n \geq 0$) satisfy $a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \lambda_n)a_n + b_n$, where $\lambda_n \in (0, 1) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ and $\frac{b_n}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let θ be such that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, and let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($\varepsilon_n > 0$) be a sequence, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. Then for any sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, satisfying $\rho_{n+1} \leq \theta \rho_n + \varepsilon_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = 0$.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be non-negative real sequence. Suppose there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\forall n \geq n_1$, the inequality $\xi_{n+1} \leq (1 - \zeta_n)\xi_n + \zeta_n\xi_n$ is satisfied, where $\zeta_n \in (0, 1)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta_n = \infty$ and $\lambda_n \geq 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the inequality below holds*

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n$$

Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process

It is defined by $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} p_{n+1} &= Tq_n \\ q_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)p_n + \alpha_n T p_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in $(0, 1)$.

Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative process

For any fixed p_1 in C , this process is defined by $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} p_{n+1} &= Tq_n \\ q_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)p_n + \alpha_n T r_n \\ r_n &= (1 - \beta_n)p_n + \beta_n T p_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ are real sequences in $(0, 1)$.

Picard-Noor hybrid iterative process

For any fixed p_1 in C , this process is defined by $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} p_{n+1} &= Tq_n \\ q_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)p_n + \alpha_n T r_n \\ r_n &= (1 - \beta_n)p_n + \beta_n T s_n \\ s_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)p_n + \gamma_n T p_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ are real sequences in $(0, 1)$.

Abbas iterative process

For any fixed t_1 in C , this process is defined by $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)Tu_n + \alpha_nTv_n \\ u_n &= (1 - \beta_n)Tt_n + \beta_nTv_n \\ v_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)t_n + \gamma_nTt_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are real sequences in $(0, 1)$.

Picard-Abbas hybrid iterative process

We introduce the Picard-Abbas hybrid iterative process below.

For any fixed x_1 in C , the Picard-Abbas hybrid iterative process is defined by the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= Ty_n \\ y_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)Tz_n + \alpha_nTw_n \\ z_n &= (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n + \beta_nTw_n \\ w_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_nTx_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are real sequences in $(0, 1)$.

3. CONVERGENCE AND STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a self-mapping on C and let T satisfy (2.1). If the process (2.7) generates the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ being real sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n = \infty$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a unique fixed point p of T .*

Proof. The Banach contraction principle assures that a unique $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ exists. We will show that $x_n \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - p\| &= \|(1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_nTx_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n\|Tx_n - Tp\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n\theta\|x_n - p\| \\
(3.1) \quad &= (1 - \gamma_n(1 - \theta))\|x_n - p\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n - p\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n)Tx_n + \beta_nTw_n - p\| \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|Tx_n - Tp\| + \beta_n\|Tw_n - Tp\| \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\theta\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n\theta\|w_n - p\| \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\theta\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n\theta(1 - \gamma_n(1 - \theta))\|x_n - p\| \\
(3.2) \quad &= \theta[1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - p\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - p\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n + \alpha_nTz_n - p\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|Tx_n - Tp\| + \alpha_n\|Tz_n - Tp\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\theta\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\theta\|z_n - p\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\theta\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\theta^2[1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - p\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\theta\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\theta(1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta))\|x_n - p\| \\
(3.3) \quad &= \theta[1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - p\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|Ty_n - Tp\| \\
&\leq \theta\|y_n - p\| \\
(3.4) \quad &= \theta^2[1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - p\|
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above process, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \theta^2[1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - p\| \\ \|x_n - p\| \leq \theta^2[1 - \alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1}(1 - \theta)]\|x_{n-1} - p\| \\ \|x_{n-1} - p\| \leq \theta^2[1 - \alpha_{n-2}\beta_{n-2}\gamma_{n-2}(1 - \theta)]\|x_{n-2} - p\| \\ \dots \\ \|x_2 - p\| \leq \theta^2[1 - \alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_1(1 - \theta)]\|x_1 - p\| \end{array} \right.$$

From (3.5) we get

$$(3.6) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|x_1 - p\| \theta^{2n} \prod_{k=1}^n [1 - \alpha_k \beta_k \gamma_k (1 - \theta)]$$

Since $\theta, \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad 1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \theta) < 1$$

We know that $\forall x \in (0, 1)$, $1 - x < e^{-x}$. Using these facts and (3.6), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|x_1 - p\| \theta^{2n} e^{-(1-\theta)\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \beta_k \gamma_k}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (3.8), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = 0$.

Therefore, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a unique fixed point p of T . \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a self-mapping on C and let T satisfy (2.1). If the process (2.7) generates the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ being real sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n = \infty$, then (2.7) is T -stable.*

Proof. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any sequence in C . Let (2.7) generate the sequence $x_{n+1} = F(T, x_n)$ which converge to a unique $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$ (by Theorem 3.1) and $\varepsilon_n = \|t_{n+1} - F(T, x_n)\|$.

We will show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0 \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = x^*$.

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq \|t_{n+1} - F(T, x_n)\| + \|F(T, x_n) - x^*\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_n + \theta^2 (1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \theta)) \|t_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_n + (1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \theta)) \|t_n - x^*\| \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$.

Using Lemma (2.2), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = x^*$.

Conversely, let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = x^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n &= \|t_{n+1} - F(T, x_n)\| \\ &\leq \|t_{n+1} - x^*\| + \|F(T, x_n) - x^*\| \\ &\leq \|t_{n+1} - x^*\| + \theta^2 (1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \theta)) \|t_n - x^*\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|t_{n+1} - x^*\| + (1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \theta)) \|t_n - x^*\|$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$.

Hence, (2.7) is T -stable. \square

We now prove that (2.7) converges faster than (2.6) in Berinde's sense.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction satisfying (2.1) with a unique $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$. For $t_1 = x_1 \in C$, let (2.6) and (2.7) generate the iterative sequences $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ respectively, with $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ being real sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying*

$$(i) \quad \alpha \leq \alpha_n < 1, \beta \leq \beta_n < 1, \gamma \leq \gamma_n < 1 \text{ for some } \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0 \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to p faster than $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Proof. From inequality (3.6) of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|x_1 - p\| \theta^{2n} \prod_{k=1}^n [1 - \alpha_k \beta_k \gamma_k (1 - \theta)]$$

Using assumption (i) to (3.9), we get

$$(3.10) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \theta^{2n} [1 - \alpha \beta \gamma (1 - \theta)]^n \|x_1 - p\|$$

Let

$$(3.11) \quad a_n = \theta^{2n} [1 - \alpha \beta \gamma (1 - \theta)]^n \|x_1 - p\|$$

Using (2.6), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v_n - p\| &= \|(1 - \gamma_n)t_n + \gamma_n T t_n - (1 - \gamma_n + \gamma_n)p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n) \|t_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|T t_n - T p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n) \|t_n - p\| + \gamma_n \theta \|t_n - p\| \\ &= [1 - \gamma_n (1 - \theta)] \|t_n - p\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n)T t_n + \beta_n T v_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|T t_n - T p\| + \beta_n \|T v_n - T p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \theta \|t_n - p\| + \beta_n \theta \|v_n - p\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\theta \|t_n - p\| + \beta_n\theta(1 - \gamma_n(1 - \theta)) \|t_n - p\| \\
(3.13) \quad &= \theta[1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)] \|t_n - p\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t_{n+1} - p\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)Tu_n + \alpha_nTv_n - p\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|Tu_n - Tp\| + \alpha_n\|Tv_n - Tp\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\theta \|u_n - p\| + \alpha_n\theta \|v_n - p\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\theta^2[1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)] \|t_n - p\| + \alpha_n\theta[1 - \gamma_n(1 - \theta)] \|t_n - p\| \\
&< \theta \{1 - \alpha_n - (1 - \theta)(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n\gamma_n + \alpha_n - (1 - \theta)\alpha_n\gamma_n\} \|t_n - p\| \\
&\leq \theta \{1 - (1 - \theta)\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n + (1 - \theta)\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n - (1 - \theta)\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n\} \|t_n - p\| \\
(3.14) \quad &= \theta[1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)] \|t_n - p\|
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above process, we get

$$(3.15) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|t_{n+1} - p\| \leq \theta[1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)] \|t_n - p\| \\ \|t_n - p\| \leq \theta[1 - \alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1}(1 - \theta)] \|t_{n-1} - p\| \\ \|t_{n-1} - p\| \leq \theta[1 - \alpha_{n-2}\beta_{n-2}\gamma_{n-2}(1 - \theta)] \|t_{n-2} - p\| \\ \dots \\ \|t_2 - p\| \leq \theta[1 - \alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_1(1 - \theta)] \|t_1 - p\| \end{array} \right.$$

From (3.15) we get

$$(3.16) \quad \|t_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|t_1 - p\| \theta^n \prod_{k=1}^n [1 - \alpha_k\beta_k\gamma_k(1 - \theta)]$$

Using assumption (i) to (3.16), we get

$$(3.17) \quad \|t_{n+1} - p\| \leq \theta^n [1 - \alpha\beta\gamma(1 - \theta)]^n \|t_1 - p\|$$

Let

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} b_n &= \theta^n [1 - \alpha\beta\gamma(1 - \theta)]^n \|t_1 - p\| \\ \frac{a_n}{b_n} &= \frac{\theta^{2n} [1 - \alpha\beta\gamma(1 - \theta)]^n \|x_1 - p\|}{\theta^n [1 - \alpha\beta\gamma(1 - \theta)]^n \|t_1 - p\|} = \frac{\theta^n \|x_1 - p\|}{\|t_1 - p\|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the process (2.7) converges faster than the process (2.6). \square

4. DATA DEPENDENCY

Theorem 4.1. *Let \tilde{T} be an approximate operator of a contraction mapping T . Let the process (2.7) generate the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for T . We define $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as follows*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{x}_{n+1} &= \tilde{T}\tilde{y}_n \\
 \tilde{y}_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)\tilde{T}\tilde{z}_n + \alpha_n\tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n \\
 \tilde{z}_n &= (1 - \beta_n)\tilde{T}\tilde{x}_n + \beta_n\tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n \\
 \tilde{w}_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)\tilde{x}_n + \gamma_n\tilde{T}\tilde{x}_n
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.1}$$

where $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ in $(0, 1)$ satisfying

- (i) $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$
- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n = \infty$

If $Tp = p$ and $\tilde{T}\tilde{p} = \tilde{p}$ be such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}_n = \tilde{p}$, then $\|p - \tilde{p}\| \leq \frac{8\varepsilon}{1 - \theta}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a fixed number.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}_{n+1}\| &= \|Ty_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{y}_n\| \\
 &= \|Ty_n - T\tilde{y}_n + T\tilde{y}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{y}_n\| \\
 &\leq \|Ty_n - T\tilde{y}_n\| + \|T\tilde{y}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{y}_n\| \\
 &\leq \theta\|y_n - \tilde{y}_n\| + \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|w_n - \tilde{w}_n\| &= \|(1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_nTx_n - (1 - \gamma_n)\tilde{x}_n - \gamma_n\tilde{T}\tilde{x}_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \gamma_n\|Tx_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{x}_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \gamma_n\{\|Tx_n - T\tilde{x}_n\| + \|T\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{x}_n\|\} \\
 &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \gamma_n\theta\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \gamma_n\varepsilon \\
 &= (1 - \gamma_n(1 - \theta))\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \gamma_n\varepsilon
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n - \tilde{z}_n\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n)Tx_n + \beta_nTw_n - (1 - \beta_n)\tilde{T}\tilde{x}_n - \beta_n\tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|Tx_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{x}_n\| + \beta_n\|Tw_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\{\|Tx_n - T\tilde{x}_n\| + \|T\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{x}_n\|\} + \beta_n\{\|Tw_n - T\tilde{w}_n\| + \|T\tilde{w}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n\|\} \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\theta\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + (1 - \beta_n)\varepsilon + \beta_n\theta\|w_n - \tilde{w}_n\| + \beta_n\varepsilon \\
&= (1 - \beta_n)\theta\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \beta_n\theta\|w_n - \tilde{w}_n\| + \varepsilon \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\theta\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \beta_n\theta\{(1 - \gamma_n(1 - \theta))\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \gamma_n\varepsilon\} + \varepsilon \\
&\leq \theta[1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \varepsilon(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta) \\
(4.4) \quad &\leq [1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \varepsilon(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - \tilde{y}_n\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)Tz_n + \alpha_nTw_n - (1 - \alpha_n)\tilde{T}\tilde{z}_n - \alpha_n\tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|Tz_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{z}_n\| + \alpha_n\|Tw_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|Tz_n - T\tilde{z}_n + T\tilde{z}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{z}_n\| + \alpha_n\|Tw_n - T\tilde{w}_n + T\tilde{w}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\{\|Tz_n - T\tilde{z}_n\| + \|T\tilde{z}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{z}_n\|\} + \alpha_n\{\|Tw_n - T\tilde{w}_n\| + \|T\tilde{w}_n - \tilde{T}\tilde{w}_n\|\} \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\theta\|z_n - \tilde{z}_n\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\varepsilon + \alpha_n\theta\|w_n - \tilde{w}_n\| + \alpha_n\varepsilon \\
&= (1 - \alpha_n)\theta\|z_n - \tilde{z}_n\| + \alpha_n\theta\|w_n - \tilde{w}_n\| + \varepsilon \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\theta\{[1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \varepsilon(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta)\} \\
&\quad + \alpha_n\theta\{(1 - \gamma_n(1 - \theta))\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \gamma_n\varepsilon\} + \varepsilon \\
&\leq \theta\{(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)) + \alpha_n(1 - \gamma_n(1 - \theta))\}\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| \\
&\quad + \theta\varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta) + \varepsilon(1 + \alpha_n\gamma_n\theta) \\
&\leq \{1 + \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta) - \beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta) - \alpha_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)\}\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| \\
&\quad + \theta\varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta) + \varepsilon(1 + \alpha_n\gamma_n\theta) \\
&\leq \{1 + \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta) - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta) - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)\}\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| \\
&\quad + \theta\varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta) + \varepsilon(1 + \alpha_n\gamma_n\theta) \\
(4.5) \quad &= \{1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)\}\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \theta\varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta) + \varepsilon(1 + \alpha_n\gamma_n\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.2) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}_{n+1}\| &\leq \theta[1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \theta^2\varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta) \\
&\quad + \theta\varepsilon(1 + \alpha_n\gamma_n\theta) + \varepsilon \\
&\leq [1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + \beta_n\gamma_n\theta) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon(1 + \alpha_n\gamma_n\theta) + \varepsilon \\
&\leq [1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + 1) + \varepsilon(1 + 1) + \varepsilon \\
&= [1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + 2\varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n) + 3\varepsilon \\
&\leq [1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + 2\varepsilon(1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n) \\
(4.6) \quad &\quad + 3(1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n + \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n)\varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

From assumption (i) we have $1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n \leq \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n$. Using this in (4.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}_{n+1}\| &\leq [1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + 8\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n\varepsilon \\
(4.7) \quad &= [1 - \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)]\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| + \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta)\frac{8\varepsilon}{1 - \theta}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi := \|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|$, $\zeta_n := \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n(1 - \theta) \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda_n := \frac{8\varepsilon}{1 - \theta}$.

By Lemma (2.4), we have

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{8\varepsilon}{1 - \theta}$$

We know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$ (by Theorem 3.1). Also by assumption, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}_n = \tilde{p}$.

Therefore, we have $\|p - \tilde{p}\| \leq \frac{8\varepsilon}{1 - \theta}$.

This completes the proof. □

5. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATION

We now compare the P-A hybrid iterative process with Abbas, Picard-Mann, Picard-Ishikawa and Picard-Noor iterative processes by an illustration.

Example 5.1. Let $C = [1, 6] \subseteq X = \mathbb{R}$ and $T : C \rightarrow C$ be defined in C by $Tx = \sqrt{x+2}$ for all $x \in C$. Choose $\alpha_n = \beta_n = \gamma_n = \frac{1}{2}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with initial value $x_1 = 5$. Clearly, T is a contraction and $\text{Fix}(T) = 2$. The comparison is shown in table 1.

Step	Picard-Abbas	Abbas	Picard-Mann	Picard-Ishikawa	Picard-Noor
1	5.00000000000	5.00000000000	5.00000000000	5.00000000000	5.00000000000
2	2.06655558777	2.27065199733	2.41306354154	2.38883481446	2.38629784546
3	2.00171524137	2.02760217242	2.06322476109	2.05528840652	2.05441369100
4	2.00004438379	2.00285282760	2.00984688238	2.00797195435	2.00776739377
5	2.00000114860	2.00029526479	2.00153779502	2.00115180092	2.00111089764
6	2.00000002972	2.00003056401	2.00024026142	2.00016646296	2.00015892486
7	2.00000000077	2.00000316385	2.00003754038	2.00002405893	2.00002273666
8	2.00000000002	2.00000032751	2.00000586567	2.00000347726	2.00000325285
9	2.00000000000	2.00000003390	2.00000091651	2.00000050257	2.00000046537
10	2.00000000000	2.00000000351	2.00000014320	2.00000007264	2.00000006658
11	2.00000000000	2.00000000036	2.00000002238	2.00000001050	2.00000000953
12	2.00000000000	2.00000000004	2.00000000350	2.00000000152	2.00000000136
13	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000055	2.00000000022	2.00000000019
14	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000009	2.00000000003	2.00000000003
15	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000001	2.00000000000	2.00000000000
16	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000
17	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000
18	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000
19	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000
20	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000	2.00000000000

TABLE 1. Comparison of convergence rate of iterative processes (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7).

6. APPLICATION TO DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Let us endow the space $C([a, b])$ with the norm $\|x - y\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t) - y(t)|$ with $C([a, b])$ being the space of real-valued functions which are continuous on the interval $[a, b]$. The space $(C([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is known to be a Banach space.

Let the following delay differential equation be considered:

$$(6.1) \quad x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)), t \in [t_0, b]$$

with initial condition

$$(6.2) \quad x(t) = \varphi(t), t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0]$$

We assume the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(C_1) \quad t_0, b \in \mathbb{R}, \tau > 0;$$

$$(C_2) \quad f \in C([t_0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R});$$

$$(C_3) \quad \varphi \in C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R});$$

$$(C_4) \quad \text{there exists } L_f > 0 \text{ such that } \forall u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, t \in [t_0, b]$$

$$(6.3) \quad |f(t, u_1, u_2) - f(t, v_1, v_2)| \leq L_f \sum_{i=1}^2 |u_i - v_i|$$

$$(C_5) \quad 2L_f(b - t_0) < 1$$

A solution x of problem (6.1) – (6.2) is a function $x \in C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1([t_0, b], \mathbb{R})$.

We can reformulate the given problem (6.1) – (6.2) as an integral equation below:

$$(6.4) \quad x(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0], \\ \varphi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau)) ds, & t \in [t_0, b] \end{cases}$$

We first state the following result established by Coman et al. [14]

Theorem 6.1. *If (C₁) – (C₅) are satisfied, then a unique solution, say x^* , to the problem (6.1) – (6.2) exists in $C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1([t_0, b], \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$(6.5) \quad x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x) \text{ for any } x \in C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R}).$$

Theorem 6.2. *If (C₁) – (C₅) are satisfied, then a unique solution, say x^* , to the problem (6.1) – (6.2) exists in $C([t_0 - \tau, b], \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1([t_0, b], \mathbb{R})$ and the Picard-Abbas iterative process (2.7) with real sequences $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ in $(0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n = \infty$, converges to x^* .*

Proof. Let the iteration (2.7) generate the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for the operator

$$(6.6) \quad x(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0], \\ \varphi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau)) ds, & t \in [t_0, b] \end{cases}$$

Let $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$. We will prove that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We can see that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ for each $t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0]$.

Now, for each $t \in [t_0, b]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - x^*\|_{\infty} &= \|(1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_n T x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + \gamma_n \|T x_n - T x^*\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + \gamma_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |T x_n(t) - T x^*(t)| \\ &= (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + \gamma_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \left| \begin{aligned} &\varphi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x_n(s), x_n(s - \tau)) ds \\ &- \varphi(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \end{aligned} \right| \\ &= (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + \gamma_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \left| \begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^t f(s, x_n(s), x_n(s - \tau)) ds \\ &- \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \end{aligned} \right| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + \gamma_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \int_{t_0}^t \left| \begin{aligned} &f(s, x_n(s), x_n(s - \tau)) ds \\ &- f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \end{aligned} \right| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} \\ &\quad + \gamma_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \int_{t_0}^t L_f (|x_n(s) - x^*(s)| + |x_n(s - \tau) - x^*(s - \tau)|) ds \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + \gamma_n \int_{t_0}^t L_f \left(\begin{aligned} &\max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |x_n(s) - x^*(s)| \\ &+ \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |x_n(s - \tau) - x^*(s - \tau)| \end{aligned} \right) ds \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + \gamma_n \int_{t_0}^t L_f (\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + \|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty}) ds \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} + 2\gamma_n L_f (t - t_0) \|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} \\ (6.7) \quad &\leq [1 - \gamma_n(1 - 2L_f(b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty &= \|(1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T w_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + \beta_n \|T w_n - T x^*\|_\infty \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + \beta_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |T w_n(t) - T x^*(t)| \\
 &= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + \beta_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \left| \begin{aligned} &\varphi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, w_n(s), w_n(s - \tau)) ds \\ &- \varphi(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \end{aligned} \right| \\
 &= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + \beta_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \left| \begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^t f(s, w_n(s), w_n(s - \tau)) ds \\ &- \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \end{aligned} \right| \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + \beta_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \int_{t_0}^t \left| \begin{aligned} &f(s, w_n(s), w_n(s - \tau)) \\ &- f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) \end{aligned} \right| ds \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
 &\quad + \beta_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \int_{t_0}^t L_f (|w_n(s) - x^*(s)| + |w_n(s - \tau) - x^*(s - \tau)|) ds \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + \beta_n \int_{t_0}^t L_f \left(\begin{aligned} &\max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |w_n(s) - x^*(s)| \\ &+ \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |w_n(s - \tau) - x^*(s - \tau)| \end{aligned} \right) ds \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + \beta_n \int_{t_0}^t L_f (\|w_n - x^*\|_\infty + \|w_n - x^*\|_\infty) ds \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + 2\beta_n L_f (t - t_0) \|w_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
 (6.8) \quad &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + 2\beta_n L_f (b - t_0) [1 - \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f (b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Using condition (C₅), that is $2L_f(b - t_0) < 1$ in (6.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x^*\|_\infty + \beta_n [1 - \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f (b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
 (6.9) \quad &= [1 - \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f (b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_n - x^*\|_\infty &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)z_n + \alpha_n T w_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|z_n - x^*\|_\infty + \alpha_n \|T w_n - T x^*\|_\infty
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty + \alpha_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |Tw_n(t) - Tx^*(t)| \\
&= (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty + \alpha_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \left| \begin{aligned} &\varphi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, w_n(s), w_n(s - \tau)) ds \\ &- \varphi(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \end{aligned} \right| \\
&= (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty + \alpha_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \left| \begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^t f(s, w_n(s), w_n(s - \tau)) ds \\ &- \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \end{aligned} \right| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty + \alpha_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \int_{t_0}^t \left| \begin{aligned} &f(s, w_n(s), w_n(s - \tau)) ds \\ &- f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \end{aligned} \right| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
&\quad + \alpha_n \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \int_{t_0}^t L_f (|w_n(s) - x^*(s)| + |w_n(s - \tau) - x^*(s - \tau)|) ds \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty + \alpha_n \int_{t_0}^t L_f \left(\begin{aligned} &\max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |w_n(s) - x^*(s)| \\ &+ \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} |w_n(s - \tau) - x^*(s - \tau)| \end{aligned} \right) ds \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty + \alpha_n \int_{t_0}^t L_f (\|w_n - x^*\|_\infty + \|w_n - x^*\|_\infty) ds \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - x^*\|_\infty + 2\alpha_n L_f (t - t_0) \|w_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) [1 - \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n L_f (b - t_0) [1 - \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n) [1 - \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
&\quad + \alpha_n [1 - \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
&= \left[\begin{aligned} &1 - \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0)) + \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0)) \\ &- \alpha_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0)) \end{aligned} \right] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
&\leq \left[\begin{aligned} &1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0)) + \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0)) \\ &- \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0)) \end{aligned} \right] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

$$(6.10) \quad = [1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|_\infty &= \|Ty_n - Tx^*\|_\infty \\
&= \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \left| \int_{t_0}^t f(s, y_n(s), y_n(s - \tau)) ds - \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau)) ds \right| \\
&\leq \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \int_{t_0}^t |f(s, y_n(s), y_n(s - \tau)) - f(s, x^*(s), x^*(s - \tau))| ds \\
&\leq \max_{t \in [t_0 - \tau, b]} \int_{t_0}^t L_f (|y_n(s) - x^*(s)| + |y_n(s - \tau) - x^*(s - \tau)|) ds \\
&\leq 2L_f(b - t_0) \|y_n - x^*\|_\infty \\
(6.11) \quad &\leq 2L_f(b - t_0) [1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

Using condition (C₅), that is $2L_f(b - t_0) < 1$ in (6.11), we have

$$(6.12) \quad \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|_\infty \leq [1 - \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0))] \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty$$

Now, take $\mu_n = \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - 2L_f(b - t_0)) < 1$ and $k_n = \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty$.

By Lemma 2.1, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\|_\infty = 0$.

Hecne the proof. □

7. CONCLUSION

We are able to demonstrate from the results above that the P-A iteration converges more quickly than the Abbas iteration. Example (5.1) demonstrates our point. Additionally, the P-A iteration is stable, and a data dependence result is obtained for the P-A iteration. On the application side, we were able to employ the P-A iteration to find solution of delay differential equations. It's interesting to observe that the convergence rate appears to improve when well-known iterative techniques are combined to create a hybrid. This actually creates a pathway for more study in this area.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Picard, Mémoire sur la théorie des équations aux dérivées partielles et la méthode des approximations successives, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 6 (1890), 145–210.
- [2] W.R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1953), 506–510.
- [3] S. Ishikawa, Fixed points by a new iteration method, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 44 (1974), 147–150.
- [4] M.A. Noor, New approximation schemes for general variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 251 (2000), 217–229. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.7042>.
- [5] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, A new faster iteration process applied to constrained minimization and feasibility problems, *Mat. Vesn.* 66 (2014), 223–234.
- [6] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, D.R. Sahu, Iterative construction of fixed points of nearly asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 8 (2007), 69–79.
- [7] W. Phuengrattana, S. Suantai, On the rate of convergence of Mann, Ishikawa, Noor and SP-iterations for continuous functions on an arbitrary interval, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 235 (2011), 3006–3014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2010.12.022>.
- [8] I. Karahan, M. Ozdemir, A general iterative method for approximation of fixed points and their applications, *Adv. Fixed Point Theory*, 3 (2013), 510–526.
- [9] R. Chugh, V. Kumar, S. Kumar, Strong convergence of a new three step iterative scheme in Banach spaces, *Amer. J. Comput. Math.* 02 (2012), 345–357. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajcm.2012.24048>.
- [10] S.H. Khan, A Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013), 69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-69>.
- [11] G.A. Okeke, Convergence analysis of the Picard–Ishikawa hybrid iterative process with applications, *Afr. Mat.* 30 (2019), 817–835. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-019-00686-z>.
- [12] M. Chyne, N. Kumar, Picard-Noor hybrid iterative method and its convergence analysis, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2735 (2023), 040034. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0140811>.
- [13] V. Berinde, *Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points*, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [14] G.H. Coman, G. Pavel, I. Rus, et al. *Introduction in the theory of operational equation*, Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1976.
- [15] M.O. Olatinwo, Stability results for some fixed point iterative processes in convex metric spaces, *Int. J. Eng.* 9 (2011), 103–106.
- [16] M.O. Osilike, Stability of the Mann and Ishikawa iteration procedures for ϕ -strongly pseudo contractions and nonlinear equations of the ϕ -strongly accretive type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 227 (1998), 319–334. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1998.6075>.
- [17] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, Analysis of Jungck-Mann and Jungck-Ishikawa iteration schemes for their speed of convergence, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2050 (2018), 020011. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5083598>.

- [18] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, A Review on the convergence speed in the Agarwal et al. and modified-Agarwal iterative schemes, *Univ. Rev.* 7 (2018), 163–167.
- [19] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, An illustrative analysis of modified-Agarwal and Jungck-Mann iterative procedures for their speed of convergence, *Univ. Rev.* 7 (2018), 168–173.
- [20] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, Examination of the speed of convergence of the modified-Agarwal iterative scheme, *Univ. Rev.* 7 (2018), 174–179.
- [21] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, Speed of convergence examined by exchange of coefficients involved in Modified-Ishikawa iterative scheme, in: *Future Aspects in Engineering Sciences and Technology, Volume-2*, Chandigarh University, 440–447, (2018).
- [22] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, Self-comparison of convergence speed in Agarwal, O'Regan and Sahu's S-iteration, *Int. J. Emerging Technol.* 10 (2019), 105–108.
- [23] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, Emphasis of coefficients on the convergence rate of fixed point iterative algorithm in Banach space, *Adv. Math.: Sci. J.* 9 (2020), 5621–5630.
- [24] N. Kumar, S. S. Chauhan, Validation of theoretical results of some fixed point iterative procedures via numerical illustration, *Test Eng. Manage.* 83 (2020), 15646–15659.
- [25] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, Impact of interchange of coefficients on various fixed point iterative schemes, in: P. Singh, R.K. Gupta, K. Ray, A. Bandyopadhyay (Eds.), *Proceedings of International Conference on Trends in Computational and Cognitive Engineering*, Springer Singapore, Singapore, 2021: pp. 41–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-5414-8_4.
- [26] N. Kumar, S.S. Chauhan, A study of convergence behavior of fixed point iterative processes via computer simulation, *Adv. Appl. Math. Sci.* 19 (2020), 943–953.