



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2014, 2014:42

ISSN: 2050-7461

## ON CERTAIN INEQUALITIES CONCERNING THE CLASSICAL EULER'S GAMMA FUNCTION

KWARA NANTOMAH

Department of Mathematics, University for Development Studies, Navrongo Campus,

P. O. Box 24, Navrongo, UE/R, Ghana.

Copyright © 2014 Kwara Nantomah. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** In this paper, some monotonic functions and some inequalities concerning certain ratios of generalized gamma functions are established. The procedure utilizes the series forms of the generalized digamma functions.

**Keywords:** gamma function;  $q$ -gamma function;  $k$ -gamma function;  $(p, q)$ -gamma function; inequality.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 33B15, 26A48.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The classical Euler's Gamma function,  $\Gamma(t)$  and the digamma function,  $\psi(t)$  are well-known in literature as

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{t-1} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \Gamma(t) = \frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)}, \quad t > 0.$$

The  $p$ -Gamma function,  $\Gamma_p(t)$  and the  $p$ -digamma function,  $\psi_p(t)$  are defined for  $p \in N$  as (see [6])

$$\Gamma_p(t) = \frac{p! p^t}{t(t+1)\dots(t+p)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_p(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \Gamma_p(t) = \frac{\Gamma'_p(t)}{\Gamma_p(t)}, \quad t > 0.$$

---

Received September 2, 2014

The  $q$ -Gamma function,  $\Gamma_q(t)$  and the  $q$ -digamma function,  $\psi_q(t)$  are also defined for  $q \in (0, 1)$  as (see [2])

$$\Gamma_q(t) = (1-q)^{1-t} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^n}{1-q^{t+n}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_q(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \Gamma_q(t) = \frac{\Gamma'_q(t)}{\Gamma_q(t)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Also, the  $k$ -Gamma function,  $\Gamma_k(t)$  and the  $k$ -digamma function,  $\psi_k(t)$  are defined for  $k > 0$  as (see [1])

$$\Gamma_k(t) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{k}} x^{t-1} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_k(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \Gamma_k(t) = \frac{\Gamma'_k(t)}{\Gamma_k(t)}, \quad t > 0.$$

In 2005, Díaz and Teruel [5] defined the  $(q, k)$ -Gamma and the  $(q, k)$ -digamma functions for  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $k > 0$  as

$$\Gamma_{(q,k)}(t) = \frac{(1-q^k)_{q,k}^{\frac{t}{k}-1}}{(1-q)_{q,k}^{\frac{t}{k}-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{(q,k)}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \Gamma_{(q,k)}(t) = \frac{\Gamma'_{(q,k)}(t)}{\Gamma_{(q,k)}(t)}, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $(t)_{n,k} = t(t+k)(t+2k)\dots(t+(n-1)k) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (t+jk)$  is the  $k$ -generalized Pochhammer symbol.

Also in 2012, Krasniqi and Merovci [4] defined the  $(p, q)$ -Gamma and the  $(p, q)$ -digamma functions for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$  as

$$\Gamma_{(p,q)}(t) = \frac{[p]_q^t [p]_q!}{[t]_q [t+1]_q \dots [t+p]_q} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{(p,q)}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \Gamma_{(p,q)}(t) = \frac{\Gamma'_{(p,q)}(t)}{\Gamma_{(p,q)}(t)}, \quad t > 0.$$

where  $[p]_q = \frac{1-q^p}{1-q}$ .

The generalized digamma functions  $\psi_q(t)$ ,  $\psi_k(t)$ ,  $\psi_{(p,q)}(t)$  and  $\psi_{(q,k)}(t)$  as defined above exhibit the following series representations (see also [8], [9], [10], [11] and [12]).

$$(1) \quad \psi_q(t) = -\ln(1-q) + \ln q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{nt}}{1-q^n}$$

$$(2) \quad \psi_k(t) = \frac{\ln k - \gamma}{k} - \frac{1}{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t}{nk(nk+t)}$$

$$(3) \quad \psi_{(p,q)}(t) = \ln [p]_q + (\ln q) \sum_{n=1}^p \frac{q^{nt}}{1-q^n}$$

$$(4) \quad \psi_{(q,k)}(t) = \frac{-\ln(1-q)}{k} + (\ln q) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{nkt}}{1-q^{nk}}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler-Mascheroni's constant.

In 2010, Krasniqi and Shabani [7] presented the following inequalities:

$$\frac{p^{-t}e^{-\gamma}\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha)} < \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+t)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+t)} < \frac{p^{1-t}e^{\gamma(1-t)}\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+1)}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive real number such that  $\alpha + t > 1$ .

Also in that same year, Krasniqi, Mansour and Shabani [6] presented the following results.

$$\frac{(1-q)^t e^{-\gamma}\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} < \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+t)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+t)} < \frac{(1-q)^{t-1} e^{\gamma(1-t)}\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+1)}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive real number such that  $\alpha + t > 1$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$ .

Several results of this nature as well as some generalizations have since been established. These can be found in the papers [8], [9], [10], [11] and [12].

In the present paper, our main objective is to present similar results involving the ratios  $\frac{\Gamma_q(t)}{\Gamma_{(p,q)}(t)}$ ,  $\frac{\Gamma_q(t)}{\Gamma_{(q,k)}(t)}$ ,  $\frac{\Gamma_k(t)}{\Gamma_{(p,q)}(t)}$  and  $\frac{\Gamma_k(t)}{\Gamma_{(q,k)}(t)}$ .

## 2. Auxiliary Results

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Then,*

$$\ln(1-q) + \ln[p]_q + \psi_q(\alpha+t) - \psi_{(p,q)}(\alpha+t) \leq 0.$$

**Proof.** By the series representations (1) and (3) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(1-q) + \ln[p]_q + \psi_q(t) - \psi_{(p,q)}(t) &= (\ln q) \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{nt}}{1-q^n} - \sum_{n=1}^p \frac{q^{nt}}{1-q^n} \right] \\ &= (\ln q) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{nt}}{1-q^n} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $\alpha + t$  completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Then,*

$$\ln(1-q) - \frac{1}{k} \ln(1-q) + \psi_q(\alpha+t) - \psi_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t) \leq 0.$$

**Proof.** By the series representations (1) and (4) we have,

$$\ln(1-q)^{1-\frac{1}{k}} + \psi_q(t) - \psi_{(q,k)}(t) = (\ln q) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{q^{nt}}{1-q^n} - \frac{q^{nkt}}{1-q^{nk}} \right] \leq 0.$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $\alpha + t$  completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $k > 0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Then,*

$$\ln[p]_q - \frac{\ln k - \gamma}{k} + \frac{1}{\alpha + t} + \psi_k(\alpha + t) - \psi_{(p,q)}(\alpha + t) > 0.$$

**Proof.** By the series representations (2) and (3) we have,

$$\ln[p]_q - \frac{\ln k - \gamma}{k} + \frac{1}{t} + \psi_k(t) - \psi_{(p,q)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t}{nk(nk+t)} - (\ln q) \sum_{n=1}^p \frac{q^{nt}}{1-q^n} > 0.$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $\alpha + t$  completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $k > 0$ . Then,*

$$-\frac{\ln(k(1-q))}{k} + \frac{\gamma}{k} + \frac{1}{\alpha + t} + \psi_k(\alpha + t) - \psi_{(q,k)}(\alpha + t) > 0.$$

**Proof.** By the series representations (2) and (4) we have,

$$-\frac{\ln(k(1-q))}{k} + \frac{\gamma}{k} + \frac{1}{t} + \psi_k(t) - \psi_{(q,k)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t}{nk(nk+t)} - (\ln q) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{nkt}}{1-q^{nk}} > 0.$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $\alpha + t$  completes the proof.

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Define a function  $S$  by*

$$(5) \quad S(t) = \frac{(1-q)^t \Gamma_q(\alpha + t)}{[p]_q^{-t} \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha + t)}, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

*for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $S$  is non-increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and the inequalities*

$$(6) \quad \frac{(1-q)^{-t} \Gamma_q(\alpha)}{[p]_q^t \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha)} \geq \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + t)}{\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha + t)} \geq \frac{(1-q)^{1-t} \Gamma_q(\alpha + 1)}{[p]_q^{t-1} \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha + 1)}$$

*are valid for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $g(t) = \ln S(t)$  for every  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= \ln \frac{(1-q)^t \Gamma_q(\alpha + t)}{[p]_q^{-t} \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha + t)} \\ &= t \ln(1-q) + t \ln[p]_q + \ln \Gamma_q(\alpha + t) - \ln \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha + t). \quad \text{Then,} \end{aligned}$$

$$g'(t) = \ln(1-q) + \ln[p]_q + \psi_q(\alpha + t) - \psi_{(p,q)}(\alpha + t) \leq 0. \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1})$$

That implies  $g$  is non-increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Hence  $S$  is non-increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and for every  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have,

$$S(0) \geq S(t) \geq S(1)$$

yielding the result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Define a function  $T$  by

$$(7) \quad T(t) = \frac{(1-q)^t \Gamma_q(\alpha+t)}{(1-q)^{\frac{t}{k}} \Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t)}, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $T$  is non-increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and the inequalities

$$(8) \quad \frac{(1-q)^{-t} \Gamma_q(\alpha)}{(1-q)^{-\frac{t}{k}} \Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha)} \geq \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha+t)}{\Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t)} \geq \frac{(1-q)^{1-t} \Gamma_q(\alpha+1)}{(1-q)^{\frac{1-t}{k}} \Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+1)}$$

are valid for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $h(t) = \ln T(t)$  for every  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \ln \frac{(1-q)^t \Gamma_q(\alpha+t)}{(1-q)^{\frac{t}{k}} \Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t)} \\ &= t \ln(1-q) - \frac{t}{k} \ln(1-q) + \ln \Gamma_q(\alpha+t) - \ln \Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t). \quad \text{Then,} \\ h'(t) &= \ln(1-q) - \frac{1}{k} \ln(1-q) + \psi_q(\alpha+t) - \psi_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t) \leq 0. \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2}) \end{aligned}$$

That implies  $h$  is non-increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Hence  $T$  is non-increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and for every  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have,

$$T(0) \geq T(t) \geq T(1)$$

establishing the result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Define a function  $U$  by

$$(9) \quad U(t) = \frac{(\alpha+t) k^{-\frac{t}{k}} e^{\frac{\gamma t}{k}} \Gamma_k(\alpha+t)}{[p]_q^{-t} \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha+t)}, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $k > 0$ . Then  $U$  is increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and the inequalities

$$(10) \quad \frac{\alpha k^{\frac{t}{k}} e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{k}} \Gamma_k(\alpha)}{(\alpha+t) [p]_q^t \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha)} < \frac{\Gamma_k(\alpha+t)}{\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha+t)} < \frac{(\alpha+1) k^{\frac{t-1}{k}} e^{\frac{\gamma(1-t)}{k}} \Gamma_k(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+t) [p]_q^{t-1} \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha+1)}$$

are valid for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu(t) = \ln U(t)$  for every  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t) &= \ln \frac{(\alpha+t)k^{-\frac{t}{k}}e^{\frac{\gamma t}{k}}\Gamma_k(\alpha+t)}{[p]_q^{-t}\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha+t)} \\ &= \ln(\alpha+t) - \frac{t}{k}\ln k + \frac{\gamma t}{k} + t\ln[p]_q + \ln\Gamma_k(\alpha+t) - \ln\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\alpha+t). \quad \text{Then,} \\ \mu'(t) &= \ln[p]_q - \frac{\ln k - \gamma}{k} + \frac{1}{\alpha+t} + \psi_k(\alpha+t) - \psi_{(p,q)}(\alpha+t) > 0. \text{(by Lemma 2.3)} \end{aligned}$$

That implies  $\mu$  is increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . As a result,  $U$  is also increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and for every  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have,

$$U(0) < U(t) < U(1)$$

yielding the result.

**Theorem 3.4.** Define a function  $V$  by

$$(11) \quad V(t) = \frac{(\alpha+t)e^{\frac{\gamma t}{k}}\Gamma_k(\alpha+t)}{k^{\frac{t}{k}}(1-q)^{\frac{t}{k}}\Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t)}, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $k > 0$ . Then  $V$  is increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and the inequalities

$$(12) \quad \frac{\alpha k^{\frac{t}{k}} e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{k}} \Gamma_k(\alpha)}{(\alpha+t)(1-q)^{-\frac{t}{k}} \Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha)} < \frac{\Gamma_k(\alpha+t)}{\Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t)} < \frac{(\alpha+1)k^{\frac{t-1}{k}} e^{\frac{\gamma(t-1)}{k}} \Gamma_k(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+t)(1-q)^{\frac{t-1}{k}} \Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+1)}$$

are valid for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\delta(t) = \ln V(t)$  for every  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(t) &= \ln \frac{(\alpha+t)e^{\frac{\gamma t}{k}}\Gamma_k(\alpha+t)}{k^{\frac{t}{k}}(1-q)^{\frac{t}{k}}\Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t)} \\ &= \ln(\alpha+t) + \frac{\gamma t}{k} - \frac{t}{k}\ln(k(1-q)) + \ln\Gamma_k(\alpha+t) - \ln\Gamma_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t). \quad \text{Then,} \\ \delta'(t) &= -\frac{\ln(k(1-q))}{k} + \frac{\gamma}{k} + \frac{1}{\alpha+t} + \psi_k(\alpha+t) - \psi_{(q,k)}(\alpha+t) > 0. \text{(by Lemma 2.4)} \end{aligned}$$

That implies  $\delta$  is increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . As a result,  $V$  is also increasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and for every  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have,

$$V(0) < V(t) < V(1)$$

establishing the result.

**Conflict of Interests.**

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Díaz and E. Pariguan, On hypergeometric functions and Pachhammer  $k$ -symbol, *Divulgaciones Matemáticas* 15 (2007), 179-192.
- [2] T. Mansour, Some inequalities for the  $q$ -Gamma Function, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.* 9 (2008), Art. 18.
- [3] F. Merovci, Power product inequalities for the  $\Gamma_k$  function, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 4 (2010), 1007-1012.
- [4] V. Krasniqi and F. Merovci, Some Completely Monotonic Properties for the  $(p, q)$ -Gamma Function, *Mathematica Balkanica, New Series* 26 (2012), 1-2.
- [5] R. Díaz and C. Teruel,  $q, k$ -generalized gamma and beta functions, *J. Nonlin. Math. Phys.* 12 (2005), 118-134.
- [6] V. Krasniqi, T. Mansour and A. Sh. Shabani, Some monotonicity properties and inequalities for  $\Gamma$  and  $\zeta$  functions, *Math. Commun.* 15 (2010), 365-376.
- [7] V. Krasniqi, A. Sh. Shabani, Convexity properties and inequalities for a generalized gamma function, *Appl. Math. E-Notes* 10 (2010), 27-35.
- [8] K. Nantomah and M. M. Iddrisu, Some inequalities involving the ratio of gamma functions, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 8 (2014), 555-560.
- [9] K. Nantomah, M. M. Iddrisu and E. Prempeh, Generalization of some inequalities for the ratio of gamma functions, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 8 (2014), 895-900.
- [10] K. Nantomah and E. Prempeh, Generalizations of some inequalities for the  $p$ -Gamma,  $q$ -Gamma and  $k$ -gamma functions, *Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 3 (2015), 158-163.
- [11] K. Nantomah and E. Prempeh, Some sharp inequalities for the ratio of gamma functions, *Math. Aeterna*, 4 (2014), 501-507.
- [12] K. Nantomah and E. Prempeh, Generalizations of some sharp inequalities for the ratio of gamma functions, *Math. Aeterna*, 4 (2014), 539-544.