



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2014, 2014:41

ISSN: 2050-7461

## IDENTICALNESS OF N-NORMS

M.P. SINGH\*, S.R. MEITEI

Department of Mathematics, Manipur University, Canchipur 795003, India

Copyright © 2014 Singh and Meitei. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we discuss the concept of n-norm and n-normed spaces. Further we prove the equality of seven formulae of n-norms on a Hilbert space and eight formulae of n-norms on a separable Hilbert space. An alternative formula of n-norm on the dual of an n-normed space is introduced. Also, we show its equality with two alternative formulae.

**Keywords:** Hilbert space; dual space; inner product; n-norm; separable space.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 46B20, 46C05, 46C15.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a real vector space with  $\dim X \geq n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. A real valued function  $\|., ., .\| : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an n-norm on  $X$  if the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  iff  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent.
- (2)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutations of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- (3)  $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (4)  $\|x_0 + x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x_0, \dots, x_n\| + \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$  for all  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

---

\*Corresponding author

Received August 27, 2014

The pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called an n-normed space. An n-norm is always non-negative. The combination of conditions (3) and (4) above gives the non-negativity of an n-norm. If  $X$  is an n-normed space with dual  $X'$ , the following formula (as formulated by Gähler[2])

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^G = \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\| \leq 1} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

defines an n-norm on  $X$ .

If  $X$  is equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , we can define the standard n-norm on  $X$  by  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^S = \sqrt{\det [\langle x_i, x_j \rangle]}$ . Note that the value of  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^S$  represents the volume of n-dimensional parallelepiped spanned by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space with dual  $X'$ . Then Gählers formula on  $X$  becomes

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^G = \sup_{y_j \in X, \|y_j\| \leq 1} \det [\langle x_i, y_j \rangle].$$

Also the function

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^D = \sup_{y_j \in X, \|y_1, \dots, y_n\|^S \leq 1} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, y_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$

defines an n-norm on a Hilbert space  $X$ . Then  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^G$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^D$  are identical on a Hilbert space  $X$  [6]. If  $X$  is a separable Hilbert space and  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  is a complete orthonormal set in  $X$ , we can define an n-norm on  $X$  by  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_2 = \left[ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_n} |\det [\alpha_{ij_k}]|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  Where  $\alpha_{ij} = \langle x_i, e_j \rangle$  [5], [6].

Further, the function

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^E = \sup_{y_j \in X, \|y_1, \dots, y_n\|^S = 1} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, y_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$

defines an n-norm on a Hilbert space and the function

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r = \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\|=1} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

defines an n-norm on a normed space  $X$  with dual  $X'$ , Singh and Meitei [10]. If  $X$  is a Hilbert space,  $\|., \dots, .\|^r$  becomes

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r = \sup_{y_j \in X, \|y_j\|=1} \det [\langle x_i, y_j \rangle].$$

The function

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^F = \sup_{y_j \in X, \|y_1, \dots, y_n\|^S \neq 0} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, y_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}}{\|y_1, \dots, y_n\|^S}$$

defines an n-norm on a Hilbert space [11].

Then  $\|., \dots, .\|^D, \|., \dots, .\|^E, \|., \dots, .\|^F, \|., \dots, .\|^G, \|., \dots, .\|^r$  and  $\|., \dots, .\|^S$  are identical on a Hilbert space and they are identical with  $\|., \dots, .\|_2$  on a separable Hilbert space [10],[11]. Also,

$$\|f_1, \dots, f_n\|' = \sup_{x_i \in X, \|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \leq 1} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$\|f_1, \dots, f_n\|'_1 = \sup_{x_i \in X, \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|=1} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

are identical n-norms on  $X'$ , the dual of an n-normed space  $X$  [10].

The theory of 2-normed spaces and n-normed spaces were initially developed by Gähler [1]-[4] in the 1960s. Recent works and related works can be found in [5]-[9]. The most recent work can be seen in Singh and Meitei [10],[11]. Our interest here is to study alternative formulae of n-norms especially in a Hilbert space. The alternative formulae are identical with the n-norms

mentioned above. In the last part we study the equality of three n-norms defined on the dual space of an n-normed space.

## 2. n-Norms And Their Identicalness

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a normed space with dual  $X'$ . Then the function

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H = \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\| \neq 0} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|}$$

defines an n-norm on  $X$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent.

$\Leftrightarrow$  rows of the matrix  $[f_j(x_i)]$  are linearly dependent.

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\det[f_j(x_i)]}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} = 0 \quad \forall f_j \in X' \text{ with } \|f_j\| \neq 0.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\| \neq 0} \frac{\det[f_j(x_i)]}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} = 0.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \|., ., .\|^H = 0.$$

(ii) By the properties of determinants and definition of supremum,  $\|., ., .\|^H$  remains invariant under permutations of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

(iii)  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\|\alpha x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H = \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\| \neq 0} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(\alpha x_1) & \cdots & f_n(\alpha x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\| \neq 0} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} \\
&= |\alpha| \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\| \neq 0} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} \\
&= |\alpha| \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H.
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) By linearity of  $f_j$ 's and properties of determinants ,we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_0+x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_0+x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_0) & \cdots & f_n(x_0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} + \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} \\
&= \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_0) & \cdots & f_n(x_0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} + \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking supremums of both sides over  $f_j \in X'$  with  $\|f_j\| \neq 0$ , we have

$$\|x_0 + x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|^H \leq \|x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n\|^H + \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|^H.$$

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 2.2:** Let  $X$  be a normed space with dual  $X'$ .Then,  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^r$ and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^H$ are identical.

**Proof.**

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r = \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\|=1} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H = \sup_{f_j \in X', \|f_j\| \neq 0} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|}$$

Clearly,  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r \leq \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H$ .

Conversely, we choose  $g_j = \frac{f_j}{\|f_j\|}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} &= \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \|f_1\| g_1(x_1) & \cdots & \|f_n\| g_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \|f_1\| g_1(x_n) & \cdots & \|f_n\| g_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} \\ &= \frac{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\| \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x_1) & \cdots & g_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1(x_n) & \cdots & g_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|f_1\| \|f_2\| \cdots \|f_n\|} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x_1) & \cdots & g_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1(x_n) & \cdots & g_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix} \\ &\leq \sup_{g_j \in X', \|g_j\|=1} \begin{vmatrix} g_1(x_1) & \cdots & g_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1(x_n) & \cdots & g_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r \forall f_j \in X' \text{ with } \|f_j\| \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H \leq \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r.$$

This completes the proof.

**Note** On a Hilbert space  $X$  with dual  $X'$ ,  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^H$  becomes

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H = \sup_{y_j \in X, \|y_j\| \neq 0} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, y_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}}{\|y_1\| \|y_2\| \cdots \|y_n\|}.$$

It can be proved by using Riesz-representation theorem.

**Proposition 2.3.** On a Hilbert space  $X$ ,

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H = \sup_{y_j \in X, \|y_j\| \neq 0} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, y_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}}{\|y_1\| \|y_2\| \cdots \|y_n\|}$$

and

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r = \sup_{y_j \in X, \|y_j\|=1} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, y_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}}{\|y_1\| \|y_2\| \cdots \|y_n\|}$$

are identical.

Clearly,  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r \leq \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H$ .

Conversely, we choose  $z_j = \frac{y_j}{\|y_j\|}$ ,  $\|y_j\| \neq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Now, } \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, y_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, y_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}}{\|y_1\| \|y_2\| \dots \|y_n\|} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, \|y_1\| z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, \|y_n\| z_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, \|y_1\| z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, \|y_n\| z_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}}{\|y_1\| \|y_2\| \dots \|y_n\|} \\
& = \frac{\|y_1\| \|y_2\| \dots \|y_n\| \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, z_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, z_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}}{\|y_1\| \|y_2\| \dots \|y_n\|} \\
& = \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, z_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, z_n \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
& \leq \sup_{z_j \in X, \|z_j\|=1} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, z_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, z_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, z_n \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
& = \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r \forall y_j \in X \text{ with } \|y_j\| \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^H \leq \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|^r \therefore \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^r \& \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^H$  are identical on a Hilbert space X. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 2.4.** On a Hilbert space  $X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^H$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^S$  are identical.

**Proof.**  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^r$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^S$  are identical [10]. But,  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^r$  &  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^H$  are identical [proposition 2.2]. So,  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^H$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^S$  are identical.

**Corollary 2.1.**  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^D, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^E, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^F, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^G, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^H, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^r$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^S$  are identical on a Hilbert space X.

**Corollary 2.2.** On a separable Hilbert space  $X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^D, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^E, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^F, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^G, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^H, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^r, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|^S$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$  are identical.

### 3. EQUALITY OF THREE n-NORMS ON A DUAL SPACE

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be an n-normed space. Then, the function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2'$  :

$(X')^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2' = \sup_{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|}$  defines an n-norm on  $X'$ .

**Proof.** (i) It is easy to show that  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  are linearly dependent iff  $\|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2' = 0$ .

(ii) By properties of determinant and definition of supremum,  $\|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2'$  is invariant under permutations of  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ .

$$(iii) \text{ For any } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \|\alpha f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2' = \sup_{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|}$$

$$= \sup_{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|}$$

$$= |\alpha| \sup_{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|}$$

$$= |\alpha| \|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2'.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(iv) For any } f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n \in X', \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} (f_0 + f_1)(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (f_0 + f_1)(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} \\
&= \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_0(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_0(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right| + \left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} \\
&= \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_0(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_0(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} + \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} \\
&\leq \sup_{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_0(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_0(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} + \sup_{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{ccc} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{array} \right|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} \\
&= \|f_0, \dots, f_n\|_2' + \|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2' \quad \forall x_i \in X \& \|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \|f_0 + f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2' \leq \|f_0, \dots, f_n\|_2' + \|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2'$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be an n-normed space. Then, the n-norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|'_1$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|'_2$  defined on the dual  $X'$  are identical.

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\|f_1, \dots, f_n\|'_1 \leq \|f_1, \dots, f_n\|_2'$ .

Conversely, we choose  $y_i = \frac{x_i}{\sqrt[n]{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|}} = \frac{x_i}{a}, a = \sqrt[n]{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} \neq 0$ .

$$\text{Now, } \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f_1(ay_1) & \cdots & f_n(ay_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(ay_n) & \cdots & f_n(ay_n) \end{vmatrix}}{\|ay_1, \dots, ay_n\|}$$

$$= \frac{a^n \begin{vmatrix} f_1(y_1) & \cdots & f_n(y_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(y_n) & \cdots & f_n(y_n) \end{vmatrix}}{a^n \|y_1, \dots, y_n\|}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} f_1(y_1) & \cdots & f_n(y_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(y_n) & \cdots & f_n(y_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\leq \sup_{y_i \in X, \|y_1, \dots, y_n\|=1} \begin{vmatrix} f_1(y_1) & \cdots & f_n(y_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(y_n) & \cdots & f_n(y_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \|f_1, \dots, f_n\|'_1 \forall x_i \in X \text{ with } \|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f_1, \dots, f_n\|'_2 \leq \|f_1, \dots, f_n\|'_1.$$

$\therefore \|., ., .\|'_1$  and  $\|., ., .\|'_2$  are identical. This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $(X, \|., ., .\|)$  be an n-normed space. Then,  $\|., ., .\|'$ ,  $\|., ., .\|'_1$  and  $\|., ., .\|'_2$  are identical on  $X'$ .

### Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- [1] s. Gähler, Lineare 2-normierter räume. Math. Nachr. 28 (1964),1-43.
- [2] S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische räume I. Math. Nachr. 40 (1969), 165-189.
- [3] S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische räume II. Math. Nachr. 40 (1969), 229-264.
- [4] S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische räume III. Math. Nachr. 41 (1970), 23-36.
- [5] H. Gunawan, The space of p-summable sequences and its natural n-norm Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 64 (2001), 137-147.
- [6] H. Gunawan, S.M. Gozali, O. Neswan, On n-norms and bounded n-linear functionals in a Hilbert space, Ann. Funct. Anal. 1 (2010), 72-79.
- [7] H. Gunawan, Inner products on n-inner product spaces. Soochow J. Math. 28 (2002), 389-398.
- [8] H. Gunawan, A. Mashadi, On n-normed spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (2001), 631-639.
- [9] A. Misiak, n-inner product spaces. Math. Nachr. 140 (1989), 299-319.
- [10] M.P. Singh, S.R. Meitei, On a New n-norm And Some Identical n-norms On a Hilbert Space, IJSER, 2014.
- [11] M.P. Singh, S.R. Meitei, Some Formulae of n-Norms And Their Identicalness In a Hilbert Space (communicated).