



Available online at <http://scik.org>
Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 2024, 14:58
<https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/8878>
ISSN: 1927-6303

WEAK FORM OF IDEAL NANO TOPOLOGICAL SPACES FOR SEMI-LOCAL FUNCTIONS

P. GAYATHRI¹, G. SELVI^{1,*}, I. RAJASEKARAN²

¹Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai- 602105, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Mathematics, Tirunelveli Dakshina Mara Nadar Sangam College, T. Kallikulam-627 113, Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu, India

Copyright © 2024 the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper we introduced $ns\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in a perfect nano topological space \mathbb{N}_*^X , we define a nano semi-local function $T_n^*(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{N})$. Several semi-local function characteristics and attributes and the new notions of $(\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n)$ -open sets, which are straightforward variations of n -open sets in \mathbb{N}_*^X , are introduced and studied in this paper. Additionally, we describe the connections between them and the associated attributes.

Keywords: nano topological space; semi-local function; nano idea.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54C05, 54C08, 54C10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, using notations open sets we denote by $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ (closed sets is denote $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}$) and (U, \mathcal{N}) we denote by \mathbb{N}^X , for an ideal nano topological spaces $(U, \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{N})$ we denote by \mathbb{N}_*^X .

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: msslalima11@gmail.com

Received August 29, 2024

Parimala et al. [4, 5] offered several novel ideas with the impression of ideal nano topological spaces.

Using Rajasekaran [8] $ns\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in a perfect nano topological space \mathbb{N}_*^X , we define a nano semi-local function $T_n^*(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{N})$. We investigate several semi-local function characteristics and attributes and the new notions of $(\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n)$ -open sets, those are straightforward variations of n -open sets in \mathbb{N}_*^X , are introduced and studied in this paper. Additionally, we describe the connections between them and the associated attributes.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Consequently, the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is referred to as the nano topological space, and the topology $\tau_R(X)$ on U is referred to as the nano topology with respect to X , and the space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is referred to as the nano topological space. Nano-open sets, or $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$, are the names given to the components of $\tau_R(X)$. A $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$'s opposite is referred to as a $n\text{-}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}$.

In the remaining sections of the work, a nano topological space is denoted by the symbol \mathbb{N}^X , where \mathcal{N} equals $\tau_R(X)$. A subset T of U 's nano-interior and nano-closure are identified by the symbols $I_n(T)$ and $C_n(T)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1. [3, 6, 9] A subset T of \mathbb{N}^X is called $n\alpha\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$, $ns\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$, $np\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$, $nb\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$, $n\beta\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

The complements of the aforementioned sets are known as their corresponding closed sets.

Definition 2.2. [8]

Let \mathbb{N}_*^X and T a subset of U . Then $T_n^*(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{N}) = \{x \in U : Z \cap K \notin \mathbb{I}, \text{ for every } K \in ns\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}\}$ is called the nano semi-local function of T with respect to \mathbb{I} and \mathcal{N} , where $n\text{-}SO(U, x) = \{K \in n\text{-}SO(U) | x \in K\}$. When there is no ambiguity, we shall only use the notation T_n^* for $T_n^*(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{N})$.

Theorem 2.1. [8] Let \mathbb{N}_*^X and $T, P \subseteq U$. Then

- (1) $T \subseteq P \Rightarrow T_n^* \subseteq P_n^*$,
- (2) $T_n^* = C_n(T_n^*) \subseteq C_n(T)$ (T_n^* is a $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \subseteq C_n(T)$),
- (3) $(T_n^*)_n^* \subseteq T_n^*$,
- (4) $(T \cup P)_n^* = T_n^* \cup P_n^*$,

$$(5) K \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow K \cap T_n^* = K \cap (K \cap T)_n^* \subseteq (K \cap T)_n^*,$$

$$(6) S \in \mathbb{I} \Rightarrow (T \cup S)_n^* = T_n^* = (T - S)_n^*.$$

Theorem 2.2. [8] Let \mathbb{N}_*^X with \mathbb{I} and $T \subseteq T_n^*$, then $T_n^* = C_n(T_n^*) = C_n(T)$.

Definition 2.3. [8] Let the space \mathbb{N}_*^X . The set operator C_n^* called a n^* -closure is defined by $C_n^*(T) = T \cup T_n^*$ for $T \subseteq U$.

It can be readily noted that $C_n^*(T) \subseteq C_n(T)$.

3. WEAK FORM OF NANO OPEN SETS

Definition 3.1. A subset T of space \mathbb{N}_*^X is called a nano

- (1) α - $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$) if $T \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(I_n(T)))$,
- (2) semi- $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$) if $T \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(T))$,
- (3) pre- $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$) if $T \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T))$,
- (4) b - $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$) if $T \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(T))$,
- (5) β - $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$) if $T \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(T)))$.

The complements of the aforementioned sets are known as their corresponding closed sets.

Theorem 3.1. The relationship shown below hold for a subset T in \mathbb{N}_*^X .

- (1) if M is n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ $\implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (2) if W is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ $\implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (3) if W is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ $\implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (4) if R is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ $\implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (5) if R is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ $\implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (6) if X is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ $\implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Proof.

- (1) W is n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $I_n(M) = W$. But $M \subseteq C_n^*(M) = C_n^*(I_n(M)) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(M)))$ which demonstrates M is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (2) W is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $W \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(I_n(W))) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(W))$ which demonstrates W is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(3) W is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $W \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(I_n(W))) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(W))$

which demonstrates W is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(4) R is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $R \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(R)) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(R)) \cup I_n(C_n^*(R))$

which demonstrates R is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(5) R is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $R \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(R)) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(R)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(R))$

which demonstrates R is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(6) X is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $X \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(X)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(X)) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(X))) \cup C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(X))) = C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(X)))$ which demonstrates X is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

□

Remark 3.2. In the diagram, these relationships are depicted.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \\
 \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \\
 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}
 \end{array}$$

As demonstrated in the following Example, none of the converses of the statements in Theorem 3.1 are true.

Example 3.3. Let $U = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$ with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{3, 5\}, \{7, 9\}\}$ & $X = \{1, 3\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{U, \{1\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \phi\}$. $I = \{\phi, \{3\}\}$.

(1) Then $T = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ is not $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. $I_n(T) = \{1, 3, 5\}$ and $\{1, 3, 5\}_n^* = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\} = U$. Thus T is not $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(2) $\{3, 5, 7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(3) $\{5, 7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Example 3.4. Let $U = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{5\}, \{3, 7\}\}$ and $X = \{1, 3\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{3, 7\}, \{1, 3, 7\}, U\}$. $I = \{\phi, \{1\}\}$.

(1) $\{3\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(2) $\{1, 7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(3) $\{3, 5, 7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Remark 3.5. In space \mathbb{N}_*^X , the families of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ are independent.

Example 3.6. In Example 3.4, $\{3\}$ is not $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\{1, 3, 7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 3.7. A subset Y of space \mathbb{N}_*^X is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \iff Y$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Part is derived from the Theorem. 3.1 (2) and (3).

Conversely, If Y is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ then $Y \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(Y))$ and $Y \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(Y))$.

Thus $Y \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(Y)) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(C_n^*(I_n(Y)))) = I_n(C_n^*(I_n(Y)))$ which demonstrates Y is $\alpha\text{-}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Remark 3.8. The family of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and the family of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ are independent of one another in the space \mathbb{N}_*^X , as illustrated in the Example below.

Example 3.9. Let $U = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{7\}, \{3, 5\}\}$ & $X = \{1, 5\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{U, \{1\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \phi\}$. $I = \{\phi, \{5\}\}$. Then the subset

(1) $\{1, 7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(2) $\{3\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 3.10. If a subset T of a space \mathbb{N}_*^X is both $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $n\star\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$, then T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Proof.

Since T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$, $T \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(T))) = C_n^*(I_n(T))$, T being $n\star\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. Therefore T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. □

Theorem 3.11. A subset Y of a \mathbb{N}_*^X , $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \iff C_n^*(Y) = C_n^*(I_n(Y))$.

Proof.

Let Y be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. Then $Y \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(Y))$ and $C_n^*(Y) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(Y))$. But $C_n^*(I_n(Y)) \subset C_n^*(Y)$. Thus $C_n^*(Y) = C_n^*(I_n(Y))$.

Conversely, let the condition hold. We've $Y \subseteq C_n^*(Y) = C_n^*(I_n(Y))$, by supposition. Thus $Y \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(Y))$ and hence Y is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. □

Theorem 3.12. *A subset Y of $\mathbb{N}_*^X, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \iff$ there exists a $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ set Y such that $Y \subseteq T \subseteq C_n^*(Y)$.*

Proof.

Let T be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. Then $T \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(T))$. Take $I_n(T) = Y$. Then $Y \subseteq T \subseteq C_n^*(Y)$, where Y is $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Conversely, let $Y \subseteq T \subseteq C_n^*(W)$ for some $n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ set Y . Since $Y \subseteq T$, $Y \subseteq I_n(T)$ and $T \subseteq C_n^*(Y) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(T))$ which implies T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. \square

Theorem 3.13. *If T is a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in \mathbb{N}_*^X and $T \subseteq K \subseteq C_n^*(T)$, then K is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof.

By assumption $K \subseteq C_n^*(T) \subseteq C_n^*(C_n^*(I_n(T)))$ (for T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$) $= C_n^*(I_n(T)) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(K))$ by assumption. This implies K is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. \square

Theorem 3.14. *The findings are valid for a subset T in the space \mathbb{N}_*^X .*

(1) *if T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.*

(2) *if T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.*

(3) *if T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof.

(1) T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $T \subseteq I_n(T_n^*) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T))$ which demonstrates T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(2) T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $T \subseteq I_n(T_n^*) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(T)))$ which demonstrates T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(3) T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ implies $T \subseteq I_n(T_n^*) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T)) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(T))$ which demonstrates T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. \square

Remark 3.15. *The converses of (1), (2), and (3) in Theorem 3.14 are false, as shown by the following Example.*

Example 3.16. *In Example 3.4,*

(1) $\{1\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

(2) $\{5, 7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Remark 3.17. *The family of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and the family of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_\alpha\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ ($\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_s\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$) sets are independent of one another in the space \mathbb{N}^X_\star .*

Example 3.18. *In Example 3.4,*

- (1) $\{3\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_\alpha\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (2) $\{1\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_\alpha\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Examples (1) and (2) support Remark (1) of 3.17.

- (3) $\{3\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_s\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (4) $\{2\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_s\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Examples (3) and (4) support Remark (2) of 3.17.

Proposition 3.19. *For a subset of T a space \mathbb{N}^X_\star , the below characteristics hold:*

- (1) *if Y is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_\alpha\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies n\alpha\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.*
- (2) *if Y is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_p\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies np\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.*
- (3) *if Y is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_b\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies nb\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.*
- (4) *if Y is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_\beta\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies n\beta\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof.

- (1) Let Y be a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_\alpha\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ set. Then $Y \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(I_n(Y))) \subseteq I_n(C_n(I_n(Y)))$. This demonstrates Y is $n\alpha\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (2) Let Y be a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_p\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ set. Then $Y \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(Y)) \subseteq I_n(C_n(Y))$. This demonstrates Y is $np\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (3) Let Y be a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_b\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ set. Then $Y \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(Y)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(Y)) \subseteq I_n(C_n(Y)) \cup C_n(I_n(Y))$. This demonstrates Y is $nb\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (4) Let Y be a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}^n_\beta\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ set. Then $Y \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(Y))) \subseteq C_n(I_n(C_n(Y)))$. This demonstrates Y is $n\beta\text{-}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

□

Remark 3.20. *Proposition 3.19' converses are generally untrue, as the case that follows demonstrates.*

Example 3.21. Let $U = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$ with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{3, 5\}, \{7, 9\}\}$, $X = \{1, 3\}$ & $I = \wp(U)$. Then in \mathbb{N}_*^X , $\mathcal{N} = \{U, \{1\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \phi\}$. $T = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ since $C_n^*(T) = Z$ but $n\alpha$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Example 3.22. Let $U = \{1, 3, 5\}$ with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{3, 5\}\}$ & $X = \{1, 3\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{U, \{1\}, \{3, 5\}, \phi\}$. $I = \{\phi, \{v\}\}$. Then in \mathbb{N}_*^X , $\{1, 3\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but np - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Example 3.23. In Example 3.4,

- (1) $\{1, 5\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but nb - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (2) $\{1, 5\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ but $n\beta$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Lemma 3.24. Let \mathbb{N}_*^X and $Y \subseteq U$. If K is n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in \mathbb{N}_*^X , then $C_n^*(Y) \cap K \subseteq C_n^*(Y \cap K)$.

Proof.

$C_n^*(Y) \cap K = (Y_n^* \cup Y) \cap K = (Y_n^* \cap K) \cup (Y \cap K) \subseteq (Y \cap K)_n^* \cup (Y \cap K)$ by (5) of Theorem 2.1. Thus $C_n^*(Y) \cap K \subseteq (Y \cap K)_n^* \cup (Y \cap K) = C_n^*(Y \cap K)$. \square

Proposition 3.25. The intersection of a

- (1) n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (2) n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (3) n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (4) n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (5) n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S} \implies \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Proof.

- (1) Let T be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and K be n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. Then $T \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T))$ and $K \cap T \subseteq I_n(K) \cap I_n(C_n^*(T)) = I_n(K \cap C_n^*(T)) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(K \cap T))$ by Lemma 3.24. This demonstrates $K \cap T$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (2) Let T be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and K be n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in U . Then $T \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(T))$ and $I_n(K) = K$. $K \cap T \subseteq K \cap C_n^*(I_n(T)) \subseteq C_n^*(K \cap I_n(T)) = C_n^*(I_n(K) \cap I_n(T)) = C_n^*(I_n(K \cap T))$ by Lemma 3.24. Hence T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

- (3) Let K be a n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and T be an $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ in a space \mathbb{N}_*^X . Then T is both $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ by (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.1. $T \cap K$ is both $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ by Proposition 3.25(1) and (2). Hence by Theorem 3.7, $T \cap K$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (4) Let T be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and K be n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. Then $T \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(T))$ and $T \cap K \subseteq [I_n(C_n^*(T)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(T))] \cap K = [I_n(C_n^*(T)) \cap K] \cup [C_n^*(I_n(T)) \cap K] = [I_n(K) \cap I_n(C_n^*(T))] \cup [K \cap C_n^*(I_n(T))] \subseteq [I_n(K \cap C_n^*(T))] \cup [C_n^*(K \cap I_n(T))]$ by Lemma 3.24. Thus $K \cap T \subseteq [I_n(C_n^*(K \cap T))] \cup [C_n^*(I_n(K \cap T))]$. This shows that $K \cap T$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (5) Let T be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ and K be n - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. Then $T \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(T)))$ and $K \cap T \subseteq K \cap C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(T))) \subseteq C_n^*(K \cap I_n(C_n^*(T))) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(K) \cap I_n(C_n^*(T))) = C_n^*(I_n(K \cap C_n^*(T))) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(K \cap Z)))$ by Lemma 3.24. This shows that $K \cap T$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. □

Remark 3.26. *The intersection of two*

- (1) $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ no need to a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (2) $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ no need to a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (3) $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ no need to a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (4) $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$ no need to a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

as demonstrated in the example that follows.

Example 3.27. In Example 3.9, $\{1, 7\}$ and $\{3, 5, 7\}$ are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. But $\{1, 7\} \cap \{3, 5, 7\} = \{7\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

Example 3.28. (1) In Example 3.4,

- (a) $\{1, 3, 5\}$ and $\{1, 5, 7\}$ are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. But $\{1, 3, 5\} \cap \{1, 5, 7\} = \{1, 5\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (b) $\{1, 3, 5\}$ and $\{3, 5, 7\}$ are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. But $\{1, 3, 5\} \cap \{3, 5, 7\} = \{3, 5\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.
- (c) $\{3, 5\}$ and $\{5, 7\}$ are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$. But $\{3, 5\} \cap \{5, 7\} = \{5\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\beta^n$ - $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Jankovic, Compatible extensions of ideals, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 7 (1992), 453–465.

- [2] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [3] M.L. Thivagar, C. Richard, On nano forms of weakly open sets, *Int. J. Math. Stat. Invent.* 1 (2013), 31–37.
- [4] M. Parimala, T. Noiri, S. Jafari, New types of nano topological spaces via nano ideals, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315892279>.
- [5] M. Parimala, S. Jafari, On Some new notions in nano ideal topological spaces, *Eurasian Bull. Math.* 1 (2018), 85–93.
- [6] M. Parimala, C. Indirani, S. Jafari, On nano b -open sets in nano topological spaces, *Jordan J. Math. Stat.* 9 (2016), 173–184.
- [7] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Computer Inform. Sci.* 11 (1982), 341–356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01001956>.
- [8] I. Rajasekaran, On semi-local functions in ideal nano topological spaces, Communicated.
- [9] A. Revathy, G. Ilango, On nano β -open sets, *Int. J. Eng. Contemp. Math. Sci.* 1 (2015), 1–6.
- [10] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The localisation theory in set-topology, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* 20 (1944), 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03048958>.
- [11] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *Set topology*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1946.