



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 2024, 14:43

<https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/8648>

ISSN: 1927-6303

SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR Ψ -CHATTERJEA CONTRACTIONS IN C^* -ALGEBRA-VALUED GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

KARIM CHAIRA, MUSTAPHA KABIL, SAMIH LAZAIZ, MOUAD OULABES*

Laboratory of Mathematics, Computer Science and Application, Hassan II University of Casablanca, Morocco

Copyright © 2024 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Based on the concept and properties of C^* -algebras, this article introduces a concept of C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space type of Jleli–Samet and give some Chatterjea fixed point theorems for linear positive mapping. Examples are given to illustrate our results.

Keywords: complete C^* -algebras spaces; fixed point theorems; Chaterjea fixed point theorem.

2020 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since 1922, the renowned Banach contraction principle, often referred as Banach's fixed point theorem [1], has made fixed point theory an appealing area of study for numerous researchers. From then on, extending Banach's fixed-point theorem has been the subject of extensive research. In 1968, Kannan [2] introduced a new class of contractive mappings yielding a unique fixed point theorem in a complete metric space. Kannan's theorem holds significance as it provides a characterization of metric completeness. Specifically, a metric space is complete if and only if every Kannan mapping has a fixed point. A mapping T on a metric space $(X; d)$

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: mouadoulabes@gmail.com

Received May 20, 2024

is called Chatterjea if there exists $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In 1972, Chatterjea [3] proved that if (X, d) is complete then T has a unique fixed point theorem and the orbite of T converges to the fixed point.

In 2015 Jleli and Samet introduced a new concept of generalized metric spaces (also known as JS-metric spaces) recovering various topological spaces including standard metric spaces, b -metric spaces, dislocated metric spaces, and modular spaces (see [4, 5]). In this space, Jleli and Samet gave some generalized versions of metric fixed-point theorems. Recently, in 2019 K.Chaira et al [6] extend some common fixed point theorems of Banach, Chatterjea, and Kannan contractions in generalized metric space of Samet-Jleli endowed with a graph.

On the other hand, Ma et al. [7], using the positive elements of a C^* -algebra, introduced the concept of C^* -algebra-valued metric space and yielding some fixed-point results for contractive and expansive mappings. In 2021, the authors in [8] demonstrated the utility of C^* -algebra-valued metric space across various application domains, illustrating several applications derived from the obtained results. Given the significance of exploring fixed point results within the framework of C^* -algebra-valued metric space, researchers have introduced a plethora of new generalized spaces beyond metric spaces, for more details see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

Motivated by the above ideas, we introduce a new concept of generalized metric spaceswe C^* algebra-valued generalized metric space. This novel concept of generalized metric spaces encompasses various topological spaces, including standard C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces, C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces, C^* -algebra-valued dislocated metric spaces, and C^* -algebra-valued modular spaces. Furthermore, a new class of Chatterjea-type mappings was introduced, and several related fixed point theorems were presented.

The paper is organized as follows: we begin by revisit several definitions, lemmas, and theorems relevant to C^* algebra-valued generalized metric space and explore their associated properties. We then study some fixed point theorems of Chatterjea-type mappings from which we deduce several known results as corollaries. Throughout the paper, we provide illustrative examples.

The paper is organized as follows: we begin by revisit several definitions, lemmas, and theorems relevant to C^* algebra-valued generalized metric space and explore their associated properties. We then study some fixed point theorems of Chatterjea-type mappings from which we deduce several known results as corollaries. Throughout the paper, we provide illustrative examples.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{A} is a unital C^* -algebra with linear involution $*$, such that for all $u, v \in \mathbb{A}$,

$$(uv)^* = v^*u^* \text{ and } u^{**} = u.$$

We call an element $a \in \mathbb{A}$ a positive element, denote it by $0_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq a$, if $a \in \mathcal{A}_h = \{u \in \mathbb{A} : u = u^*\}$ and $\sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$, where $\sigma(a)$ is the spectrum of a . Using positive element, we can define a partial ordering " \preceq " on \mathcal{A}_h as follows:

$$u \preceq v \text{ if and only if } 0_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq v - u,$$

where $0_{\mathbb{A}}$ means the zero element of \mathbb{A} .

We denote the set $\{a \in \mathbb{A} : 0_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq a\}$ by \mathbb{A}_+ and $|a| = (a^*a)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Set $\mathbb{A}' = \{a \in \mathbb{A} : ab = ba \text{ for all } b \in \mathbb{A}\}$.

Remark 2.1. [17] *When \mathbb{A} is an unital C^* -algebra, then for any $a \in \mathbb{A}_+$ we have*

$$(a \preceq 1_{\mathbb{A}} \Leftrightarrow \|a\| \leq 1).$$

Lemma 2.1. [18, 17] *Let \mathbb{A} is an unital C^* -algebra with unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}$:*

- (1) *If $a, b \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $0_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq a \preceq b$, then $\|a\| \leq \|b\|$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{A}$ with $0_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq a, b$ and $ab = ba$, then $0 \preceq ab$.*
- (3) *If $0 \preceq a \preceq b$ and $c \in \mathbb{A}$, then $0 \preceq c^*ac \preceq c^*bc$.*
- (4) *If a is an element of a C^* -algebra \mathbb{A} , then $\|a\| = \|a^*\| = \|aa^*\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proposition 2.1. [19] *\mathbb{A}_+ is closed in a C^* -algebra \mathbb{A} .*

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{X} be a nonempty set and $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be a given mapping. Let $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ a sequence in \mathcal{X} . We say $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is \mathcal{D} -convergent to x (with respect to \mathbb{A}) and we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\| = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$, if and only if for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \|\mathcal{D}(x, x_n)\| < \varepsilon).$$

For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, let us define the set

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}, x) = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{X} : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\| = 0\}.$$

We now introduce the notion of C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric, extending the classical metric type spaces.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is defined, with the following properties:

- (D₁) $0_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq \mathcal{D}(x, y)$, for all x and y in \mathcal{X} ;
- (D₂) $\mathcal{D}(x, y) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ implies that $x = y$;
- (D₃) $\mathcal{D}(x, y) = \mathcal{D}(y, x)$, for all x and y in \mathcal{X} ;
- (D₄) there exists $c \succ 0_{\mathbb{A}}$, such that, for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}, x)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\| < \infty \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{D}(x, y) \preceq (\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\|) \cdot c \end{cases}$$

In this case, \mathcal{D} is said to be a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric on \mathcal{X} , and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ is said to be a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space.

Remark 2.2. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ be a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{X} . If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{D} -convergent to x , then this limit is unique.

Proof. Suppose the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{D} -converges to x and y with respect to \mathbb{A} . By the property (D₄), we have.

$$\mathcal{D}(x, y) \preceq (\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\|) \cdot c = 0_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

So $\mathcal{D}(x, y) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$. Which shows that $x = y$.

□

Remark 2.3. Note that the set $C(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}, x)$ can empty for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, in this case we consider by convention that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, D)$ is a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space if and only if the three axioms $(D_1), (D_2)$ and (D_3) are verified.

Example 2.1. Let $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|M\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 |\alpha_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where α_i are the coefficients of the matrix $M \in \mathbb{A}$. Then \mathbb{A} is a C^* -algebra.

$$M \in \mathcal{A}_+ \Leftrightarrow ({}^t M = M \text{ and } \text{spec}(M) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+).$$

Consider the mapping \mathcal{D} defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 2(x+y) & 0 \\ 0 & 2(x+y) \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x+y}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x+y}{2} \end{pmatrix} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We verify the axioms of the C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space.

(D_1) Obvious.

(D_2) $\mathcal{D}(x, y) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$, then $x + y = 0$ and $x = y = 0$.

(D_3) Symmetry is clearly verified $\mathcal{D}(x, y) = \mathcal{D}(y, x)$, for all x and y in \mathcal{X} .

(D_4) We distinguish two cases

Case 1: $x \neq 0$, then the set $C(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}, x)$ is empty. Otherwise, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of \mathcal{X} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\|_2 = 0$.

- If the sequence admits an infinite of zero, there exists a subsequence $(x_{\phi(n)})_{n \geq 0}$ of $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $x_{\phi(n)} = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{\phi(n)}, x)\|_2 = 2\sqrt{2}x$ is different from 0, which is impossible, since $x \neq 0$.

- If the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ does not admit an infinity of zero, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \neq 0$, for any integer $n \geq n_0$. We have $\mathcal{D}(x_n, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_n + x}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x_n + x}{2} \end{pmatrix} \succ 0_{\mathbb{A}}$. As a

result

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{x_n + x}{2} \right) > \frac{\sqrt{2}x}{2},$$

which is absurd, since $\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{2} > 0$.

Case 2: $x = 0$. In this case $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}, x) \neq \emptyset$. Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}, 0)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

- If the sequence $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ admits an infinity of zero, there exists a subsequence $(u_{\psi(n)})_{n \geq 0}$ of $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $u_{\psi(n)} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\mathcal{D}(0, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2y & 0 \\ 0 & 2y \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{D}(u_{\psi(n)}, y)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(0, y) \preceq \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(u_{\psi(n)}, y)\|_2 \right) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}} \leq \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, y)\|_2 \right) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}} \\ \text{and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, y)\|_2 \leq 2\sqrt{2} < \infty, \text{ since } u_n, y \in [0, 1] \end{cases}.$$

- If the sequence $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ does not admit an infinity of zero, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_n \neq 0$, for any integer $n \geq n_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(0, y) &\preceq \mathcal{D}(0, u_n) + 4\mathcal{D}(u_n, y) \\ &\preceq (\|\mathcal{D}(0, u_n)\|_2 + 4\|\mathcal{D}(u_n, y)\|_2) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}} \end{aligned}$$

As a result

$$\mathcal{D}(0, y) \preceq \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, y)\|_2 \right) \cdot (4 \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}}).$$

For $y = 0$, $\mathcal{D}(x, 0) = 0_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, 0)\|_2 \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Hence, for all $y \in X$,

$$\mathcal{D}(x, y) \preceq \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, y)\| \right) \cdot c, \text{ where } c = 4 \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}} \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, y)\|_2 < \infty.$$

Therefore $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ is a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space.

Remark 2.4. C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces and C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces are C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric spaces. Indeed, let b be a real number such that $b \geq 1$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ a C^* -algebra-valued b -metric space. Then \mathcal{D} satisfies the conditions (D_1) , (D_2) and

(D_3). For the condition (D_4), let $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}, x)$. We have, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\| \leq \|b\|(\|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\| + \|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\|),$$

$$\text{so } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\| \leq \|b\|(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\| + \|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\|) = \|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\| < +\infty.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(x, y) &\preceq b(\mathcal{D}(x, x_n) + \mathcal{D}(x_n, y)) \\ &\preceq (\|\mathcal{D}(x, x_n) + \mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\|) \cdot (\|b\| \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } \|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\| \leq (\|\mathcal{D}(x, x_n)\| + \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\|) \|b\|,$$

which implies that $\|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\| \leq (\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x, x_n)\| + \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\|) \|b\|$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{D}(x, y) \preceq \|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\| \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq (\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\|) \cdot (\|b\| \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}})$$

Definition 2.3. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ is a C^* -algebra-valued metric space and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} .

(i) A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be a \mathcal{D} -Cauchy sequence (with respect to \mathbb{A}) whenever, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a natural number $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m)\| < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } n, m \geq N,$$

(ii) The space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ is said to be \mathcal{D} -complete if every \mathcal{D} -Cauchy sequence is \mathcal{D} -convergent to some element in \mathcal{X} (with respect to \mathbb{A}).

Example 2.2. We return to Example 1.7. We show that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ is \mathcal{D} -Complete. Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a \mathcal{D} -Cauchy sequence of \mathcal{X} (with respect to \mathbb{A}).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n, m \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, u_m)\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$, then

$$\left(n, m \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, 0)\|_2 = 2\sqrt{2}u_n \leq 4\|\mathcal{D}(u_n, u_m)\|_2 < \varepsilon \right).$$

Which shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(u_n, 0)\|_2 = 0$. Hence, the sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{D} -converges to 0 in \mathcal{X} (with respect to \mathbb{A}), finally $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ is \mathcal{D} -complete (with respect to \mathbb{A}).

Definition 2.4. Let \mathbb{A} be a C^* -algebra. A linear mapping $\psi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is said to be positive if $\psi(\mathbb{A}^+) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^+$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathbb{A} be a C^* -algebra with unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}$, then the linear positive function $\psi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is bounded, continuous, contractive and $\psi_{\mathbb{A}}(1_{\mathbb{A}}) = \|\psi_{\mathbb{A}}\| \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}}$.*

Definition 2.5. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ is a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space and A mapping $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We say that \mathcal{T} is ψ -Chatterjea contraction if for every $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) \preceq \psi\left(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)\right),$$

where ψ the linear positive mapping on \mathbb{A} , with $0 < \|\psi\| < \frac{1}{2}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

The following notation will be used in the sequel

$$\delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0) := \sup \left\{ \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}^i x_0, x_0)\| : i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \right\}, \text{ where } x_0 \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The forthcoming lemma will stand as the cornerstone of what ensues. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the Picard-sequence defined by $x_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}x_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ be a complete C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a ψ -Chatterjea contraction. Then, for any $(m, n) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$,*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m) \preceq \delta_0 \cdot \left(\sum_m^{n+m-1} \binom{j-1}{m-1} \cdot \psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \sum_n^{n+m-1} \binom{j-1}{n-1} \psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right),$$

where $\delta_0 = \delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0)$.

Proof. (By induction). Let p be an integer greater than or equal to 2 and $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $p = n + m$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(x_1, x_1) &\preceq \psi(\mathcal{D}(x_1, x_0) + \mathcal{D}(x_0, x_1)) \\ &= 2\psi(\mathcal{D}(x_1, x_0)) \\ &\preceq 2\psi(\|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x_0, x_0)\| \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}}) \\ &\preceq 2\psi(\delta_0 \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}}) \\ &\preceq 2\delta_0 \cdot \psi(1_{\mathbb{A}}). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the inequality (3.1) holds for $p = 2$ with $(m, n) = (1, 1)$.

Assume next that inequality (3.1) holds for any $(m', n') \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$ be chosen in such a way that $n' + m' = p$; let $(m, n) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$ with $n + m = p + 1$. By hypothesis,

$$\mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m) \preceq \Psi(\mathcal{D}(x_n, x_{m-1}) + \mathcal{D}(x_{n-1}, x_m)).$$

Since $n + (m - 1) = p$ and $(n - 1) + m = p$, the inductive hypothesis yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m) &\preceq \delta_0 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=m}^{n+m-2} \binom{j-1}{m-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \sum_{j=n-1}^{n+m-2} \binom{j-1}{n-2} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=m-1}^{n+m-2} \binom{j-1}{m-2} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \sum_{j=n}^{n+m-2} \binom{j-1}{n-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right) \\ \mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m) &\preceq \delta_0 \left(\sum_{j=m}^{n+m-2} \left(\binom{j-1}{m-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \binom{j-1}{m-2} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right) + \Psi^{m-1}(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=n}^{n+m-2} \left(\binom{j-1}{n-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \binom{j-1}{n-2} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right) + \Psi^{n-1}(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \\ &\preceq \delta_0 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=m}^{n+m-2} \binom{j}{m-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \sum_{j=n}^{n+m-2} \binom{j}{n-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Psi^{n-1}(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \Psi^{m-1}(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right) \\ &\preceq \delta_0 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=m-1}^{n+m-2} \binom{j}{m-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \sum_{j=n-1}^{n+m-2} \binom{j}{n-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right) \\ &\preceq \delta_0 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1} \binom{j-1}{m-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) + \sum_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \binom{j-1}{n-1} \cdot \Psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

Finally the inequality (3.1) holds for $(n, m) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ such that $n + m = p + 1$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ be a complete C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a Ψ -Chatterjea contraction. Then, for every $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}^2$ such that $m \leq n$, we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m) \preceq 2^m \delta_0 \|\Psi\|^m (1 - 2\|\Psi\|)^{-1} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}},$$

where $\delta_0 = \delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0)$.

Proof. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$; assume that $m \leq n$.

Since $\binom{j-1}{m-1} \leq 2^{j-1}$ for any $j \in \llbracket m, n+m-1 \rrbracket$ and $\binom{j-1}{n-1} \leq 2^{j-1}$ for any $j \in \llbracket n, n+m-1 \rrbracket$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1} \binom{j-1}{m-1} \psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) &\preceq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1} 2^j \psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \\ &\preceq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1} \|2^j \psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}})\| \cdot 1_A \\ &\preceq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1} 2^j \|\psi\|^j \cdot 1_A \\ &\preceq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2^m \|\psi\|^m)}{(1 - 2\|\psi\|)} \cdot 1_A, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\sum_{j=n}^{n+m-1} \binom{j-1}{n-1} \psi^j(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \preceq \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^n \|\psi\|^n}{(1 - 2\|\psi\|)} \cdot 1_A \preceq \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^m \|\psi\|^m}{(1 - 2\|\psi\|)} \cdot 1_A.$$

It follows from inequality (3.1) of Lemma 3.1 that

$$\mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m) \preceq 2^m \delta_0 \|\psi\|^m (1 - 2\|\psi\|)^{-1} \cdot 1_A$$

□

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ be a complete C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a ψ -Chatterjea contraction. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0) < \infty$. Then, \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point ω of \mathcal{X} and the sequence $(\mathcal{T}^n x_0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ω .*

Proof. Select $(m, n) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$ such that $m \leq n$.

According to the Lemma 3.2,

$$\mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}^n x_0, \mathcal{T}^m x_0) \preceq 2^m \delta_0 \|\psi\|^m (1 - 2\|\psi\|)^{-1} \cdot 1_A$$

Thus $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a \mathcal{D} -Cauchy sequence. Since $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is complete, the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{D} -converges to some $\omega \in \mathcal{X}$.

Step 1: We show by induction that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

$$(3.3) \quad \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_n)\| \leq \|\psi\|^n \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \sum_{k=1}^n \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\|,$$

For $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_1)\| &\leq \|\psi(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0) + \mathcal{D}(\omega, \mathcal{T}x_0))\| \\ &\leq \|\psi\| \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \|\psi\| \|\mathcal{D}(\omega, \mathcal{T}x_0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_2)\| &\leq \|\psi(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_1) + \mathcal{D}(\omega, \mathcal{T}x_1))\| \\ &\leq \|\psi\|^2 \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \|\psi\|^2 \|\mathcal{D}(\omega, x_1)\| + \|\psi\| \|\mathcal{D}(\omega, x_2)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that inequality (3.3) holds for some $n \geq 2$. We show that

$$\|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_{n+1})\| \leq \|\psi\|^{n+1} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+2}, \omega)\|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_{n+1})\| &\leq \|\psi\| (\|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_n)\| + \|\mathcal{D}(\omega, x_{n+1})\|) \\ &\leq \|\psi\|^{n+1} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \|\psi\| \sum_{k=1}^n \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| + \|\psi\| \|\mathcal{D}(\omega, x_{n+1})\| \\ &\leq \|\psi\|^{n+1} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \sum_{k=1}^n \|\psi\|^{k+1} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| + \|\psi\| \|\mathcal{D}(\omega, x_{n+1})\| \\ &\leq \|\psi\|^{n+1} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \sum_{k=0}^n \|\psi\|^{k+1} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| \\ &\leq \|\psi\|^{n+1} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+2}, \omega)\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any positive integer $n \geq 2$,

$$\|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_n)\| \leq \|\psi\|^n \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_0)\| + \sum_{k=1}^n \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\|.$$

Step 2: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(\|\mathcal{D}(\omega, x_n)\|)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(\|\psi\|^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(n \geq N + 1 \implies \|\mathcal{D}(\omega, x_n)\| \leq \varepsilon' \text{ and } \|\psi\|^n \leq \varepsilon' \right),$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon'}{1 - \|\psi\|} \left(1 + \max_{n-N+1 \leq k \leq n} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| \|\psi\|^{1-N} \right)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^n \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-N} \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| + \sum_{k=n-N+1}^n \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| \\
&\leq \varepsilon' \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-N} \|\psi\|^k \right) + \sum_{k=n-N+1}^n \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| \\
&\leq \varepsilon' \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-N} \|\psi\|^k \right) + \max_{n-N+1 \leq k \leq n} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| \left(\sum_{k=n-N+1}^n \|\psi\|^k \right) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon'}{1 - \|\psi\|} + \max_{n-N+1 \leq k \leq n} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|\psi\|^{k+n-N+1} \right) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon'}{1 - \|\psi\|} + \max_{n-N+1 \leq k \leq n} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| \|\psi\|^{n-N+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \|\psi\|^k \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon'}{1 - \|\psi\|} \left(1 + \max_{n-N+1 \leq k \leq n} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| \|\psi\|^{1-N} \right) \\
&\leq \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Which shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \|\psi\|^k \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n-k+1}, \omega)\| = 0$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, x_n) = 0$.

According to the condition (D_4) , we have $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}\omega, \omega) \preceq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(\omega, x_n)\|.c = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$. Thus,

$\mathcal{T}\omega = \omega$.

Uniqueness: Suppose that $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ are two fixed points of \mathcal{T} . Since \mathcal{T} is a ψ -Chatterjea contraction, we have

$$\mathcal{D}(u, v) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}u, \mathcal{T}v) \preceq \psi(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}u, v) + \mathcal{D}(u, \mathcal{T}v)),$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{D}(u, v) \preceq 2\psi(\mathcal{D}(u, v)).$$

$$\|\mathcal{D}(u, v)\| \leq 2\|\psi\| \|\mathcal{D}(u, v)\|.$$

Hence

$$(1 - 2\|\psi\|) \|\mathcal{D}(u, v)\| \leq 0.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{D}(u, v) = 0$, i.e., $u = v$. □

The following example illustrates the above Theorem.

Example 3.1. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

\mathbb{A} is a C^* -algebra endowed with the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. Let $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be given by

$$\mathcal{D}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2(\max\{x, y\})^p & 0 \\ 0 & 3(\min\{x, y\})^p \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

• It is easy to verify the axioms (D_1) , (D_2) and (D_3) of the C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space.

Let's show the condition (D_4) . Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in C(\mathcal{D}, X, x)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{4(\max\{x_n, x\})^{2p} + 9(\min\{x_n, x\})^{2p}} = 0.$$

As

$$0 \leq x^{2p} \leq 2(\max\{x_n, x\})^{2p} \leq \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\|_2 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\|_2 = 0,$$

we obtain $x = 0$ and $C(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}, x) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(0, y) &= \begin{pmatrix} 2y^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \\ &\begin{pmatrix} 2(\max\{x_n, 0\})^p & 0 \\ 0 & 3(\min\{x_n, 0\})^p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2(\max\{x_n, y\})^p & 0 \\ 0 & 3(\min\{x_n, y\})^p \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\mathcal{D}(0, y) \preceq \mathcal{D}(x_n, 0) + \mathcal{D}(x_n, y)$. We have also $\mathcal{D}(x_n, y) \preceq \mathcal{D}(x_n, 0) + \mathcal{D}(y, y)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{D}(0, y) \preceq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\|_2) \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}} \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, y)\|_2 \leq \|\mathcal{D}(y, y)\|_2 < +\infty.$$

• Now we prove that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ is \mathcal{D} -complete (with respect to \mathbb{A}). Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a \mathcal{D} -Cauchy sequence of \mathcal{X} (with respect to \mathbb{A}).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(n, m \geq n_0 \implies \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m)\|_2 < \varepsilon),$$

so, for all integers $n, m \geq n_0$,

$$0 \leq 2x_n^p \leq 2(\max\{x_n, x_m\})^p \leq \sqrt{4(\max\{x_n, x_m\})^{2p} + 9(\min\{x_n, x_m\})^{2p}} < \varepsilon,$$

which implies $0 \leq x_n < \sqrt[p]{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$, for all integer $n \geq n_0$. Hence,

$$\left(n \geq n_0 \implies \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, 0)\|_2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2x_n^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 = 2x_n^p < \varepsilon \right).$$

Which shows that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{D} -converge to 0 in \mathcal{X} (with respect to \mathbb{A}).

• Let \mathcal{T} (resp. ψ) be the function defined on \mathcal{X} (resp. \mathbb{A}) by $\mathcal{T}(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ (resp. $\psi(M) = \frac{k}{1-k} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{A}}$, with $k \in \left] \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3} \right[$ fixed). We have $\frac{1}{3} < \frac{k}{1-k} < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\|\psi\| = \frac{k}{1-k} < \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

• If $x \geq \frac{x}{2} \geq y \geq \frac{y}{2}$, then

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) = \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p & 0 \\ 0 & 3\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^p \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \psi(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)) = \frac{k}{1-k} \begin{pmatrix} 2\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p + x^p\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 3\left(y^p + \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^p\right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k}{1-k} \times 2\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p + x^p\right) &= \frac{k}{1-k}(1+2^p) \times 2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p \\ &\geq \frac{1+2^p}{3} \times 2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p \\ &\geq 2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) \leq \psi\left(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)\right),$$

• If $x \geq y \geq \frac{x}{2} \geq \frac{y}{2}$, then

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) = \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p & 0 \\ 0 & 3\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^p \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \psi(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)) = \frac{k}{1-k} \begin{pmatrix} 2(y^p + x^p) & 0 \\ 0 & 3\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p + \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^p\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k}{1-k} \times 2(y^p + x^p) &\geq \frac{k}{1-k} \times 2\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p + x^p\right) \\ &\geq \frac{k}{1-k} (2^p + 1) \times 2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} (2^p + 1) \times 2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p \geq 2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain,

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) \leq \psi\left(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)\right),$$

- Similar for cases $y \geq \frac{y}{2} \geq x \geq \frac{x}{2}$ and $y \geq x \geq \frac{y}{2} \geq \frac{x}{2}$.

Hence, \mathcal{T} be a ψ -Chatterjea contraction, $\delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, 0) < \infty$ and \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point 0.

Corollary 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ be a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ a \mathcal{D} -Chatterjea contraction i.e. for every $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2$,

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) \leq a\left(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)\right).$$

where $a \in \mathbb{A}'_+$, with $0 < \|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$.

Moreover, if there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0) < \infty$, then \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point ω and the sequence $(\mathcal{T}^n x_0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ω .

Proof. Lemma 2.1-2 justifies that the linear map $\psi : u \mapsto au$ defined on \mathbb{A} is positive. Moreover \mathcal{T} is ψ -Chatterjea contraction and $\|\psi\| = \|a\| < \frac{1}{2}$. According to Theorem 3.1, \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point of \mathcal{X} . \square

Corollary 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ be a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space and a map $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ satisfies for every $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2$,

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) \leq a\left(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)\right)a^*.$$

where $a \in \mathbb{A}$, with $0 < \|a\| < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$.

Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0) < \infty$. Then, \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point ω and the sequence $(\mathcal{T}^n x_0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ω .

Proof. Lemma 2.1-3 justifies that the linear map $\psi : u \mapsto aua^*$ defined on \mathcal{A} is positive. Moreover \mathcal{T} is ψ -Chatterjea contraction and $\|\psi\| = \|a\|^2 < \frac{1}{2}$. According to Theorem 3.1, \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point of \mathcal{X} . \square

Corollary 3.3. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ be a C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ a $\|\psi\|$ -Chatterjea contraction i.e. for every $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2$,

$$(3.8) \quad \|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y)\| \leq \|\psi\| \left(\|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)\| \right).$$

with $0 < \|\psi\| < \frac{1}{2}$.

Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0) < \infty$. Then, \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point ω and the sequence $(\mathcal{T}^n x_0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ω .

Proof. We define \mathcal{D} as follow

$$\mathcal{D}(x, y) = \|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

We know that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is generalized metric space, so $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{D})$ is C^* -algebra-valued generalized metric space. For each $x, y \in X$,

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) \leq \|\psi\| (\|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)\|) \leq \|\psi\| (\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y)),$$

and $\delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0) = \delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0) < \infty$. According to Theorem 3.1, \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point of \mathcal{X} . \square

Definition 3.1. Let (\mathcal{X}, \preceq) be a partially ordered set.

- (i) A mapping $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is said to be nondecreasing or order preserving if $\mathcal{T}x \preceq \mathcal{T}y$ whenever $x \preceq y$.
- (ii) We say that a partially ordered C^* -algebra valued generalized metric space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies the (\mathcal{P}) -property, if for every nondecreasing sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ that converges to x in \mathcal{X} (with respect to \mathbb{A}), implies that $x_n \preceq x$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D}, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered complete C^* -algebra valued generalized metric space with the (\mathcal{P}) -property.*

Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an order preserving mapping such that

$$(x \preceq y \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) \preceq \psi(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}y) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}x, y))), \quad (11)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2$, where $\psi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is a linear positive function with $\|\psi\| < \frac{1}{3}$.

Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\delta(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}, x_0) < \infty$, $x_0 \preceq \mathcal{T}x_0$ and the set \mathcal{F} of fixed points of \mathcal{T} is totally ordered. Then, \mathcal{T} has unique fixed point ω of \mathcal{X} and the sequence $(\mathcal{T}^n x_0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ω .

Proof. We define the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{X} by

$$x_0 \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } x_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}x_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As $x_0 \preceq \mathcal{T}x_0$ and \mathcal{T} is order preserving, then $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, assume that $n < m$. According to inequality (11), we have

$$(I_d - \psi)[\mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m)] \preceq \psi(\mathcal{D}(x_{n-1}, x_m) + \mathcal{D}(x_n, x_{m-1})).$$

Since $\|\psi\| < 1$, then $I_d - \psi$ is invertible in the normalized algebra $\mathcal{L}_c(\mathbb{A})$ of continuous endomorphisms of \mathbb{A} , so $\mathcal{D}(x_n, x_m) \preceq \Psi(\mathcal{D}(x_{n-1}, x_m) + \mathcal{D}(x_n, x_{m-1}))$, where $\Psi = (I_d - \psi)^{-1} \circ \psi$.

We have Ψ is continuous linear from \mathbb{A} into \mathbb{A} and

$$\|\Psi\| \leq \|I_d - \psi\|^{-1} \|\psi\| < \frac{1}{3} \|(I_d - \psi)^{-1}\|.$$

As $(I_d - \psi)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \psi^k$, then $\|\Psi\| \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \|\psi\|^k < \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^k = \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $u \in \mathbb{A}_+$, we have $\Psi(u) = (I_d - \psi)^{-1}(\psi(u)) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \psi^{k+1}(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \psi^{k+1}(u)$.

Since ϕ is positive, then $\sum_{k=0}^n \phi^{k+1}(u) \succeq 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking into account Proposition 2.1 of [19], \mathbb{A}_+ is closed in a C^* -algebra \mathbb{A} , so $\Psi(u) \succeq 0$. Hence, Ψ is positive.

According to lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.1, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy sequence in the \mathcal{D} -complete space \mathcal{X} , there exists $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, x)\| = 0$, and since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is

nondecreasing, and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{A}, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies the (\mathcal{P}) -property, then $x_n \preceq x$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(I_d - \Psi)[\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}(x_n), \mathcal{T}(x))] \preceq \mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x)) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}(x_n)).$$

And consequently,

$$\mathcal{D}(x_{n+1}, \mathcal{T}(x)) \preceq \Psi(\mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x)) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}(x_n))).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}(x_{n+1}, \mathcal{T}(x))\| &\leq \|\Psi\| \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x)) + \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}(x_n))\| \\ &\leq \|\Psi\| (\|\mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x))\| + \|\mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}(x_n))\|), \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x))\| \leq \|\Psi\| \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x))\|$ i.e.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x))\| (1 - \|\Psi\|) \leq 0, \text{ and since } \|\Psi\| < \frac{1}{2},$$

we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x))\| = 0.$$

According to condition (D_4) , we obtain

$$0 \preceq \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{T}(x)) \preceq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{D}(x_n, \mathcal{T}(x))\|.c = 0$$

Hence, $x = \mathcal{T}(x)$.

Uniqueness: Let $y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{T}(y) = y$, since \mathcal{F} is totally ordered, we can assume that $x \preceq y$.

Then

$$(I_d - \Psi)[\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}(x), \mathcal{T}(y))] \preceq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}(x), y) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}(y), x)$$

in other words $\mathcal{D}(x, y) \preceq 3\Psi(\mathcal{D}(x, y))$. Then $\|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\| \leq 3\|\Psi\|\|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\|$. Therefore

$$\|\mathcal{D}(x, y)\| (1 - 3\|\Psi\|) \leq 0. \text{ Since } \|\Psi\| < \frac{1}{3}, \text{ then } \mathcal{D}(x, y) = 0. \text{ Hence, } x = y.$$

□

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* 3 (1922), 133–181. <https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-3-1-133-181>.
- [2] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 60 (1968), 71–76.
- [3] S.K. Chatterjea, Fixed point theorem. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* 25 (1972), 727–730.
- [4] D. Dumitrescu, A. Pitea, Fixed point theorems on almost (φ, θ) -contractions in Jleli-Samet generalized metric spaces, *Mathematics.* 10 (2022), 4239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10224239>.
- [5] M. Jleli, B. Samet, A generalized metric space and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015 (2015), 61. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-015-0312-7>.
- [6] K. Chaira, A. Eladraoui, M. Kabil, et al. Kannan fixed point theorem on generalized metric space with a graph, *Appl. Math. Sci.* 13 (2019), 263–274. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2019.9226>.
- [7] Z. Ma, L. Jiang, C^* -Algebra-valued b-metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015 (2015), 222. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-015-0471-6>.
- [8] R. Mustafa, S. Omran, Q.N. Nguyen, Fixed point theory using ψ contractive mapping in C^* -algebra valued b -metric space, *Mathematics.* 9 (2021), 92. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9010092>.
- [9] M. Asim, M. Imdad, C^* -algebra valued symmetric spaces and fixed point results with an application, *Korean J. Math.* 28 (2020), 17–30. <https://doi.org/10.11568/KJM.2020.28.1.17>.
- [10] K. Chaira, A. Eladraoui, M. Kabil, A. Kamouss, Fisher fixed point results in generalized metric spaces with a graph, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2020 (2020), 7253759. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7253759>.
- [11] K. Chaira, A. Eladraoui, M. Kabil, A. Kamouss, Common fixed point for some generalized contractive mappings in a modular metric space with a graph, *Fixed Point Theory Algorithms Sci. Eng.* 2021 (2021), 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-021-00690-8>.
- [12] K. Chaira, M. Kabil, A. Kamouss, Fixed point results for \mathcal{C} -contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces with a graph, *J. Function Spaces* 2021 (2021), 8840347. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8840347>.
- [13] K. Chaira, M. Kabil, A. Kamouss, Common fixed points in generalized metric spaces with a graph, *J. Math.* 2019 (2019), 2846315. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2846315>.
- [14] S. Chandok, D. Kumar, C. Park, C^* -algebra-valued partial metric space and fixed point theorems, *Proc. Math. Sci.* 129 (2019), 37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-019-0481-0>.
- [15] M.E. Ege, C. Alaca, C^* -algebra-valued S -metric spaces, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara Ser. A1 Math. Stat.* 67 (2018), 165–177. https://doi.org/10.1501/commua1_0000000871.

- [16] C. Shen, L. Jiang, Z. Ma, C^* -algebra-valued G -metric spaces and related fixed-point theorems, *J. Function Spaces* 2018 (2018), 3257189. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3257189>.
- [17] G.J. Murphy, C^* -algebras and operator theory, Academic Press, 2014.
- [18] K.R. Davidson, C^* -algebras by example, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1996.
- [19] W. Tapanyo, W. Ratiphongthon, A. Arunchai, Completion of C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces, *Thai J. Math.* 20 (2022), 1119–1136.