



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2014, 2014:26

ISSN: 2050-7461

## REFINEMENT OF JENSEN'S INEQUALITY FOR OPERATOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS

L. HORVÁTH<sup>1</sup>, K.A. KHAN<sup>2</sup>, J. PEČARIĆ<sup>3,4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Pannonia, Egyetem u.10, 8200 Veszprém, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Sargodha, Sargodha 40100, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University,

68-B, New Muslim Town, Lahore 54600, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Textile Technology, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Copyright © 2014 Horváth, Khan and Pečarić. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we give a refinement of discrete Jensen's inequality for the operator convex functions. We launch the corresponding mixed symmetric means for positive self-adjoint operators defined on Hilbert space and also establish the refinement of inequality between power means of strictly positive operators.

**Keywords:** self-adjoint operators; operator convex functions; operator means; symbolic calculus.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 26D07, 46E63, 46E64.

### 1. INTRODUCTION-PRELIMINARIES

$H$  will from now on denote a complex Hilbert space.  $S(I)$  means the class of all self-adjoint bounded operators on  $H$  whose spectra are contained in an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . The spectrum of a bounded operator  $A$  on  $H$  is denoted by  $\text{Sp}(A)$ .

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: [lhovath@almos.uni-pannon.hu](mailto:lhovath@almos.uni-pannon.hu) (L. Horváth), [khuramsms@gmail.com](mailto:khuramsms@gmail.com) (K.A. Khan), [pecaric@mahazu.hazu.hr](mailto:pecaric@mahazu.hazu.hr) (J. Pečarić)

Received February 27, 2013

Let  $f : D_f(\subset \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and let  $I \subset D_f$  be an interval.  $f$  is said to be operator monotone on  $I$  if  $f$  is continuous on  $I$  and  $A, B \in S(I)$ ,  $A \leq B$  (i.e.  $B - A$  is a positive operator) imply  $f(A) \leq f(B)$ . The function  $f$  is said to be operator convex on  $I$  if  $f$  is continuous on  $I$  and

$$f(sA + tB) \leq sf(A) + tf(B)$$

for all  $A, B \in S(I)$  and for all positive numbers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $s + t = 1$ . The function  $f$  is called operator concave on  $J$  if  $-f$  is operator convex on  $J$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Jensen's operator inequality: Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval, and let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an operator convex function on  $I$ . If  $C_i \in S(I)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and  $w_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$ , then*

$$(1) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i C_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(C_i).$$

If  $f$  is an operator concave function on  $I$ , then the inequality in (1) is reversed.

Some interpolations of (1) are given in [3] as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Under the conditions of the Jensen's operator inequality*

$$(2) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i C_i\right) = f_{n,n} \leq \dots \leq f_{k,n} \leq \dots \leq f_{1,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(C_i),$$

where for  $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$(3) \quad f_{k,n} := \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \right) f\left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} C_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j}} \right).$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *If the conditions of the Jensen's operator inequality are satisfied, then*

$$(4) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i C_i\right) \leq \dots \leq \bar{f}_{k+1,n} \leq \bar{f}_{k,n} \leq \dots \leq \bar{f}_{1,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(C_i),$$

where for  $k \geq 1$

$$(5) \quad \bar{f}_{k,n} = \frac{1}{\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \right) f \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} C_{i_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j}} \right).$$

A self-adjoint bounded operator  $A$  on  $H$  is called strictly positive if it is positive and invertible, or equivalently,  $\text{Sp}(A) \subset [m, M]$  for some  $0 < m < M$ .

The power means for strictly positive operators  $\mathbf{C} := (C_1, \dots, C_n)$  with positive weights  $\mathbf{w} := (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  are defined in [3] as follows:

$$(6) \quad M_r(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}) = M_r(C_1, \dots, C_n; w_1, \dots, w_n) := \left( \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i C_i^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $W_n := \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ . The following result about the monotonicity of power means is also given in [3]:

$$(7) \quad M_s(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}) \leq M_r(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w})$$

holds if either  $s \leq r$ ,  $s \notin (-1, 1)$ ,  $r \notin (-1, 1)$  or  $1/2 \leq s \leq 1 \leq r$  or  $s \leq -1 \leq r \leq -1/2$ .

Some symmetric mixed means, corresponding to the expressions (3) and (5) are introduced in [3]: for  $r, s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and for  $W_n = 1$ , define

$$(8) \quad M_n(s, r; k) := \left( \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \right) M_r^s(C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_k}; w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_k}) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

where  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and

$$(9) \quad \bar{M}_n(s, r; k) := \left( \frac{1}{\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \right) M_r^s(C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_k}; w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_k}) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

where  $k \geq 1$ .

The following result from [3] gives some refinements of (7).

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be an  $n$ -tuple of strictly positive operators, and let  $w_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that  $W_n = 1$ . Then the following inequalities are valid*

$$(10) \quad M_s(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}) = M_n(s, r; 1) \leq \dots \leq M_n(s, r; k) \leq \dots \leq M_n(s, r; n) = M_r(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}),$$

and

$$(11) \quad M_s(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}) = \overline{M}_n(s, r; 1) \leq \dots \leq \overline{M}_n(s, r; k) \leq \dots \leq M_r(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}),$$

if either

$$(i) \quad 1 \leq s \leq r \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) \quad -r \leq s \leq -1 \text{ or}$$

$$(iii) \quad s \leq -1, r \geq s \geq 2r;$$

while the reverse inequalities are valid if either

$$(iv) \quad r \leq s \leq -1 \text{ or}$$

$$(v) \quad 1 \leq s \leq -r \text{ or}$$

$$(vi) \quad s \geq 1, r \leq s \leq 2r.$$

In this paper, we generalize the above results by using a new refinement of the Jensen's inequality from [2]. First, we give the notations introduced in [2]:

Let  $X$  be a set. The power set of  $X$  is denoted by  $P(X)$ .  $|X|$  means the number of elements in  $X$ .

The usual symbol  $\mathbb{N}$  is used for the set of natural numbers (including 0).

Let  $u \geq 1$  and  $v \geq 2$  be fixed integers. Define the functions

$$S_{v,w} : \{1, \dots, u\}^v \rightarrow \{1, \dots, u\}^{v-1}, \quad 1 \leq w \leq v,$$

$$S_v : \{1, \dots, u\}^v \rightarrow P(\{1, \dots, u\}^{v-1}),$$

and

$$T_v : P(\{1, \dots, u\}^v) \rightarrow P(\{1, \dots, u\}^{v-1})$$

by

$$S_{v,w}(i_1, \dots, i_v) := (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{w-1}, i_{w+1}, \dots, i_v), \quad 1 \leq w \leq v,$$

$$S_v(i_1, \dots, i_v) := \bigcup_{w=1}^v \{S_{v,w}(i_1, \dots, i_v)\},$$

and

$$T_v(I) := \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } I = \emptyset \\ \bigcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_v) \in I} S_v(i_1, \dots, i_v), & \text{if } I \neq \emptyset \end{cases}.$$

Next, let the function

$$\alpha_{v,i} : \{1, \dots, u\}^v \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq u,$$

be given by:  $\alpha_{v,i}(i_1, \dots, i_v)$  means the number of occurrences of  $i$  in the sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_v)$ .

For each  $I \in P(\{1, \dots, u\}^v)$  let

$$\alpha_{I,i} := \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_v) \in I} \alpha_{v,i}(i_1, \dots, i_v), \quad 1 \leq i \leq u.$$

It is easy to see that the dependence of the functions  $S_{v,w}$ ,  $S_v$ ,  $T_v$  and  $\alpha_{v,i}$  on  $u$  does not play an important role, so we can use simplified notations.

The following hypotheses will give the basic context of our results.

(H<sub>1</sub>) Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 2$  be fixed integers, and let  $I_k$  be a subset of  $\{1, \dots, n\}^k$  such that

$$(12) \quad \alpha_{I_k, i} \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval, and let  $C_i \in S(I)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

(H<sub>3</sub>) Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  be positive numbers such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$ .

(H<sub>4</sub>) Let the function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be operator convex.

(H<sub>5</sub>) Let  $h, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and strictly operator monotone functions.

We need some further preparations.

Starting from  $I_k$ , we introduce the sets  $I_l \subset \{1, \dots, n\}^l$  ( $k-1 \geq l \geq 1$ ) inductively by

$$I_{l-1} := T_l(I_l), \quad k \geq l \geq 2.$$

Obviously,  $I_1 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , by (12), and this insures that  $\alpha_{I_1, i} = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). From (12) again, we have that  $\alpha_{I_l, i} \geq 1$  ( $k-1 \geq l \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

For any  $k \geq l \geq 2$  and for any  $(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) \in I_{l-1}$ , let

$$H_l(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) := \{((i_1, \dots, i_l), m) \in I_l \times \{1, \dots, l\} \mid S_{l,m}(i_1, \dots, i_l) = (j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})\}.$$

Using these sets we define the functions  $t_{I_k, l} : I_l \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  ( $k \geq l \geq 1$ ) inductively by

$$(13) \quad t_{I_k, k}(i_1, \dots, i_k) := 1, \quad (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k;$$

$$(14) \quad t_{I_k, l-1}(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) := \sum_{((i_1, \dots, i_l), m) \in H_l(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})} t_{I_k, l}(i_1, \dots, i_l).$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The main results of this paper involve some special expressions, which we now describe.

Suppose (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>4</sub>). For any  $k \geq l \geq 1$  let

$$(15) \quad A_{l, l} = A_{l, l}(I_k, C_1, \dots, C_n, w_1, \dots, w_n) := \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l} \left( \sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{l, i_s}} \right) f \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{l, i_s}} C_{i_s}}{\sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{l, i_s}}} \right),$$

and associate to each  $k-1 \geq l \geq 1$  the operator

$$(16) \quad A_{k, l} = A_{k, l}(I_k, C_1, \dots, C_n, w_1, \dots, w_n) := \frac{1}{(k-1) \dots l} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l} t_{I_k, l}(i_1, \dots, i_l) \left( \sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{l, i_s}} \right) f \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{k, i_s}} C_{i_s}}{\sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{k, i_s}}} \right).$$

With these preparations out of the way we come to

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>4</sub>). Then*

(a)

$$(17) \quad f \left( \sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r \right) \leq A_{k, k} \leq A_{k, k-1} \leq \dots \leq A_{k, 2} \leq A_{k, 1} = \sum_{r=1}^n w_r f(C_r).$$

(b) Suppose  $|H_{I_l}(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})| = \beta_{l-1}$  for any  $(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) \in I_{l-1}$  ( $k \geq l \geq 2$ ). Then

$$(18) \quad A_{k,l} = A_{l,l} = \frac{n}{l|I_l|} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l} \left( \sum_{s=1}^l w_{i_s} \right) f \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^l w_{i_s} C_{i_s}}{\sum_{s=1}^l w_{i_s}} \right), \quad (k \geq l \geq 1),$$

and thus

$$f \left( \sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r \right) \leq A_{k,k} \leq A_{k-1,k-1} \leq \dots \leq A_{2,2} \leq A_{1,1} = \sum_{r=1}^n w_r f(C_r).$$

To prove these results we can use the same method as in the proof of the main result (Theorem 1) in [2], so we omit the proofs.

### 3. DISCUSSION, AND APPLICATIONS

Throughout Examples (3.1-3.6) (based on the examples in [2]) the conditions (H<sub>2</sub>)-(H<sub>4</sub>) will be assumed.

Theorem 2.1 contains Theorem 1.2, as the first example shows.

**Example 3.1.** *Let*

$$I_k := \left\{ (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k \mid i_1 < \dots < i_k \right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Then  $\alpha_{I_n, i} = 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) ensuring (H<sub>1</sub>) with  $k = n$ . It is easy to check that  $T_k(I_k) = I_{k-1}$  ( $k = 2, \dots, n$ ),  $|I_k| = \binom{n}{k}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), and for every  $k = 2, \dots, n$

$$|H_{I_k}(j_1, \dots, j_{k-1})| = n - (k - 1), \quad (j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}) \in I_{k-1},$$

and therefore, thanks to Theorem 2.1 (b),

$$A_{k,k} = \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} \right) f \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} C_{i_s}}{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s}} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

and

$$(19) \quad f \left( \sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r \right) \leq A_{k,k} \leq A_{k-1,k-1} \leq \dots \leq A_{2,2} \leq A_{1,1} = \sum_{r=1}^n w_r f(C_r).$$

If  $w_1 = \dots = w_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , then

$$A_{k,k} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{C_{i_1} + \dots + C_{i_k}}{k}\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

and thus (19) gives Theorem 1.2.

The next example illustrates that Theorem 1.3 is also a special case of Theorem 2.1.

**Example 3.2.** *Let*

$$I_k := \left\{ (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k \mid i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \right\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Obviously,  $\alpha_{I_k, i} \geq 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and therefore  $(H_1)$  is satisfied. It is not hard to see that  $T_k(I_k) = I_{k-1}$  ( $k = 2, \dots$ ),  $|I_k| = \binom{n+k-1}{k}$  ( $k = 1, \dots$ ), and for each  $l = 2, \dots, k$

$$|H_l(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})| = n, \quad (j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) \in I_{l-1}.$$

Consequently, by applying Theorem 2.1 (b), we deduce that

$$A_{k,k} = \frac{1}{\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} \right) f\left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} C_{i_s}}{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s}} \right), \quad k \geq 1,$$

and

$$(20) \quad f\left( \sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r \right) \leq \dots \leq A_{k,k} \leq \dots \leq A_{k,1} = \sum_{r=1}^n w_r f(C_r).$$

By taking  $w_1 = \dots = w_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , we obtain that

$$A_{k,k} = \frac{1}{\binom{n+k-1}{k}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{C_{i_1} + \dots + C_{i_k}}{k}\right), \quad k \geq 1,$$

and thus (20) gives Theorem 1.3.

The following two examples are particular cases of Theorem 2.1 (b).

**Example 3.3.** *Let*

$$I_k := \{1, \dots, n\}^k, \quad k \geq 1.$$



Trivially,  $\alpha_{I_k,i} \geq 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), hence  $(H_1)$  holds. It is evident that  $T_k(I_k) = I_{k-1}$  ( $k = 2, \dots$ ),  $|I_k| = n^k$  ( $k = 1, \dots$ ), and for every  $l = 2, \dots, k$

$$|H_{I_l}(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})| = n^l, \quad (j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) \in I_{l-1},$$

and therefore Theorem 2.1 (b) leads to

$$A_{k,k} = \frac{1}{kn^{k-1}} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} \right) f \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} C_{i_s}}{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s}} \right), \quad k \geq 1,$$

and

$$f \left( \sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r \right) \leq \dots \leq A_{k,k} \leq \dots \leq A_{1,1} = \sum_{r=1}^n w_r f(C_r), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Especially, for  $w_1 = \dots = w_n = \frac{1}{n}$  we find that

$$A_{k,k} = \frac{1}{n^k} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} f \left( \frac{C_{i_1} + \dots + C_{i_k}}{k} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Example 3.4.** For  $1 \leq k \leq n$  let  $I_k$  consist of all sequences  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  of  $k$  distinct numbers from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\alpha_{I_n,i} \geq 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), hence  $(H_1)$  is valid. It is immediate that  $T_k(I_k) = I_{k-1}$  ( $k = 2, \dots, n$ ),  $|I_k| = n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), and for each  $k = 2, \dots, n$

$$|H_{I_k}(j_1, \dots, j_{k-1})| = (n - (k-1))k, \quad (j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}) \in I_{k-1}.$$

and from them, on account of Theorem 2.1 (b), follows

$$A_{k,k} = \frac{n}{kn(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)} \cdot \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} \right) f \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} C_{i_s}}{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s}} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$f \left( \sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r \right) \leq A_{n,n} \leq \dots \leq A_{k,k} \leq \dots \leq A_{1,1} = \sum_{r=1}^n w_r f(C_r).$$

If we set  $w_1 = \dots = w_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , then

$$A_{k,k} = \frac{1}{n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} f \left( \frac{C_{i_1} + \dots + C_{i_k}}{k} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

In the sequel two interesting consequences of Theorem 2.1 (a) are given.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $c_i \geq 1$  be an integer ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), let  $k := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$ , and let  $I_k = P^{c_1, \dots, c_n}$  consist of all sequences  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  in which the number of occurrences of  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  is  $c_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Evidently,  $(H_1)$  is satisfied. A simple calculation shows that

$$I_{k-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P^{c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_i-1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n}, \quad \alpha_{I_k, i} = \frac{k!}{c_1! \dots c_n!} c_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$t_{I_k, k-1}(i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}) = k,$$

if  $(i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}) \in P^{c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_i-1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

and

$$f\left(\sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r\right) = A_{k,k}$$

$$= \frac{c_1! \dots c_n!}{k!} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left(\sum_{s=1}^k \frac{w_{i_s}}{c_{i_s}}\right) f\left(\frac{\sum_{s=1}^k \frac{w_{i_s}}{c_{i_s}} C_{i_s}}{\sum_{s=1}^k \frac{w_{i_s}}{c_{i_s}}}\right).$$

According to Theorem 2.1 (a)

$$f\left(\sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r\right) \leq A_{k, k-1} \leq \sum_{r=1}^n w_r f(C_r),$$

where

$$A_{k, k-1} = \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i - w_i) f\left(\frac{\sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r - \frac{w_i}{c_i} C_i}{1 - \frac{w_i}{c_i}}\right).$$

**Example 3.6.** Let

$$I_2 := \{(i_1, i_2) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2 \mid i_1 | i_2\}.$$

The notation  $i_1 | i_2$  means that  $i_1$  divides  $i_2$ . Since  $i | i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $(H_1)$  holds. In this case

$$\alpha_{I_2, i} = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \right\rfloor + d(i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\left[\frac{n}{i}\right]$  is the largest natural number that does not exceed  $\frac{n}{i}$ , and  $d(i)$  denotes the number of positive divisors of  $i$ . By Theorem 2.1 (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\sum_{r=1}^n w_r C_r\right) &\leq \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in I_2} \left( \frac{w_{i_1}}{\left[\frac{n}{i_1}\right] + d(i_1)} + \frac{w_{i_2}}{\left[\frac{n}{i_2}\right] + d(i_2)} \right) \\ &\cdot f\left(\frac{\frac{w_{i_1}}{\left[\frac{n}{i_1}\right] + d(i_1)} C_{i_1} + \frac{w_{i_2}}{\left[\frac{n}{i_2}\right] + d(i_2)} C_{i_2}}{\frac{w_{i_1}}{\left[\frac{n}{i_1}\right] + d(i_1)} + \frac{w_{i_2}}{\left[\frac{n}{i_2}\right] + d(i_2)}}\right) \leq \sum_{r=1}^n w_r f(C_r). \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. SYMMETRIC MEANS

Assume (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>). The power means corresponding to  $\mathbf{i}^l := (i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l$  ( $l = 1, \dots, k$ ) are given as:

$$(21) \quad M_r(I_k, \mathbf{i}^l) := \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s} C_{i_s}^r}{\alpha_{I_k, i_s}}}{\sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_s}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad r \neq 0.$$

Next, we introduce the mixed symmetric means corresponding to the expressions (15) and (16) as follows:

$$(22) \quad M_{s,r}^1(I_k, k) := \left( \sum_{\mathbf{i}^k = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{w_{i_j}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_j}} \right) (M_r(I_k, \mathbf{i}^k))^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0,$$

and for  $k-1 \geq l \geq 1$

$$(23) \quad M_{s,r}^1(I_k, l) := \left( \frac{1}{(k-1) \dots l} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^l = (i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l} t_{I_k, l}(\mathbf{i}^l) \left( \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{w_{i_j}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_j}} \right) (M_r(I_k, \mathbf{i}^l))^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0.$$

The following result is a comprehensive generalization of Theorem 1.4.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>) for an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{C}$  of strictly positive operators. Then

$$(24) \quad M_s(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}) = M_{s,r}^1(I_k, 1) \leq \dots \leq M_{s,r}^1(I_k, k) \leq M_r(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}).$$

holds if either

(i)  $1 \leq s \leq r$  or

(ii)  $-r \leq s \leq -1$  or

(iii)  $s \leq -1, r \geq s \geq 2r$ ;

while the reverse inequalities hold in (24) if either

(iv)  $r \leq s \leq -1$  or

(v)  $1 \leq s \leq -r$  or

(vi)  $s \geq 1, r \leq s \leq 2r$ .

*Proof.* It is well known (see [1]) that the function  $f : D_f(\subset \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = x^p$  is operator convex on  $(0, \infty)$  if either  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  or  $-1 \leq p \leq 0$ , and operator concave on  $(0, \infty)$  if  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ , while  $f$  is operator monotone on  $(0, \infty)$  if  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ . It is also true that  $-f$  is operator monotone on  $(0, \infty)$  if  $-1 \leq p \leq 0$ . By using these facts, we can apply Theorem 2.1 (a) to the function  $f(x) = x^{\frac{s}{r}}$ , and the operators  $C_i^r$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

□

Assume  $(H_1)$ - $(H_3)$  and  $(H_5)$ . Then we define the quasi-arithmetic means with respect to (15) and (16) as follows:

$$(25) \quad M_{h,g}^1(I_k, k) := h^{-1} \left( \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_s}} \right) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_s}} g(C_{i_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^k \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_s}}} \right) \right),$$

and for  $k-1 \geq l \geq 1$

$$(26) \quad M_{h,g}^1(I_k, l) := h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{(k-1) \dots l} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^l = (i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l} t_{I_k, l}(\mathbf{i}^l) \left( \sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_s}} \right) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_s}} g(C_{i_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^l \frac{w_{i_s}}{\alpha_{I_k, i_s}}} \right) \right).$$

The monotonicity of these generalized means is obtained in the next corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Assume  $(H_1)$ - $(H_3)$  and  $(H_5)$ . For a continuous and strictly operator monotone function  $q : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we define*

$$M_q := q^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i q(C_i) \right).$$

Then

$$(27) \quad M_h = M_{h,g}^1(I_k, 1) \geq \dots \geq M_{h,g}^1(I_k, k) \geq M_g,$$

if either  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $h^{-1}$  is operator monotone or  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $-h^{-1}$  is operator monotone;

$$(28) \quad M_g = M_{g,h}^1(I_k, 1) \leq \dots \leq M_{g,h}^1(I_k, k) \leq M_h,$$

if either  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $-g^{-1}$  is operator monotone or  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $g^{-1}$  is operator monotone.

*Proof.* First, we apply Theorem 2.1 (a) to the function  $h \circ g^{-1}$  and replace  $C_i$  to  $g(C_i)$ , then we apply  $h^{-1}$  to the inequality coming from (17). This gives (27). A similar argument gives (28):  $g \circ h^{-1}$ ,  $C_i = h(C_i)$  and  $g^{-1}$  can be used.  $\square$

Assume (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>), and suppose  $|H_{I_l}(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})| = \beta_{l-1}$  for any  $(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) \in I_{l-1}$  ( $k \geq l \geq 2$ ). In this case the power means corresponding to  $\mathbf{i}^l := (i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l$  ( $l = 1, \dots, k$ ) has the form

$$M_r(I_l, \mathbf{i}^l) = M_r(I_k, \mathbf{i}^l) = \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^l w_{i_j} C_{i_j}^r}{\sum_{s=1}^l w_{i_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad r \neq 0.$$

Now, for  $k \geq l \geq 1$  we introduce the mixed symmetric means related to (18) as follows:

$$(29) \quad M_{s,r}^2(I_l) := \left[ \frac{n}{l|I_l|} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^l=(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l} \left( \sum_{j=1}^l w_{i_j} \right) \left( M_r(I_l, \mathbf{i}^l) \right)^s \right]^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0.$$

**Corollary 4.3.** Assume (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>), and suppose  $|H_{I_l}(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})| = \beta_{l-1}$  for any  $(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) \in I_{l-1}$  ( $k \geq l \geq 2$ ). Then

$$(30) \quad M_s(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}) = M_{s,r}^2(I_1) \leq \dots \leq M_{s,r}^2(I_k) \leq M_r(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{w}).$$

holds if either

- (i)  $1 \leq s \leq r$  or
- (ii)  $-r \leq s \leq -1$  or

(iii)  $s \leq -1$ ,  $r \geq s \geq 2r$ ;

while the reverse inequalities hold in (30) if either

(iv)  $r \leq s \leq -1$  or

(v)  $1 \leq s \leq -r$  or

(vi)  $s \geq 1$ ,  $r \leq s \leq 2r$ .

*Proof.* It comes from Theorem 4.1. □

Assume (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>) and (H<sub>5</sub>), and suppose  $|H_{I_l}(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})| = \beta_{l-1}$  for any  $(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) \in I_{l-1}$  ( $k \geq l \geq 2$ ). We define for  $k \geq l \geq 1$  the quasi-arithmetic means with respect to (18) as follows:

$$(31) \quad M_{h,g}^2(I_l) := h^{-1} \left( \frac{n}{l|I_l|} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I_l} \left( \sum_{s=1}^l w_{i_s} \right) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^l w_{i_s} g(C_{i_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^l w_{i_s}} \right) \right).$$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Assume (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>) and (H<sub>5</sub>), and suppose  $|H_{I_l}(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})| = \beta_{l-1}$  for any  $(j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}) \in I_{l-1}$  ( $k \geq l \geq 2$ ). Then*

$$(32) \quad M_h = M_{h,g}^2(I_1) \geq \dots \geq M_{h,g}^2(I_k) \geq M_g,$$

where either  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $h^{-1}$  is operator monotone or  $h \circ g^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $-h^{-1}$  is operator monotone;

$$(33) \quad M_g = M_{g,h}^2(I_1) \leq \dots \leq M_{g,h}^2(I_k) \leq M_h,$$

where either  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $-g^{-1}$  is operator monotone or  $g \circ h^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $g^{-1}$  is operator monotone.

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Corollary 4.2. □

Finally, we apply the results of this section in some special cases. Throughout Remarks 4.5-4.8 and 4.10-4.9, which are based on examples in [2], the conditions (H<sub>2</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>) (in the mixed symmetric means) and (H<sub>5</sub>) (in the quasi-arithmetic means) will be assumed.

**Remark 4.5.** *In the case of Example 3.1, for  $n \geq k \geq 1$  (29) becomes*

$$(34) \quad M_{s,r}^2(I_k) = \left( \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \right) \left( M_r(I_k, \mathbf{i}^k) \right)^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0.$$

and (31) has the form

$$(35) \quad M_{h,g}^2(I_k) = h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} \right) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} g(C_{i_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s}} \right) \right).$$

**Remark 4.6.** Under the setting of Example 3.2, for  $k \geq 1$  (29) becomes

$$(36) \quad M_{s,r}^2(I_k) = \left( \frac{1}{\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \right) \left( M_r(I_k, \mathbf{i}^k) \right)^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0.$$

and (31) has the form

$$(37) \quad M_{h,g}^2(I_k) = h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} \right) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} g(C_{i_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s}} \right) \right).$$

(34) and (36) represents mixed symmetric means as given in [3]. Therefore Corollary 4.3 is a generalization of results given in [3].

**Remark 4.7.** Under the setting of Example 3.3, for  $k \geq 1$ , (29) leads to

$$(38) \quad M_{s,r}^2(I_k) = \left( \frac{1}{kn^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^k = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \right) \left( M_r(I_k, \mathbf{i}^k) \right)^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0.$$

and (31) gives

$$(39) \quad M_{h,g}^2(I_k) = h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{kn^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^k = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} \right) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} g(C_{i_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s}} \right) \right),$$

respectively.

**Remark 4.8.** Under the setting of Example 3.4, for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , (29) gives

$$(40) \quad M_{s,r}^2(I_k) = \left( \frac{n}{kn(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^k = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_{i_j} \right) \left( M_r(I_k, \mathbf{i}^k) \right)^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0.$$

and (31) has the form

$$(41) \quad M_{h,g}^2(I_k) = h^{-1} \left( \frac{n}{kn(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{i}^k = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} \right) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s} g(C_{i_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^k w_{i_s}} \right) \right),$$

respectively.

**Remark 4.9.** Under the construction of Example 3.5, (23) is written as

$$(42) \quad M_{s,r}^1(I_k, k-1) = \left( \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i - w_i) \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n w_j C_j^r - \frac{w_i}{c_i} C_i^r}{1 - \frac{w_i}{c_i}} \right)^{\frac{s}{r}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0, r \neq 0,$$

while (26) becomes

$$(43) \quad M_{h,g}^1(I_k, k-1) = h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i - w_i) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{r=1}^n w_r g(C_r) - \frac{w_i}{c_i} g(C_i)}{1 - \frac{w_i}{c_i}} \right) \right).$$

**Remark 4.10.** Under the construction of Example 3.6, (22) gives

$$(44) \quad M_{s,r}^1(I_2, 2) = \left( \sum_{i^2=(i_1, i_2) \in I_2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{w_{i_j}}{\left[ \frac{n}{i_j} \right] + d(i_j)} \right) (M_r(I_2, \mathbf{i}^2))^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad s \neq 0,$$

while (25) gives

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} & M_{h,g}^1(I_2, 2) \\ &= h^{-1} \left( \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in I_2} \left( \sum_{s=1}^2 \frac{w_{i_s}}{\left[ \frac{n}{i_s} \right] + d(i_s)} \right) h \circ g^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{s=1}^2 \frac{w_{i_s}}{\left[ \frac{n}{i_s} \right] + d(i_s)} g(C_{i_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^2 \frac{w_{i_s}}{\left[ \frac{n}{i_s} \right] + d(i_s)}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

## Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by Hungarian National Foundations for Scientific Research Grant No. K101217, the second by Higher Education Commission Pakistan and the third by the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sports under the Research Grant 117-1170889-0888.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Furuta, J. M. Hot, J. Pečarić, Y. Seo, Mond-Pečarić method in operator inequalities, Element, Zagreb (2005).
- [2] L. Horváth, J. Pečarić, A refinement of the discrete Jensen's inequality, Math. Ineq. Appl. 14 (2011), 777-791.



- [3] B. Mond, J. Pečarić, Remarks on Jensen's inequality for operator convex functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sec. A. 47 (1993), 96-103.