



Available online at <http://scik.org>

Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2015, 2015:12

ISSN: 2050-7461

GENERALIZED HERMITE-HADAMARD INEQUALITY FOR LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

A. BARANI

Department of Mathematics, Lorestan University, PO Box 465, Khoramabad, Iran

Copyright © 2015 A. Barani. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we establish some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for Lipschitz functions defined on invex subsets of real line.

Keywords: Hermite-Hadamard inequality; Invex sets; Lipschitz functions.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 26D15, 26A51.

1. Introduction

Let $I = [c, d]$ be an interval on the real line \mathbb{R} , $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $a, b \in [c, d], a < b$. We consider the well-known Hermite-Hadamard inequality

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Several refinements and generalizations of Hermite-Hadamard have been found in [1-5, 8-12, 16] and references therein. In recent years several extensions and generalizations have been considered for classical convexity. A significant generalization of convex functions is that of preinvex functions introduced by Ben-Israel and Mond in [7] (see [6, 14] for more property and generalizations).

E-mail address: barani.a@lu.ac.ir

Received June 13, 2015

Now, we recall some notions in invexity analysis which will be used throughout the paper (see [2, 13, 14, 17] and references therein). A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be invex with respect to the map $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow S$, if for every $x, y \in S$ and every $t \in [0, 1]$, $y + t\eta(x, y) \in S$. Recall that an η -path for $x, y \in S$ is a subset of S defined by

$$P_{xy} := \{x + t\eta(y, x) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

It is obvious that every convex set is invex with respect to the map $\eta(x, y) = x - y$, but there exist invex sets which are not convex. The mapping $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow S$ is said to be satisfies the condition C if for every $x, y \in S$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(y, y + t\eta(x, y)) &= -t\eta(x, y), \\ \eta(x, y + t\eta(x, y)) &= (1 - t)\eta(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

For every $x, y \in S$ and every $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ from condition C we have

$$\eta(y + t_2\eta(x, y), y + t_1\eta(x, y)) = (t_2 - t_1)\eta(x, y). \quad (1.2)$$

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an invex set with respect to $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow S$. Then, the function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be preinvex with respect to η , if for every $x, y \in S$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(y + t\eta(x, y)) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y).$$

Every convex function is a preinvex with respect to the map $\eta(x, y) = x - y$ but the converse does not holds. The following Hermite-Hadamard inequality for preinvex functions is introduced in [15],

$$f(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b, a)) \leq \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x)dx \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $a, b \in S$, (see also [5]).

On the other hand, Dragomir in [9] defined two mapping $H, F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, as follows and established several important results in connection to Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}H(t) &:= \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f\left(tx + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}\right)dx, \\ F(t) &:= \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b f\left(tx + (1-t)y\right)dxdy.\end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Since then numerous articles have appeared in the literature reflecting further applications and properties of mappings H, F , (see [3, 8, 10, 11, 16]) and references therein. In [10] Dragomir by relaxing convexity and utilizing two above mapping, introduced some Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for Lipschitz functions defined on intervals as follows;

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a M -Lipschitz function and $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. Then, we have the following inequalities*

$$\left| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \right| \leq \frac{M}{4}(b-a), \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \right| \leq \frac{M}{3}(b-a). \quad (1.6)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a M -Lipschitz function and $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. Then,*

(i) *The mapping H is $\frac{M}{4}(b-a)$ -Lipschitz on $[0, 1]$.*

(ii) *For every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have the following inequalities*

$$\left| H(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \right| \leq \frac{M(1-t)}{4}(b-a), \quad (1.7)$$

$$\left| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - H(t) \right| \leq \frac{Mt}{4}(b-a), \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\left| H(t) - t \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx - (1-t)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{t(1-t)M}{2}(b-a). \quad (1.9)$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a M -Lipschitz function and $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. Then,*

(i) *$F(t) = F(1-t)$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$*

(ii) *The mapping F is a $\frac{M(b-a)}{3}$ -Lipsschitz function on $[0, 1]$.*

(iii) *We have the following inequalities*

$$\left| F(t) - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) dx dy \right| \leq \frac{M|2t-1|}{6}(b-a), \quad (1.10)$$

$$\left| F(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \right| \leq \frac{Mt}{3}(b-a), \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$|F(t) - H(t)| \leq \frac{M(1-t)}{4}(b-a). \quad (1.12)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to establishing some new inequalities involving generalizations of two above mappings for Lipschitz functions on invex subsets of \mathbb{R} .

2. Main results

At first we start with the following theorem connecting two inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for Lipschitz functions defined on invex sets.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an invex set with respect to $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow S$. Suppose that η satisfies condition C. Assume that $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a M -Lipschitz function and $a, b \in S$ with $\eta(a, b) \neq 0$. Then,*

(i)

$$\left| f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(a, b)\right) - \frac{1}{\eta(a, b)} \int_b^c f(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}M|\eta(a, b)|, \quad (2.1)$$

where $c := b + \eta(a, b)$.

(ii) *If $a > b$ and $\eta(a, b) \geq a - b$, then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{\eta(a, b)} \int_b^c f(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{M(a-b)^3}{3\eta(a, b)^2} + \frac{M\eta(a, b)}{2} + \frac{M(b-a)}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $c := b + \eta(a, b)$.

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \in S$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| tf(a) + (1-t)f(b) - f(b + t\eta(a, b)) \right| \\ & = \left| t(f(a) - f(b + t\eta(a, b))) + (1-t)(f(b) - f(b + t\eta(a, b))) \right| \\ & \leq t \left| f(a) - f(b + t\eta(a, b)) \right| + (1-t) \left| f(b) - f(b + t\eta(a, b)) \right| \\ & \leq tM|a - b - t\eta(a, b)| + t(1-t)M|\eta(a, b)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

For $t = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - f\left(b + \frac{1}{2}\eta(a, b)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}M \left| a - b - \frac{1}{2}\eta(a, b) \right| + \frac{1}{2}M |\eta(a, b)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

If in (2.4) we put $b + t\eta(a, b)$ and $b + (1 - t)\eta(a, b)$ instate of a and b , respectively then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(b + t\eta(a, b)) + f(b + (1 - t)\eta(a, b))}{2} - f\left(b + \frac{1}{2}\eta(a, b)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}M |2t - 1| |\eta(a, b)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Integrating on $[0, 1]$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\int_0^1 f(b + t\eta(a, b)) dt + \int_0^1 f(b + (1 - t)\eta(a, b)) dt}{2} - f\left(b + \frac{1}{2}\eta(a, b)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}M |\eta(a, b)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

(ii) For every $t \in [0, 1]$, from (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| tf(a) + (1 - t)f(b) - f(b + t\eta(a, b)) \right| \\ & \leq tM |a - b - t\eta(a, b)| + t(1 - t)M\eta(a, b). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

By integrating on $[0, 1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(a) \int_0^1 t dt + f(b) \int_0^1 (1 - t) dt - \int_0^1 f(b + t\eta(a, b)) dt \right| \\ & \left(= \left| \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{\eta(a, b)} \int_a^c f(x) dx \right| \right) \\ & \leq \left[\int_0^1 tM |a - b - t\eta(a, b)| dt \right] + \int_0^1 t(1 - t)M\eta(a, b) dt \\ & = \left[\frac{M(a - b)^3}{3\eta(a, b)^2} + \frac{M\eta(a, b)}{3} + \frac{M(b - a)}{2} \right] + \frac{M\eta(a, b)}{6} \\ & = \frac{M(a - b)^3}{3\eta(a, b)^2} + \frac{M\eta(a, b)}{2} + \frac{M(b - a)}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Note that, by simple computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 t |a - b - t\eta(a, b)| dt \\ &= \int_0^\lambda t (a - b - t\eta(a, b)) dt + \int_\lambda^1 t (b - a + t\eta(a, b)) dt \\ &= \frac{(a - b)^3}{3\eta(a, b)^2} + \frac{\eta(a, b)}{3} + \frac{b - a}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda := \frac{a-b}{\eta(a,b)}$.

Remark 2.1. *If in Theorem 2.1, $\eta(x, y) = x - y$, for every $x, y \in S$, then we have the results in Theorem 1.1, as a special case.*

Motivated by [9] for a real valued function f defined on an invex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with respect to $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow S$, we consider two mappings $H, F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, as follows;

$$H(t) := \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_a^c f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b, a) + t\eta\left(y, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b, a)\right)\right) dy,$$

and

$$F(t) := \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)^2} \int_a^c \int_a^c f(x + t\eta(y, x)) dx dy,$$

where $a, b \in S$ and $c := a + \eta(b, a)$. Note that in the special case, if $\eta(x, y) = x - y$ for every $x, y \in S$ then, the mappings H and F reduce to mappings H and F defined in (1.4), respectively.

The following theorem is a generalization of theorem 1.2 in invexity setting.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an invex set with respect to $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow S$. Suppose that η satisfies condition C and for every $x \neq y \in S$, $\eta(y, x) \neq 0$. Assume that $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a M -Lipschitz function. Then, for every $a, b \in S$ one has*

(i) *The mapping H is $\frac{M}{4}|\eta(b, a)|$ -Lipschitz on $[0, 1]$.*

(ii) *For every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have the following inequalities*

$$\left| H(t) - \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_a^c f(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{M(1-t)}{4} |\eta(b, a)|, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\left| f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b, a)\right) - H(t) \right| \leq \frac{Mt}{4} |\eta(b, a)|, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| H(t) - t \frac{1}{\eta(b,a)} \int_a^c f(x) dx - (1-t) f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{t(1-t)M}{2} |\eta(b,a)|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $c := a + \eta(b,a)$.

Proof. Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & |H(t_2) - H(t_1)| \\ & = \frac{1}{|\eta(b,a)|} \left| \int_a^c f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) + t_2\eta(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a))\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_a^c f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) + t_1\eta(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a))\right) dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|\eta(b,a)|} \int_a^c \left| f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) + t_2\eta(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a))\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) + t_1\eta(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a))\right) \right| dx \\ & \leq \frac{M}{|\eta(b,a)|} \int_a^c \left| t_2\eta(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a)) - t_1\eta(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a)) \right| dx \\ & = \frac{M|t_2 - t_1|}{|\eta(b,a)|} \int_a^c |\eta(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a))| dx \\ & = \frac{M|\eta(b,a)|}{4} |t_2 - t_1|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Indeed, if we choose the change of variable $x := a + s\eta(b,a)$, $s \in [0, 1]$, and using (1.2) then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^c |\eta(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a))| dx \\ & \int_0^1 |\eta(a + s\eta(b,a), a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a))| |\eta(b,a)| ds \\ & = |\eta(b,a)|^2 \int_0^1 \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| ds = \frac{1}{4} |\eta(b,a)|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

this completes the proof of (i).

(ii) It is easy to see that

$$H(0) = f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a)\right),$$

and

$$H(1) = \frac{1}{\eta(b,a)} \int_a^c f(x) dx.$$

Now, the inequalities (2.9) and (2.10) follow from (2.12) by choosing $t_1 = t, t_2 = 1$ and $t_1 = 0, t_2 = t$, respectively. Inequality (2.11) follow by adding t times (2.9) and $(1 - t)$ times (2.10). This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an invex set with respect to $\eta : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for every $x \neq y \in S$, $\eta(y, x) \neq 0$. If $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a M -Lipsschitz function then; for every $a, b \in S$,*

(i) $F(t) = F(1 - t)$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$

(ii) If η satisfies condition C then, F is a $\frac{M|\eta(b, a)|}{3}$ -Lipsschitz function on $[0, 1]$.

(iii) If η satisfies condition C then, for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| F(t) - \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)^2} \int_a^c \int_a^c f\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\eta(y, x)\right) dx dy \right| \\ & \leq \frac{M|2t - 1|}{6} |\eta(b, a)|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\left| F(t) - \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_a^c f(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{Mt}{3} |\eta(b, a)|, \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$|F(t) - H(t)| \leq \frac{M(1-t)}{4} |\eta(b, a)|. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. (i) It is obvious.

(ii) Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & |F(t_2) - F(t_1)| \\ & = \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)^2} \left| \int_a^c \int_a^c [f(x + t_2\eta(y, x)) - f(x + t_1\eta(y, x))] dx dy \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)^2} \int_a^c \int_a^c |f(x + t_2\eta(y, x)) - f(x + t_1\eta(y, x))| dx dy \\ & \leq \frac{M|t_2 - t_1|}{\eta(b, a)^2} \int_a^c \int_a^c |\eta(y, x)| dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

On the other hand, if we use the change of variables $x := a + r\eta(b, a), y := a + s\eta(b, a)$, $r, s \in [0, 1]$ then by simple computation we get

$$\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, s)} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta(y, x) & 0 \\ 0 & \eta(y, x) \end{pmatrix},$$

hence $\det \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(r,s)} = \eta(b,a)^2$. Now, by using (1.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\eta(b,a)^2} \int_a^c \int_a^c |\eta(y,x)| dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta(b,a)^2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |\eta(a+s\eta(b,a), a+r\eta(b,a))| \eta(b,a)^2 dr ds \\ &= |\eta(b,a)| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |s-r| dr ds = \frac{|\eta(b,a)|}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

By combining (2.17) and (2.18) it follows that

$$|F(t_2) - F(t_1)| \leq \frac{M|\eta(b,a)|}{3} |t_2 - t_1|. \quad (2.19)$$

(iii) The inequalities (2.14) and (2.15) follows from (2.19) if we choose $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}, t_2 = t$ and $t_1 = 0, t_2 = t$, respectively.

Now, we prove the inequality (2.16). Since f is M -Lipschitz if we set

$$y := a + s\eta(b,a), \quad x := a + r\eta(b,a), \quad r, s \in [0, 1]$$

then, by using (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(y + t\eta(x,y)) - f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) + t\eta\left(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a)\right)\right) \right| \\ & \leq M \left| y + t\eta(x,y) - a - \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) - t\eta\left(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a)\right) \right| \\ & = M \left| s\eta(b,a) + t(r-s)\eta(b,a) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) - t\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\right)\eta(b,a) \right| \\ & = M \left| s\eta(b,a) - ts\eta(b,a) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) + \frac{1}{2}t\eta(b,a) \right| \\ & = M \left| (1-t)s\eta(b,a) - (1-t)\frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) \right| \\ & = (1-t)M \left| y - a - \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) \right|, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

By integrating the inequality (2.20) on $P_{ab} \times P_{ab}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\eta(b,a)^2} \int_a^c \int_a^c f\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\eta(y,x)\right) dx dy \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{\eta(b,a)} \int_a^c f\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) + t\eta\left(x, a + \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a)\right)\right) dx \right| \\ & \leq (1-t)M \frac{1}{\eta(b,a)} \int_a^c \left| y - a - \frac{1}{2}\eta(b,a) \right| dy \\ & = \frac{(1-t)M}{4} |\eta(b,a)|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.2. *If in Theorem 2.2, $\eta(x,y) = x - y$, for every $x,y \in S$, then we have the results in Theorem 1.3, as a special case.*

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Akkouchi, A result on the mapping H of S.S. Dragomir with applications, *Facta Universitatis, Ser. Math. Inform.* 17 (2002), 5-12.
- [2] T. Antczak, Mean value in invexity analysis, *Nonlinear Anal.* 60 (2005), 1471-1484.
- [3] S. Banić, Mapping connected with Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for superquadratic functions, *J. Math. Inequal.* 3 (2009), 557-589.
- [4] A. Barani, S. Barani, Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for functions when a power of the absolute value of the first derivative is P-convex, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 86 (2012), 126-134.
- [5] A. Barani, A.G. Ghazanfari and S.S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard inequality for functions whose derivatives absolute values are preinvex, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2012 (2012) Article ID 247.
- [6] A. Barani and M.R. Pouryayevali, Invex sets and preinvex functions on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328 (2007), 767-779.
- [7] A. Ben-Israel, B. Mond, What is invexity?, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 28 (1986), 1-9.
- [8] S.S. Dragomir and C.E.M. Pearce, *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and applications*, (RGMIA Monographs http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/hermite_hadamard.html), Victoria University, 2000.
- [9] S.S. Dragomir, Two mappings in connection to Hadamard's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 167 (1992), 49-56.

- [10] S.S. Dragomir , Inequalities on Hadamard's type for Lipschitzian mapping and their applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 245 (2000), 489-501.
- [11] S.S. Dragomir, A sequence of mapping associated with the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities and applications, *Applications of Mathematics*, 49 (2004), 123-140.
- [12] A. G. Ghazanfari, A. Barani, Some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for the product of two operator preinvex functions, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 9 (2015), 9-20.
- [13] M.A. Hanson, On sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 80 (1981), 545-550.
- [14] S. R. Mohan and S. K. Neogy, On invex sets and preinvex function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 189 (1995), 901-908.
- [15] M.A. Noor, Hermite-Hadamard integral inequalities for log-preinvex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory* 2 (2007), no. 2, 126-131.
- [16] K.L. Tesing, S.R. Hwang and S.S. Dragomir, New Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities for convex functions (II), *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011), 401-418.
- [17] T. Weir, and B. Mond, Preinvex Functions in multiple Objective Optimization, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 136 (1998), 29-38.
- [18] X. M. Yang and D. Li, On properties of preinvex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 256 (2001), 229-241.